Systemic Lupus Erythematosus and Osteonecrosis: A Comparison of Patients with Single versus Multiple Joint Involvement

Tanaz A. Kermani^{*,1}, Cynthia S. Crowson², Kimberly K. Amrami³, Daniel J. Berry⁴ and Kevin G. Moder^{*,1}

¹Division of Rheumatology, Department of Medicine, Mayo Clinic, USA

²Division of Biostatistics, Department of Health Sciences Research, Mayo Clinic, USA

³Department of Radiology, Mayo Clinic, USA

⁴Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Mayo Clinic, USA

Abstract: *Objective*: The purpose of this study was to determine the clinical and laboratory features associated with osteonecrosis of multiple (>/= 3) joints in systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE).

Methods: We included all patients with SLE and osteonecrosis evaluated at our institution between January 1, 2000 and June 30, 2006. The patients were divided into three groups based on osteonecrosis of 1 joint, 2 joints and 3 or more joints. Clinical features, laboratory findings and therapies of patients in these groups were compared using Fischer's exact test and rank sum tests.

Results: Our study included 4 men and 37 women. Twelve patients (29.3%) had osteonecrosis of 1 joint, 16 patients (39%) had osteonecrosis of 2 joints and 13 patients (31.7%) had osteonecrosis of 3 or more joints. The only clinical feature of SLE significantly associated with osteonecrosis of 3 or more joints was central nervous system (CNS) disease (p = 0.01). The median cumulative and peak corticosteroid doses were similar in all 3 groups (p = 0.70 and p = 0.11 respectively). There were no differences in the frequency of anti-cardiolipin antibodies.

Conclusions: History of CNS disease was the only variable associated with multiple joint osteonecrosis in patients with SLE. We found no association between corticosteroid doses and multiple joint osteonecrosis.

Keywords: Osteonecrosis, avascular necrosis, systemic lupus erythematosus.

INTRODUCTION

Osteonecrosis (avascular necrosis) remains a significant cause of morbidity in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) [1, 2]. Glucocorticoid use is a major risk factor in the development of this complication [1, 3-8]. Other features which have been associated with development of osteonecrosis in SLE include arthritis [4], central nervous system disease [5, 9, 10], vasculitis [1, 9, 11], hematologic abnormalities [10], renal disease [10], pleural effusions [10] and presence of Raynaud's phenomenon [1, 9] among others. The role of antiphospholipid antibodies in the development of osteonecrosis remains controversial [11-15]. To date clinical features that predispose to multiple joint osteonecrosis remain poorly understood. To address this question, we conducted a study of all patients with SLE and osteonecrosis evaluated at our institution between January 1, 2000 and June 30, 2006 to determine the clinical and laboratory findings associated with osteonecrosis of multiple joints (≥ 3 joints) in SLE.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Study Design

This is a retrospective chart review study of all patients with a diagnosis of osteonecrosis and SLE evaluated at our institution between January 1, 2000 and June 30, 2006. All patients provided authorization for review of their medical records. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board.

Case Retrieval

Using ICD-9 codes for SLE and avascular necrosis or aseptic necrosis bone, all patients with the above diagnoses evaluated at Mayo Clinic, Rochester between January 1, 2000 and June 30, 2006 were identified. All charts were reviewed. Those meeting our criteria for SLE and osteonecrosis were included. Incident and prevalent cases of osteonecrosis were included. Records were reviewed for clinical information. All cases were followed to December 31, 2007.

Case Definitions

Only patients meeting ACR classification criteria for SLE were included. Osteonecrosis was diagnosed by radiographic imaging with plain films and/or MRI.

^{*}Address correspondence to these authors at the Mayo Clinic, 200 First Street SW, Rochester, MN 55905, USA; Tel: 507-284-2975; Fax: 507-284-0564; E-mail: kermani.tanaz@mayo.edu and

Mayo Clinic, 200 First Street SW, Rochester, MN 55905, USA; Tel: 507-284-1625; Fax: 507-284-0564; E-mail: moder.kg@mayo.edu

Exclusion Criteria

Patients were excluded if they did not meet ACR classification criteria for SLE or did not have radiographic evidence of osteonecrosis.

Data Collection

A standardized data collection form was used. Data on age at diagnosis of SLE, age at diagnosis of osteonecrosis, duration of SLE and gender were collected. Clinical manifestations of SLE and symptoms at presentation of osteonecrosis were documented. Laboratory information collected included autoantibodies, antiphospholipid antibodies (if tested), total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein and lowdensity lipoprotein. Use of cytotoxic medications (azathioprine, mycophenolate mofetil, cyclophosphamide, methotrexate or leflunomide) was documented. We collected information on corticosteroid doses from diagnosis of SLE to the date of last osteonecrosis event. Radiologic reports were reviewed for distribution, location and number of joints affected by osteonecrosis. If a patient had prior surgery for osteonecrosis that was well documented in their medical records, the replaced joints were also included in the number of joints affected by osteonecrosis. Systemic Lupus International Collaborating Clinics/American College of Rheumatology (SLICC/ACR) Damage Index was calculated at the last visit to assess cumulative damage in these patients [16].

Statistical Analysis

The patients were subdivided into three groups based on the number of joints affected by osteonecrosis as follows: Group A had involvement of only 1 joint, Group B had involvement of 2 joints and Group C had 3 or more joints affected by osteonecrosis. Fisher's exact test was used for statistical analysis of clinical features between the three groups and the Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test was used to compare continuous measures across the 3 groups. continuous measures across the 3 groups. Cumulative corticosteroid doses were estimated by adding the daily doses across the follow-up time. Sensitivity analysis were used to examine the influence on the estimates of cumulative dose and the differences between groups using various assumptions regarding dosage changes during tapering and during brief periods when steroid dosage was not available for a few patients. In addition, the cumulative corticosteroid doses were estimated both including and excluding intravenous pulse steroids.

RESULTS

One hundred and sixteen patients were evaluated at our institution for osteonecrosis and SLE between the dates of interest. Seventy-five patients were excluded for the following reasons: 26 (22.4%) did not meet 4 or more classification criteria for SLE, 9 patients (7.8%) did not have information in the medical records to confirm a diagnosis of SLE, 4 (1%) had an alternate diagnosis (dermatomyositis, p-ANCA vasculitis, scleroderma and mixed connective tissue disease), 14 patients (12.1%) did not have radiographic evidence of osteonecrosis, and 22 patients (19%) had a "history" of osteonecrosis. Therefore, only 41 patients (35.3%) met our entry criteria. Thirty-seven (90.2%) were women and 4 (9.8%) were men. Twenty-five patients (61%) had a first diagnosis of AVN made between January 1, 2000 and June 31, 2006.

Twelve patients (29.3%) had osteonecrosis of 1 joint, 16 patients (39%) had 2 joints affected and 13 patients (31.7%) had involvement of 3 or more joints. Mean age at diagnosis of SLE and mean duration of SLE to diagnosis of osteonecrosis was similar across all three groups (Table 1). Pain was the presenting symptom that led to diagnosis of osteonecrosis in all cases. The clinical manifestations of SLE in all 41 patients were as follows: malar rash in 17 (41.5%); mucositis in 5 (12.2%); photosensitivity in 5 (12.2%); arthritis in 35

Table 1.	Demographics, Clinical Manifestations and Laboratory Findings in 41 Patients with SLE and Osteonecrosis
----------	---

Variable	Group A One Joint (N = 12)	Group B Two Joints (N = 16)	Group C Three or more Joints (N = 13)	P value Group A vs Group B vs Group C
Female Gender (%)	12 (100%)	13 (81.3%)	12 (92.3%)	0.36
Mean age at diagnosis SLE, years (range)	32.7 (5-58)	23.9 (8-43)	24.1 (14-36)	0.34
Median duration SLE to diagnosis of AVN, years (range)	11 (1-23)	10 (1-40)	13 (1-32)	0.63
Mean length of follow-up from diagnosis of AVN, months (range)	46.8 (0-220)	54.2 (0-129)	89.9 (0-228)	0.11
Mucocutaneous, No. (%)	7 (58.3%)	11 (68.8%)	9 (69.2%)	0.84
Arthritis, No. (%)	11 (91.7%)	13 (81.3%)	11 (84.6%)	0.86
Nephritis, No. (%)	7 (58.3%)	11 (68.8%)	9 (69.2%)	0.84
CNS disease, No. (%)	0	2 (12.5%)	6 (46.2%)	0.01
Hematologic, No. (%)	2 (16.7%)	9 (56.3%)	7 (53.8%)	0.08
SLICC/ACR damage index, median (range)	4.5 (1-9)	3 (2-8)	4 (2-7)	0.48

Multiple Joint Osteonecrosis in SLE

(85.4%); serositis in 14 (34.1%); nephritis in 27 (65.9%); CNS disease in 8 (19.5%) and hematologic manifestations in 18 (43.9%). Comparison of SLE manifestations in the 41 patients based on the number of joints affected found CNS disease was the only statistically significant clinical feature of SLE that was associated with multiple joint involvement (p=0.01) (Table 1). The CNS manifestations of these 8 patients are summarized in Table 2.

 Table 2.
 CNS Manifestations of 8 Patients with SLE and Osteonecrosis

CNS Manifestation*	Group B (2 patients)	Group C (6 patients)		
Seizures	1	4		
Confusion	2	0		
Headaches	1	0		
Psychosis	0	1		

We divided patients into three groups based on number of joints affected by osteonecrosis. However, given the uncertainty of whether Group B is more similar to Group A (i.e. later stage of disease) or to Group C (precursor to involvement of more joints), we also conducted analyses combining Groups A + B and comparing to Group C and combining groups B + C and comparing to Group A (data not shown). Combining Groups A + B and comparing to Group C, we continued to observe a statistically significant association between presence of CNS disease and osteonecrosis of three or more joints (p=0.01). Finally, combining Groups B + C and comparing to Group A, a statistically significant relationship was noted between hematologic manifestations and involvement of 2 or more joints (p=0.04).

Pertinent laboratory findings for the 3 groups are summarized in Table **3**. All patients had a positive anti-nuclear antibody. Anti-double stranded DNA antibodies were next most commonly observed antibody in all three groups. Anticardiolipin antibodies were checked in 27 patients (65.9%). Only 8 subjects in this study were screened for lupus anticoagulant and given the small numbers, these results were not reported. Median peak corticosteroid doses and median cumulative corticosteroid doses were similar across all three groups (Table 4). There was a trend toward more cyclophosphamide use and osteonecrosis of 3 or more joints but this did not reach statistical significance (p=0.09). There was no difference between the 3 groups in use of mycophenolate mofetil, leflunomide or methotrexate. History of azathioprine use was more common in the group with 1 joint AVN compared to the other groups (p=0.05, Table 4).

Osteonecrosis was diagnosed by plain films in 20 patients (48.8%), a combination of plain films and MRI in 14 patients (34.1%), plain films and bone scan in 1 patient (2.4%) and MRI only in 6 patients (14.6%). Nine of 12 patients (75%) from Group A also had imaging of the asymptomatic contralateral joint (7 patients with x-rays, 1 patient with CT and 1 patient with MRI), which did not show involvement from osteonecrosis. In Group B, 9 patients (56.3%) were incidentally noted to have osteonecrosis of the asymptomatic, contralateral joint by imaging; MRI in 5 patients and x-rays in 4 patients.

Total number of joints affected by osteonecrosis was 98. Hips were the most commonly affected joint (55.1% joints involved) followed by knees (34.7% joints involved), ankles (6.1% joints involved) and shoulders (3.1% joints involved). Wrist disease was noted in 1 patient. Fifteen patients from Group B had bilateral disease and all patients in Group C had bilateral disease in at least one joint area affected. Bilateral disease was present in 23 patients with hip involvement, 13 patients with knee osteonecrosis, 2 patients with ankle disease and 1 patient with shoulder involvement.

Therapy and Outcomes

Twenty-five patients (61%) in this study underwent surgery for osteonecrosis. Two patients (16.7%) from Group A required surgery. Both patients had unilateral total hip arthroplasties. In Group B, 11 patients (68.8%) underwent surgery, which were comprised of unilateral hip arthroplasties in 5 patients, bilateral hip arthroplasties in 3 patients, unilateral knee arthroplasties in 2 patients and shoulder arthroplasty in 1 patient. Twelve patients in Group C underwent surgery for osteonecrosis. Surgical procedures included unilateral total hip arthroplasties in 2 patients, bilateral total hip arthroplasties in 5 patients, bilateral hip decompression surgeries in 2 patients, unilateral total knee arthroplasty in 2

Table 3. Comparison of Pertinent Laboratory Findings in SLE Patients with Osteonecrosis

Laboratory Studies	Group A One Joint (N = 12)	Group B Two Joints (N = 16)	Group C Three or more Joints (N = 13)	P value Group A vs Group B vs Group C
dsDNA, No. (% group)	11 (91.7%)	13 (81.3%)	9 (69.2%)	0.43
Anti-cardiolipin anti- bodies positive	1 of 7 tested	3 of 12 tested	0 of 8 tested	0.33
Median Total Choles- terol, mg/dL (range)	208 (132-265)	207 (152-264)	212 (145-334)	0.94
Median Total Choles- terol/HDL ratio	3.3	4.6	4.4	0.21

Treatment of SLE	Group A One Joint (N = 12)	Group B Two Joints (N = 16)	Group C Three or more Joints (N = 13)	P value Group A vs Group B vs Group C
Median Cumulative corticosteroid dose, mg (range)	14,790 (452-92,628)	15,166 (3,060-44,840)	13,725 (1,767-243,462)	0.70
Median Peak corticosteroid dose mg (range)	17.5 (2-60)	45 (5-3000)	20 (2-1000)	0.11
History of cyclophosphamide use, No. (%)	3 (25%)	4 (25%)	8 (61.5%)	0.09
History of azathioprine use, No. (%)	8 (67%)	4 (25%)	3 (23%)	0.05

Table 4. Comparison of Medical Therapy in SLE Patients with Osteonecrosis

patients, bilateral total knee arthroplasty in 1 patient, tibial osteotomy in 1 patient and shoulder hemiarthroplasty in 1 patient.

DISCUSSION

Osteonecrosis is a known complication of SLE with corticosteroid use being a primary risk factor. Prevalence estimates of osteonecrosis in SLE range from 3-30% [17]. While glucocorticoid use remains a major risk factor for development of this complication, multiple other factors have also been associated with development of osteonecrosis in SLE. In this study, we compared patients with osteonecrosis of 1, 2 and 3 or more joints to characterize the clinical and laboratory features associated with osteonecrosis of 3 or more joints.

We divided the 41 patients identified into 3 groups based on the number of joints affected by osteonecrosis. This decision was mainly due to difficulty classifying 16 patients with 2 joints involved (Group B). Fifteen patients in this group had bilateral joint involvement. Therefore, it remains unclear if Group B represents a later stage of patients with osteonecrosis of 1 joint, or, an earlier stage of disease and is a precursor to multiple joint involvement. Furthermore, osteonecrosis of a contralateral asymptomatic joint was incidentally diagnosed by imaging studies in 9 of 16 patients in Group B. While the primary presenting symptom of osteonecrosis is pain, asymptomatic osteonecrosis in SLE has been described [18]. Asymptomatic osteonecrosis has been reported in several studies using prospective MRI imaging [12, 19-21]. In a prospective study of 66 patients with SLE and no symptoms referable to the hip, the investigators found asymptomatic osteonecrosis in 8 patients (12%) [21]. In another prospective study using MRI of the hips, asymptomatic osteonecrosis was noted in 15 of 45 (33%) patients studied [19]. Five of 15 patients in this study, later developed symptoms 2 to 4 years after MRI changes were first noted [19]. Finally, in an MRI study of the lower limbs in 40 patients with SLE, 13 patients had 123 MRI-detected osteonecrosis lesions [12]. Of these, clinically silent lesions were present in 53% of hips, 84% of knees and 75% of ankles [12]. Therefore, there are obvious challenges when studying osteonecrosis in SLE patients since lesions may be radiographically undetectable or asymptomatic. Despite this, we are reasonably confident in the classification of patients with involvement of only 1 joint since in 75% of cases in Group A, the contralateral asymptomatic joint had also been imaged and findings of osteonecrosis were absent. However, 7 of the 9 patients with contralateral joint imaging had x-rays performed which may have affected the sensitivity with which asymptomatic contralateral disease is detected.

Thirteen patients (31.7%) in this series had osteonecrosis of 3 or more joints (multifocal osteonecrosis). In two large studies of osteonecrosis, multifocal involvement was seen in approximately 3% patients and is likely an underestimation since not all joints are systematically imaged [22, 23]. Additionally, among patients with multifocal osteonecrosis, between 38-41% of patients have SLE [22, 23]. Hips remain the most commonly affected joint by osteonecrosis (nonmultifocal or multifocal). The distribution of joint involvement noted in our study is similar to that of other studies with hips being the most commonly affected, followed by knees [17]. Bilateral involvement was common and was noted in 15 patients from Group B. Additionally, all patients in the group with 3 or more joints affected with osteonecrosis had bilateral involvement of at least one joint area.

The mean duration of SLE at development of osteonecrosis was similar across the three groups. History of CNS disease was the only clinical feature of SLE that correlated with osteonecrosis of 3 or more joints. Three prior studies have found an association between CNS disease and osteonecrosis in SLE [5, 9, 10]. In the study by Cozen and Wallace comparing 26 SLE patients with osteonecrosis to 462 SLE patients without osteonecrosis, cerebritis was present in 26.3% of patients with osteonecrosis compared to only 9.7% of patients without osteonecrosis (p = 0.01) [10]. In a cohort study comparing 38 patients with SLE and osteonecrosis to 143 patients with SLE without osteonecrosis, presence of CNS disease was more common in patients with osteonecrosis (39% patients) compared to 14% patients without osteonecrosis, p<0.001) [5]. The authors concluded that the higher prevalence of CNS disease reflected the need for higher initial corticosteroid dose. We hypothesized that the association of CNS disease with multiple joint involvement from osteonecrosis was related to more serious disease and therefore more aggressive therapy for SLE. However, we were unable to find any differences in the 3 groups with respect to cumulative or peak corticosteroid doses. Corticosteroid use in SLE has been implicated as a risk factor for osteonecrosis in several studies [1, 4, 5, 8, 11, 24]. Highest glucocorticoid dose [4, 5, 8, 11, 24], cumulative corticosteroid dose [4] and duration of corticosteroid treatment [24] have all been evaluated and associated with osteonecrosis. Previous studies have examined corticosteroid treatment and development of osteonecrosis. In this study, we were evaluating corticosteroid treatment with respect to number of joints affected by osteonecrosis. While peak and cumulative corticosteroids doses were not different between the three groups, all patients in this study had received treatment with corticosteroids. We also failed to find an association with cytotoxic medication use (cyclophosphamide or azathioprine) when analyzing across the 3 groups. However, when Groups A and B were combined and compared to Group C, we did find a greater proportion of patients in Group C received cyclophosphamide (25% from Groups A and B compared to 61.5% from Group C, p = 0.04). Cytotoxic medication use has been associated with osteonecrosis in SLE. In a study by Mok et al. additional immunosuppression with cyclophosphamide was more common in patients with osteonecrosis compared to those without [5]. Gladman et al. also found a statistically significant difference in cytotoxic medication use among patients with SLE and osteonecrosis compared to SLE patients without osteonecrosis [4]. In a recent nested matched case-control study, cytotoxic medication use (cyclophosphamide and/or azathioprine) was associated with development of symptomatic osteonecrosis [24]. Based on our findings, there may have been an association between cyclophosphamide use and osteonecrosis of 3 or more joints when comparing Groups A+B to Group C. However, there was no association between multiple joint osteonecrosis and azathioprine. Furthermore, in our study, a larger proportion of patients in the Group A were on azathioprine compared to the other two groups. The reason for this asymmetry in azathioprine exposure is unclear since apart from CNS disease, the clinical manifestations between the three groups were similar. This may be related to the small numbers in our study. Alternatively, while azathioprine may be a risk factor for development of osteonecrosis, it may not play a role in the number of sites involved.

We did not find an association of specific autoantibodies and the development of osteonecrosis in multiple joints. In a previous study, of 7 patients with SLE who developed osteonecrosis, concurrent anti-Ro (SS-A) antibodies and anti-RNP antibodies were noted in 3 patients while another 2 patients with osteonecrosis had antibodies to topoisomerase I [25]. The role of antiphospholipid antibodies remains controversial. Based on the numbers available, there was no association between the presence of anti-phospholipid antibodies and osteonecrosis of more than 1 joint. However, not all patients in our study were tested. The prevalence of positive antiphospholipid antibodies in our study (15%) is lower than that reported in other series of SLE patients with osteonecrosis [1, 14, 24-26] In a study comparing patients with osteonecrosis to those without osteonecrosis, an abrupt change in ratio of total cholesterol at one month was associated with development of osteonecrosis [19]. A clinical trial comparing atorvastatin to placebo in reducing incidence of osteonecrosis in steroid-treated lupus has been initiated [27]. The total cholesterol and total cholesterol/high-density lipoprotein (HDL) ratio was similar for all three groups.

Finally, 25 patients (60.1%) in this study underwent surgery for osteonecrosis. While only 2 patients (17%) from Group A underwent surgery,11 patients (69%) in Group B and 12 patients (92%) in Group C had surgery of at least one affected joint. The difference in surgical interventions between the groups may be related to higher number of affected joints in Groups B and C. Several patients in Group C underwent surgical intervention of more than one affected joint. Alternatively, the low percentage of procedures in group A may be a reflection of the shorter duration of follow-up.

There are several limitations to this study. This study was conducted at a tertiary care facility. It is estimated that approximately 200 patients with SLE are evaluated at our center each year. However, the SLE patient population seen at Mayo Clinic is predominantly Caucasian and the sample size of this study was small. Additionally, this was a retrospective chart review and therefore we were only able to abstract information available in the medical record. The median length of follow-up differed between the three groups although this was not statistically significant. The group with single joint involvement had the shortest follow-up time. Therefore, it is possible that the diagnosis of osteonecrosis in 2 or more joints was due to longer follow-up interval in the group with 3 or more joints rather than differences between the 3 groups. However, the majority of patients with multiple joint involvement (23 of 29, 79%) had osteonecrosis in more than one joint simultaneously suggesting that all our findings are unlikely due to duration of follow-up alone. Furthermore, SLICC/ACR damage index calculated at the last visit was similar across all 3 groups. In a minority of cases only symptomatic joints were imaged. For most patients, information was also available for the asymptomatic contralateral joint. However, some patients underwent only x-rays of the contralateral joint while other patients had more sensitive imaging modalities like MRI performed for the asymptomatic contralateral joint. Additionally, even for cases diagnosed with osteonecrosis, imaging modalities varied with some cases diagnosed by MRI and others by plain radiographs.

CONCLUSIONS

In summary, our study found an association between history of CNS involvement in SLE and multiple joint osteonecrosis. We also found an association of cyclophosphamide use and multiple joint osteonecrosis. There was no association between peak or cumulative corticosteroid doses and the number of joints affected by osteonecrosis. The association of CNS disease and cyclophosphamide use suggests the SLE patients with multiple joint involvement have more severe systemic disease.

SOURCES OF SUPPORT

Mayo Foundation.

REFERENCES

- Petri M. Musculoskeletal complications of systemic lupus erythematosus in the Hopkins Lupus Cohort: an update. Arthritis Care Res 1995; 8(3): 137-45.
- [2] Gladman DD, Chaudhry-Ahluwalia V, Ibanez D, Bogoch E, Urowitz MB. Outcomes of symptomatic osteonecrosis in 95 patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. J Rheumatol 2001; 28(10): 2226-9.
- [3] Dubois ELCozen L. Avascular (aseptic) bone necrosis associated with systemic lupus erythematosus. JAMA 1960; 174: 966-71.
- [4] Gladman DD, Urowitz MB, Chaudhry-Ahluwalia V, Hallet DC, Cook RJ. Predictive factors for symptomatic osteonecrosis in pa-

- [5] Mok CC, Lau CSWong RW. Risk factors for avascular bone necrosis in systemic lupus erythematosus. Br J Rheumatol 1998; 37(8): 895-900.
- [6] Oinuma K, Harada Y, Nawata Y, et al. Osteonecrosis in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus develops very early after starting high dose corticosteroid treatment. Ann Rheum Dis 2001; 60(12): 1145-8.
- [7] Prasad R, Ibanez D, Gladman D, Urowitz M. The role of noncorticosteroid related factors in osteonecrosis (ON) in systemic lupus erythematosus: a nested case-control study of inception patients. Lupus 2007; 16(3): 157-62.
- [8] Zonana-Nacach A, Barr SG, Magder LS, Petri M. Damage in systemic lupus erythematosus and its association with corticosteroids. Arthritis Rheum 2000; 43(8): 1801-8.
- [9] Klipper AR, Stevens MB, Zizic TM, Hungerford DS. Ischemic necrosis of bone in systemic lupus erythematosus. Medicine (Baltimore) 1976; 55(3): 251-7.
- [10] Cozen L, Wallace DJ. Avascular necrosis in systemic lupus erythematosus: clinical associations and a 47-year perspective. Am J Orthop 1998; 27(5): 352-4.
- [11] Mont MA, Glueck CJ, Pacheco IH, et al. Risk factors for osteonecrosis in systemic lupus erythematosus. J Rheumatol 1997; 24(4): 654-62.
- [12] Houssiau FA, N'Zeusseu Toukap A, Depresseux G, et al. Magnetic resonance imaging-detected avascular osteonecrosis in systemic lupus erythematosus: lack of correlation with antiphospholipid antibodies. Br J Rheumatol 1998; 37(4): 448-53.
- [13] Tektonidou MG, Malagari K, Vlachoyiannopoulos PG, Kelekis DA, Moutsopoulos HM. Asymptomatic avascular necrosis in patients with primary antiphospholipid syndrome in the absence of corticosteroid use: a prospective study by magnetic resonance imaging. Arthritis Rheum 2003; 48(3): 732-6.
- [14] Mok MY, Farewell VT, Isenberg DA. Risk factors for avascular necrosis of bone in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus: is there a role for antiphospholipid antibodies? Ann Rheum Dis 2000; 59(6): 462-7.
- [15] Asherson RA, Liote F, Page B, et al. Avascular necrosis of bone and antiphospholipid antibodies in systemic lupus erythematosus. J Rheumatol 1993; 20(2): 284-8.0

Revised: July 21, 2010

Accepted: July 25, 2010

© Kermani et al.; Licensee Bentham Open.

This is an open access article licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/) which permits unrestricted, non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the work is properly cited.

- [16] Gladman DD, Urowitz MB, Goldsmith CH, et al. The reliability of the Systemic Lupus International Collaborating Clinics/American College of Rheumatology Damage Index in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. Arthritis Rheum 1997; 40(5): 809-13.
- [17] Abu-Shakra M, Buskila D, Shoenfeld Y. Osteonecrosis in patients with SLE. Clin Rev Allergy Immunol 2003; 25(1): 13-24.
- [18] Klippel JH, Gerber LH, Pollak L, Decker JL. Avascular necrosis in systemic lupus erythematosus. Silent symmetric osteonecroses. Am J Med 1979; 67(1): 83-7.
- [19] Nagasawa K, Tada Y, Koarada S, et al. Very early development of steroid-associated osteonecrosis of femoral head in systemic lupus erythematosus: prospective study by MRI. Lupus 2005; 14(5): 385-90.
- [20] Yoshida T, Kanayama Y, Okamura M, et al. Long-term observation of avascular necrosis of the femoral head in systemic lupus erythematosus: an MRI study. Clin Exp Rheumatol 2002; 20(4): 525-30.
- [21] Aranow C, Zelicof S, Leslie D, et al. Clinically occult avascular necrosis of the hip in systemic lupus erythematosus. J Rheumatol 1997; 24(12): 2318-22.
- [22] Collaborative Osteonecrosis Group. Symptomatic multifocal osteonecrosis. A multicenter study. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1999; 369: 312-26.
- [23] LaPorte DM, Mont MA, Mohan V, Jones LC, Hungerford DS. Multifocal osteonecrosis. J Rheumatol 1998; 25(10): 1968-74.
- [24] Calvo-Alen J, McGwin G, Toloza S, et al. Systemic lupus erythematosus in a multiethnic US cohort (LUMINA): XXIV. Cytotoxic treatment is an additional risk factor for the development of symptomatic osteonecrosis in lupus patients: results of a nested matched case-control study. Ann Rheum Dis 2006; 65(6): 785-90.
- [25] Watanabe T, Tsuchida T, Kanda NT, Amaki K. Avascular necrosis of bone in systemic lupus erythematosus. The predictive role of precipitating autoantibodies. Scand J Rheumatol 1997; 26(3): 184-7
- [26] Nagasawa K, Ishii Y, Mayumi T, *et al.* Avascular necrosis of bone in systemic lupus erythematosus: possible role of haemostatic abnormalities. Ann Rheum Dis 1989; 48(8): 672-6.
- [27] Belmont HMLE. Avascular necrosis prevention with lipitor in lupus erythematosus. Lupus 2005; 14(10): 869-70.

Received: May 04, 2010