1874-3315/19

116



RESEARCH ARTICLE

Morphological, Biochemical, and Molecular Analysis of Origanum vulgare L.

Concetta Lotti^{1,*}, Luigi Ricciardi², Guglielmo Rainaldi³, Claudia Ruta⁴, Waed Tarraf⁴ and Giuseppe De Mastro⁴

¹Department of the Sciences of Agriculture, Food and Environment, University of Foggia, Foggia, Via Napoli, 25-71122, Italy ²Department of Soil, Plant and Food Sciences, Plant Genetics and Breeding Unit University of Bari, Bari, Italy ³Department of Biosciences, Biotechnologies and Biopharmaceuticals, University of Bari, Bari, Italy ⁴Department of Agriculture and Environmental Science, University of Bari, Bari, Italy

Abstract:

Background:

The variation on morphological, biochemical, and genetic characters is very important in germplasm management and conservation strategies.

Objective:

To determine the diversity of 23 accessions from *Origanum vulgare* L. and a commercial cultivar on the basis of agronomical, biochemical and genetic features.

Methods:

Different characters related to vegetative growth and essential oil production were studied while the genetic relationships between the individuals were evaluated with the use of Amplified Fragment Length Polymorphism.

Results:

Despite the accessions exhibited cymyl- and acyclic-compounds, all the essential oil chemotypes according to the prevalence of essential oil fractions were phenotypically varied. A considerable amount of biomass with maximum values in plant height was achieved by thymol/ γ -terpinene chemotype and carvacrol chemotype making them of particular interest for the production of high-quality plant material and further for the mechanical harvest. The AFLP analysis, performed using 10 primer combinations, to obtain a dendrogram of genetic similarity, revealed a genetic variability that could be useful for the selection of the proper genetic groups in future breeding programs.

Conclusion:

We identified two chemotypes thymol/ γ -terpinene and carvacrol with their suitability for the production of biomass and essential oil and for the mechanical harvest. The results of the molecular characterization of the species may support and contribute to breeding programmes for agronomic and biochemical traits.

Keywords: Lamiaceae, Origanum vulgare L., Essential oil, Chemical composition, GC-MS, AFLP.									
Article History	Received: June 28, 2019	Revised: August 18, 2019	Accepted: August 19, 2019						

1. INTRODUCTION

The genus *Origanum* belongs to Lamiaceae family, which grows wildly in Mediterranean areas and northern Africa [1]. It includes various species, subspecies, varieties and hybrids that can be distinguished individually with a high variation in morphological and chemical features [2]. According to the Ietswaart's classification [3], no less than 10 sections, consisting of 49 taxa, had to be recognized on the basis of geographical distribution. *Origanum* is a monospecific section which includes six subspecies of *Origanum vulgare: Gracile* (Kock) letswaart, *glandulosum* (Desfontaines) letswaart, *hirtum* (Link) letswaart, *vulgare* L., *virens* (Hoffmannsegg et Link) letswaart, and *viride* (Boissier) Hayek. They were recognized based on the indumentum, number of sessile glands on leaves, bracts and calyces and the size and colour of bracts and flowers. The southernmost range of *O. vulgare* in Europe comprises three subspecies: *O. vulgare* L. subsp. *Glandulosum* (Desfontaines) letswaart, *O. vulgare* L. subsp. *hirtum* (Link) letswaart, and *O.*

^{*} Address correspondence to this author at the Department of the Sciences of Agriculture, Food and Environment, University of Foggia, Foggia, Via Napoli, 25-71122, Italy; Tel/Fax: +39 0881 589347; E-mail: concetta.lotti@unifg.it

Morphological, Biochemical, and Molecular Analysis

vulgare L. subsp. *gracile* (Koch) Ietswaart, found to be rich in the EOs while the northern part that includes the other two, *O. vulgare* L. subsp. *virens* (Hoffmannsegg et Link) Ietswaart, *O. vulgare* L. subsp. *vulgare* L. and *O. vulgare* L. subsp. *viride* (Boissier) Hayek, pointed out to be poor in EOs [4].

Oregano plants are known to possess a special composition of Essential Oil (EO) which is of great interest for pharmaceutical and industrial market [5, 6]. Furthermore, it was grouped into different chemotypes: Acyclic, cymyl, bicyclic, and/or bornane type compounds depending on the predominance of particular components as (a) linalool, linalyl acetate, β -ocimene and myrcene; (b) p-cymene, γ -terpinene, pcymene-8-ol, thymol methyl ether, carvacrol methyl ether, thymoquinone, thymol and carvacrol; (c) sabinene, trans-/cissabinene hydrate and their acetate; and (d) camphor, borneol, bornyl acetate, respectively [1, 4, 7 - 10].

In this context, the active compounds of EO are not only responsible for the aroma and flavor of oregano, making *O*. *vulgare* the most popular spice for food production, but are also emerged as effective source to provide a vast range of biological activities with antioxidant [11], antifungal [12], antibacterial [13], anticancer [14], insecticidal [15], herbicidal [16] and nematicidal [17] properties.

The quantitative and qualitative profile of EO is principally influenced by the genotype, the environmental factors, the growing conditions, and the geographical locations [18-21] leading to a noticeable variation between populations and accessions of *O. vulgare* and within the genus *Origanum* by itself [22 - 24].

For better management of oregano genetic resources, extensive efforts to improve cultivars and restore endangered varieties [25] with a higher content of bioactive compounds are urgently needed. Moreover, extending the research about the morphological and chemical diversity of oregano populations is very important. Furthermore, oriented exploitation of its germplasm in Italy could enable plant breeders of selecting the interesting parental sources for breeding programs [26].

The safeguard of genetic resources implies not just the collection and the storage of material but also the evaluation and the characterization through morphological, biochemical and molecular analysis. All this should be done to prevent the conservation of redundant genetic materials that do not induce important variation and that contribute to increasing the biodiversity management cost [27, 28]. Moreover, the assessment of genetic diversity within collections becomes significant to identify genotypes of agronomic interest, useful in crop-improvement programs.

The molecular analysis, able to evaluate DNA polymorphism to univocally characterize and estimate the genetic distances among plant materials, was performed using AFLP (Amplified Fragment Length Polymorphism) markers on DNA isolated from leaves. The choice of AFLPs is due to the potential capability of this technique to detect a large level of polymorphism, particular when combined with the fluorescence detection system. AFLP is used for different applications, such as analysis of population polymorphism, phylogenetic relationship, genetic diversity assessment, identification of loci linked to economically valuable traits, genetic maps design and varietal fingerprinting [29 - 32]. This method also can be used by breeders to preliminary assess the initial genetic material to plan the strategy of crosses, to identify the best combinations of genotypes, and for general selection [33, 34].

The aim of the current study was to determine the morphological and the phytochemical variability within different *O. vulgare* accessions collected from various geographical areas of Southern Italy and to show the usefulness of AFLP technique in the assessment and genetic characterisation, making possible the identification of promising material to use in future breeding programs besides evaluating the chemical essential oil composition in order to identify genotypes with higher content in carvacrol, thymol and γ -terpinene.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Plant Material and Experimental Field

A total of 24 accessions of O. vulgare were investigated, 23 wild populations collected, according to Good Agricultural Practices (GAP) [35], from different locations of Southern Italy (Apulia, Basilicata and Calabria) along with one commercial cultivar "Sais" (S.A.I.S., S.p.a., Cesena, Italy), belonging to the genus Origanum. Additional information related to the coordinates (i.e latitude, longitude) plus the altitude were provided in Table 1. All oregano plants were identified and then cuttings of each accession were grown at Enrico Pantanelli farm of the University of Bari Aldo Moro (Policoro, Italy). The soil of this experimental farm is loamy (sand 398 g/kg, silt 374 g/kg clay 228 g/kg). The chemical characteristics of soil as described by Pontonio et al. [36]: pH 7.7; 2.3% organic matter, 1.7 g/kg total N (Kjeldahl method) and 27.6 mg/kg available P₂O₅ (Olsen method). The experimental design was a randomized complete block with 3 replications following GAP for medicinal plants [35]. The planting distance was 0.75 m between rows and 0.35 m within rows.

2.2. Agronomical Evaluation/Collection Data

The plants were collected during the full flowering between the end of June and the middle of July. Different characters related to vegetative growth and leaves production including days' number of early flowering, plant height, biomass, and leaf area, were measured with 15 individuals per each population.

2.3. Extraction of Essential Oils

The aerial parts of all the investigated accessions were dried (air drying oven, 35 °C) and then a fraction of each (20 g) was submitted, according to European Pharmacopoeia [37], to hydro-distillation (Clevenger apparatus, 4h). The obtained EOs were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and stored at 4 °C, in amber vials, until further analysis. The oil content was calculated as v/w on the basis of the dry matter of the initial material.

		n ·	Altitude of	Latitude	Longitude	Sum of				
Accession	Collection Site	Province	Collection Site (m a.s.l.)	N	Е	Acy1	Cym	Sab	Ses	
Or1	Bitonto (Ba)	Bari	118	41°06′30.00″	16°41'30.00"	3.29	86.06	0.79	6.12	
Or2	Carovigno (Br)	Brindisi	161	40°42′26.00″	17°39'34.00"	21.20	54.40	7.82	9.01	
Or3	Castellaneta (Ta)	Taranto	235	40°38'00.00"	16°56'00.00"	9.25	69.65	5.08	13.06	
Or4	Policoro (Mt)	Matera	25	40°12′00.00″	16°40'00.00"	1.66	87.01	1.13	5.91	
Or5	Murgia A (Ba)	Bari	397	40°49′45.28″	16°24′59.07″	6.73	71.33	0.84	16.58	
Or6	Casarano (Le)	Lecce	107	40°01′00.00″	18°10'00.00"	11.37	62.14	0.00	23.85	
Or7	Locorotondo (Ba)	Bari	410	40°45′00.00″	17°19'00.00"	11.47	60.87	0.00	25.25	
Or8	Valenzano (Ba)	Bari	85	41°03′00.00″	16°53'00.00"	5.50	76.76	0.00	13.84	
Or9	Varano (Fg)	Foggia	165	41°50'00.00"	15°46'00.00"	2.18	82.53	0.43	10.61	
Or10	Modugno A (Ba)	Bari	43	41°05'07.80"	16°48'51.60"	8.94	73.30	0.95	12.78	
Or11	Modugno B (Ba)	Bari	80	41°04'45.30"	16°47'11.50"	6.99	78.32	0.40	7.81	
Or12	Modugno C (Ba)	Bari	101	41°04'22.70"	16°45'36.90"	22.90	33.87	0.00	36.76	
Or13	Pollino A (Pz)	Potenza	735	39°57'18.20"	16°06'33.60"	73.62	0.00	5.47	19.04	
Or14	Pollino D (Pz)	Potenza	951	39°56'54.50"	16°07'34.20"	80.77	0.00	0.00	15.04	
Or15	Pollino G (Pz)	Potenza	843	39°53'11.30"	16°09'13.20"	72.66	1.80	0.00	23.68	
Or16	Spinazzola (Ba)	Bari	435	40°58'00.00"	16°05'00.00"	10.05	64.07	0.00	19.50	
Or17	Murgia B (Ba)	Bari	394	40°37'49.30"	16°40'11.10"	19.15	64.57	0.00	13.03	
Or18	Murgia C (Ba)	Bari	362	40°38'48.33"	17°06'35.20"	18.09	54.01	0.00	23.68	
Or19	Putignano (Ba)	Bari	372	40°50′57.22″	17°07'21.16"	5.16	72.02	0.00	18.23	
Or20	Ostuni (Br)	Brindisi	229	40°43′56.00″	17°34'40.00"	8.20	70.74	0.00	16.49	
Or21	Ceglie Messapica (Br)	Brindisi	302	40°39'00.00"	17°31'00.00"	15.47	67.65	0.00	13.22	
Or22	Vibo Valentia (Vv)	Vibo Valentia	421	38°40'51.90"	16°06'02.40"	6.43	70.27	0.00	21.06	
Or23	Catanzaro (Cz)	Catanzaro	320	38°54′ 36.00″	16°35' 15.00"	6.35	75.35	0.00	15.67	
Or24	Sais	Commercial co	ultivar	-	-	9.73	0.00	36.70	51.02	

Table 1. Collection sites and proportions (values of peak area percentages) of metabolic pathway-compounds of studied populations of *Origanum vulgare* L.

¹ (cym) cymyl-compounds; (sab) sabinyl-compounds, (acy) acyclic compounds and (ses) sesquiterpenes compounds.

2.4. Analysis of Essential Oils Using Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry

The GC-MS analysis was done by Agilent 6890N gas chromatograph coupled to an Agilent mass spectrometer 5973N (Agilent Technologies, Cernusco sul Naviglio, MI, Italy). The separation of the volatile compounds was carried out on a capillary column HP-5MS (30m $\times 0.25$ µm $\times 0.25$ µm film thicknesses). The carrier gas was Helium (flow rate, 1.1 ml min⁻¹), the temperature of the injector and transfer line were set to 250 and 300, respectively. The initial column temperature was 60 °C, then ramped to 110 °C at the rate of 2 °C min⁻¹ and again to 220 °C at 10 °C min⁻¹. 1.0 µl of the sample was submitted to GC-MS using the split mode (split ratio 1:50). A mixture of aliphatic hydrocarbons (C8-C30; Sigma, IT-Milan) in n-hexane was directly injected into the GC under the same analytical conditions in order to calculate the Retention Indices (RIs) of peaks in the chromatogram. All the mass spectra were acquired using the Electron-Impact (EI) mode with an ionization voltage of 70 eV [38].

2.5. Identification of EO Composition

The constituents of the essential oils were identified based on the retention index, mass spectra obtained from Wiley [39], NIST [40] and Adams [41] libraries and those reported in the literature. The content of each component corresponded to the relative percentage of the total peak area without the use of correction factors [42].

2.6. DNA Extraction and AFLP Analysis

DNA was extracted from tissue leaf by mean of a commercial kit (GenEluteTMPlant Genomic DNA Kit -Sigma-Aldrich Chemie, Steinheim, Germany). Quality and quantity of genomic DNA were evaluated both on 0.8% agarose gel electrophoresis, comparing band intensity of DNA samples to Lambda DNA standard and using the Nano Drop 2000 UV-Vis Spectrophotometer (ThermoScientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, US). AFLP analyses were performed as reported by Vos et al. [43]. DNA (50 ng) was digested with two restriction enzymes: the six-cutter EcoRI and the four-cutter MseI. Restriction fragments size were assessed on 1.5% agarose gel. Adapters were ligated to fragments and ligation products were diluted 1:10 in TE (Tris-HCl 100mM, pH 8.0, EDTA 0.01 mM). Pre-selective and selective PCR was performed as reported in the AFLP-TM Plant Mapping Kit Manual (Applied Biosystems Corp., Norwalk, Connecticut, U.S.) In Tables 2 and 3 sequences of adapters and primers are reported. Labeled fragments obtained by using FAM, NED and JOE EcoRI primers were analyzed on an ABI PRISM 310 Automated DNA Sequencer (Applied Biosystems Corp., Norwalk, Connecticut, U.S.).

 Table 2. Adapter and non-selective primer sequences used in the AFLP reactions.

Msel Adapter	5'-GACGATGAGTCCTGAG-3' 3'-TACTCAGGACTCAT-5'
EcoRI Adapter	5'-CTCGTAGACTGCGTACC-3' 3'-CTGACGCATGGTTAA-5'
MseI Primer	5'- GATGAGTCCTGAGTA 3'
EcoRI Primer	5'-GACTGCGTACCAATTC 3'

Table 3. Selective primers used in the AFLP experiments and polymorphic level detected on the 24 *Origanum* genotypes.

Primer Combinations	Total Bands (n.)	Polymorphic Bands (n.)	Polymorphism (%)
E-ACA/M-CTG	244	87	35.6
E-ACA/M-CTT	250	112	44.8
E-AAC/M-CTC	332	132	39.7
E-AAC/M-CAC	357	128	35.8
E-ACG/M-CAT	225	68	30.2
E-ACG/M-CTA	361	115	31.8
E-ACG/M-CTC	382	129	33.7
E-ACG/M-CAG	325	107	32.9
E-ACA/M-CTC	282	94	33.3
E-ACA/M-CAG	557	225	40.4
	3315	1179	35.8

2.7. Statistical Analysis

The results obtained from growth and yield characteristics are expressed as mean±standard deviation while the EO components data are presented as mean. All scored bands in AFLP analysis were processed using the Genotyper software (A.B. Corp., Norwalk, Connecticut, U.S.) obtaining a binary matrix based on the presence (1) or absence (0) of each polymorphic DNA fragment detected on the 24 genotypes. The software GenAlex 6.5 [44] was used to calculate allele frequencies, Nei distance matrix and to perform PCo analysis (PCoA). A dendrogram of genetic distance was constructed using the Unweigth Pair Group Method with Aritmetic averages (UPGMA) implemented in the Mega software 6.0 [45].

3. RESULTS

The research led to identifying 23 accessions belonging to different sites located in Apulia, Basilicata and Calabria regions- Southern Italy, from which 23 accessions of oregano were collected. All populations were classified as *Origanum vulgare* L. For a clear presentation of the data, the collected plants were grouped into cymyl-compounds and acyclic-compounds according to the metabolic pathway EO fractions (Table 1). OR13, OR14, OR15 were regarded as acyclic pathway (sum of linalool, linalyl acetate, β -ocimene and myrcene peak area percentage) while all the other populations were exhibited cymyl pathway (sum of p-cymene, γ -terpinene, thymol methyl ether, carvacrol methyl ether, thymol and carvacrol peak area percentage) except OR24 was classified

into mixed chemotype including the sabinyl pathway (sum of sabinene, trans-sabinene hydrate and cis-sabinene hydrate peak area percentage) with a presence of sesquiterpenes compounds.

3.1. Growth and Yield Characteristics

Mean and standard deviation values for the studied measured characters are reported in Table **4**. Eight chemotypes according to the prevalence of EOs compounds were phenotypically diverse. Plant height was the lowest (52.01cm) in carvacrol methyl ether/ γ -terpinene chemotype while it was the highest in oregano populations belonging to carvacrol (76.70cm) and thymol/ γ -terpinene (75.20cm) chemotypes. Carvacrol chemotype also reported the maximum values in early flowering days (151.33) compared to 139.67 in linalyl acetate chemotype. The leaf area ranged from 1.01cm² leaf¹ (chemotype of carvacrol methyl ether/ γ -terpinene) to 2.74 cm² leaf¹ (carvacrol chemotype). In addition, the biomass (g plant⁻¹) harvested from carvacrol chemotype (248.80) was comparable with the other chemotypes (113.33-185.33) but less than thymol/ γ -terpinene chemotype (257.83).

3.2. Content of Essential Oil Among Oregano Populations

It was evident that the presence of variation in EO content among all the oregano populations (Table 2), carvacrol group was the richest (4.02) compared to γ -terpinene group. It seems that the potential yield of accessions contained γ -terpinene in combination with carvacrol methyl ether was decreased (1.01%) to be less than of OR2, OR5, OR7, OR8, OR12, OR18 (1.28%) where γ -terpinene was the main component. However, the populations of linalyl acetate, thymol, thymol/ γ -terpinene, thymol/ γ -terpinene/p-cymene and sabinyl-compounds+sesquiterpenes (β -caryophyllene) characterized by a high essential oil content (2%) than the other two populations γ -terpinene and carvacrol methyl ether/ γ -terpinene (\sim 1%).

3.3. Chemical Analysis of Essential Oil

A total of 43 compounds were identified in the 23 studied populations and single cultivar which further classified as the following: thirteen are monoterpenes hydrocarbons (11.14-61.11%), twelve of oxygenated monoterpenes (1.48-68.89%), two of phenolic monoterpenes (4.30-70.24%), twelve of sesquiterpene hydrocarbons (5.69-44.93%), three oxygenated sesquiterpene (0.22-6.83%) and one is uncate-gorized compound. Only the main EO constituents obtained from 24 oregano accessions are presented (Table 5) where is the variation in the chemical composition is quite remarkable (84.94-94.69%). In OR1, OR4 and OR9 the main constituent found to be carvacrol with a total of 72.20%, 70.03 and 69.03%, respectively (carvacrol chemotype) while the other phenolic monoterpene (thymol) was the major compound in OR10, OR11, OR16, OR17, OR19 having a higher percentage from 32.85% to 52.03% (thymol chemotype). Linalyl acetate was the first main oxygenated monoterpenes with 59.36, 59.59 and 66.30% respectively in OR13, OR14 and OR15 (linalyl acetate chemotype) while carvacrol methyl ether was interestingly the highest in OR22 population (25.65%). Among the monoterpenes hydrocarbons, γ -terpinene appeared to be the most dominant in the essential oils from twelve accessions tested, ranging from 18.08% to 34.95% followed by p-cymene (18.67%) which detected only in OR23 or sabinene (36.70%) in OR24.

3.4. AFLP Fingerprinting and Genetic Similarity

The 10 AFLP primer combinations yielded a total of 3315 scorable fragments, of which 1179 were polymorphic. The number of polymorphic bands generated by each AFLP primer combination (Table 3) varied from 225 (E-ACG/M-CAT) to 557 (E-ACA/M-CAG). As shown in Table 3, the 10 primer combinations detected 35.8% of polymorphism.

Diversity among genotypes was assessed by the construction of a UPGMA dendrogram (Fig. 1), showing a wide variability among genotypes. Three main clusters are valuable: the first grouped five genotypes: from OR8 to OR4; the second seven genotypes from OR7 to OR11; the third genotypes from OR23 and OR17.

PCoA analysis was also performed (Fig. 2), supporting the evidence of wide variability among genotypes, in which only OR8 genotype is not grouped with the remaining ones.

Table 4. Collected data (mean±SD) from 24 oregano populations classified according to main chemotypes of EOs.

Chemotype	Accession	Early Flowering	Plant Height (cm)	Biomass (g plant ⁻¹)	Leaf Area (cm ² leaf ¹)	Essential Oil Content (% v/w)
Linalyl acetate	OR13,OR14,OR15	139.67±5.77	59.63±20.39	113.33±56.15	2.27±0.33	2.02±0.12
Carvacrol	OR1, OR4, OR9	151.33±4.04	76.70±4.01	248.80±83.87	2.74±0.69	4.02±1.25
Thymol	OR10,OR11,OR16,OR17,OR19	144.20±2.68	68.40±6.26	144.12±81.85	2.55±0.92	1.91±1.23
Thymol/γ-terpinene	OR3,OR6,OR20,OR21	147.50±3.00	75.20±9.05	257.83±35.68	2.69±0.41	2.05±0.56
γ-terpinene	OR2,OR5,OR7,OR8,OR12,OR18	145.33±6.50	69.22±16.07	185.33±91.43	2.61±0.85	1.28±0.53
Carvacrol methyl ether/y-terpinene	OR22	149.50±0.71	52.01±1.00	81.80±1.84	1.01 ± 0.01	1.01±0.01
Thymol/γ-terpinene/p-cymene	OR23	150.00 ± 0.00	62.26±2.74	134.72±6.39	1.05 ± 0.06	2.16±0.20
Sabinyl-compounds+sesquiterpenes (β- caryophyllene)	OR24	145.43±0.57	69.46±0.92	178.01±4.10	2.30±0.21	2.25±0.30



Fig. (1). Dendrogram of genetic similarity of 24 genotypes of oregano obtained using MEGA software.



Fig. (2). Principal component analysis (PCoA) performed on 24 genotypes of oregano using GenAlex 6.5.

Accession																									
Component	RI ¹	OR1	OR2	OR3	OR4	OR5	OR6	OR7	OR8	OR9	OR10	OR11	OR12	OR13	OR14	OR15	OR16	OR17	OR18	OR19	OR20	OR21	OR22	OR23	OR24
Thymol methyl ether	1235	_ ²	1.6	5.1	-	11.64	5.92	5.43	3.87	0.78	1.76	0.56	-	-	-	-	7.06	1.95	1.21	5.85	3.14	8.75	-	11.52	-
Linalyl acetate	1257	-	8.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.06	-	-	-	66.30 ³	59.36	59.59	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Germacrene D-4-ol	1574	-	-	4.00	-	1.46	5.45	6.62	2.23	-	2.64	0.26	2.64	6.83	2.23	5.28	1.89	2.43	1.36	2.7	3.02	0.74	-	-	4.99
Octen -3-yl- acetate	1110	-	0.81	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.93	3.18	1.24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Carvacrol	1298	72.2	-	5.66	70.03	0.24	1.64	0.55	0.25	69.03	4.71	1.31	-	-	-	-	1.71	2.39	1.34	1.76	-	-	15.95	2.29	-
γ-terpinene	1062	9.79	30.19	24.41	11.87	26.89	25.68	25.95	34.95	10.13	13.99	16.05	26.93	-	-	1.80	9.27	17.39	29.06	21.41	28.46	25.75	23.4	18.08	-
p-cymene	1022	3.5	7.55	4.52	4.91	6.90	4.26	4.22	4.50	2.38	7.98	5.89	2.64	-	-	-	4.13	3.79	3.21	7.00	4.31	2.78	5.28	18.67	-
β-caryophyllene	1418	3.08	3.42	2.82	3.23	4.23	8.66	8.93	3.43	2.88	3.40	0.92	10.85	4.06	3.65	5.22	6.20	1.69	2.72	5.4	3.94	3.45	8.34	4.93	23.81
Cis-β-ocimene	1040	1.62	4.26	3.27	-	3.96	5.69	5.42	2.86	0.16	6.56	4.66	14.48	2.16	15.84	8.66	7.84	4.13	6.74	2.53	5.57	5.17	3.87	3.19	6.94
Germacrene A	1503	1.03	2.19	1.64	0.22	0.58	1.69	1.77	1.36	0.58	1.61	0.93	5.59	0.67	1.84	3.20	2.08	1.28	5.47	0.86	1.55	0.84	2.36	1.22	2.63
Thymol	1290	0.3	13.49	27.74	0.21	22.77	22.18	22.26	30.57	0.21	44.85	52.03	4.30	-	-	-	38.03	36.3	17.72	32.85	32.01	27.67	-	21.82	-
Carvacrol methyl ether	1244	0.27	1.57	2.23	-	2.89	2.45	2.45	2.61	-	-	2.48	-	-	-	-	3.87	2.74	1.48	3.15	2.83	2.69	25.65	2.97	-
Germacrene D	1480	0.25	3.15	1.98	0.41	7.26	6.85	6.68	5.25	0.86	2.49	3.73	14.27	5.86	6.80	4.91	6.10	6.39	11.45	6.09	4.56	6.1	8.87	3.58	13.81
Sabinene	976	0.11	7.82	5.08	0.11	-	-	-	-	0.11	-		-	5.47	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	36.7
Linalool	1098	0.07	2.45	1.81	0.05	0.93	3.06	3.37	1.05	0.46	-	0.24	3.24	1.65	1.33	2.16	-	12.74	8.54	0.91	-	7.97	0.98	1.69	-

Table 5. Mean (%) of the main 15 essential oil compounds in the studied populations of O. vulgare L.

4. DISCUSSION

4.1. Agronomic Characteristics

The focus of the current study was to investigate the variability among different wild-growing *O. vulgare* accessions. Populations belonging to thymol/ γ -terpinene chemotype (4 accessions) and carvacrol chemotype (3 accessions) have produced a considerable amount of the biomass, making them of particular interest for biomass-production purposes and further for the production of essential oils [46]. In addition to their individuals reached maximum values in plant height which is of great importance in medicinal plant breeding for

the mechanical harvest [47]. For most of the evaluated characters, a noticeable heterogeneity between populations were observed in the present study. Our results are in agreement with the available literature, where a substantial variable in plant height and dry mass have been underlined in a germplasm collection of *Origanum vulgare* L. from Europe [19] as well as wild Tunisian oregano [48]. Also, the Hungarian *Origanum vulgare* populations showed a high degree of variability in response to altitude, soil type and humus content [49]. This indicates the importance of location features on oregano growth which can further illustrate the high variation in plant performance under different environments [50].

4.2. EO Content

The essential oil of O. vulgare samples has been analysed and its chemotypes were identified [51]. Essential oil contents from leaves and flowers, ranged between carvacrol chemotype, as the most productive group to reach the lowest content in carvacrol methyl ether/ γ -terpinene chemotype. The correlation between the oil yield and carvacrol content was strongly reported in populations featured by a very high amount of carvacrol and EO content as well [52, 53]. Therefore, best EO content in OR1, OR4, OR9 (carvacrol chemotype) accompanied by the maximum presence of carvacrol up to 72.20% [54]. The other chemotypes of O. vulgare accessions were poor in EO content (<2%) as OR22, OR2, OR5, OR7, OR8, OR12 and OR18 in which the main constituents were γ -terpinene, carvacrol methyl ether/y-terpinene [55]. In detail, the monoterpene fraction of the above essential oil-poor accessions comprised a higher cymyl-compounds (γ -terpinene, carvacrol methyl ether) whereas the acyclic compounds were minimized or even deactivated. Such an observation led to exceptional chemotypes with 'low quality' plant material poor in phenolic monoterpene which is less important for commercial purposes [9]. This confirms the difficulties to ensure large scale production, from a wild collection of native populations, with the better quality required by consumers. Generally, the diversity in the accumulation of EO constituents and commonly the carvacrol content is one of the most important breeding goals, which in turn has led to improve cultivars of great importance in oregano species [51].

4.3. EO Composition and Main Chemotypes

Over forty mono- and sesquiterpenes have been reported from the complex and highly variable essential oil of Origanum vulgare plants. Almost 60% of these compounds were monoterpenes from acyclic or cymyl-pathway, including carvacrol, thymol, y-terpinene, and p-cymene while sabinylcompounds with the presence of sesquiterpenes (mainly β caryophyllene and Germacrene D) was only reported in few cases. Comparing the current data with results from other studies demonstrated considerable variability in the chemical profile and the identified chemotypes of oregano EO either for the single compounds or even for the biosynthetic pathway. Most of the papers described the essential oil composition of O. vulgare from different geographic areas. Sicilian oregano was found to be rich in thymol (phenolic monoterpenes) with a variable percentage of γ -terpinene, p-cymene, and carvacrol [56] or thymol (phenolic monoterpenes) and γ -terpinene (monoterpenes hydrocarbons) followed by p-cymene, carvacrol and thymol methyl ethers [57]. Recently, native populations of this species from Calabria region showed the predominate of carvacrol, thymol and γ -terpinene as well as linally acetate (oxygenated monoterpenes) which it resulted from the active acyclic pathway [21]. More chemotypes have been determined also in Calabria on the basis of the phenolic content, i.e., thymol, carvacrol, thymol/carvacrol, and carvacrol/thymol chemotypes [58]. It was also underlined the differentiation in EOs composition based on the metabolic pathway, sesquiterpenes rich type and partly sabinyl compounds were the characteristic of O. vulgare individuals native to northern Italy [9]. In an earlier investigation on oregano EOs from

Liguria and Emilia regions, various groups have been identified: the first was rich in carvacrol/thymol content, the second had a high prevalence of linalool with sesquiterpenes and lastly, the third was characterized by the abundance of sesquiterpenes [7]. Likewise, the relationship between chemical composition and biotypes and/or chemotypes was stated in three chemotypes from Campania region: one rich in carvacrol/thymol while the other two were described for the first time as thymol/a-terpineol and linalyl acetate/linalool [59]. Despite the fact that numerous data reported wide differences in monoterpenes accumulation depending on geographical areas, the findings obtained from the present research possibly correlated to the different growing conditions, in which the analyzed plants were collected [58]. Another point probably indicates the dissimilarity of EO chemotypes in specific area, is that the pollination in O. vulgare plants is mostly cross [60], which can cause a wide variation in the levels of carvacrol, thymol, p-cymene, and γ terpinene in the essential oils as a result of sexual polymorphism or genetic mechanism [61].

4.4. AFLP Fingerprinting and Genetic Similarity

AFLP analysis is a powerful tool to analyze germplasm investigating the whole genome. The choice of this class of markers was also due to a low efficiency showed by using RAPD markers on the 24 oregano genotypes (data not shown), revealing not reproducible data and, for some genotypes, lack of amplification patterns.

Furthermore, polymorphisms were detected using a fluorescence system, allowing to improve the efficiency of the classical AFLP procedure, based on radioactive detection of bands after electrophoresis on polyacrylamide gels. In fact, AFLP analysis was also performed on oregano by other Authors, detecting a lower number of total and polymorphic bands [1, 19, 62].

Polymorphism level detected on the 24 oregano genotypes was lower in respect to data reported by Azizi *et al.* [1], who analyzed a wide germplasm collection from different Countries.

CONCLUSION

The wild *O. vulgare* accessions collected from different locations of Southern Italy have been evaluated in terms of morphological, biochemical and molecular properties. Considerable variability in the EO profile among the oregano populations was underlined, leading to identify 4 accessions belong to thymol/ γ -terpinene chemotype and other 3 accessions from carvacrol chemotype. Such accessions are of great interest for biomass and EO production besides the suitability for the mechanical harvest since their individuals reached maximum values in plant height.

Molecular characterization, performed by means of AFLPs, showed a wide genetic variability within oregano germplasm. Due to the scant information about the molecular characterization of the species results obtained in the present work could support and contribute to breeding programmes for agronomic and biochemical traits.

ETHICS	APPROVAL	AND	CONSENT	ТО
PARTICIP	ATE			

Not applicable.

RESEARCH INVOLVING PLANTS

The reported experiments were in accordance with the United Nations (UN, 1992) convention on biological diversity.

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Not applicable.

AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS

Not applicable.

FUNDING

None.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest, financial or otherwise.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

All individuals listed as authors were contributed substantially to the design, performance, analysis, and reporting of the work.

REFERENCES

- Skoula M, Harborne JB. The taxonomy and chemistry of Origanum Oregano: The genera Origanum and Lippia. London: Taylor & Francis, CRC Press 2002; pp. 67-108.
- [2] Azizi A, Wagner C, Honermeier B, Friedt W. Intraspecific diversity and relationship between subspecies of *Origanum vulgare* revealed by comparative AFLP and SAMPL marker analysis. Plant Syst Evol 2009; 281(1-4): 151-60.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00606-009-0197-1]
 [3] Ietswaart JH. A taxonomic revision of the genus Origanum (Labiatae). The Hague: Leiden University Press 1980.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-94-009-9156-9]
- [4] Kokkini S. Taxonomy, diversity and distribution of Origanum. In: Proceedings of the IPGRI International Workshop on Oregano Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research. Gatersleben/International Plant Genetic Resources Institute 1996; p. 8-12.
- [5] Bakkali F, Averbeck S, Averbeck D, Idaomar M. Biological effects of essential oils-a review. Food Chem Toxicol 2008; 46(2): 446-75. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2007.09.106] [PMID: 17996351]
- [6] Nieto G. Biological Activities of Three Essential Oils of the Lamiaceae Family. Medicines (Basel) 2017; 4(3): 63. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/medicines4030063] [PMID: 28930277]
- [7] D'Antuono L. Variability of Essential Oil Content and Composition of Origanum vulgare L. Populations from a North Mediterranean Area (Liguria Region, Northern Italy). Ann Bot 2000; 86(3): 471-8.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/anbo.2000.1205]
- [8] Crocoll C, Asbach J, Novak J, Gershenzon J, Degenhardt J. Terpene synthases of oregano (*Origanum vulgare* L.) and their roles in the pathway and regulation of terpene biosynthesis. Plant Mol Biol 2010; 73(6): 587-603. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11103-010-9636-1] [PMID: 20419468]
- [9] Lukas B, Schmiderer C, Novak J. Essential oil diversity of European Origanum vulgare L. (Lamiaceae). Phytochemistry 2015; 119: 32-40.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2015.09.008] [PMID: 26454793]
- [10] Shafiee-Hajiabad M, Novak J, Honermeier B. Content and composition of essential oil of four *Origanum vulgare* L. accessions under reduced and normal light intensity conditions. J Appl Bot Food Qual 2016; 89: 126-34.

[11] Yan F, Azizi A, Janke S, Schwarz M, Zeller S, Honermeier B. Antioxidant capacity variation in the oregano (*Origanum vulgare* L.) collection of the German National Genebank. Ind Crops Prod 2016; 92: 19-25.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2016.07.038]

[12] Soković M, Glamočlija J, Marin PD, Brkić D, van Griensven LJ. Antibacterial effects of the essential oils of commonly consumed medicinal herbs using an in vitro model. Molecules 2010; 15(11): 7532-46.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/molecules15117532] [PMID: 21030907]

- [13] De Falco E, Mancini E, Roscigno G, Mignola E, Taglialatela-Scafati O, Senatore F. Chemical composition and biological activity of essential oils of *Origanum vulgare* L. subsp. *vulgare* L. under different growth conditions. Molecules 2013; 18(12): 14948-60. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/molecules181214948] [PMID: 24304588]
- [14] Elshafie HS, Armentano MF, Carmosino M, Bufo SA, De Feo V, Camele I. Cytotoxic activity of Origanum vulgare L. on Hepatocellular carcinoma cell line HepG2 and evaluation of its biological activity. Molecules 2017; 22(9): 1435. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/molecules22091435] [PMID: 28867805]
- [15] Abdelgaleil SAM, Mohamed MIE, Shawir MS, Abou-Taleb HK. Chemical composition, insecticidal and biochemical effects of essential oils of different plant species from Northern Egypt on the rice weevil, *Sitophilus oryzae* L. J Pest Sci 2016; 89(1): 219-29. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10340-015-0665-z]
- [16] De Mastro G, Fracchiolla M, Verdini L, Montemurro P. Oregano and its potential use as bioherbicide. Acta Hortic 2006; 335-46. [http://dx.doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.2006.723.46]
- [17] Barbosa P, Faria JMS, Mendes MD, et al. Bioassays against pinewood nematode: Assessment of a suitable dilution agent and screening for bioactive essential oils. Molecules 2012; 17(10): 12312-29. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/molecules171012312] [PMID: 23085666]
- [18] Johnson CB, Kazantzis A, Skoula M, Mitteregger U, Novak J. Seasonal, populational and ontogenic variation in the volatile oil content and composition of individuals of *Origanum vulgare* subsp. *Hirtum*, assessed by GC headspace analysis and by SPME sampling of individual oil glands. Phytochem Anal 2004; 15(5): 286-92. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/pca.780] [PMID: 15508832]
- [19] Azizi A, Hadian J, Gholami M, Friedt W, Honermeier B. Correlations between genetic, morphological, and chemical diversities in a germplasm collection of the medicinal plant *Origanum vulgare* L. Chem Biodivers 2012; 9(12): 2784-801. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cbdv.201200125] [PMID: 23255448]
- [20] Tarraf W, Ruta C, De Cillis F, Tagarelli A, Tedone L, De Mastro G. Effects of mycorrhiza on growth and essential oil production in selected aromatic plants. Ital J Agron 2015; 10(3): 160-2. [http://dx.doi.org/10.4081/ija.2015.633]
- [21] Mastro G, Tarraf W, Verdini L, Brunetti G, Ruta C. Essential oil diversity of Origanum vulgare L. populations from Southern Italy. Food Chem 2017; 235: 1-6. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2017.05.019] [PMID: 285546121
- [22] Kokkini S, Vokou D. Carvacrol-rich plants in Greece. Flavour Fragrance J 1989; 4(1): 1-7.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ffj.2730040102]

[23] Vokou D, Kokkini S, Bessiere JM. Geographic variation of Greek oregano (Origanum vulgare ssp. hirtum) essential oils. Biochem Syst Ecol 1993; 21(2): 287-95.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0305-1978(93)90047-U]

- [24] Kintzios SE. Profile of multifaceted prince of the herbs.Oregano The genera Origanum and Lippia (Medicinal and aromatic plants industrial profiles). London: Taylor &Francis, CRC Press 2002; pp. 3-10.
- [25] Karpa K, Bhat KV, Ayad WG, Hodgkin T. Molecular Tools in Plant Genetic Resources Conservation: A Guide to the Tecnologies. IPGRI, Technical Bulletin (2), Rome, Italy 1997.
- [26] Kokkini S, Karousou R, Vokou D. Pattern of geographic variations of Origanum vulgare trichomes and essential oil content in Greece. Biochem Syst Ecol 1994; 22(5): 517-28.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0305-1978(94)90046-9]

- [27] Ricciardi L, Filippetti A. L'erosione genetica di specie agrarie in ambito mediterraneo: Rilevanza del problema e strategie di intervento. Options Méditerranéennes 2000; 53(53): 191-223.
- [28] Govindaraj M, Vetriventhan M, Srinivasan M. Importance of genetic diversity assessment in crop plants and its recent advances: An overview of its analytical perspectives. Genet Res Int 2015; 1-14. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2015/431487] [PMID: 25874132]

- [29] Renganayaki K, Read JC, Fritz AK. Genetic diversity among Texas bluegrass genotypes (*Poa arachnifera* Torr.) revealed by AFLP and RAPD markers. Theor Appl Genet 2001; 102(6-7): 1037-45. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s001220000521]
- [30] Soleimani VD, Baum BR, Johnson DA. AFLP and pedigree-based genetic diversity estimates in modern cultivars of durum wheat [Triticum turgidum L. subsp. durum (Desf.) Husn.]. Theor Appl Genet 2002; 104(2-3): 350-7.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s001220100714] [PMID: 12582707]

[31] Ricciardi L, Lotti C, Pavan S, Bai Y, Lindhout P, De Giovanni C. Further isolation of AFLP and LMS markers for the mapping of the Ol-2 locus related to powdery mildew (*Oidium neolycopersici*) resistance in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.). Plant Sci 2007; 172(4): 746-55.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2006.12.003]

- [32] Paun O, Schönswetter P. Amplified fragment length polymorphism: An invaluable fingerprinting technique for genomic, transcriptomic, and epigenetic studies. Methods Mol Biol 2012; 862: 75-87. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-61779-609-8 7] [PMID: 22419490]
- [33] Lanteri S, Acquadro A, Saba E, Portis E. Molecular fingerprinting and evaluation of genetic distances among selected clones of globe artichoke (*Cynara cardunculus* L. var. scolymus L.). J Hortic Sci Biotechnol 2004; 79(6): 863-70. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/14620316.2004.11511858]
- [34] Tuberosa R, Frison E, Graner A. Genomics of Plant Genetic Resources. Dordrecht: Springer, Netherlands 2014.
- [35] World Health Organization. WHO guidelines on good agricultural and collection practices (GACP) for medicinal plants. World Health 2003; 99: 67-73.
- [36] Pontonio E, Di Cagno R, Tarraf W, Filannino P, De Mastro G, Gobbetti M. Dynamic and assembly of epiphyte and endophyte lactic acid bacteria during the life cycle of *Origanum vulgare* L. Front Microbiol 2018; 9: 1372. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.01372] [PMID: 29997592]
- [37] European Pharmacopoeia. Ph Eur 60. Council of Europe Strasbourg 2007.
- [38] Tirillini B, Pagiotti R, Angelini P, Pintore G, Chessa M, Menghini L. Chemical composition and fungicidal activity of the essential oil of *Laserpitium garganicum* from Italy. Chem Nat Compd 2009; 45(1): 103-5.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10600-009-9237-x]

- [39] Wiley Registry of Mass Spectral Data. 6th ed. NewYork: John Wiley & Sons 1995.
- [40] NIST. Mass Spectral Library (NIST/EPA/NIH) National Institute of Standards and Technology. Department of commerce, Gaithersburg, USA 1994.
- [41] Adams RP. Identification of Essential Oil Components by Gas Chromatography/Quadrupole Mass Spectroscopy. Carol Stream, IL, USA: Allured Publishing Corporation 2001.
- [42] Marriot PJ, Shellie R, Cornwell C. Gas chromatographic technologies for the analysis of essential oils. J Chromatogr A 2001; 936(1-2): 1-22. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9673(01)01314-0] [PMID: 117609921
- [43] Vos P, Hogers R, Bleeker M, et al. AFLP: A new technique for DNA fingerprinting. Nucleic Acids Res 1995; 23(21): 4407-14. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/23.21.4407] [PMID: 7501463]
- [44] Peakall R, Smouse P E. GenAlEx 6.5: Genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research-an update. Bioinformatics 2012; 28: 2537e2539.
- [45] Kumar S, Nei M, Dudley J, Tamura K. MEGA: A biologist-centric software for evolutionary analysis of DNA and protein sequences. Brief Bioinform 2008; 9(4): 299-306. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbn017] [PMID: 18417537]
- [46] Tuttolomondo T, La Bella S, Licata M, et al. Biomolecular characterization of wild sicilian oregano: Phytochemical screening of essential oils and extracts, and evaluation of their antioxidant activities. Chem Biodivers 2013; 10(3): 411-33. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cbdv.201200219] [PMID: 23495158]
- [47] Khadivi-Khub A, Karimi E, Hadian J. Population genetic structure and

trait associations in forest savory using molecular, morphological and phytochemical markers. Gene 2014; 546(2): 297-308. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2014.05.062] [PMID: 24878369]

- [48] Mechergui K, Coelho JA, Serra MC, Lamine SB, Boukhchina S, Khouja ML. Essential oils of *Origanum vulgare* L. subsp. *glandulosum* (Desf.) Ietswaart from Tunisia: Chemical composition and antioxidant activity. J Sci Food Agric 2010; 90(10): 1745-9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.4011] [PMID: 20564436]
- [49] Cserháti B, Juhos K, Begyik A, Radácsi P, Németh É, Szabó K. In situ morphological variability of wild marjoram (*Origanum vulgare* L.) populations in Hungary. Acta Aliment 2012; 41(Suppl. 1): 12-23. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1556/AAlim.41.2012.Suppl.2]
- [50] Kassahun BM, Tilahun S, Zigene ZD, Teferi Z, Mekonnen M, Melka B. Morpho-agronomic characteristics, essential oil content and essential oil yield of oregano (*Origanum vulgare* L.) in Ethiopia. Sch J Agric Sci 2014; 4(12): 565-71.
- [51] Skoula M, Gotsiou P, Naxakis G, Johnson CB. A chemosystematic investigation on the mono- and sesquiterpenoids in the genus *Origanum* (Labiatae). Phytochemistry 1999; 52(4): 649-57. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9422(99)00268-X]
- [52] Baser KH, Özek T, Kürkçüoglu M, Tümen G. The essential oil of Origanum vulgare subsp. hirtum of Turkish Origin. J Essent Oil Res 1994; 6(1): 31-6.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10412905.1994.9698321]

- [53] Baser KHC. The Turkish Origanum species.Oregano The Genera Origanum and Lippia. London: Taylor &Francis, CRC Press 2002; pp. 109-26.
- [54] Morshedloo MR, Salami SA, Nazeri V, Maggi F, Craker L. Essential oil profile of oregano (*Origanum vulgare* L.) populations grown under similar soil and climate conditions. Ind Crops Prod 2018; 119: 183-90. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2018.03.049]
- [55] Afsharypour S, Sajjadi SE, Erfan-Manesh M. Volatile constituents of Origanum vulgare ssp. viride (syn. O. heracleoticum) from Iran. Planta Med 1997; 63(2): 179-80.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1055/s-2006-957640] [PMID: 17252342]

[56] Napoli EM, Curcuruto G, Ruberto G. Screening of the essential oil composition of wild Sicilian rosemary. Biochem Syst Ecol 2010; 38(4): 659-70.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bse.2010.04.001]

[57] Bonfanti C, Ianni R, Mazzaglia A, Lanza CM, Napoli EM, Ruberto G. Emerging cultivation of oregano in Sicily: Sensory evaluation of plants and chemical composition of essential oils. Ind Crops Prod 2012; 35(1): 160-5.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2011.06.029]

- [58] Russo M, Galletti GC, Bocchini P, Carnacini A. Essential oil chemical composition of wild populations of Italian oregano spice (*Origanum vulgare* ssp. *hirtum* (Link) Ietswaart): A preliminary evaluation of their use in chemotaxonomy by cluster analysis. 1. Inflorescences. J Agric Food Chem 1998; 46(9): 3741-6. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jf980087w]
- [59] De Martino L, De Feo V, Formisano C, Mignola E, Senatore F. Chemical composition and antimicrobial activity of the essential oils from three chemotypes of *Origanum vulgare* L. ssp. *hirtum* (Link) Ietswaart growing wild in Campania (Southern Italy). Molecules 2009; 14(8): 2735-46.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/molecules14082735] [PMID: 19701120]

- [60] Kheyr-Pour A. Wide nucleo-cytoplasmic polymorphism for male sterility in *Origanum vulgare* L. J Hered 1981; 72(1): 45-51. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.jhered.a109424]
- [61] Gershenzon J, Croteau R. Regulation of Monoterpene Biosynthesis in Higher PlantsBiochemistry of the Mevalonic Acid Pathway to Terpenoids. Springer US, Boston 1990, MA 1990; p. 99-160. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4684-8789-3_3]
- [62] Azizi A, Ardalani H, Honermeier B. Statistical analysis of the associations between phenolic monoterpenes and molecular markers, AFLPs and SAMPLs in the spice plant Oregano. Herba Pol 2016; 62(2): 42-56. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/hepo-2016-0010]

© 2019 Lotti et al.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License (CC-BY 4.0), a copy of which is available at: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode. This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.