1745-0179/19

126



LETTER

Propensity to Sexual Response among Adults with Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder

Andrea Pozza^{1,*}, Donatella Marazziti², Federico Mucci² and Davide Dèttore¹

¹Department of Health Sciences, University of Florence, Florence, Italy

²Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, Section of Psychiatry, University of Pisa, Pisa, Italy

Abstract:

Background:

Propensity to sexual excitation and inhibition is one of the key dimensions of sexuality. Clinicians working with Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder (OCD) patients rarely assess this and other aspects of sexuality, since treatment targets generally symptom reduction. Literature on sexual functioning in OCD patients is scarce and no study has focused on symptom subtypes, nor investigated the psychological processes related to sexual response.

Objective:

In the present short report, we describe an exploratory study investigating the association between symptom subtypes and propensity towards sexual excitation/inhibition in OCD patients, controlling for gender, age and antidepressant treatment.

Methods:

Seventy-two OCD patients (mean age = 34.50 years, 37.50% women) completed the Obsessive-Compulsive Inventory-Revised and the Sexual Inhibition/Sexual Excitation Scales.

Results:

Patients with more severe compulsive washing habit had a lower propensity towards excitation and a higher one towards inhibition due to threat of performance consequences (*i.e.*, contamination with sexually transmitted diseases/having an unwanted pregnancy). Patients with more severe symptoms of checking showed a higher propensity towards inhibition due to the threat of performance consequences. Gender, age and antidepressant treatment were not related to sexual functioning.

Conclusion:

Specific OCD symptom subtypes may be associated with some psychological processes involved in sexual response. Sexual well-being should be carefully evaluated by practitioners and should be regarded as a treatment target. Future studies should investigate more comprehensively the processes involved in sexuality.

Keywords: Sexual functioning, Obsessive-compulsive disorder, Washing, Checking, Antidepressants, Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors, Symptom subtypes, Sexual therapy.

Article History	Received: August 01 2019	Revised: September 12, 2019	Accepted: September 13, 2019
Al ticle mistory	Received. August 01, 2017	Revised. September 12, 2017	Accepted. September 15, 2017

1. INTRODUCTION

Sexuality is a multifaceted construct and one of the most important dimensions which contributes to the identity and relational well-being of the individual [1]. Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder (OCD) is a psychiatric condition which can strongly impact intimate relationships [2, 3]. Clinicians working with OCD patients rarely assess sexual well-being, since the targets of pharmacological/psychotherapeutic treatment generally are the reduction of symptoms [4]. The literature on sexual functioning in OCD patients is insufficient. The existing studies showed that OCD is often associated with a number of sexual dysfunctions, such as increased sexual dissatisfaction and avoidance, less desire and excitation, or

^{*} Address correspondence to this author at the Department of Health Sciences, University of Florence, *Via* Di San Salvi 12-50135, Florence, Italy; Tel/Fax: +39 055 27 55067; E-mail: andrea.pozza@unifi.it

orgasm difficulties. Compared with the 10-40% prevalence observed in the general population and in patients with anxiety disorders, sexual dysfunctions affect 50-80% of OCD patients [5 - 10].

The Dual Control Model of human sexual functioning assumes that a satisfactory sexual response is based upon the balance of the sexual excitation and sexual inhibition neurophysiological systems, which in turn are influenced by individual psychological characteristics [11]. The model identifies three psychological processes which drive sexual response: (a) sexual excitation, (b) inhibition due to threat of performance failure, (c) inhibition due to threat of performance consequences (e.g. contagion with sexually transmitted diseases, being seen by others during sexual encounters, having an undesired pregnancy). According to this model, low and high propensity of excitation and inhibition are indicative of a higher probability of having a sexual dysfunction confirming that such propensity is one of the most important dimensions related to sexuality [12, 13]. The empirical validity of this model has been further supported by cross-sectional and longitudinal studies demonstrating that propensity to sexual excitation/inhibition predicted sexual satisfaction and the development of sexual dysfunctions in both women and men beyond the effects of demographic, relationship and medical factors [11, 14 - 18]. In addition, research based on neuroimaging methods showed that sexual excitation/inhibition is correlated with the activation of specific brain regions involved in sexual response [19, 20]. Some evidence showed gender differences, with women reporting a lower and higher propensity towards excitation and inhibition than men, respectively [21, 22].

A strength of this model is that it considers the psychological processes associated with the sexual response instead of focusing on sexual dysfunctions [12]. This strength can help researchers and clinicians in distinguishing these processes from the effects of pharmacological treatments in psychiatric patients. The knowledge of the psychological processes involved in sexuality may support the development of strategies aimed at improving patients' sexual well-being.

Given the dimensional nature of OCD [e.g 23.], it may be expected that propensity to sexual response is impaired to a different extent across the various symptom subtypes. OCD is generally associated with a poorer perception of physical health and body than control individuals [24, 25]. There are a number of potential explanations why some subtypes may be related to a lower propensity towards excitation and inhibition. Symptoms of contamination/washing which include concerns regarding interpersonal and body/sexual contact, may be hypothesized to be more strongly related to impaired sexuality, particularly inhibition due to threat of performance consequences. Compulsive checking behaviour may also be related to impaired sexual response through the constant tendency to check bodily sexual signals and inner experiences (i.e. sexual fantasies/arousal). Moreover, the obsessing subtype (i.e. unacceptable/forbidden thoughts) may also be related to a poorer sexual response because this subtype involves morally and sexually unacceptable fantasies/thoughts which provoke anxiety/disgust. No study investigated the relationship between

symptom subtypes and psychological processes related to sexual response in OCD, since the majority of the previous studies evaluated only sexual dysfunctions.

In the present short report, we describe an exploratory study that aimed to investigate the association between symptom subtypes and propensity to sexual response based on the Dual Control Model in a group of OCD patients, controlling for the effects of gender, age and antidepressant treatment. We hypothesized that washing, checking and obsessing (forbidden thoughts) subtypes were the most closely associated with a lower propensity to excitation and a higher propensity to inhibition. Given gender differences found in the literature [21], we expected that female gender was associated with a lower excitation and a higher inhibition. We also focused on the impact of a concurrent antidepressant treatment given its expected negative effects on propensity to sexual response [26, 27].

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Participants' Eligibility Criteria and Procedure

To be included, subjects had (a) to meet the criteria for a primary diagnosis of OCD made by a mental health professional through the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV-TR Axis I Disorders [SCID-I; 28], (b) to be 18-65 years old, and (c) to sign informed consent. Subjects were excluded if they had (a) any psychotic/bipolar/unipolar depressive disorder according to the SCID-I, (b) intellectual disability, (c) neurological diseases, (d) obsessive-compulsive symptoms induced by drugs/medical diseases, and (e) general medical diseases. The rationale for selecting the abovementioned age group was related to the fact that the study focused on propensity to sexual response in adults with OCD. Propensity to sexual excitation/inhibition may be expected to vary across different age cohorts as shown by the previous research [29], particularly in adolescent (age lower than 18 vears old) and older adult groups (age higher than 65 years old). The antidepressant treatment was not chosen as exclusion criterion because this type of medication is the first-line therapy for mild to moderate OCD and a concurrent antidepressant treatment is a rule rather the exception in majority of the OCD patients accessing mental health services [30]. Subjects were recruited through referral from mental health specialists at public/private institutes.

Participation was voluntary and uncompensated. All the subjects were asked to provide written informed consent to their participation after a description of the aims and were informed about the possibility of withdrawing their consent at any time. Materials containing personal information about participants were stored on electronic supports protected by passwords. Ethical approval was obtained from the University of Florence ethics committee. The procedures followed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the University of Florence institutional committee on human experimentation and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 1983.

2.2. Measures

2.2.1. Obsessive Compulsive Inventory - Revised [OCI-R; 31]

The OCI-R measures the severity of obsessive-compulsive

symptoms using 18 items grouped into 6 subscales which assess 6 subtypes (Washing, Obsessing, Hoarding, Ordering, Checking, and Mental Neutralising) through a 5-point Likert scale (0 = Not at all, 4 = Extremely) [31]. The Italian version showed good internal consistency (Cronbach's alpha > 0.70 for all the subscales), and test-retest reliability (Pearson's r > 0.70) [32]. In the present study, internal consistency was good for all the subscales (range of Cronbach's alpha = 0.83-0.88).

2.2.2. Sexual Inhibition/Sexual Excitation Scales [SIS/SES; 11]

The SIS/SES assesses individual differences in sexual functioning through 45 items divided into three scales: Sexual Excitation (SES); Inhibition Due to Threat of Performance Failure (SIS1); Inhibition Due to Threat of Performance Consequences (SIS2). Each item is evaluated on a 4-point Likert scale (1 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Strongly Disagree): lower scores suggest higher accordance. The Italian SIS/SES showed acceptable to good internal consistency [33]. In the present study, internal consistency was acceptable to good for all the scales (range of Cronbach's alpha = 0.76-0.82).

2.2.3. Statistical Analysis

We conducted three ANCOVAs analyses of the scores on the three SIS/SES scales to explore the effects of gender, age, symptom subtypes, concurrent antidepressant treatment and the interaction between antidepressant treatment and each symptom subtype. Partial Eta Squared (η^2) was calculated as effect size [34]. Values of 0.01, 0.06, and 0.14 suggest low, moderate, and large effect sizes, respectively [35]. Statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS 21.00 software [36] with a *p*-value of 0.05.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Effects of Symptom Subtypes on Propensity to Sexual Response

Seventy-two OCD patients were included [mean age \pm SD = 34.50 \pm 10.39 years; 37.50% women, 62.50% men] (Table 1). The findings of the ANCOVAs analyses (Table 2) showed that patients with more severe symptoms of compulsive washing experienced lower excitation ($\beta = 0.12$, t = 2.92, p<.01) and those with more severe compulsive washing habit and not on antidepressant treatment had higher excitation ($\beta = .11$, t = -2.36, p<.05). Both the effects were associated with large effect sizes. The main effects of age, gender, anti-depressant treatment and other symptoms subtypes did not emerge.

None of the considered predictors had significant effects on inhibition due to the threat of performance failure.

 Table 1. Socio-demographic and clinical characteristics of the OCD group (n = 72).

_	<i>M</i> (<i>SD</i> ; range) / <i>n</i> (%)
Age (years)	34.50 (10.39; 18-58)
Gender	
Female	27 (37.50)
Male	45 (62.50)
Marital status	
Single	55 (76.40)
Married	15 (20.80)
Divorced	2 (2.80)
Education level	
Elementary school	3 (4.20)
Middle school	5 (6.90)
High school	35 (48.60)
Degree	24 (33.30)
Post-graduate education	4 (5.60)
Employment status	
Undergraduate	1 (1.40)
Employed	16 (22.20)
Unemployed	44 (61.10)
Other	9 (12.50)
Concurrent antidepressants	32 (44.40)
Clomipramine	3 (4.20)
Clomipramine and Paroxetine	1 (1.40)
Clomipramine and Sertraline	2 (2.80)
Escitalopram	2 (2.80)
Fluvoxamine	9 (12.50)
Fluvoxamine and Escitalopram	1 (1.40)
Paroxetine	3 (4.20)
Sertraline	8 (11.10)
Sertraline and Paroxetine	1 (1.40)
SES	2.58 (0.54)
SIS1	2.37 (0.59)
SIS2	2.10 (0.57)
OCI-R Total	27.14 (14.77)
OCI-R Hoarding	4.11 (3.35)
OCI-R Checking	5.26 (3.84)
OCI-R Ordering	4.93 (3.89)
OCI-R Obsessing	6.29 (3.69)
OCI-R Neutralizing	2.39 (2.57)
	. D . 1 0D0 0

Note. OCI-R = Obsessive Compulsive Inventory-Revised, SES = Sexual Excitation scale, SIS1 = Inhibition Due to Threat of Performance Failure scale, SIS2 = Inhibition Due to Threat of Performance Consequences scale.

Table 2. Model of effects of symptoms, gender, age, and antidepressants on propensity to sexual response (n = 72).

						95% CI		
Outcome: SES	F _(1,71)	<i>p</i> -value	β	t	<i>p</i> -value	Lower	Upper	Partial η^2
Intercept	75.519	<.001	2.353	7.117	<.001	1.691	3.016	.475
Male gender	.109	.743	048	330	.743	337	.242	.002
Female gender	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a	0^{a}	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a

Propensity to Sexual Response

(Table 2) contd								
Not on antidepressants	.001	.978	.008	.028	.978	602	.619	.000
On antidepressants	0 ^a	0 ^a	0^{a}	0 ^a	0 ^a	0^{a}	0 ^a	0 ^a
Age (years)	.081	.778	002	284	.778	015	.011	.001
OCI-R Hoarding	.026	.871	.003	.081	.936	077	.084	.000
OCI-R Checking	.205	.653	020	458	.649	108	.068	.004
OCI-R Ordering	.794	.377	.039	1.068	.290	034	.112	.020
OCI-R Obsessing	1.644	.205	071	-2.015	.050	141	.000	.068
OCI-R Mental Neutralizing	.199	.657	001	034	.973	086	.083	.000
OCI-R Washing	6.293	.015	.122	2.921	.005	.038	.206	.132
Not on antidepressants * OCI-R Hoarding	.083	.775	015	288	.775	118	.088	.001
On antidepressants * OCI-R Hoarding	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a
Not on antidepressants * OCI-R Checking	1.470	.230	.065	1.212	.230	042	.171	.026
On antidepressants * OCI-R Checking	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a
Not on antidepressants * OCI-R Ordering	.634	.429	037	796	.429	130	.056	.011
On antidepressants * OCI-R Ordering	0 ^a	0^{a}	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a	0^{a}	0 ^a	0 ^a
Not on antidepressants * OCI-R Obsessing	4.064	.049	.086	2.016	.050	.001	.172	.068
On antidepressants * OCI-R Obsessing	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a
Not on antidepressants * OCI-R Mental Neutralizing	.260	.612	.031	.509	.612	090	.151	.005
On antidepressants * OCI-R Mental Neutralizing	0^{a}	0^{a}	0^{a}	0 ^a	0 ^a	0^{a}	0^{a}	0^{a}
Not on antidepressants * OCI-R Washing	5.596	.021	115	-2.366	.021	213	018	.091
On antidepressants * OCI-R Washing	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a	0^{a}	0 ^a	0 ^a
						95%	6 CI	
Outcome: SIS1	$F_{a,7D}$	<i>p</i> -value	β	t	<i>p</i> -value	Lower	Upper	Partial η^2
Intercept	53.838	<.001	2.308	6.044	<.001	1.543	3.073	.395
Male gender	.020	.887	.024	.142	.887	310	.358	.000
Female gender	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a	0^{a}	0 ^a	0 ^a
Not on antidepressants	.064	.802	089	252	.802	794	.616	.001
On antidepressants	0 ^a	0^{a}	0 ^a	0^{a}	0^{a}	0^{a}	0^{a}	0 ^a
Age (years)	1.205	.277	.008	1.098	.277	007	.023	.021
OCI-R Hoarding	.393	.534	.038	.820	.416	055	.131	.012
OCI-R Checking	.657	.421	081	-1.602	.115	183	.020	.044
OCI-R Ordering	.034	.854	.005	.118	.907	080	.090	.000
OCI-R Obsessing	1.405	.241	013	319	.751	094	.068	.002
OCI-R Mental Neutralizing	.119	.731	.022	.446	.657	076	.120	.004
OCI-R Washing	.030	.863	002	048	.962	099	.094	.000
Not on antidepressants * OCI-R Hoarding	.426	.517	039	653	.517	158	.080	.008
On antidepressants * OCI-R Hoarding	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a
Not on antidepressants * OCI-R Checking	3.326	.074	.112	1.824	.074	011	.235	.056
On antidepressants * OCI-R Checking	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a
Not on antidepressants * OCI-R Ordering	.000	.999	-7.759E-	001	.999	107	.107	.000
On antidepressants * OCI-R Ordering	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a
Not on antidepressants * OCI-R Obsessing	.451	.505	033	672	.505	132	.066	.008
On antidepressants * OCI-R Obsessing	0 ^a	0 ^a	0^{a}	0 ^a	0 ^a	0^{a}	0 ^a	0 ^a
Not on antidepressants * OCI-R Mental Neutralizing	.074	.786	019	272	.786	157	.120	.001
On antidepressants * OCI-R Mental Neutralizing	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a
Not on antidepressants * OCI-R Washing	.070	.792	.015	.265	.792	098	.127	.001
On antidepressants * OCI-R Washing	0 ^a	0^{a}	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a
						95%	6 CI	
Outcome: SIS2	F _(1, 71)	<i>p</i> -value	β	t	<i>p</i> -value	Lower	Upper	Partial η^2
Intercept	66.282	<.001	2.227	6.532	<.001	1.544	2.910	.432
Male gender	.173	.679	.062	.416	.679	236	.360	.003
Female gender	0 ^a	0 ^a	0^{a}	0 ^a	0 ^a	0^{a}	0 ^a	0 ^a
Not on antidepressants	.001	.980	008	025	.980	637	.622	.000
		01	0 ⁸	08	O ^a	08	08	01

130 Clinical Practice & Epidemiology in Mental Health, 2019, Volume 15

1- 1011 -) + 01111111		-	-	-		-	-	
Age (years)	.010	.922	.001	.098	.922	013	.014	.000
OCI-R Hoarding	2.114	.152	.014	.328	.744	069	.096	.002
OCI-R Checking	5.554	.022	100	-2.217	.031	191	010	.081
OCI-R Ordering	.847	.361	.037	.989	.327	038	.113	.017
OCI-R Obsessing	1.235	.271	.053	1.476	.146	019	.126	.037
OCI-R Mental Neutralizing	.030	.864	.039	.891	.377	049	.126	.014
OCI-R Washing	6.604	.013	082	-1.899	.063	168	.004	.061
Not on antidepressants * OCI-R Hoarding	.873	.354	.050	.934	.354	057	.156	.015
On antidepressants * OCI-R Hoarding	0 ^a							
Not on antidepressants * OCI-R Checking	1.634	.206	.070	1.278	.206	040	.180	.028
On antidepressants * OCI-R Checking	0 ^a							
Not on antidepressants * OCI-R Ordering	.413	.523	031	643	.523	126	.065	.007
On antidepressants * OCI-R Ordering	0 ^a	0^{a}	0 ^a	0 ^a				
Not on antidepressants * OCI-R Obsessing	1.697	.198	057	-1.303	.198	146	.031	.029
On antidepressants * OCI-R Obsessing	0 ^a							
Not on antidepressants * OCI-R Mental Neutralizing	1.167	.285	067	-1.080	.285	190	.057	.020
On antidepressants * OCI-R Mental Neutralizing		0 ^a						
Not on antidepressants * OCI-R Washing		.587	.027	.547	.587	073	.128	.005
On antidepressants * OCI-R Washing	0 ^a	0 ^a	0^{a}	0 ^a	0 ^a	0^{a}	0 ^a	0 ^a
						-		

Note. CI = confidence interval, OCI-R = Obsessive Compulsive Inventory-Revised, SES = Sexual Excitation scale, SIS1 = Inhibition Due to Threat of Performance Failure scale, SIS2 = Inhibition Due to Threat of Performance Consequences scale, ^a = This parameter is set to zero because it is redundant in the model.

4. DISCUSSION

(Table 2) contd

While the focus of research and clinical practice is on symptom reduction, the propensity to sexual excitation and inhibition in OCD patients is under-assessed. An important area is the investigation of specific well-being domains beyond the symptomatology, because well-being in OCD may be impaired even when the patient shows mild symptoms [37]. The few existing studies in the literature focused on prevalence rates of sexual dysfunctions in OCD. In addition, the role of symptom subtypes has not been investigated. It may be useful to understand the psychological processes driving propensity to sexual activity across specific symptom subtypes. The present short report described the findings of the first exploratory study investigating the association between symptom subtypes and propensity to sexual excitation/inhibition in OCD patients. The strength of the work is the fact that we excluded comorbid major psychiatric conditions (e.g., psychotic/bipolar/unipolar depressive disorders) and medical disorders, quite often observed in OCD patients, potentially impacting sexual functioning and intimate relations [38, 39]. We think that this a-priori methodological choice can control for potentially biased effects of factors such as other major psychiatric disorders and other diseases which can negatively impact propensity to sexual response.

We detected no gender differences in the propensity towards excitation and inhibition, in disagreement with previous data and even our hypotheses [e.g 21.]. These findings might be explained by the relatively small sample size with a preponderance of men. In addition, since symptoms of washing are often more severe among females than among males [40], it may be hypothesized that there is an interaction effect between gender and this subtype: women with more severe washing habits may be expected to have lower and higher tendencies towards excitation and inhibition, respectively. Due to the small sample size, we were not able to add other predictors in the statistical model to test such an interaction effect.

Approximately 40% of patients were on antidepressant treatment. We did not find a direct association between concurrent antidepressant treatment and both the propensity towards excitation and inhibition. These findings appear in contrast with the evidence showing that most of the antidepressants can influence the sexual response, particularly when prescribed at high dosages [26]. It should be noted however, that in our OCD group, only one-third of the patients on antidepressants were taking sertraline, which has been found to be one of the antidepressants associated with the highest incidence of sexual dysfunctions [26]. This lack of difference may also be due to the fact that the SIS/SES specifically measures the psychological processes related to impaired sexual functioning such as the fear of failure during sexual performance instead of focusing on dysfunctions. We may speculate that these mechanisms are not completely affected by antidepressant medication as they involve catastrophic misinterpretations of the outcome of sexual encounters. This evidence may support the hypothesis that impaired sexual functioning may not be related exclusively to the effects of an antidepressant treatment but also to psychological cognitive and emotional interpretations of sexuality to some extent. However, it should be noted that our study did not control for some variables potentially moderating the effects of antidepressant medication such as the type of antidepressants or the dosages, as a variety of antidepressants were prescribed to our patients. In addition, the cross-sectional design does not allow us to draw conclusions about the causal effect of medication and exclude the selection bias.

In accordance with our hypotheses, we observed that OCD patients with more severe compulsive washing habit had a lower propensity towards excitation and a higher one towards inhibition due to the threat of performance failure. It may be that patients with symptoms of washing experience lower excitation because the symptomatology focuses on interpersonal contact and the risk of contagion with sexually transmitted diseases. Several processes may explain this relationship: for example, symptoms of washing are typically related to a more intense experience of disgust and also to a stronger focus on bodily signals which in turn may decrease excitation and increase inhibition [41, 42]. The lower levels of sexual excitation related to the washing subtype may be the effect of an avoidance coping mechanism protecting the patient from external and internal triggers of obsessions (*i.e.* sexual fantasies).

Another interesting result showed that patients with more intense washing behaviour and not on antidepressants experienced higher sexual excitation indicating an interaction effect between antidepressant treatment and this symptom subtype. This finding perhaps suggests that for washers with more severe symptoms, absence of an antidepressant treatment might have a protective effect on sexual functioning. The cross-sectional design of the study does not allow to ascertain this explanation and future longitudinal research is needed.

Consistent with our predictions, we also found a significant relationship between inhibition due to the threat of performance failure and the checking subtype. An explanation might be that symptoms of checking involve a constant self-monitoring of one's internal states or body signals (*i.e.* thoughts, mental images, impulses, emotions) which might increase the fear of performance consequences (*i.e.* undesired pregnancy and/or sexually transmitted diseases) thus inhibiting sexual functioning. Repetitive checking behaviours may also be directed to body contact during the sexual encounter and this might inhibit sexual response.

The association between symptom subtypes and propensity to sexual response may be interpreted as if sexuality has an effect on symptom subtypes. A clinical implication may be that adding elements of sexual therapy during treatment may lead to an improvement in symptom severity for patients with severe symptoms of washing/checking. In addition, using exposure therapy with exercises involving sexual/body contact might have beneficial effects on symptoms of washing.

In contrast with our hypotheses, we found no significant association between the subtype of obsession and propensity to sexual response. This result may be attributed perhaps to the method of assessment. It may be that the content of unacceptable/forbidden thoughts has a stronger impact on sexuality than the general subtype of obsession. The specific content of the obsessions/compulsions may be an alternative way to explore the effects of symptom subtypes on sexuality in OCD: *e.g.*, religious/aggressive/sexual obsessions may be hypothesized to be significant predictors of impaired sexual functioning.

We also did not observe any association between the other subtypes, including hoarding, mental neutralizing and ordering, and propensity to sexual response. It may be that the content of these subtypes is not focused on aspects related to sexuality. This may suggest that patients with higher symptoms of these subtypes may not need an assessment of sexual functioning. However, the assessment of the intensity of the symptom subtypes used in this study might have introduced a methodological bias which can explain the absence of a significant association between sexuality and these subtypes. It may be that these subtypes, which are typically less frequent in the OCD population were under-represented in our sample. Future studies should use an alternative approach and should compare sexual functioning between OCD patients diagnosed with different subtypes instead of coding the symptom subtype as a dimensional variable.

In contrast with our expectations, we did not see an association between any of the subtypes and inhibition due to performance failure. This suggests that this sexual domain may not be relevant to OCD symptoms. An interpretation may be that most of the mental energy of OCD patients is spent on struggling with repetitive, distressing thoughts (*i.e.* obsessions) and this might make sexual performance failure a less important concern for the patient. Perhaps, other variables may have a role in inhibition due to performance failure such as fear of negative evaluation which is more specific to social anxiety disorder.

Future studies should establish more reliably the causal role of symptom subtypes on sexuality and also better control for other variables (i.e. type of antidepressant) through a longitudinal design. In addition, they should compare OCD patients with patients with other disorders (i.e. anxiety disorders) to ascertain whether the effects on propensity to sexual response are specific to OCD or represent a general consequence of emotional distress. Patients with other obsessive-compulsive spectrum conditions may also be considered as a comparator group, such as body dysmorphic disorder or skin picking disorder/trichotillomania because these patients have a negative relationship with their body [43, 44]. It may be interesting to explore whether the association between subtypes and excitation/inhibition processes mediates sexual dysfunctions, such as hypoactive sexual desire disorder which is quite frequent among OCD patients [45].

A main limitation of the present study was the lack of a systematic follow-up or stratification of psychopharmacological outcomes across different subtypes of OCD. Future research should investigate prospectively whether a concurrent psychopharmacological treatment is a predictor of long-term changes in propensity to sexual excitation/inhibition and also sexual dysfunctions. It may be interesting to assess whether the psychopharmacological treatment has a differential impact on propensity to sexual response for specific symptom subtypes.

Since sexuality is a multifaceted construct [46], another limitation concerns the lack of measures covering other aspects related to it. In addition to propensity to excitation/inhibition, other measures should be used to capture the wide complexity of sexuality such as psychophysiological response patterns, beliefs about sexual functioning, self-perceived sexual attractiveness, and sexual preferences and orientation [47-49].

Finally, further research should evaluate the role of other clinical characteristics related to symptoms such as comorbid personality disorders [50], which may impact interpersonal and intimate relations, including sexual life.

CONCLUSION

Sexuality is an overlooked dimension in OCD patients.

Our study assessed the psychological processes related to sexual response in this clinical population. It is the first investigation of the relationship between symptom subtypes and propensity to sexual response. Our findings support the heterogeneity of the clinical correlates of OCD, demonstrating that perhaps there are specific relationships between some subtypes and propensity towards excitation and inhibition. These results point out the importance of considering propensity to sexual response during the assessment of patients with OCD.

ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

Ethical approval was obtained from the Ethics Committee of University of Florence.

HUMAN AND ANIMAL RIGHTS

No Animals were used in this research. All human research procedures followed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the committee responsible for human experimentation (institutional and national), and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2013.

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Written and informed consent was obtained from all the participants prior to the study.

AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS

The data supporting the findings of the article are not publicly available but it can be made available by the corresponding author on reasonable request.

FUNDING

None.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest, financial or otherwise.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

AP designed the study, conducted the literature searches, collected the data, conducted the statistical analysis, wrote the paper. DM designed the study and critically reviewed the first and final drafts of the paper. FM reviewed the final draft of the paper. DD reviewed and edited the final version of the paper.

REFERENCES

- Stephenson KR, Meston CM. The conditional importance of sex: exploring the association between sexual well-being and life satisfaction. J Sex Marital Ther 2015; 41(1): 25-38. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/0092623X.2013.811450] [PMID: 24308354]
- [2] Ali N, Butt AJ, Bokharey IZ. Familial and Interpersonal Relations in patients with Obsessive Compulsive Disorder (OCD). J Psychol Clin Psychiatry 2015; 4: 00194. [http://dx.doi.org/10.15406/incov.2015.04.00194]
 - [http://dx.doi.org/10.15406/jpcpy.2015.04.00194]
- [3] Coluccia A, Fagiolini A, Ferretti F, Pozza A, Goracci A. Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder and quality of life outcomes: Protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis of cross-sectional case-control studies. Epidemiol Biostat Public Health 2015; 12: 2.

[4] Norberg MM, Calamari JE, Cohen RJ, Riemann BC. Quality of life in obsessive-compulsive disorder: An evaluation of impairment and a preliminary analysis of the ameliorating effects of treatment. Depress Anxiety 2008; 25(3): 248-59.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/da.20298] [PMID: 17352377]

[5] Fontenelle LF, de Souza WF, de Menezes GB, et al. Sexual function and dysfunction in Brazilian patients with obsessive-compulsive disorder and social anxiety disorder. J Nerv Ment Dis 2007; 195(3): 254-7.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/01.nmd.0000243823.94086.6f] [PMID: 17468686]

[6] Ghassemzadeh H, Raisi F, Firoozikhojastefar R, et al. A study on sexual function in obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) patients with and without depressive symptoms. Perspect Psychiatr Care 2017; 53(3): 208-13.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ppc.12160] [PMID: 27061854]

[7] McCabe MP, Sharlip ID, Lewis R, et al. Incidence and prevalence of sexual dysfunction in women and men: A consensus statement from the Fourth International Consultation on Sexual Medicine 2015. J Sex Med 2016; 13(2): 144-52.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsxm.2015.12.034] [PMID: 26953829]

[8] Real E, Montejo A, Alonso P, Mencho JM. Sexuality and obsessivecompulsive disorder: The hidden affair. Neuropsychiatry (London) 2013; 3: 23-31.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.2217/npy.12.72]

- [9] Thakurta RG, Dhar OPSP, Sarkar S, et al. Prevalence and nature of sexual dysfunctions in OCD in a tertiary medical college. Eastern Journal of Psychiatry 2016; 17: 2.
- [10] Vulink NC, Denys D, Bus L, Westenberg HG. Sexual pleasure in women with obsessive-compulsive disorder? J Affect Disord 2006; 91(1): 19-25.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2005.12.006] [PMID: 16434108]

- [11] Janssen E, Vorst H, Finn P, Bancroft J. The Sexual Inhibition (SIS) and Sexual Excitation (SES) Scales: I. Measuring sexual inhibition and excitation proneness in men. J Sex Res 2002; 39(2): 114-26. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00224490209552130] [PMID: 12476243]
- [12] Bancroft J. Sexual behavior that is "out of control": A theoretical conceptual approach. Psychiatr Clin North Am 2008; 31(4): 593-601. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.psc.2008.06.009] [PMID: 18996300]
- [13] Janssen E, Bancroft J. The dual-control model: The role of sexual inhibition and excitation in sexual arousal and behavior. Psychophysiology of Sex 2007; 15: 197-222.
- [14] Bloemendaal LBA, Laan ETM. The psychometric properties of the sexual excitation/sexual inhibition inventory for women (SESII-W) within a Dutch population. J Sex Res 2015; 52(1): 69-82. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00224499.2013.826166] [PMID: 24215152]
- [15] Sanders SA, Graham CA, Milhausen RR, Graham ÆCA, Milhausen RR. Predicting sexual problems in women: The relevance of sexual excitation and sexual inhibition. Arch Sex Behav 2008; 37(2): 241-51. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10508-007-9235-7] [PMID: 17902042]
- [16] Velten J, Scholten S, Graham CA, Margraf J. Sexual excitation and sexual inhibition as predictors of sexual function in women: A crosssectional and longitudinal study. J Sex Marital Ther 2017; 43(2): 95-109.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/0092623X.2015.1115792]
 [PMID:

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/0092623X.2015.1115/92] [PMID 26735804]

- [17] Sarin S, Amsel R, Binik YM. How hot is he? A psychophysiological and psychosocial examination of the arousal patterns of sexually functional and dysfunctional men. J Sex Med 2014; 11(7): 1725-40. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jsm.12562] [PMID: 24820313]
- [18] Tavares IM, Laan ETM, Nobre PJ. Sexual inhibition is a vulnerability factor for orgasm problems in women. J Sex Med 2018; 15(3): 361-72. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsxm.2017.12.015] [PMID: 29396021]
- Unterhorst K, Gerwinn H, Pohl A, *et al.* An exploratory study on the central nervous correlates of sexual excitation and sexual inhibition. J Sex Res 2018; 1-12.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00224499.2018.1539462]
 [PMID: 30489159]
- Mitchell KR, Jones KG, Wellings K, et al. Estimating the prevalence of sexual function problems: The impact of morbidity criteria. J Sex Res 2016; 53(8): 955-67.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00224499.2015.1089214]
 [PMID: 26605494]
- [21] Carpenter D, Janssen E, Graham C, Vorst H, Wicherts J. Women's scores on the sexual inhibition/sexual excitation scales (SIS/SES): gender similarities and differences. J Sex Res 2008; 45(1): 36-48. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00224490701808076] [PMID: 18321029]

Propensity to Sexual Response

Clinical Practice & Epidemiology in Mental Health, 2019, Volume 15 133

[22] Quinta Gomes AL, Janssen E, Santos-Iglesias P, Pinto-Gouveia J, Fonseca LM, Nobre PJ. Validation of the Sexual Inhibition and Sexual Excitation Scales (SIS/SES) in Portugal: Assessing gender differences and predictors of sexual functioning. Arch Sex Behav 2018; 47(6): 1721-32.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10508-017-1137-8] [PMID: 29536260]
 [23] Albert U, Bogetto F, Maina G, Saracco P, Brunatto C, Mataix-Cols D. Family accommodation in obsessive-compulsive disorder: Relation to symptom dimensions, clinical and family characteristics. Psychiatry Res 2010; 179(2): 204-11.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2009.06.008] [PMID: 20483467]
 [24] Aguglia A, Signorelli MS, Albert U, Maina G. The impact of general medical conditions in obsessive-compulsive disorder. Psychiatry Investig 2018; 15(3): 246-53.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.30773/pi.2017.06.17.2] [PMID: 29475243]

- [25] Pozza A, Ferretti F, Coluccia A. Perceived physical health in obsessive-compulsive disorder: A protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ Open 2019; 9(6)e026261
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2018-026261] [PMID: 31175195] [26] Albert U, Di Salvo G, Solia F, Rosso G, Maina G. Combining Drug
- and Psychological Treatments for Obsessive- Compulsive Disorder: What is the Evidence, When and for Whom. Curr Med Chem 2018; 25(41): 5632-46.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/0929867324666170712114445] [PMID: 28707590]

- [27] Serretti A, Chiesa A. Treatment-emergent sexual dysfunction related to antidepressants: A meta-analysis. J Clin Psychopharmacol 2009; 29(3): 259-66.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/JCP.0b013e3181a5233f] [PMID: 19440080]
 [28] First MB, Spitzer RL, Gibbon M, Williams JB. User's guide for the Structured clinical interview for DSM-IV axis I disorders SCID-I: clinician version. American Psychiatric Pub 1997.
- [29] Pinxten W, Lievens J. Gender differences in the development of sexual excitation and inhibition through the life course: Preliminary findings from a representative study in Flanders. J Sex Res 2016; 53(7): 825-35.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00224499.2015.1063575] [PMID: 26488561]

- [30] Pallanti S, Grassi G, Cantisani A. Emerging drugs to treat obsessivecompulsive disorder. Expert Opin Emerg Drugs 2014; 19(1): 67-77. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1517/14728214.2014.875157] [PMID: 24377420]
- [31] Foa EB, Huppert JD, Leiberg S, et al. The Obsessive-Compulsive Inventory: Development and validation of a short version. Psychol Assess 2002; 14(4): 485-96.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/1040-3590.14.4.485] [PMID: 12501574]

- [32] Sica C, Ghisi M, Altoè G, et al. The Italian version of the obsessive compulsive inventory: Its psychometric properties on community and clinical samples. J Anxiety Disord 2009; 23(2): 204-11. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.janxdis.2008.07.001] [PMID: 18701254]
- [33] Panzeri M, Dèttore D, Altoe G, et al. T01-O-14 Factor structure of the Italian Sexual Inhibition/Excitation (SIS/SES) scales. Sexologies 2008; 17: S54.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1158-1360(08)72664-1]

- [34] Olejnik S, Algina J. Generalized eta and omega squared statistics: Measures of effect size for some common research designs. Psychol Methods 2003; 8(4): 434-47. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/1082-989X.8.4.434] [PMID: 14664681]
- [35] Cohen J. Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences. New York, NY: Routledge 1998.
- [36] I. B. M. Statistics for Windows. Version 24 0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp 2016. Computer Software

[37] Pozza A, Lochner C, Ferretti F, Cuomo A, Coluccia A. Does higher severity really correlate with a worse quality of life in obsessivecompulsive disorder? A meta-regression. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat 2018; 14: 1013-23.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.2147/NDT.S157125] [PMID: 29713171]

- [38] Pozza A, Coradeschi D, Dèttore D. Do dysfunctional beliefs moderate the negative influence of comorbid severe depression on outcome of residential treatment for refractory OCD? A pilot study. Clin Neuropsychiatry 2013; 10: 72-83.
- [39] Isomura K, Brander G, Chang Z, et al. Metabolic and cardiovascular complications in obsessive-compulsive disorder: A total population, sibling comparison study with long-term follow-up. Biol Psychiatry 2018; 84(5): 324-31.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2017.12.003] [PMID: 29395042]
 [40] Labad J, Menchon JM, Alonso P, *et al.* Gender differences in obsessive-compulsive symptom dimensions. Depress Anxiety 2008; 25(10): 832-8.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/da.20332] [PMID: 17436312]

- [41] Olatunji BO, Kim J, Cox RC, Ebesutani C. Prospective associations between disgust proneness and OCD symptoms: Specificity to excessive washing compulsions. J Anxiety Disord 2019; 65: 34-40. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.janxdis.2019.05.003] [PMID: 31158647]
- [42] Summerfeldt LJ, Endler NS. Examining the evidence for anxietyrelated cognitive biases in obsessive-compulsive disorder. J Anxiety Disord 1998; 12(6): 579-98.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0887-6185(98)00035-8] [PMID: 9879037]

[43] Schneider SC, Turner CM, Storch EA, Hudson JL. Body dysmorphic disorder symptoms and quality of life: The role of clinical and demographic variables. J Obsessive Compuls Relat Disord 2019; 21: 1-5.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jocrd.2018.11.002]

[44] Pozza A, Giaquinta N, Dèttore D. Borderline, avoidant, sadistic personality traits and emotion dysregulation predict different pathological skin picking subtypes in a community sample. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat 2016; 12: 1861-7.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.2147/NDT.S109162] [PMID: 27536108]

- [45] Aksoy UM, Aksoy ŞG, Maner F, Gokalp P, Yanik M. Sexual dysfunction in obsessive compulsive disorder and panic disorder. Psychiatr Danub 2012; 24(4): 381-5. [PMID: 23132189]
- [46] Brotto L, Atallah S, Johnson-Agbakwu C, et al. Psychological and interpersonal dimensions of sexual function and dysfunction. J Sex Med 2016; 13(4): 538-71.
 - [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsxm.2016.01.019] [PMID: 27045257]
- [47] Pascoal PM, Alvarez MJ, Pereira CR, Nobre P. Development and initial validation of the beliefs about sexual functioning scale: A gender invariant measure. J Sex Med 2017; 14(4): 613-23. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsxm.2017.01.021] [PMID: 28259502]
- [48] Morgan EM. Associations between young adults' use of sexually explicit materials and their sexual preferences, behaviors, and satisfaction. J Sex Res 2011; 48(6): 520-30. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00224499.2010.543960] [PMID: 21259151]
- [49] Rosen RC, Beck JG. Patterns of sexual arousal: Psychophysiological processes and clinical applications. New York, NY: Guilford Press 1988.
- [50] Dèttore D, Pozza A, Coradeschi D. Does time-intensive ERP attenuate the negative impact of comorbid personality disorders on the outcome of treatment-resistant OCD? J Behav Ther Exp Psychiatry 2013; 44(4): 411-7.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jbtep.2013.04.002] [PMID: 23770674]

© 2019 Andrea Pozza et al.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License (CC-BY 4.0), a copy of which is available at: (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode). This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.