Self-Extubation in the Surgical Intensive Care Unit and Restraint Policy Change: A Retrospective Study
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Abstract: Background: Self-extubation is a serious complication of mechanical ventilation. The incidence of self-extubation in a university hospital may be altered by a recent policy change, which requires daily written and face-to-face patient re-evaluations regarding the need for restraints in the intensive care units. There are many concerns and suspicions that this might result in less use of restraints and thereby increase the risk of self-extubation.

Methods: The goal of this study was to describe the incidence of self-extubation (SE) over time and report any observed change with the change in restraint policy (RP).

Results: The rate of self-extubation during the control year was 1.62% which increased to 2.33% during the initial study period and decreased to 1.30% in the following year. The distant follow-up period eight years after the initial change in restraint policy showed a rate of 1.14%. Apache scores did not differ significantly between the years compared.

Conclusion: A new restraint policy, which requires frequent reordering by physicians, has the potential to be associated with increased self-extubation, at least temporarily. Staff education may help reduce this risk. Further research will be useful in clarifying which interventions can most reduce this potentially life-threatening complication.
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1. BACKGROUND

Self-extubation, the process whereby an intubated patient removes his own endotracheal tube (either deliberately or accidentally), is one of the most common clinical problems associated with mechanical ventilation, along with ventilator associated pneumonia and laryngeal damage. Under normal conditions, the process of weaning a patient from mechanical ventilation constitutes a significant portion of the time a patient is in the ICU. Before weaning, ICU nurses, physicians and respiratory therapists must carefully consider the state of the patient’s airway, the ability of the patient to clear secretions and protect the airway, the patient’s mental status and the absence of respiratory compromise [1]. Self-extubation can compromise the patient’s health and complicates the safe and gradual process of mechanical ventilation weaning. Although some literature exists that describes the problems of self-extubation in detail, the literature lacks some data on risk factors associated with the likelihood that a patient will self-extubate [2].

The control period reviewed is year one, during which the hospital in this study followed the old restraint policy. During this year physicians ordered restraints verbally. In year two, the hospital modified its previous policy to require daily patient re-evaluation and daily re-ordering of patient restraints. This new policy led to a concern that there would be an increase in self-extubation.

The State Health Department changed its regulations regarding the ordering of patient restraints. As a result, the hospital’s policy also changed. In year two, the hospital required doctors to write restraint orders instead of verbally ordering restraints. In addition, daily face-to-face patient re-evaluations were required in order to determine the ongoing need for restraints. This led to concern that the incidence of self-extubation might increase.

2. OBJECTIVE

The goal of this study is to describe the incidence of self-extubation (SE) over time and report any observed change with the change in restraint policy (RP).

3. METHODS

We conducted an IRB-approved retrospective record review utilizing the records of patients admitted to the Surgical and Trauma Intensive Care Unit of a Level One
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5. DISCUSSION

Literature regarding self-extubations rates in intensive care units reports widely varying rates. A study by Schwartz et al., which examined the rate of self-extubation in tertiary care intensive care units found self-extubation rates of 7.3%. Accidental extubations accounted for 22.1% of the incidents and deliberate self-extubations accounted for 77.9% of the incidents [4]. In one study, the rate of unplanned extubations in the surgical ICU was 3% per year. Deliberate self-extubations accounted for 87% of these incidents [4]. Another study by Chevron et al. in a University intensive care unit reported a self-extubation rate of 14% [5]. A third study by Vassal et al. reported a self-extubation rate of 12% in studies targeting infant and child tertiary care populations [5], Rivera and co-workers reported a self-extubation rate of 3.2% and Little et al. reported a rate of 1.15 accidental extubations (AE) per 100 intubated days in their PICU and reported a rate of 0.72 AE per 100 intubated days in their NICU [6, 7].

There are several reasons why patients in the ICU may self-extubate. Studies and experience show that not using physical restraints designed to prevent upper extremity movement is a major cause of self-extubation. Another contributor is discomfort from the presence of invasive lines or endotracheal tubes. Another factor is a shortage of staff. Beckman and Chang found that self-extubations were more likely to occur on days when staffing was lower [8]. Certain ICU patient groups have been shown to experience self-extubation at a higher rate. Achauer and colleagues found a high extubation rate of 27% in burn patients compared with a rate of only 6% in the general surgery ICU population [9]. Burn victims who undergo painful or invasive procedures have an especially high rate (66%) of extubation [9].

Research has also identified what does not seem to cause an increase in self-extubation. A study by Atkins et al. showed that the presence of restlessness, agitation or the presence of an adverse event in the hospital does not increase the incidence of self-extubation [10]. However, Lucas da Silva found that an increased level of consciousness was a risk factor for unplanned extubation [11]. A study by Christie et al. reported that the time of day had no impact on the rate of self-extubation. In their study, 85% of the unplanned extubations were deliberate self-extubations and 15% were accidental [12]. Curry’s study also showed no difference in the rate of unplanned extubations between the day and night shift [13]. The study by Chevron et al. found that nursing workload did not seem to play any role in

4. RESULTS

Table 1 shows the self-extubation rates for the years one, two, three, and eight years later.

The rate of self-extubation during the control year (year one) was 1.62% increasing to 2.33% during the initial study period (year two) and decreasing to 1.30% in the following year (year three). The distant follow-up period eight years after the initial change in restraint policy showed a rate of 1.14%. Apache scores did not differ significantly between the four study years compared. Rate of extubation is calculated as (self-extubations per year) divided by the (number of days on a ventilator in a year).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Self Extubations Per Year</th>
<th>Number of Days on Ventilator Per Year</th>
<th>Rate of Self-Extubation (%)</th>
<th>p-Value vs Year-One</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Year one (Control)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1725</td>
<td>1.62%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year two (RP change)</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3047</td>
<td>2.33%</td>
<td>&lt;0.05 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year three</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>3690</td>
<td>1.30%</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eight years later</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>3797</td>
<td>1.14%</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remarks:
RP=Restraint Policy.
NS= Not Significant.
N/A= Not Applicable.
*p<0.05 is considered significant.
whether patients would self-extubate or not [14]. According to Frank et al., smaller unit size and lower acuity were also not associated with increased accidental extubations [15].

Any type of extubation for any reason can result in potential harm to the patient. Self-extubation in intensive care units may be accompanied by complications that include difficult re-intubation. Even initial intubation of critically ill adults is associated with major complications. Re-intubation was accompanied by hemodynamic or airway complications in 72% of the cases in one study. Another study by Mort points out that the actual rate of complications is likely higher than the above self-reported rate of 72% [16, 17]. Hospitals that are Level One trauma centers, similar to the setting of this study, are not immune from unwanted complications of mechanical ventilation [18]. Not surprisingly, patients that do not require re-intubation after self-extubation have significantly lower inspired oxygen concentrations and ventilator delivered minute volume than those requiring re-intubation [17].

A proactive approach to patient extubation is important in order to improve quality of care [19]. It has been proposed that decreasing the length of the weaning process, and extubating as soon as medically possible will reduce unplanned self-extubations in the ICU.

Self-extubation has been linked to a variety of factors. One example is a lack of sufficient staffing. Beckman et al. and Chang found that self-extubations are more likely to occur on days when staffing is lower [8, 20]. When fewer nurses are present, it is less likely that a nurse is able to, in a timely manner, take care of a patient that has become free of their restraints. The patient’s arms are thus, free to dislodge the endotracheal tube either intentionally or accidentally. Furthermore, the risk of self-extubation increases if nurses do not place any restraints on a patient. Tominaga et al. reported that when their hospital policy restricted the use of hand restraints, self-extubation rates significantly increased [21]. Brandstetter et al., reported that restraints were more effective than sedation in preventing repeated accidental extubation [22].

As illustrated in our results, the baseline rate of self-extubation in year one was 1.62%. The rate rose to 2.33% in year two immediately after a restraint policy change. The rate decreased to 1.30% in the year three. The rate further decreased to 1.14% in distant follow-up data eight years post restraint policy change. The data suggest that an initial increase in self-extubation rates occurred following the restraint policy (RP) change. This initial increase was, interestingly, followed by a decrease in self-extubation rate to below baseline levels once the staff fully adopted the new restraint policy.

Healthcare quality control surveys increasingly consider unplanned extubations as indicators of ICU quality. The unplanned extubation rate varies from 3% to 14% in the ICU with burn ICU patients rating the highest (27%) [9]. Re-intubation rates typically vary widely, from 31% to 74%, depending on need and the condition of the patient. Preventive strategies are essential to decrease these self-extubation rates. First, each hospital should analyze its unique setting in order to plan future action. This analysis should take into consideration patient safety and quality of patient care. Hospitals need to put preventative measures in place that will mesh with their analysis. Preventative measures should include providing staff education, increasing staff during nursing interventions, researching for risk indicators of self-extubation, using effective sedation protocols, and finally utilizing alternative protocols that can take the place of physical and pharmacological restraints. Furthermore, the relationship between unplanned extubations and nursing workload should and can be used as indicator for the optimization of staff resources in intensive care unit [23].

The perceived value that hospitals have of improved patient comfort and autonomy has driven recent attempts to minimize the use of restraints. When the restraint policy changed, staff were likely unfamiliar with the new protocols and requirements for ordering restraints. Thus, it is not surprising that the rate of self-extubation increased immediately after hospitals changed the restraint policy. The result was that more patients likely did not have their restraint orders renewed in a timely manner. More importantly, we were encouraged by the decrease in the rate of self-extubation to below baseline levels once the staff had time to become familiar with the new restraint policy.

Other hospital policies besides the restraint policy affect self-extubation rates as well. Weaning protocols are associated with decreased incidence of unplanned extubation [24]. A study by Jarachovic et al. showed that weaning protocols were a significant predictor of unplanned extubation; that patients who had weaning protocols ordered and followed were least likely to experience unplanned extubation. Thus, the use of standardized protocols is deemed useful for health care providers [25].

Another factor important to the prevention of self-extubation is the existence of a quality improvement program and the implementation of standard protocols in the hospital. A study by Rachman et al. determined the rate of unplanned extubations and contributing factors and determined whether a targeted intervention program would be successful in decreasing the rate of unplanned extubations in a 10-bed Pediatric Intensive Care Unit (PICU). They concluded that the rate of unplanned extubation in a PICU can be decreased with a quality improvement program that targets the institution’s specific needs [26]. Their findings were similar to that of Sadowski et al. in 2004 [27]. Tindol et al. described that most hospitals had no standard operating procedure for managing unplanned extubations. Useful protocols should include the assessment of arterial blood gas, clinical evaluation of the patient’s respiratory status, and assessment of the patient’s mental and overall clinical status. A larger patient study population is required to determine the validity of such guidelines [28-32].

Other factors include poor collaboration and ET care. Poor professional collaboration can negatively affect the delivery of healthcare services to patients. Practice-based interventions can improve both professional practices and healthcare outcomes [33]. Although several studies have been conducted on various aspects of ET care, no clear standard of care on intubated patients has emerged. Future research findings should be utilized to ensure effective nursing interventions, minimize complications, and provide optimal nursing care [34].
There are important limitations to the study conducted in this paper. First, it is a retrospective study without a proper control group. It is not possible to state a specific cause and effect between the restraint policy change and the rate of self-extubation. We can only state that a tightening of the restraint policy is associated with a temporary increase in the rate of self-extubations. Unfortunately, it was not possible to quantify the actual amount of restraint use from patient charts, information that would have been valuable both for this research and for future patient care. The hospital was unable to provide information on the depth of sedation, such as the Ramsay score, for the years in question. Second, we were thus, unable to gauge the adequacy or depth of sedation of intubated patients. Third, we were unable to find data on the frequency of re-intubation or information on morbidity related to self-extubation. In the future, researchers need to fill in these holes in order to clarify if additional interventions not considered in this paper, can further reduce the rate of self-extubation [35]. Another limitation we encountered was the fact that data on self-extubation in the literature is disparate and not reported in a uniform manner. For example, some centers report number of self-extubations/number of intubated patients; some centers report number of self-extubations per ventilator days; some report the number of self-extubations per days intubated; and yet others report the number of self-extubated patients intubated. Reporting in a standardized fashion might allow for a more meaningful comparison of different strategies and techniques in reducing self-extubation. Reporting the number of self-extubations/per ventilator days or number of days at risk is one useful description [36].

Medical terminology is also disparate, with some authors referring to accidental extubation, and others referring to self-extubation. This report looks only at self-extubations, and excludes accidental extubations.

The phenomenon of accidental, mechanical extubation (AE) is mechanistically unrelated to patient self-extubation. Accidental extubation can be due to factors unrelated to patient sedation, comfort, or restraint use (e.g. extubation while turning or transporting patients or performing diagnostic studies). Therefore, it would be useful to report the two phenomena separately and distinctly. In addition, accidental extubations could be excluded and reported separately as number of accidental extubations per number of ventilator days. Simple disconnections of the ventilator circuit from the tracheostomy could also be excluded, or reported separately since the airway is not technically lost as it is with self-extubation, or with removal of the tracheostomy itself. Standardization of reporting is the first step in being able to meaningfully compare rates between different centers, and to compare the results of different interventions to reduce self-extubation.

This paper finds that restraint policy that requires frequent reordering by physicians has the potential to be associated with increased self-extubation, at least temporarily. Staff education may help reduce this risk. Further research will be useful in clarifying which interventions can most reduce this potentially life-threatening complication.

**SUMMARY OF KEY POINTS**

Unplanned self-extubation is a serious complication of mechanical ventilation. The incidence of self-extubation in a university hospital was before and after a policy change that required daily re-evaluations on the need for restraints in the intensive care units. This new restraint policy also requires frequent reordering of restraints by physicians and has the potential to be temporarily associated with increased self-extubation.

- A change in ICU policy can unfavorably affect self-extubation rates.
- The negative effect was found to be temporary in this study.
- Aggressive staff education may be one way to mitigate the temporary negative effect.
- Further research is needed to better elucidate all of the contributing causes of self-extubation.
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