Modelling the Adverse Effects Associated With Ecstasy (MDMA) Usage
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INTRODUCTION

Previous studies have shown that users of the street drug ecstasy (MDMA) report that its use is related to adverse effects with regard to mood, and some other psychological and behavioural aspects of their lives [1-3]. However, such findings are potentially subject to distorting influences such as the effects of other drugs used concurrently with ecstasy [4], sleep disturbance [5], and external influences such as media reports of ecstasy related harm which may increase users’ propensity to attribute adverse effects to their use of the drug [6]. Statistical analysis of self-reported adverse effects can also be difficult due to distribution problems arising from a large number of zero scores for particular effects [3]. The present study sought to overcome these difficulties in studying the self-reported adverse effects of ecstasy consumption by using negative binomial regression analysis to manage distributional difficulties, and to examine variables with the potential to distort ecstasy users’ attributions for such effects.

METHOD

The sample comprised 159 ecstasy polydrug users (80 males) with a mean age of 21.55 years (SD = 2.47 years). Participants were requested not to use ecstasy for at least 7 days, or any other illegal drug for 24 hours, prior to testing. Participants’ drug using history was obtained by a background questionnaire. Self-report ratings were obtained for each of 15 items comprising a scale previously reported [2-3], reflecting mood or other psychological variables (e.g. ‘Sad’, ‘Confused’). Participants rated each item with regard to changes since initiation of ecstasy use on a five point scale (‘much more’, ‘more’, ‘no change’, ‘less’, and ‘much less’). Other measures recorded concern over ecstasy related dangers (0 = extremely concerned, 4 = not concerned), and awareness of ecstasy related harms (0 = very aware, 4 = not aware). Measures of sleep quality were obtained from the Epworth Sleepiness Scale [7], and measures of depression, and anxiety from a mood adjective checklist [8].

RESULTS

Using the total number of adverse effects reported as the dependent variable, significant relationships were found for weeks since last ecstasy use and estimated lifetime ecstasy consumption, these relationships being negative and positive, respectively. Weeks since first ecstasy use and the frequency of its use per week were not related to the total number of adverse effects reported. Additionally, the dependent variable was positively related to the frequency of concurrent alcohol and ecstasy use, daytime sleepiness (i.e. poor sleep quality), anxiety, and level of concern about the adverse effects of ecstasy use. Self ratings of general health were negatively related to the dependent variable. Significant relationships were not found with the number of precautions taken when ecstasy was used, awareness that ecstasy use may have harmful effects, depression, or the concurrent use with ecstasy of amphetamine, cannabis, or cocaine.

CONCLUSIONS

The results show that the total number of self-reported adverse effects attributed to the use of ecstasy is positively related to lifetime consumption, but inversely related to duration of abstinence from the drug. This latter effect could reflect the return of some neuronal functions to a pre-ecstasy use level [9-10]. Overall duration and frequency of use do not appear to be related to the level of adverse effects reported. The lack of relationship between awareness of ecstasy’s effects and the total of adverse effects reported does not support the assertion that users’ reports of such effects may be magnified in any substantial way by media and other influences [6]. The concurrent use of alcohol, rather than cannabis, with ecstasy may be an important area for future research [4].
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