Immune Mechanisms of Allergen-Specific Immunotherapy
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Abstract: Allergen immunotherapy (AIT) has the exclusive ability to modify the natural history of allergy and to maintain its clinical efficacy also after stopping the treatment. This occurs because of the AIT mechanism of action, mainly consisting in a specific induction of tolerance to the causative allergen. Such tolerance takes place as a result of a complex interaction of innate and adaptive immunity processes, that involve inflammatory cells, cytokines and chemokines. The first response to allergens is provided by the antigen-presenting cells, and particularly by dendritic cells (DCs) that, following activation, acquire chemokine receptors (CCR), useful for migration to lymphoid organs, where adaptive immune response is induced. DCs act by presenting the antigen(s) to effectors T cells (T helper CD4+ and T suppressor CD8+) derived from naïve T cells. The development of different cell subtypes from naïve T cells (Th0) may follow various pathways and depends on both individual genetic background (atopic/non atopic) and environmental factors. The T cell response in atopic subjects is influenced by the Th2 polarization promoting the production of cytokines such as IL-4 and IL-5. On the contrary, the expression of CD80 may determine a Th1 cytokines production, and ICOS-L supports the T-regulatory cells activation that significantly reduce allergic inflammation. The suppressive effect of Treg is due to the expression of high level of the transcription factor Foxp3 on their surface, to the production of IL-10 and TGF-ß and to the expression of membrane molecules as CTL-4 PD-1 and BTLA. Recent advances highlighted a role also for Th9 and Th17 lymphocytes. Such immunologic modification leads to the long noted events in studies on mechanisms of action, such as the decrease of specific IgE and the increase of specific IgG1 and IgG4, and ultimately on the inhibition of inflammatory cells such as mast cells, basophils and eosinophils and on the control of clinical symptoms.
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INTRODUCTION

One hundred years ago Noon reported the therapeutic efficacy of treatment with natural allergens for people suffering from respiratory allergy. He experienced inoculation of grass allergens extracts against hay fever and in 1911 he published the results of his study on the Lancet [1]. Many years passed before first “in vitro” experimental studies aimed to investigate allergen immunotherapy (AIT) mechanisms were conducted. In 1935 Cooke hypothesized the presence of allergen-specific soluble factors able to reduce allergic inflammation in the serum of patients treated with AIT [2]. No controlled clinical trials were carried out until the 1960s, thus the use of allergen extracts was somewhat empirical. Since the 1990s new acquisitions about pathophysiological aspects of allergic diseases and consequently about AIT mechanisms enabled to achieve the synthesis and modification of allergenic proteins in commercial extracts. This allowed advantages in safety and clinical efficacy of such extracts [3].

Nowadays, AIT represents the only curative treatment for respiratory allergic disease and insect venom hypersensitivity. It is able to interact with immune mechanisms of allergic inflammation, and to modify natural history of allergic diseases [4, 5]. Moreover, AIT shows the opportunity of preventing the development of new sensitizations [6]. Induction of allergen-specific tolerance is a key event in successful outcome of AIT, obtained by purified allergen extracts. AIT interferes at various steps of immune response and its effects are enhanced by adjuvants and other molecules synthesized by means of genetic engineering techniques. Understanding how AIT works implies a comprehension of allergy pathogenetic background. The pattern of immune response, induced by exposure to an antigen, that is a potential allergen, depends on various factors, such as individual genetic background, environmental exposure and also antigen characteristics. The differences in the immune system response to low doses of allergen between healthy and atopic individuals are illustrated in Table 1.

The specific induction of tolerance to the causative allergen is the main mechanism of action of AIT. It is achieved by administering increasing and sufficiently high doses of an allergen extract via the subcutaneous or sublingual route [8]. This statement sounds simple, but it implies a complex interaction of innate and adaptive immunity processes, that in-
volve cells, cytokines and chemokines. As a result, both systemic allergic inflammation in patients with insect venom hypersensitivity and localized inflammation of respiratory tract, in patients with allergic rhinoconjunctivitis/asthma, are suppressed.

Here we summarize how AIT is active on the different phases and components of the immune response to allergens.

**ALLERGEN UPTAKE, PROCESSING AND PRESENTATION**

The first contact of an allergen with the immune system concerns the antigen presenting cells (APCs), particularly dendritic and epithelial cells of respiratory tract, that act as a first line defense against antigens and initiate the immune response. They express two kinds of molecules: 1) pattern-recognition receptors (PRRs), a group of trans-membrane proteins able to recognize both common molecular structures detected on the membrane of pathogenic and nonpathogenic microorganisms (microorganism associated molecular patterns, MAMPs), and 2) antigenic molecules located on the surface of potential allergens. Among PRRs, Toll Like Receptors (TLRs) are the best characterized family members in mammals and humans [9].

MAMPs – PRRs linking can lead to the activation of several intracellular transcription factors, such as STAT-6 and GATA-3 in the context of Th2 immune response in atopic subject, or STAT-1 and T-bet in the context of Th1 immune response, in healthy subjects. This different pattern induction greatly depends on individual genetic background [10], and concurrent environmental conditions, resulting in a variety of immune response and clinical effects on target organs.

Dendritic Cells (DCs) form a complex network inside and below mucosal tissue of respiratory tract. In context of innate immune response, DCs are activated for their ability to recognize molecular structures expressed on the surface of antigens through their PRRs. Then, activated DCs lose their phagocytic capacity and acquire chemokine receptors (CCRs), useful for migration to lymphoid organs, where adaptive immune response is induced. DCs act as an APC, considering their ability to expose antigen-derived peptides in the context of class II MHC molecules, in order to present them to T cells [11]. They are the only type of class II MHC-expressing APCs that can efficiently activate and polarize naïve Th cells (Th0). DCs exert the same function in the context of adaptive immune response by presenting antigens to effectors T cells (T helper CD4+ and T suppressor CD8+) derived from naïve T cells [12]. DCs are particularly located in mucosal tissue of respiratory tract as sentinel cells. In this context, they regulate the development of Th1, Th2 and T-regulatory cells, and contribute to maintain a state of immunologic tolerance in the airways [12]. In allergic patients a greater amount of DCs has been detected in nasal and bronchial mucosal tissue after specific challenge with allergens, namely house dust mites, HDM [13]. DCs express CCR7 that polarizes a Th2 immune response [12, 13]. CCR3, CCR4, CCR8 are other chemokines involved in type 2 pattern of response. Usually allergens are not immunogenic antigens. In order to activate DCs and induce sensitization, they need co-stimulatory “danger” signals, such as environmental viral or bacterial-derived compounds, enzymes or other allergen-derived molecules (i.e. proteases from HDM).

Recently, therapeutic manipulation of PRRs aroused great interest in the field of AIT. This approach aims to create more effective vaccines able to balance the immune response by polarizing a Th1 shift [14]. Recombinant DNA technology enabled the characterization of genes codifying for single allergenic peptides and consequently the creation of amino acid sequences, that exactly reproduce native allergenic epitopes. On the basis of c-DNA sequences, dimeric and trimeric oligonucleotides codifying for allergens and epitopes shared by different allergens have been synthesized. Also conjugation to bacterial DNA sequences, called CpG immunostimulatory oligonucleotides, enables those molecules to bind TLR4, becoming adjuvants in promoting a switch from Th2 to Th1 immune response. In a pilot study, subcutaneous IT (SCIT) composed by ragweed-pollen major allergen Amb a 1 conjugated to a sequence of DNA with a CpG motif has been investigated in patients suffering from allergic rhinitis [15]. The immunostimulatory sequence binds to TLR9 and this interaction is associated with an inhibition of Th2 mediated immune response and subsequently of allergic tissue inflammation, with a resulting good clinical outcome [15, 16]. Treatment with a similar synthetic oligonucleotide administered by inhalation has shown the ability to modulate expression of Th1 cytokines genes, but failed to improve respiratory function in asthmatic subjects in response to allergen inhalation challenge [17]. Also traditional allergic extracts conjugated to monophosphoryl lipid (MPL)-A as an adjuvant binding to TLR 4, significantly re-

---

Table 1. Immune response to Allergens in Healthy and Atopic Individuals*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Immune Response to Low Doses of Allergen</th>
<th>Healthy</th>
<th>Atopic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T-cell response</td>
<td>-No T-cell proliferation or cytokine production</td>
<td>-Th2 proliferation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-No Th0 proliferation and allergen specific Th1 clones with low frequency</td>
<td>-IL-4, IL-5—dominating response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-IL-10 – dominating response</td>
<td>-Detectable IL-10 and IFN-gamma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humoral response</td>
<td>-Allergen specific IgG1, IgG4 and IgA production</td>
<td>-High amounts of specific IgE, and low amounts of specific IgG1, IgG4 and IgA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical response</td>
<td>-Negative skin prick tests</td>
<td>-Positive skin prick tests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-No response to allergen challenge</td>
<td>-Positive response to allergen challenge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-No clinical manifestations</td>
<td>-Clinical manifestations induced by allergen exposure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Modified from Akdis CA, Akdis MA [7]
duced global symptom score in allergic patients. MPL adju-
vanted IT is already available in routine setting by SCIT [18].
Further studies are needed in order to evaluate the use of
recombinant allergens, produced by DNA technology. At the
moment it represents the new frontier of AIT, particularly
concerning inhalant allergens, because it allows highly spe-
cific treatment for patients according to their sensitization
profile. High and repeated doses of recombinant allergens,
through antigen processing provided by APCs are able to
inactivate CD4+ lymphocytes, resulting in effective treat-
ment of allergy [19, 20]. A fusion protein composed of major
allergen and adjuvant molecules that bind to TLR can be
produced by genetic engineering. In this context bee venom
has provided an interesting model to study new tools for
vaccines innovation. Phospholipase A2, the major allergen
of bee venom, can be conjugated to hyaluronidase in order
to obtain a novel more effective fusion protein able to reduce
allergic response [21]. Bacterial derived proteins binding
TLR2, such as lipoproteins from Gram negative capsule, can
erx a similar function, polarizing immune response toward
Th1 pattern.

It is therefore clear – as confirmed by several studies
conducted on animal models and in humans – that APCs,
particularly DCs, have a pivotal role in the induction of func-
tional activity of T cells and their differentiation in various
phenotypes. The development of different cell subtypes from
 naïve T cells (Th0) may follow various pathways and de-

pends on both individual genetic background (atopic/non
atopic) and environmental factors. DCs are essential in or-
chestrating the immune response through signals that result
from MAMP-PRR linking. The first step of specific immu-
nity, initiated by DCs, is the production of cytokines (IL-12,
IL-10 and IFN-γ) promoting T regulatory cells activation and
Th1 pattern of response. In allergic subjects APCs determine
the production of Th2 phenotype cytokines [22].

THE T CELL RESPONSE

Together with DCs, several factors are implicated in the
regulation of naïve T-cell differentiation into Th1 or Th2
effectors, including the amount of antigen, the duration of
antigen presentation, the strenght and nature of interaction
between T cells and APCs and the cytokine milieu in which
T cells are primed. Costimulatory molecules (CD86, OX40)
expressed by APCs (epithelial cells of respiratory tract and
DCs) during antigen presentation and their ligands on LT
surface seem to have a pivotal role in provoking allergic in-
flammation. In fact in atopic subjects they favor Th2 polar-
ization of immune response by promoting production of Th2
cytokines such as IL4 and IL5 [23, 24]. On the contrary, the
expression of CD80 may determine a Th1 cytokines produc-
tion and ICOS-L supports T-regulatory cells activation and
influences the production of cytokines (IL10, TGF β) that
significantly reduce allergic inflammation [25].

According to preliminary studies, recently conducted on
animal models, AIT seems to prevent the development of
new allergic disease onset. Preliminary results show in fact
its ability to directly influence DCs activity and modulate
expression of costimulatory molecules, particularly ICOS-L,
on their surface [25]. After activation and differentiation
processes, T naïve cells become effectors T cells, that mi-
grate from lymph nodes to mucosal tissue of respiratory tract
and produce pro-inflammatory cytokines. Chemokines re-
ceptors, expressed on the cells involved in inflammatory
process, enable their recruitment and circulation. In fact,
secretion of the ligands for those receptors can drive aller-
gen-specific T cells in different tissues. Moreover, adhesion
molecules allow immune cells to bind to the vascular endo-
thelium and to “home” to sites of tissue inflammation.
Chemical signals are responsible for “homing mechanisms”
that orient cells migration to alveolar or bronchial tissue and
provide inflammation maintenance.

There are five main functional subtypes of T helper
CD4+, distinguished by their cytokine profile. Other minor T

cell subsets do not have a direct role. However, the plasticity
of these cells allowed them to shift from a type to another,
depending of APCs signalling, that depend in turn of the
linkage between antigen and TLR [26]:

**Th-1 lymphocytes:** mature from naïve cells (Th0)
thanks to both presence of IL-12 (produced by DCs) in
the microenvironment and activation of STAT1 and T-bet
transcription factors. Th1 produce IFN-γ.

Under physiological conditions, they are active in the
defence against intracellular pathogens, by means of
their collaboration with B lymphocytes, that, after their
transformation in plasma cells, synthesize protective antibodies (in humans IgM, IgA, IgG1, IgG2,
IgG3); under pathological conditions, if they are
overactivated, they promote autoimmune diseases.

**Th-2 lymphocytes:** mature from naïve cells (Th0),
that, under IL-4 stimulation, activate transcription
factors STAT-6 and GATA-3. They produce a big
range of cytokines, included IL-4, IL-5 and IL-13.

Th-2 lymphocytes are the main Th population in
atopic patients [27, 28]. IL-4, IL-5 and IL-13 chime
both in early and late phase of allergen specific im-
mune response. IL-4 promotes isotopic switch that
leads B cells to produce specific IgE. IL-5 promotes
eosinophils activation, differentiation and survival.

IL13 promote IgE production. The link between IgE
and FcεRI, located on basophils and mast cells mem-
brale induce their degranulation with subsequent re-
lease of pre-formed and newly formed mediators, in-
cluded cytokines (IL4, IL-5, IL 13, TNF-α, eotaxin)
and chemokines, that induce the expression of adhe-
sion molecules on endothelial cells and to the recall
of eosinophils and other cells in inflamed tissue.

**Th-9 lymphocytes:** mature from Th2 cells, under
the influence of IL4 and TGF-β. They produce IL-9, that
promote the proliferation of eosinophils, basophils
and mast cells, also by means of an up-regulation of
high affinity receptor for IgE (FcεRI) located on their
surface. They play a role in the maintenance of in-
flammation, stimulate globet cells to produce mucus and
participate to airways remodelling process [29].

**Th-17 lymphocytes:** mature from naïve cells (Th0).
They produce IL-17 and are principally involved in
the pathogenesis of autoimmune and chronic granu-
lotomatous diseases. In humans, plasticity of these cells
allows them a shift from Th-17, IL-17 producers, to
Th-2, IL-4 producers [30]. In asthma, IL-17 produc-
T regulatory lymphocytes (Treg): they are suppressive cells, that generate during adaptive response to exogenous antigens. Two subpopulations of Treg are well-characterized: 1) naturally occurring Treg cells evolving in the thymus CD4+CD25+ is characterized by constitutive expression of forkhead-winged-helix transcriptional factor Foxp3, that seems negatively correlates with CD127 expression [32, 33]; 2) inducible type 1 Treg (Tr1) cells, generated outside the thymus by the secretion of IL-10. The suppressive effect of Treg is due to the expression of high level of the transcription factor Foxp3 on their surface, to the production of IL-10 and TGF-β and to the expression of membrane molecules as CTL-4 PD-1 and BTLA [33]. CD4+CD25+ Treg are able to suppress the above mentioned effectors T-cells subtypes, either directly, or through important effects on DCs: a) they form aggregates around DCs, by means of the adhesion molecule LFA1, to impede exposure of co-stimulatory molecules CD80 and CD86 on DCs [12]; b) they compete with naive T cells (Th0) for their contact with DCs, reducing their capacity to activate effectors T cells; c) they suppress mast cells, basophils and eosinophils that infiltrate inflamed organs, leading to “remodelling”; d) they directly interact with neutrophils, B and T cells and natural killer cells by means of cytokine and soluble factors, that lead to microenvironment variation [7].

The shift from Th2 cells, that characterize the atopic background, to the protective Th1 phenotype, induced by the administration of repeated high dose of allergen, as occurs by AIT, is principally due to Treg induction and IL-10 production. Thanks to multiple interactions between Treg and the other cells of immune system, a conversion into a specific tolerance state toward the implied allergen is obtained [34] In this way, AIT effects are relevant either on humoral or on cell-mediated response toward the specific allergen [35]. As reported above, AIT effects on the humoral response, namely on the increase of IgG1 and IgG4 and, in a less extent of allergen-specific IgA, are well-known. The mechanisms that explain these modifications have instead been discovered more recently. We know nowadays that B cell activation that leads to the production of “blocking” IgG4 antibodies is favoured by IL-10 effect [36]. Similarly, also “protective” IgA are synthesized for the effect of IL-10 and TGFβ [37-39]. These antibodies, found in nasal lavage and in serum of patients treated with AIT, are able to inhibit histamine release from basophils, for their capacity to compete with specific IgE for the link with Fc-eRII receptors, expressed on basophils surface. Moreover, IgG produced after AIT may hinder mucosal presentation of the complex allergen-IgE to APCs, as shown in in vitro model using serum of birch pollen allergic patients [40]. This inhibitory activity has been confirmed in various studies, mainly conducted on patients treated with SCIT. These studies underline the relevance of IgG4 to suppress, by mean of Treg CD4+CD25+, the activation of Th2 and B cells that produce specific IgE, either in patients with respiratory allergy or in those with hymenoptera venom allergy [41, 42]. To illustrate the AIT ability to stimulate Treg activation, a significant increase of Treg cell CD4+CD25+ has been demonstrated in nasal mucosa and peripheral blood of subjects treated with grass pollen AIT. The same study underlined that during the pollination season, the clinical efficacy of AIT treatment and the inhibition of target-organ inflammation are directly proportional to Treg nasal concentration at the end of SIT [43]. AIT also activates IL-10 codifying mRNA, not only in Treg but also in B cells, monocytes and DCs that act as APCs. IL-10 suppresses either total IgE production either allergen-specific IgE, and this enhances its anti-inflammatory effect. It is also able to induce a switch from IgE to IgG, acting on allergen specific B cells, that synthesize IgG1 and IgG4. The increase in allergen specific IgG4 is more pronounced than IgG1. A main characteristic of these antibodies is that their heavy chain can change, transforming them into monomeric antibodies with a low affinity with Fc γ receptor, that is able to link to allergens but not to mast cells. In this way either IgE-mediated mast cells activation, either preformed and newly formed cytokines liberation are inhibited and therefore early and late allergic inflammation are suppressed. For these reasons these IgG can be called “blocking antibodies”. AIT is also able to inhibit IL-5 production and to promote eosinophils apoptosis [7]. These effects are also proven by a significant reduction of inflammatory infiltrate, composed by T and B lymphocytes, eosinophils, basophils, mast cells and neutrophils, and also of cytokines in nasal biopsies, performed during and outside pollination season, in subjects treated with SIT compared with untreated subjects [44]. Similarly, AIT may also promote TGF-β synthesis, a potent immunoregulatory cytokine, that is essential for the maintenance of self tolerence. TGF-β is also able to induce Treg Foxp3+ proliferation, to down regulate high affinity receptor for IgE (Fc-e-RI) expression on DCs and to suppress IgE synthesis and promote IgA synthesis [35]. Thanks to the regulatory role of TGF-β and IL10, AIT with a sublingual extract of HDM induced was shown to induce a significant decrease of IgE and a simultaneous increase of IgA in children suffering from allergic asthma [45]. Regarding the concentration of blocking antibodies IgG4 and the long-term efficacy of AIT, there are discordant opinions. In fact, some authors observed that despite a 80% IgG4 reduction two years after stopping the treatment, clinical efficacy persisted. These authors therefore assumed a functional role rather than a quantitative role of IgG4 to contribute to the long-term efficacy of SIT [46]. Instead, in a recent multicentric study, results obtained after a 3-years treatment with a grass pollen sublingual extract in patients suffering from moderate to severe allergic rhinoconjunctivitis showed a significant difference in IgG4 and other “blocking” factors (able to link allergen competing with IgE) in favour of treated vs. untreated subjects, already after two months of treatment. Two years after ending AIT, a significant difference between the two groups was maintained regarding both IgG4 concentration (P<.0001), and IgE blocking factors (p<.0001) [47].

AIT effects on B cells, consisting in the induction of a shift in allergen specific IgE production to specific IgG4 is not a “all-or-nothing effect”. As a matter of fact, IgE synthesis is not immediately inhibited after AIT beginning, and that
CONCLUSIONS

The recent research on AIT, in its subcutaneous and sublingual forms, has confirmed the ability of this treatment to modify the natural history of allergy and to maintain its clinical efficacy also after stopping. This occurs because of the AIT mechanisms of action, mainly consisting in a specific induction of tolerance to the implicated allergen, that has central importance in preventing the IgE-mediated reaction and the consequent inflammation characterizing the allergic disease. Such tolerance results from a first response to allergens provided by the antigen-presenting cells, and particularly by dendritic cells (Dcs) that, following activation, act by presenting the allergen to T cells (T helper CD4 + and T suppressor CD8 +) derived from naive T cells. The T cell response in atopic subjects is influenced by the Th2 polarization promoting the production of cytokines such as IL-4 and IL-5. Instead, the expression of CD80 may determine a Th1 cytokines production, and the T-regulatory cells activation significantly reduce allergic inflammation. The suppressive effect of Treg is due to the expression of high level of the transcription factor Foxp3 on their surface, to the production of IL-10 and TGF-ß and to the expression of membrane molecules as CTL-4 PD-1 and BTLA. Recent advances highlighted a role also for Th9 and Th17 lymphocytes. Such immunologic modification leads to the well known, but long not well understood, phenomena of the decrease of specific IgE and increase of specific IgG1 and IgG4, and ultimately on the inhibition of inflammatory cells such as mast cells, basophils and eosinophils which is mirrored by the control of clinical symptoms.
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