Heart Rate Variability in a Study on Reiki Treatment
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Abstract: Objective: The objective of this work was to determine any changes in HRV (heart rate variability) using short-time measurements (140 seconds) in a quasi-experimental therapeutic application study on Reiki.

Method: HRV was determined using a ready at hand electrocardiogram device the size of a cellular phone, which requires a measuring time of 140 seconds and electrode contact only with the finger tips. Measurements were performed on healthy test subjects, before and after a defined control rest period and a defined test intervention period (Reiki treatment). 27 test subjects were enrolled.

Results: SDNN (the standard deviation of all heartbeat intervals in ms) and TP (total power of heartbeat interval variability in the frequency range 0.003 – 0.4 Hz in ms²) were together interpreted as an indicator of test subjects' autonomic nervous activity and as a measure of their ability to cope with demands on their health (regulatory capacity). These values increased both in the control (p < 0.05) and in the test intervention period (p < 0.01). However the increase was more marked after the Reiki intervention (57.8% / 81.7%) than it was after the control rest period (19.6% / 42.8%) (interactions: p < 0.01 / p > 0.05). The LF/HF ratio (sympathico-vagal balance) was interpreted as a measure of the balance between activation and relaxation. No changes in the LF/HF ratio were observed in the course of the control or the test intervention period (p > 0.05).

Conclusion: Both control rest and Reiki treatment had a favourable effect on heart rate variability, but the effect of Reiki treatment was markedly stronger. The measurement device used seems to be a promising tool for practical application studies of this kind.
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INTRODUCTION

In a healthy organism every heart beat differs from the preceding one by minimal deviations in time. A healthy heart does not beat with clockwork regularity but rather in a flexible rhythm. This flexibility, which can be measured in terms of HRV (heart rate variability) [1] (Fig. 1), is observed particularly in phases of rest. Extreme rigidity of heart beat is often associated with a pathological condition [2].

The variability of the heart rate evidently comes about through the interplay between parasympathetic and sympathetic nervous activity. HRV has been used as a non invasive diagnostic method since the mid-1960s. Initially introduced in obstetrics as a parameter for assessing risk to unborn life, its range of application today extends to stress and regeneration research as well as amateur and professional-level sports. Determination of heart rate variability is regarded as a promising method of assessing an individual's current health and coping status [4]. It is therefore also used in the study of therapeutic applications and therapy evaluation [5-13].

This paper presents the results of an application study on Reiki treatment. Reiki is a method rediscovered by the Japanese buddhist monk Mikao Usui at the beginning of the 20th century which is aimed at activating or transferring “life energy” in order to improve a person’s well-being, prevent disease, heal or attain higher levels of consciousness. The technique comprises attunement exercises and the laying on of hands on certain parts of the body. Reiki is practised in particular for relaxation, stress relief and pain regulation [14]. A Cochrane meta-analysis has shown Reiki and related methods to be effective [15], while other reviews have criticized some/many/some of the larger clinical studies on this topic on methodological grounds [16]. A study on autonomic nervous system changes during Reiki treatment reported promising, though not fully conclusive results [17].

The research questions pursued were as follows:

- Does HRV change under the influence of a defined rest period (control rest)?
- Does HRV change under the influence of a defined one-time intervention (test intervention)?
- Are there between-period differences?

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The design was that of a quasi-experimental (controlled) application study. The study design was approved by the ethics committee of the Interuniversity College.
The following parameters were used to describe the status of test subjects’ autonomic nervous activity:

- **SDNN**, the standard deviation (i.e. the square root of the variance) of all RR-intervals (see Fig. 1) in ms, used as an overall measure of heart rate variability across all frequency ranges.
- **TP**, total power of RR-interval variability in the frequency range 0.003 – 0.4 Hz in ms², used as a supplementary measure (which necessarily correlates strongly with SDNN).

Parameters used to measure parasympathetic activation:

- **HF** (high frequency power, 0.151 – 0.4 Hz, in ms²)
- **HF%** (proportion of HF in relation to TP)

Parameters reflecting sympathetic activation (amongst other processes)

- **LF** (low frequency power, 0.04 – 0.15 Hz, in ms²)
- **LF%** (proportion of LF in relation to TP)

Parameter of sympathico-vagal balance:

- **LFms²/HFms²** ratio

Furthermore, heart rate (HR, in bpm) was measured. No attempt was made to calculate very low frequency power VLF (0.003 – 0.039 Hz) from the data of this short term electrocardiogram.

SDNN and TP (overall autonomic nervous status) were interpreted as a measure of test subjects’ ability to cope with demands on their health (regulatory capacity) [4]. In preparatory studies performed by the team, mean SDNN ranged about 30 to 50ms in various groups of healthy volunteers and TP about 1100 to 1500ms². A rest period of several minutes led to no changes or to an increase in SDNN (range about 0 to 10ms difference) and TP (range 0 to 400ms²). In volunteers with an initial SDNN > 75ms, the rest period led to a decrease in SDNN and TP (in preparation for publication).

The LF/HF ratio (sympathico-vagal balance) was interpreted as a measure of the balance between activation and relaxation [4]. In preparatory studies performed by the team, mean LF/HF ratio ranged about 1.0 to 2.0 in various groups of healthy volunteers. A rest period led to no changes or to a decrease in the LF/HF ratio (range about 0 to 0.5) (in preparation for publication).

**Measurements:** HRV parameters were determined using a ready at hand electrocardiogram device (UBW device, IMI company, Liechtenstein) roughly the size of a cell phone. Measurements were performed with test subjects seated while resting the tips of both index and both middle fingers on the device electrode over a 140 second interval. If the device indicated insufficient electrode contact, the test subject’s finger tips were wetted prior to measurement. The data delivered by the device used were cross-checked against and found to be compatible with the output of a standard various other HRV device.

**Intervention and Test Subjects:** The study was aimed at determining the influence of a “Reiki” treatment performed by an experienced Reiki practitioner. 27 test subjects with about as many females (13) as males (14) were enrolled. Participants consented to take part in “a scientific study on possible effects of Reiki direct treatment on heart rate variability”.

**Procedure:** A preliminary measurement (not in the protocol) was carried out to familiarise the test subject with the experimental setup. Then, two measurements (“t1” and “t2”) were performed on each test subject at each of two sessions ("t1\_1" and “t2\_1”), namely before and after the control rest period (t1) and before and after the test intervention period (t2) (Fig. 2). Sessions t1 and t2 took place on two
Table 1. Values Found for the Control Rest Period. See Text for Further Explanation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>Post</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SDNN</td>
<td>40.9±12.7</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td>48.9±12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP</td>
<td>1122.4±833.9</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td>1603.3±793.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF</td>
<td>363.5±285.2</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td>531.4±441.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF%</td>
<td>33.7±17.2</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
<td>33.0±23.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF</td>
<td>356.3±301.7</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
<td>523.7±395.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF%</td>
<td>33.2±14.0</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
<td>33.7±17.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF/HF</td>
<td>1.6±1.6</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
<td>1.7±1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
<td>67.4±9.3</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>64.0±8.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Values Found for the Test Intervention Period. See Text for Further Explanation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>Post</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SDNN</td>
<td>42.2±11.9</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>66.6±28.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP</td>
<td>1309.2±755.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>2378.4±1712.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF</td>
<td>425.5±370.9</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>627.3±490.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF%</td>
<td>31.5±17.9</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
<td>29.2±19.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF</td>
<td>445.6±334.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>859.3±827.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF%</td>
<td>34.1±16.6</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
<td>33.9±13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF/HF</td>
<td>1.9±2.3</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
<td>2.1±2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
<td>66.9±9.5</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>61.1±7.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

subsequent days at identical times of day for each participant. The time interval between _1 and _2 was 40 minutes.

Conditions during the control rest period and the test intervention period were otherwise identical, so that it can be assumed that if there were any unspecific influences, relating for example to the person conducting the experiment or her relationship with the test subject, they cancelled each other out. Thus, any differences observed between the control regimen and the test intervention in the degree of change occurring during the experiment could be attributed to the test intervention.

**Evaluation:** The data found were evaluated by analysis of variance with repeated measures of the parameters in a pre-post design and the treatments as independent variables. This procedure allows to identify differences between pre- and post-measurements for each treatment condition and in addition whether the treatment conditions differ in pre-post changes.

LF/HF ratios were calculated on the basis of the individual measurements and not on the basis of LF and HF means. Results with an error probability p < 0.05 were considered significant.

**RESULTS**

Table 1 shows the values for the parameters described in Methods for measurement points t1_1 (immediately before the control rest period) and t1_2 (after the control rest period) (mean ± standard deviation), and the p-value obtained (significance).

Table 2 shows the values obtained immediately before and after the test intervention.

SDNN and TP values increased both in the control period (19.6%, p < 0.05 / 42.8%, p < 0.05) and in the test intervention period (57.8%, p < 0.01 / 81.7%, p < 0.01). However, the increase was more marked in the test than in the control rest period (interactions: p < 0.01 / p > 0.05). While the values before the intervention and rest periods do not differ (p > 0.05), the values differ significantly in the post measurement (p < 0.01 / p < 0.05).

No changes in the LF/HF ratio were observed in the course of the control or the test intervention period (p > 0.05).

**DISCUSSION**

In this study on Reiki treatment SDNN and TP were used as a global measure of autonomic nervous activity and as an indicator of test subjects’ ability to cope with demands on their health (regulatory capacity) [4]. Before the control rest and before the test intervention period, mean values (40.9ms / 1,122.4ms² and 42.2ms / 1,309.2ms², respectively) were within the range expected from the literature⁴ and from various preliminary studies performed by the team (in preparation for publication). SDNN and TP values increased both in the control (p < 0.05) and in the test intervention period (p < 0.01). However the increase was more marked after the test intervention (57.8% / 81.7%) than it was after the control rest period (19.6% / 42.8%). The increase was more marked in the test than in the control rest period (interactions: p < 0.01 / p > 0.05).

The LF/HF ratio (sympathico-vagal balance) was interpreted as a measure of the balance between activation and relaxation [4]. Here too, mean baseline values (1.6 in the control group, 1.9 in the test group) were within the expected range, classifying as a mild sympathicotony according to literature data. No changes in the LF/HF ratio were observed in the course of the control or the test intervention period (p > 0.05).
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The design of the study (control rest period versus test intervention period under otherwise comparable conditions) was such that any unspecific influences (person conducting the experiment, her relationship with the test subject) can be assumed to have cancelled each other out. Thus, any differences observed between the control regimen and the test intervention in the degree of change occurring during the experiment should be attributable to the test intervention.

CONCLUSION

Simple rest and Reiki treatment both have a favourable effect on autonomic nervous activity, but the effect of Reiki treatment appears to be markedly stronger.

The present investigation is to be regarded as a pilot study. However, the results are in line with the literature in that they indicate that heart rate variability may be a useful tool to determine effects of interventions, including CAM [5-13]. The measurement device used seems to be a promising tool for practical application studies of this kind.
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LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

HRV = heart rate variability
R-wave = peak in the electrocardiogram, caused by each single heartbeat
SDNN = standard deviation of all RR-intervals in ms, used as an overall measure of heart rate variability across all frequency ranges
TP = total power of RR-interval variability in the frequency range 0.003 – 0.4 Hz in ms², used as a supplementary measure (which necessarily correlates strongly with SDNN)
HF = high frequency power, 0.151 – 0.4 Hz, in ms², parameters used to measure parasympathetic activation
HF% = proportion of HF in relation to TP
LF = low frequency power, 0.04 – 0.15 Hz, in ms², parameters reflecting sympathetic activation (amongst other processes)
LF% = proportion of LF in relation to TP
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