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Abstract: In the real-world, most information systems are based on dominance relations and may be inconsistent. Moreover, taking the imprecise evaluations in the description of objects into account, intuition fuzzy information systems are introduced to handle with this problem. In this paper, attribute reductions are discussed in inconsistent ordered intuition fuzzy information decision tables. The concept of assignment reductions is proposed which meet different requirements of the existed reductions. Practical approach to compute this kind of reductions is presented by introducing of discernable matrix and discernable function. Moreover, a toy example is employed to illustrate the feasibility of the approach.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Fuzzy set theory [1] has developed rapidly as a computing tool for dealing with uncertainty and imprecision problems, since the elements membership degree was first introduced by Professor Zadeh. Atanassov proposed intuitionistic fuzzy sets [2] concept, because it takes into account the three aspects of the membership degree, non-membership degree and hesitation degree, it is superior to Zadeh fuzzy sets in the area of tackling ambiguity and uncertainty with flexibility and practicality, which is widely used in practical problems [3].

Professor Pawlak proposed rough sets concept [4], it has become a new mathematical tool to deal with ambiguity and uncertainty of knowledge. In recent years, rough set theory has been successfully applied in machine learning, data mining, pattern recognition, and other fields [5]. Attribute reduction is one of the core problems of rough set theory, and the main idea is to keep the classification ability as the premise, and remove unnecessary attributes to make knowledge a simplified by attribute reduction, without losing the basic information [5, 6].

Classical rough set theory is based on the equivalence relation. However, in practical problems, many information systems are not based on equivalence relation but on dominance relations (such as product quality, market share, debt ratio, etc.). Therefore, Greco proposed a new rough set theory based on dominance relation [7, 8]. The knowledge granularity of this theory is constructed through dominance relation of condition attributes sets and decision attributes. In recent years, most research focused on the promotion of information systems based on the dominance relations into general information systems, such as random information systems [9], interval-valued information systems [10], fuzzy objective information systems [11, 12].

Because of the hesitation when evaluating the decision attributes values, the error of the measurement and observation, lack of condition attributes which is associated with decision attribute values and instability decision of information system, the inconsistent decision information system may be caused. In order to deal with inconsistent decision information system, Slezak made possible reduction [13]. Zhang Wenxiu proposed possible reduction’s equivalent definition-assignment reduction [14].

The authors suggest a relative reduction of intuition fuzzy decision information system based on dominance relations in [15]. Incomplete intuitionist fuzzy attribute reduction of information systems is researched in [16], the distribution reduction and the largest distribution reduction of inconsistencies intuition fuzzy decision information system got further discussion in [17, 18].

This article will continue to discuss the reduction of inconsistency intuitionist fuzzy decision information systems, and propose the concept of assignment reduction, obtain a judging theorem of assignment reduction and specific method for solving all assignment reduction through identification matrix, which further enriches the rough set theory.

2. BASIC CONCEPT

Compared to Zadeh fuzzy sets, intuitionist fuzzy set theory gives the elements membership in the domain and non-membership both.
Definition 1 [2], intuitive fuzzy set is (1) in U domain
\[ A = \{ (x, \mu_A(x), \gamma_A(x)) | x \in U \} \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

\( \mu_A(x) \) is the membership degree that x belongs to A, \( \gamma_A(x) \) is membership degree that x does not belongs to A, which meet \( 0 \leq \mu_A(x) + \gamma_A(x) \leq 1, \forall x \in U \), \( 1 - \mu_A(x) - \gamma_A(x) \) is the hesitation degree or uncertainty degree of A.

Definition 2 \( L' = \{ (x_i, x_j) \in [0,1] \times [0,1] | 0 \leq x_i + x_j \leq 1 \} \), \( \leq_L \) of \( L' \) is as below:
\[ (x_i, x_j) \leq_L (y_i, y_j) \iff x_i \leq y_i, x_j \geq y_j \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

From the definition, order relation \( \leq_L \) is a partial order relation (which is reflexive, anti-symmetric, transitive), and \( (L', \leq_L) \) constitutes a complete lattice, greatest element and smallest element are \( 1_L = (1,0) \) and \( 0_L = (0,1) \) respectively.

Definition 3 [15], \( (U, C \cup \{d\}, V, f) \) is intuition fuzzy decision information systems, and U is finite non-empty objects set; C is finite non-empty condition attribute set; d is decision attribute and \( C \cap \{d\} = \emptyset \); V is the attribute value range; \( f \) mapping is object attribute values, which is \( U = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\} \); \( C = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_l\} \); \( V = (\bigcup_{a \in C} V_a) \cup V_d \), \( V_a \) is value range of a, Where each element are intuition fuzzy numbers; \( f : U \times C \cup \{d\} \rightarrow V \), and \( f(x, a) \in V_a \), which means, \( f(x, d) \in [1,2, \ldots, m] \) is single-valued ordered real value.

If \( \gamma_A(x) = 1 - \mu_A(x), \forall x \in U, \forall a \in C \), the decision information system will degrade to the general of fuzzy decision Information systems.

Definition 4 [15], supposing that \( (U, C \cup \{d\}, V, f) \) is intuition decision information system, for any \( A \subseteq C \), there are(3) and(4)
\[ R^+_i = \{ (y) f(x, a) \geq c, f(y, a), \forall a \in A \} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)
\[ R^-_i = \{ (y) f(x, d) \geq j, f(y, d) \} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

\( R^+_i \) and \( R^-_i \) are dominance relations of intuition fuzzy decision information system, which is defined in the condition attribute set A and decision attribute d, and it called order intuition fuzzy decision information system

In this paper, all the intuition fuzzy decision information systems are order intuition fuzzy decision information system.

The condition attributes “A” which is dominant to x is (5)
\[ [x]_A^+ = \{ y \in U | (y, x) \in R^+_i \} \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

The condition attributes A which is dominant by x is (6)
\[ [x]_A^- = \{ y \in U | (x, y) \in R^-_i \} \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

In addition, for \( U/R^+_i = \{ D_i^+ | i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \} \), \( D_i^+ = \{ x \in U | f(x, d) \geq i \} \). Dominance relations, such as \( R^+_i \), \( [x]_A^+ \) and \( [x]_A^- \), are in references[15-17].

Definition 5 [15], supposing that \( (U, C \cup \{d\}, V, f) \) is intuition decision information system, if \( R^+_C \subseteq R^-_D \), this decision information system is consistent.

More directly, intuition fuzzy decision information system is inconsistent, if there are elements taking dominant relationship in condition attribute set C, but there is no dominant relationship in the decision attribute.

Example 1 [15]. Table 1 is intuition fuzzy decision information system, and \( U = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_9\} \), \( C = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_5\} \) are condition attribute set, d is decision attribute.

From the definition above
\[ R^+_C = \{ (x_i, x_j), (x_j, x_i), (x_2, x_7) \} \ \text{and} \ \{ (x_1, x_9), (x_2, x_7), (x_3, x_4), (x_5, x_4), (x_6, x_4) \} \]  \hspace{1cm} (8)

And \( (x_2, x_7), (x_3, x_4), (x_5, x_4) \notin R^+_C \) but \( (x_2, x_7), (x_3, x_4), (x_5, x_4) \notin R^-_D \), so \( R^+_C \not\subset R^-_D \), which means this decision information system is not consistent.

3. ASSIGNMENT REDUCTION OF INCONSISTENT ORDER INTUITION FUZZY DECISION INFORMATION SYSTEM

Supposing that \( (U, C \cup \{d\}, V, f) \) is inconsistent intuition fuzzy decision information system, for any \( A \subseteq C \), there is (7)
\[ \delta_A(x) = \{ D^+_i | |x|^+_i \cap D^+_i \neq \emptyset \} \]  \hspace{1cm} (7)

\( \delta_A(x) \) is treated as assignment function of condition attributes set A in domain U

Example 2 (based on example 1). Calculating distribution function on condition attributes of inconsistent intuitive fuzzy decision information system in Table 1,

The result is
\[ [x]_A^+ = \{ x_i \}, i = 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 8 \]
\[ [x]_A^- = \{ x_2, x_3, x_9 \} \]
By calculating, 
\[ x_1^3 = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5\} \; \}

Thus 
\[ \delta_i(x_1) = \delta_i(x_2) = \{D_1^i, D_2^i\} \; \]
\[ \delta_i(x_3) = \delta_i(x_4) = \delta_i(x_5) = \{D_1^i, D_2^i, D_3^i\} \; \]
\[ \delta_i(x_6) = \delta_i(x_7) = \{D_1^i\} \; \]

\textbf{Property 1} supposing that \((U, C \cup \{d\}, V, f)\) is inconsistent intuition fuzzy decision information system, 

1. If \(B \subseteq A \subseteq C\), for any \(x \in U\), there is \(\delta_j(x) \subseteq \delta_g(x)\).

2. For any \(x, y \in U\), if \([x]_{I}^{y} \subseteq [y]_{I}^{x}\), then \(\delta_j(x) \subseteq \delta_j(y)\).

Proving (1) for any \(x \in U\), if \(B \subseteq A\), then \([x]_{B}^{A} \subseteq [x]_{A}^{B}\).

Then for any \(D_1^i \subseteq \delta_j(x)\), there is \([x]_{I}^{y} \subseteq [y]_{I}^{x}\), then \(\delta_j(x) \subseteq \delta_j(y)\). Thus \(\delta_j(x) \subseteq \delta_j(y)\) is proved.

\textbf{Definition 6.} Suppose that \((U, C \cup \{d\}, V, f)\) is inconsistent intuition fuzzy decision information system. For any \(x \in U\), there is \(\delta_g(x) = \delta_c(x)\), then \(B\) is treated as assignment coordination set. For further step, if any proper subset of \(B\) are not assigned to coordinate set, then \(B\) is Assignment Reduction.

\textbf{Example 3 (based on example 1)} Verify that \(B = \{a_2, a_3\}\) is assignment reduction of inconsistent intuition fuzzy decision information system given in Table 1.

By calculating, 
\[ x_1^3 = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5\} \; \]
\[ x_2^3 = \{x_2, x_3, x_4\} \; \]
\[ x_3^3 = \{x_2, x_3, x_5, x_6\} \; \]

Thus 
\[ \delta_i(x_1) = \delta_i(x_2) = \{D_1^i, D_2^i\} \; \]
\[ \delta_i(x_3) = \delta_i(x_4) = \delta_i(x_5) = \{D_1^i, D_2^i, D_3^i\} \; \]
\[ \delta_i(x_6) = \delta_i(x_7) = \{D_1^i\} \; \]

\[ x_1^3 = U \; \]
\[ x_2^3 = \{x_1, x_3, x_5\} \; \]

Thus \(\delta_g(x) = \delta_c(x), \forall x \in U\), which means that \(B\) is the assignment coordination set.

If \(B_1 = \{a_2\}\), then \([x_{B_1}^a] = \{x_1, x_5, x_6\} \; \]
\[ \delta_g(x_5) = \{D_1^i, D_2^i\} \neq \delta_c(x_5) \; \]

If \(B_2 = \{a_1\}\), then \([x_{B_2}^a] = \{x_7, x_6\} \; \]
\[ \delta_g(x_7) = \{D_1^i, D_2^i, D_3^i\} \neq \delta_c(x_7) \; \]

By summing all the results above, it proved that any non-empty subsets are not assigned coordination set, so \(B\) is assignment reduction of decision information system.

Then give specific calculation method for solving assignment reduction of inconsistent intuition fuzzy decision information system. First, there is the following decision theorem.

\textbf{Theorem 1.} Supposing that \((U, C \cup \{d\}, V, f)\) is inconsistent intuition fuzzy decision information system, then \(B\) is assignment coordination set \(\Leftrightarrow \) For any \(x, y \in U\), if \(\delta_c(x) \not\subset \delta_c(y)\), then \([x]_{B}^a \not\subset [y]_{B}^a\).

Proving "\Rightarrow" (reduction to absurdity) for any \(x, y \in U\), if \(\delta_c(x) \not\subset \delta_c(y)\), then \([x]_{B}^a \subset [y]_{B}^a\) and form property(2), there is \(\delta_c(x) \not\subset \delta_g(y)\). But \(B\) is assignment coordination set, so \(\delta_g(x) = \delta_c(x), \forall x \in U\), then \(\delta_c(x) \subseteq \delta_c(y)\) is conflicting with \(\delta_c(x) \not\subset \delta_c(y)\), thus there is \([x]_{B}^a \not\subset [y]_{B}^a\).

"\Leftarrow" for \(x, y \in U\), when \(\delta_c(x) \not\subset \delta_c(y)\), there is \([x]_{B}^a \not\subset [y]_{B}^a\). Thus if \([x]_{B}^a \subseteq [y]_{B}^a\), then \(\delta_c(x) \subseteq \delta_c(y)\)
Table 2. Assigned discernible matrix.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D_δ</th>
<th>x_1</th>
<th>x_2</th>
<th>x_3</th>
<th>x_4</th>
<th>x_5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>x_1</td>
<td>a_1</td>
<td>a_2</td>
<td>a_3</td>
<td>a_4</td>
<td>a_5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x_2</td>
<td>a_2</td>
<td>a_3</td>
<td>a_4</td>
<td>a_5</td>
<td>a_6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x_3</td>
<td>a_3</td>
<td>a_4</td>
<td>a_5</td>
<td>a_6</td>
<td>a_7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x_4</td>
<td>a_4</td>
<td>a_5</td>
<td>a_6</td>
<td>a_7</td>
<td>a_8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x_5</td>
<td>a_5</td>
<td>a_6</td>
<td>a_7</td>
<td>a_8</td>
<td>a_9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x_6</td>
<td>a_6</td>
<td>a_7</td>
<td>a_8</td>
<td>a_9</td>
<td>a_10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x_7</td>
<td>a_7</td>
<td>a_8</td>
<td>a_9</td>
<td>a_10</td>
<td>a_11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For any D_δ \in \delta_δ(x), there is [x]_δ \cap D_δ \neq \emptyset, supposing y \in [x]_δ \cap D_δ, then y \in D_δ and y \in [x]_δ, which is [y]_δ \subseteq [x]_δ. So \delta_c(y) \subseteq \delta_c(x). In addition, y \in \cap D_δ, which is D_δ \cap [y]_δ \neq \emptyset, so D_δ \in \delta_c(y) and D_δ \in \delta_c(x) further, then \delta_δ(x) \subseteq \delta_c(x).

On the other hand, from property1(1) there is \delta_c(x) \subseteq \delta_δ(x), in summary \delta_c(x) = \delta_δ(x), which means B is assignment coordination set.

**Definition 7.** Supposing that (U, C \cup \{d\}, V, f) is inconsistent intuition fuzzy decision information system, and D_δ = \{(x,y) \mid \delta_c(x) \not\subseteq \delta_δ(y)\}, define

D_δ(x,y) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\{a \in A \mid (x,y) \notin R^ω_b\}, & (x,y) \notin D_δ' \\
\emptyset, & (x,y) \in D_δ'
\end{array} \right.

(8)

D_δ(x,y) is assigned discernible attribute set of x and y. The matrix D_δ = \{D_δ(x,y)\mid x, y \in U\} is assigned discernible matrix of decision system.

Example 4 (based on example 1), calculating the discernible attribute matrix of inconsistent intuition fuzzy decision information system in Table 1.

From example 2, it is known D_δ = \{(x_1, x_2), (x_1, x_3), (x_1, x_4), (x_1, x_5), (x_2, x_3), (x_2, x_4), (x_2, x_5), (x_3, x_4), (x_3, x_5), (x_4, x_5), (x_1, x_6), (x_2, x_6), (x_3, x_6), (x_4, x_6), (x_5, x_6), (x_1, x_7), (x_2, x_7), (x_3, x_7), (x_4, x_7), (x_5, x_7), (x_6, x_7)\}, and discernable attribute matrix of decision information system as Table 2.

**Theorem 2.** Supposing that (U, C \cup \{d\}, V, f) is inconsistent intuition fuzzy decision information system, B \subseteq C, then B is assignment coordination set if for any (x,y) \in D_δ', there is B \cap D_δ(x,y) \neq \emptyset

Proving, "⇒" for any (x,y) \in D_δ', there is \delta_c(x) \not\subseteq \delta_δ(y). Form B is assignment coordination set and Theorem1, there is [x]_δ \not\subseteq [y]_δ, so a \in B which makes (x,y) \notin R^ω_b, it is a \in D_δ(x,y). Thus B \cap D_δ(x,y) \neq \emptyset.

"⇐" for any (x,y) \in D_δ', which is \delta_c(x) \not\subseteq \delta_δ(y), there is B \cap D_δ(x,y) \neq \emptyset, then a \in C makes a \in B, which means (x,y) \notin R^ω_b, so x \notin [y]_δ. In addition, there are x \in [x]_δ and x \notin [y]_δ, so [x]_δ \not\subseteq [y]_δ. Form Theorem1, B is assignment coordination set.

**Definition 8.** Supposing that (U, C \cup \{d\}, V, f) is inconsistent intuition fuzzy decision information system, D_δ is assigned discernable matrix, there is (9)

M_δ = \bigwedge \{a \mid a \in D_δ(x,y), x, y \in U\}

(9)

M_δ is the assigned discernable function of this decision information system.

**Theorem 3.** Supposing that (U, C \cup \{d\}, V, f) is inconsistent intuition fuzzy decision information system, M_δ is assigned discernable function of this decision information system, M_δ's minimum disjunctive norm is (10)

M_δ = \bigwedge_{k=1}^l \bigvee_{i=1}^n (a_{ki})

(10)

If B_δ = \{a_s \mid s = 1, 2, ..., q\}, then \{B_δ \mid k = 1, 2, ..., t\} is assignment reduction of this decision information system.

**Proving.** It is proved by Theorem2 and minimum disjunctive norm directly.

Example 4 (based on example 1), calculating the assignment reduction of inconsistent intuition fuzzy decision information system in Table 1.
From example 2 and 4, the result is as below

\[ M_\delta = a_2 \land (a_3 \lor a_4) = (a_3 \land a_4) \lor (a_2 \land a_4), \]

thus the two assignment reductions are \{a_2, a_3\} and \{a_2, a_4\}.

CONCLUSION

In this paper, we introduce the concept of assignment reduction based on dominance relations of intuition inconsistent fuzzy decision information system, propose judgment assignment reduction theorem and identification matrix, and take use of the distribution function to calculate assignment reduction of information system, which expand the study based on dominance relations of rough set theory.
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