Application of Data Envelopment Analysis to Efficiency Evaluation on R&D Input and Output
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Abstract: As the role of economic development, science and technology get more and more get people's attention, the efficiency of R&D input also gradually becomes the focus of political and academic discussion. This paper expounds the principle of data envelopment method, and then builds an evaluation index system of the efficiency in R&D input and output of the research and development institutions (R&D institutions) based on DEA method, after reading related literatures at home and abroad. Using related data of Chinese 30 provinces (lack of Tibet) R&D institutions to verify the established model, namely, measuring and analyzing output efficiency, input redundancy and output deficiency of Regional R&D institutions in China R&D input, and concluding the regional characteristics of R&D activities. The evaluation Index system of regional R&D institutions of our country not only has important guiding significance to the efficiency evaluation, and the results of the validation research also is of great significance to clear R&D institutions development goals, improve configuration of R&D resources, and improve the level of their scientific research ability.
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1. INTRODUCTION

R&D activities of Research and Development Institutions (R&D Institutions) occupy an important position in the regional R&D activities, not only can provide theoretical basis and support for the development of national science and technology, also can be used for regional development of science and technology to provide a large number of scientific and technological personnel, and promote the transformation and application of research results. Therefore, the quality and R&D activities of R&D Institutions, the rationality of the allocation of R&D resources, and the level of utilization efficiency are not an only important way to promote the progress of science and technology, but also the fundamental guarantee and content for raising the regional independent innovation ability, and realizing the sustainable development of economy. This paper adopts DEA method to scientifically measure input and output efficiency of R&D Institutions in different provinces, which explains the relationship between R&D investment scale and the input structure, output efficiency, and puts forward important reference for pinpointing development goal of regional R&D Institutions, and improving the level of their scientific research ability and allocation of R&D resources [1-3].

2. DEA MODEL

DEA is a nonparametric method of relative efficiency evaluation suitable for multiple input and multiple output complex system analysis, proposed by the famous American operations research expert - A. Chames, W.W. Cooper, E. Rhodes in 1978.

2.1. C²R Model of DEA

Assume that there are n units or decisions making units (DMU), each decision unit DMU (j=1,2,...,m) has m kinds of inputs X_j (i=1,2,...,m), s kinds of outputs Y_k (k = 1, 2, ..., s), so the efficiency of decision making units such as (1):

\[ h_j = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} u_i y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} v_i x_i} \quad j = 1, 2, \cdots, n \quad (1) \]

In the equation (1), v_i (i = 1, 2, ..., m) and u_k (k = 1, 2, ..., s) are the input weight of the type i and the output weight of type k. Normally, the bigger the h_{ij}, the smaller of output for a given input. In this way, we can change the weight coefficient to maximize the h_{ij} and construct the C²R model, such as equation (2):

\[ \max h_{ij} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} u_i y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} v_i x_i} \]

s.t. \[ \sum_{i=1}^{m} u_i y_i / \sum_{i=1}^{m} v_i x_i \leq 1 \quad j = 1, 2, \cdots, n \quad (2) \]

\[ v_i = (v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_s)^T \geq 0 \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, m \]

\[ u_k = (u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_s)^T \geq 0 \quad k = 1, 2, \cdots, s \]

C²R model solved by its dual linear programming model, such as equation (3):
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\[
\begin{aligned}
&\min \theta \\&\ s.t. \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j x_j + s^- = \theta x_0 \\
(C^2R) &\ \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j y_j - s^+ = y_0 \\
&\ \lambda_j \geq 0, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n \\
&\ \theta \text{ is unconstrained, } s^- \geq 0, s^+ \geq 0 
\end{aligned}
\]

\( \Delta y_{j0} = y^*_j - y_{j0} \) \begin{tabular}{l}
which means that under the condition of \\
constant output level \( y_{j0} \), input indicators \\
reduce the amount of \( \Delta x_0 \), or under the condition \\
of constant input levels \( x_{j0} \), output index increase \\
the amount of \( \Delta y_0 \).
\end{tabular}

3. THE CONSTRUCTION OF EVALUATION INDEX SYSTEM AND DATA

3.1. The Construction of Evaluation Index System

R&D activities refer to the systematic and creative work 
to increase the amount of knowledge (including aspects 
of human culture and social knowledge), and use this 
knowledge to create new applications in the field of science 
and technology. In R&D activities, input including people, 
goods, and other resources, and mainly refers to the R&D 
funds input and personnel input; output comprise the patent, 
avademic papers, works and the number of the national or 
industry standards formed, and other direct scientific and 
technological achievements, as well as the economic benefits 
from the development of social and economic promoted by 
R&D activities.

The efficiency of R&D input and output refers to the utiliza-
tion rate of the inputs in R&D activities relative to the 
output capacity. Through efficiency evaluation, we can 
explain the effect of R&D input and output, analyze and 
reasonably judge the innovation ability of scientific and technolo-
gical, and enhance the management performance of science 
and technology activities, to provide the basis for adjusting 
the development strategy of science and technology, 
optimizing the allocation of resources, improving the utilization 
rate of resources of science and technology [7-9].

Based on the connotation of efficiency of R&D input and 
output, considering the principle of science, feasibility, guide-
ance, and combining with the actual situation of R&D activi-
ties of R&D Institutions in China, this paper selects R&D 
input and output efficiency evaluation indexes of R&D Insti-
tutions from two aspects - input and output, such as Table 1.

3.2. Evaluation Caliber and Data Sources

This article selects 30 provinces (lack of Tibet) as decision units 
in measuring of the efficiency of R&D input and output. When collecting the raw data, considering the lag be-
tween input and output of R&D activities is objective, lag 
period is 1-3 years usually, related degree the input and output 
indicators of delay 1 years is strongest by calculating, the 
strongest, so we make 1 year as lag period. Data from the 
"China Science and Technology Statistical Yearbook 2011".

4. THE EMPIRICAL EVALUATION AND ANALYSIS

Using \( C^2R \) and \( BC^2 \) model of DEA method to calculate 
the evaluation results of efficiency of R&D input and output, 
sufficient input redundancy and output score from 2010 
provincial cities’ R&D Institutions, such as in Table 2.
### Table 1. Evaluation indexes system of input and output efficiency of R&D.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Specific Indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Input Index</td>
<td>1. R&amp;D Personnel (person)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Intramural Expenditure on R&amp;D (RMB one hundred million)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output Index</td>
<td>3. Scientific Papers Issued (piece)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Publication on Science and Technology (kind)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5. Number of Patent Applications Accepted (piece)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6. National or industry standards (term)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Measurement results of R&D input-output efficiency of the National R&D Institutions in 2010.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Provinces</th>
<th>CSE</th>
<th>CRS</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>RTS</th>
<th>Input Redundancy</th>
<th>Output Deficiency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RDP</td>
<td>IEST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beijing</td>
<td>0.586</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.586</td>
<td>dec</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tianjin</td>
<td>0.398</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>0.637</td>
<td>dec</td>
<td>2304.25</td>
<td>6.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hebei</td>
<td>0.268</td>
<td>0.303</td>
<td>0.885</td>
<td>dec</td>
<td>4017.65</td>
<td>17.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shanxi</td>
<td>0.633</td>
<td>0.891</td>
<td>0.711</td>
<td>dec</td>
<td>1417.11</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner Mongolia</td>
<td>0.286</td>
<td>0.294</td>
<td>0.973</td>
<td>dec</td>
<td>2325.27</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liaoning</td>
<td>0.593</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.593</td>
<td>dec</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jilin</td>
<td>0.598</td>
<td>0.806</td>
<td>0.742</td>
<td>dec</td>
<td>1437.03</td>
<td>3.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heilongjiang</td>
<td>0.339</td>
<td>0.435</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>dec</td>
<td>4040.5</td>
<td>12.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shanghai</td>
<td>0.537</td>
<td>0.914</td>
<td>0.587</td>
<td>dec</td>
<td>1879.68</td>
<td>7.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jiangsu</td>
<td>0.473</td>
<td>0.713</td>
<td>0.663</td>
<td>dec</td>
<td>4474.37</td>
<td>19.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhejiang</td>
<td>0.874</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.874</td>
<td>dec</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anhui</td>
<td>0.378</td>
<td>0.445</td>
<td>0.849</td>
<td>dec</td>
<td>3214.49</td>
<td>12.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fujian</td>
<td>0.926</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.926</td>
<td>dec</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jiangxi</td>
<td>0.387</td>
<td>0.399</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>dec</td>
<td>2334.49</td>
<td>4.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shandong</td>
<td>0.613</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.613</td>
<td>dec</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henan</td>
<td>0.388</td>
<td>0.574</td>
<td>0.676</td>
<td>dec</td>
<td>4493.01</td>
<td>8.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hubei</td>
<td>0.387</td>
<td>0.558</td>
<td>0.693</td>
<td>dec</td>
<td>5459.55</td>
<td>17.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunan</td>
<td>0.247</td>
<td>0.265</td>
<td>0.931</td>
<td>dec</td>
<td>4649.4</td>
<td>8.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guangdong</td>
<td>0.634</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.634</td>
<td>dec</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guangxi</td>
<td>0.949</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.949</td>
<td>dec</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hainan</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>con</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chongqing</td>
<td>0.428</td>
<td>0.451</td>
<td>0.949</td>
<td>dec</td>
<td>1355.47</td>
<td>3.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.1. Analysis of the Comprehensive Efficiency

According to Table 2, the average efficiency score of R&D input and output from R&D Institutions in 30 provinces is 0.574, so 30 provinces can be divided into three classes.

The first class, areas with high efficiency of R&D input and output (θ = 1) are: Hainan, Ningxia and Xinjiang, accounting for 10% of the overall. All the technical efficiency and scale efficiency of R&D input and output is 1, whose returns to scale are constant. Which shows that this kind of regional R&D Institutions continue to increase investment in R&D, at the same time, should be pay more attention to rational allocation of resources, and the use of structural optimization [10-12].

The second class, areas with general efficiency of R&D input and output (0.574 ≤ θ < 1) are: Guangxi, Qinghai, Gansu, Guizhou, Fujian, Zhejiang, Guangdong, Shanxi, Shaanxi, Jiangsu, Zhejiang, Beijing, accounting for 36.67% of the all provinces. In these regions, the technical efficiency score of R&D input and output are higher than scale efficiency score except Guizhou, which shows that efficiency due to management and technology is much higher than the one from R&D scale. In the returns to scale, the provinces (except Qinghai) have characteristics of decreasing returns to scale, an unreasonable structure of R&D input, and uneconomical use of resources have bigger influence on the R&D efficiency [13, 14].

The third class, areas with poor efficiency of the R&D input and output (θ < 0.574) are: Shanghai, Jiangsu, Chongqing, Yunnan, Tianjin, Henan, Jiangxi, Hubei, Anhui, Heilongjiang, Inner Mongolia, Hebei, Shaanxi, Hunan and Sichuan, accounting for 50% of all provinces. In these regions (except Shanghai and Jiangsu), the technical efficiency is lower than scale efficiency, that is to say the efficiency of management and technology is lower than the one from scale expansion. In the returns to scale, the provinces (except Inner Mongolia) have characteristics of decreasing returns to scale, an unreasonable structure of R&D input, and resources waste [15].

4.2. The Analysis of the Input Redundancy and Output Deficiency

According to calculation results of R&D input redundancy and output deficiency from the national R&D Institutions (Table 2), 30 provinces are divided into two types.

First, regions without input redundancy and output deficiency are: Beijing, Liaoning, Zhejiang, Fujian, Shandong, Guangdong, Guangxi, Hainan, Gansu, Ningxia and Xinjiang, accounting for 36.67% of all provinces. In these provinces, R&D output and input of R&D Institutions keeps the use of resources relative equilibrium.

Second, regions with input redundancy and output deficiency are: Tianjin, Hebei, Shanxi, Inner Mongolia, Jilin, Heilongjiang, Shanghai, Jiangsu, Anhui, Jiangxi, Henan, Hubei, Hunan, Chongqing, Sichuan, Guizhou, Yunnan, Shaanxi and Qinghai, accounting for 63.33% of all provinces. In these provinces, there are different levels of input redundancy and output deficiency.

From the input redundancy, there is one province whose R&D personnel redundancy rate lower than 10% - Shanghai; 2 provinces in 10% ~ 20% - Jilin and Qinghai; 3 provinces in 20% ~ 30% - Shanxi and Guizhou; 4 provinces in 30% ~ 40% - Tianjin, Henan, Chongqing and Yunnan; 9 provinces higher than 40% - Hubei, Hebei, Inner Mongolia, Heilongjiang, Anhui, Jiangxi, Hunan, Sichuan and Shaanxi. There are 2 provinces with R&D expenditures redundancy rate lower than 10% - Qinghai and Shanghai; 2 provinces in 10% ~ 20% - Shanxi and Jilin; 2 provinces in 20% ~ 30% - Tianjin and Jiangsu; 1 province in 30% ~ 40% - Henan; 12 provinces higher than 40% - Hebei, Inner Mongolia, Heilongjiang, Anhui, Jiangxi, Hubei, Hunan, Chongqing,
CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

By the analysis of DEA efficiency on R&D input and output of 30 provinces' R&D Institutions in 2010, we can get the regional characteristics of R&D activities:

On the whole, the efficiency of R&D input and output from national R&D Institutions is not high (θ = 0.574), and the phenomena of excess input is very serious. The comprehensive DEA efficiency of R&D Institutions from half of the overall is lower than the national average; the problem of R&D input redundancy is serious, and 63.33% of provincial R&D Institutions have different levels of R&D input redundancy. This notes that, there exist serious problems of low innovation initiative and enthusiasm of R&D personnel, unreasonable structure of the innovative input, resource waste, poor innovation continuity, and the shortage of high levels of achievement.

In the regional distribution, the efficiency of R&D input and output of the national R&D Institutions does not adapt to their scales. Among 30 provinces, there are 5 provinces with a large proportion of R&D personnel of R&D Institutions - Beijing, Shaanxi, Sichuan, Shanghai, and Jiangsu, including Beijing belonging to areas with general comprehensive efficiency from the second class, and others belonging to areas with poor comprehensive efficiency from the third class; 10 with a large proportion of R&D personnel of R&D Institutions - Liaoning, Henan, Shandong, Hubei, Hunan, and Guangdong, Jilin, Heilongjiang, Tianjin, and Gansu, including Liaoning, Shandong, Guangdong, Jilin, and Gansu belonging to areas with general comprehensive efficiency from the second class, and others belonging to areas with poor comprehensive efficiency from the third class; 15 provinces with a low proportion of R&D personnel of R&D Institutions - Hebei, Shanxi, Yunnan, Anhui, Zhejiang, Jiangxi, Guangxi, Inner Mongolia, Fujian, Guizhou, Hainan, Chongqing, Xinjiang, Qinghai, and Ningxia, including Xinjiang, Hainan, and Ningxia belonging to areas with high comprehensive efficiency from the first class, and Shanxi, Zhejiang, Guangxi, Fujian, Guizhou, and Qinghai belonging to areas with general comprehensive efficiency from the second class, and the others belonging to areas with poor comprehensive efficiency from the third class. This shows that good regions with good R&D foundation and a large-scale R&D resources have serious problems of waste and low efficiency of utilization of resources in China; while bad regions with weak R&D foundation have a relatively high efficiency.

In R&D activities carried out, regional R&D Institutions should adjust measures to improve the efficiency of input and output, according to the characteristics of their R&D activities. The first class, R&D Institutions located in provinces with high comprehensive efficiency and constant returns to scale, should continue to increase the intensity of R&D investment, optimize the structure of R&D resources utilization, and realize the stable promotion of the scale and structure of R&D efficiency, and rapidly improve their R&D competitiveness. The second class, R&D Institutions located in provinces with the general comprehensive efficiency and increasing return to scale, should focus on increasing R&D investment, expanding the scale of R&D. Provinces with decreasing returns to scale, must control the R&D input speed of expansion, strengthen the management of R&D resources, adjust and optimize the structure of personnel and funds input, strengthen the supervision and evaluation of the R&D process, and improve the utilization efficiency of resources. The third class, R&D Institutions located in provinces with poor overall efficiency and decreasing returns to scale, should slow down the growth of R&D resources input, find out some drawbacks in R&D activities as soon as possible, and solve them, and also careful analysis, formulate specific measures of improving the efficiency of R&D different situation, according to the R&D resources or excess demand.
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