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Abstract: This paper is concerned with stability and controller design of networked control systems (NCSs) with two different working status. Firstly, the working status of NCSs is considered as two different cases: the normal case and the un-normal case. In normal case, the work process of NCSs is described by two independent working process: one is the packet dropouts process; the other is the network induced delay process. In un-normal case, there are two goals should be achieved for designing: one is to simulate the initial system for restarting the normal case; the other is to ensure the stability of NCSs as much as possible. Secondly, according to the designed networked controller, a novel necessary and sufficient condition for guaranteeing the stability of the NCSs under the un-normal case is proposed. Furthermore, the dynamic behavior of the NCSs where switching between the normal case and the un-normal case is considered. Finally, a numerical example illustrates the effectiveness of the results.
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1. INTRODUCTION

A typical NCSs can be considered as a sampled-data feedback control system with communication network [1]. It is well known that the use of NCSs has many considerable advantages in practice, such as reduced system wiring, low weight, easy of system diagnosis and maintaining [2]. But the communication network itself is a dynamical system including some issues, such as data packet dropouts, limited bandwidth, time-delay, and quantization, which might be potential sources of instability and poor performance of control systems [3]. Therefore, during the last decade, a great deal of studies have been developed to the analysis and synthesis of NCSs, for example, see [4-6].

Although NCSs have been studied by many researchers for a number of years, there are still some interesting problems that deserved further research. In particular, the so-called multi-rate technique has been successfully developed and widely used in modern industry. In [7], the authors firstly concerned the design problem of NCS with piecewise constant generalized sampled-data hold (PCGSHF). They pointed out that there are many remarkable advantages for employing PCGSHF in lieu of ZOH. The main reason of these advantages is the hold function itself is the design variable instead of a given constant (such as ZOH). Correspondingly, the additional design degree-of-freedom can be gained for improving the system performance. The study in this paper can be considered as the development of the theory in [7]. It should be mentioned that due to the added design degree-of-freedom by introducing the PCGSHF, the design strategy where based on the characteristics of the communication network may be improved. It is well known that because of the complexity of network environment, some given characteristics of the communication network may change occasionally. For example, according to the actual testing situation, a serious of parameters for describing the characteristics of the communication network can be confirmed. Correspondingly, all of the system components are designed based on these conditions. However sometimes in actual operation, the considered conditions may be incompletely, which leads to the failure mode. In fact, a better control strategy where based on conditions is not related to the given conditions, but also perfect for fault tolerant, which is induced by unexpected conditions. Here it should be note that the existing results for studying fault-tolerant control problem of NCSs have concentrated on the fault of system components rather than the given characters of network, for instance, see [8, 9]. So it is necessary to propose an effective strategy for handling the fault, which is caused by the variation of given design condition. Such observation motivates the current study.

In this paper, we consider the stability and controller design problem of networked control systems (NCSs) with two different working status. The obtained results can be considered as the development of the theory in [7], which only consider the normal case. Under consideration of network-induced delay and data packet dropout phenomenon in the transmission, the system model where including two work patterns (the normal and the un-normal) for the NCSs with PCGSHF is proposed. Under the normal case, both the networked controller and the weights of PCGSHF for ensuring stability of NCSs are designed according to [7]. The un-normal case is based on the unexpected conditions, which are in contrast with the given characteristics of communication
network. There are two goals should be achieved for designing: one is to simulate the initial system for restarting the normal case; the other is to ensure the stability of NCSs as much as possible. So a novel necessary and sufficient condition for guaranteeing the stability of the NCSs under the un-normal case is proposed. Furthermore, the dynamic behavior of the NCSs where switching between the normal case and the un-normal case is considered. Finally, a numerical example illustrates the effectiveness of the results.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this paper, the plant of NCSs is considered as

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t)$$

(1)

where $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $u(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m$. $A$ and $B$ are known constant matrices and ensure the plant (1) is controllable. The controller is event-driven and of the form

$$u(kh) = Fx(kh)$$

(2)

where $F \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. With regard to the network environment, $\tau_w$ and $\tau_a$ are respectively used to describe the sensor-to-controller delay and the controller-to-actuator delay. The actuator is event-driven and the sensor is time-driven with sampling period $h$. Moreover, the following assumptions are needed for the considered NCSs:

A1. If the transmissive delay $\tau = \tau_w + \tau_a$ satisfies $0 < \tau \leq \tau_{max} < h$, the input signals $u(kh - \tau)$ are considered as successful transmission.

A2. If the transmissive delay $\tau = \tau_w + \tau_a$ does not satisfy $0 < \tau \leq \tau_{max} < h$, the input signals $u(kh - \tau)$ are considered as unsuccessful transmission, which means packet dropout. Here the number of successive packet drop-out is upper bounded, and the bound is denoted by $d_{max}$ which is a known constant.

These assumptions were taken into account in the [7,10-12]. According to the above assumptions, it is obvious that the operative mode of NCSs is constituted by two cases, the successful transmissions and the un-successful transmissions. With regard to the successful transmissions, a set

$$\Pi = \{ \alpha | \alpha \in kh; i, k \in \mathbb{Z} \}$$

is considered and denoted the sequence points of successful transmissions from the sensor to the actuator. Correspondingly, according to [7], the model of NCS in normal case can be obtained,

$$x(\alpha_{ki}) = G(d(\alpha_{ki}), w) x(\alpha_{ki})$$

(3)

in which

$$G(d(\alpha_{ki}), w) = e^{\l(\alpha_{ki})} (e^{\alpha_{ki}} + M^d(\alpha_{ki}) + M^p(\alpha_{ki}))$$

$$+ \phi(d(\alpha_{ki})) \times \sum_{j=0}^{d_{max}} (e^a^j)(M^d(\alpha_{ki}) + \hat{N}(\alpha_{ki}))$$

$$\tau(\alpha_{ki}) \in \left\{ \frac{h(h-1)}{h}, \frac{h}{a}, \frac{h}{\alpha} \right\}$$

$$M^d(\alpha_{ki}) = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \int_{(\alpha_{ki})}^{\alpha_{ki+1}} e^{d_{s}} dsB\delta(c) F, \quad H(d(\alpha_{ki}), w) = e^{\phi(d(\alpha_{ki}))} \hat{N}(\alpha_{ki}) - e^{\phi(d(\alpha_{ki}))} M^d(\alpha_{ki}),$$

$$\Omega = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, d_{max}\}, \quad \hat{N}(\alpha_{ki}) = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \int_{(\alpha_{ki})}^{\alpha_{ki+1}} e^{d_{s}} dsB\delta(c) F, \quad M^d(\alpha_{ki}) = \int_{(\alpha_{ki})}^{\alpha_{ki+1}} e^{d_{s}} dsB\delta(c) F, \quad$$

$$\phi(d(\alpha_{ki})) \equiv \begin{cases} d(\alpha_{ki}) = 0, & d(\alpha_{ki}) \neq 0, \frac{\alpha_{ki} - \alpha_{k}}{h}, \frac{h}{\alpha} \\ \frac{h}{\alpha} \end{cases}$$

$$d(\alpha_{ki}) \in \Omega, w \in \left\{ 2, \ldots, \frac{\tau_{max}}{h} \right\}, \frac{\alpha}{a} = \frac{h}{a \times 10^{-s}}, \quad h(t) = \delta(s), kh + \frac{(s-1)h}{a} \leq t < kh + \frac{sh}{a},$$

$$s \in \{1, 2, \ldots, a\}, a$$ is the number of segments for PCGSHF during one sampling period.

Fig. (1). The working status of NCSs.

Here it should be noted that the obtained (3) and (4) are based on the assumptions A1 and A2 (the normal case in Fig. 1). Although the above assumptions can accord with network environment as far as possible, sometimes the complex net-
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work environment in practical application may generate some extreme cases. For instance, according to the A2, the new control signal \( u \left( \alpha_j + (d_{\text{max}} + 1) h \right) \) must arrive at the actuator during the interval \( (\alpha_j + (d_{\text{max}} + 1) h, \alpha_j + (d_{\text{max}} + 1) h + \tau_{\text{max}}] \) because the maximum number of packet dropouts is \( d_{\text{max}} \). However, who can ensure that the signal must arrive at the actuator during the considered interval? So if the extreme case occurs, we consider the working status of NCSs enter into the un-normal case, which is described as in Fig. (1). In un-normal case, there are two goals should be achieved: one is to simulate the initial system for restarting the normal case; the other is to ensure the stability of NCSs as much as possible. Based on the both goals, the control strategy can be designed as follows: At first, we assume the first time of the un-normal case appears at the instant \( \alpha_j + (d_{\text{max}} + 1) h \), Correspondingly, the control strategy can be designed as

\[
x(\alpha_j + (d_{\text{max}} + 1) h + h) = e^{\alpha_t} x(\alpha_j + (d_{\text{max}} + 1) h) \\
\]

\[
+ \sum_{j \in J} \left[ L(\alpha_j) + L(1) x(\alpha_j) \right]
\]

where \( L(j) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m_i(c) \int_{(j-1)\tau}^{j\tau} e^{d_{\text{max}}(c)} dsB\delta(c) Fx(\alpha_j) \), \( j \in \mathbb{Z} \) and \( m_i(c) \in \{1, 0\} \). Furthermore, in the next sampling interval, we have

\[
x(\alpha_j + (d_{\text{max}} + 1) h + 2h) = \]

\[
e^{\alpha_t} x(\alpha_j + (d_{\text{max}} + 1) h + h) + L(1) x(\alpha_j)
\]

According to (5) and (6), it is obvious that the control signal \( u(\alpha_j) \) be placed by the signal \( 0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \) at \( \alpha_j + (d_{\text{max}} + 1) h + h \). Such consideration mainly realizes the control inputs of initial system (4) for simulating the initial control status of the normal case. If the control signal \( u(\alpha_j + (d_{\text{max}} + 1) h + h) \) arrive at the actuator during \( (\alpha_j + (d_{\text{max}} + 1) h + h, \alpha_j + (d_{\text{max}} + 1) h + h + \tau_{\text{max}}] \), the NCSs can be considered to restart in normal case (the initial state is \( x(\alpha_j + (d_{\text{max}} + 1) h + h) \) and the initial input is \( 0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \)). Moreover, the control signal \( u(\alpha_j) \) is used once more during the intervals \( (\alpha_j + (d_{\text{max}} + 1) h + \tau_{\text{max}}, \alpha_j + (d_{\text{max}} + 1) h + h) \) and \( (\alpha_j + (d_{\text{max}} + 2) h + \tau_{\text{max}}, \alpha_j + (d_{\text{max}} + 2) h + h) \).

There are two reasons for doing that: one is we only have \( u(\alpha_j) \) can be used, even if it is an old signal; the other is that if we don't use \( u(\alpha_j) \), the system model of NCSs in no-normal case is a autonomous system. Correspondingly, the system stability cannot be guaranteed when \( \|e^{\alpha_t}\| \geq 1 \). Therefore, in the following analysis, with regard to the normal case, the PCGSHF \( h(t) \) and the networked state feedback controller \( F \) for guaranteeing the stability of the NCSs (3) can be designed by using the criterion in [7]. With regard to the un-normal case, the main object of this paper is propose an effective algorithm which satisfying necessary and sufficient condition for obtaining the maximum allowable refresh time of the designed NCSs [13-15].

3. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 1. Let \( \overline{\alpha}_0 \in \Pi \) describe the initial instant \( \alpha_j \) or the initial instant from the un-normal case to the normal case. Correspondingly, \( u(\overline{\alpha}_0) = Fx(\overline{\alpha}_0) \) is used to describe the latest control input from the initial instant \( \overline{\alpha}_0 \) to the un-normal case. The necessary and sufficient condition for guaranteeing the stability of the NCSs under the un-normal case is that there exist \( L(j) \quad (j \in \mathbb{Z}) \) satisfies

\[
| \lambda_{\text{max}}(\overline{Z}(\zeta)) | < 1
\]

and

\[
\overline{Z}(\zeta) = e^{\overline{\alpha}_0} G(d_{\text{max}}, \overline{w}_j) Z(\overline{\alpha}_{i-1}, \ldots, \overline{\alpha}_0) \\
+ e^{\overline{\alpha}_0} G(d_{\text{max}}, \overline{w}_j) Z(\overline{\alpha}_{i-2}, \ldots, \overline{\alpha}_0) \\
+ e^{\overline{\alpha}_0} G(d_{\text{max}}, \overline{w}_j) Z(\overline{\alpha}_{i-3}, \ldots, \overline{\alpha}_0)
\]

\[
+ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} L(j) Z(\overline{\alpha}_{i-1}, \ldots, \overline{\alpha}_0)
\]

in which
\[ Z(\bar{\omega}_{-1}, \ldots, \bar{\omega}_0) = \left[ G(d(\bar{\omega}_{-1}), \bar{w}_{-1}) \ H(d(\bar{\omega}_{-1}), \bar{w}_{-1}) \right] \times \prod_{j=-1}^{n} \left[ G(d(\bar{\omega}_j), \bar{w}_j) \ H(d(\bar{\omega}_j), \bar{w}_j) \right] \times \left[ G(d(\bar{\omega}_0), \bar{w}_0) \right] \]

and

\[ Z(\bar{\omega}_{-1}, \ldots, \bar{\omega}_0) = \left[ G(d(\bar{\omega}_{-1}), \bar{w}_{-1}) \ H(d(\bar{\omega}_{-1}), \bar{w}_{-1}) \right] \times \prod_{j=-1}^{n} \left[ G(d(\bar{\omega}_j), \bar{w}_j) \ H(d(\bar{\omega}_j), \bar{w}_j) \right] \times \left[ G(d(\bar{\omega}_0), \bar{w}_0) \right] \]

**Proof:** From (3) and (4), we have

\[ x(\bar{\omega}_i + (d_{\text{max}} + 1)h) = G(d_{\text{max}}, \bar{w}_i) x(\bar{\omega}_i) + H(d_{\text{max}}, \bar{w}_i) x(\bar{\omega}_i) \]

(9)

and

\[ x(\bar{\omega}_i) = G(d(\bar{\omega}_i), \bar{w}_i) x(\bar{\omega}_i) \]

(10)

\[ x(\bar{\omega}_i) = G(d(\bar{\omega}_i), \bar{w}_i) x(\bar{\omega}_i) + H(d(\bar{\omega}_i), \bar{w}_i) x(\bar{\omega}_i) \]

\[ x(\bar{\omega}_i) = G(d(\bar{\omega}_i), \bar{w}_i) x(\bar{\omega}_i) + H(d(\bar{\omega}_i), \bar{w}_i) x(\bar{\omega}_i) \]

\[ x(\bar{\omega}_i) = G(d(\bar{\omega}_i), \bar{w}_i) x(\bar{\omega}_i) + H(d(\bar{\omega}_i), \bar{w}_i) x(\bar{\omega}_i) \]

By using the iteration of (10), there exists

**4. EXAMPLE**

In this section, we present a numerical simulation to show the application of the proposed method in this paper.

In which \( \zeta \in \mathbb{Z} \), \( \bar{Z}(\zeta) \) is showed in (7).

Therefore, based on the (7), it is obvious that the necessary and sufficient condition for guaranteeing the stability of the NCSs under the un-normal case is that there exists \( L(j) \ (j \in \mathbb{Z}) \) satisfies \( |\lambda_{\text{max}}(\bar{Z}(\zeta))| < 1 \).
Considering the continuous-time linear time-invariant model as
\[ \dot{x}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -0.1 \end{bmatrix} x(t) + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0.1 \end{bmatrix} u(t) \] (16)

At first, some basic conditions are given as follows:
\[ h = 1s, \quad d_{\text{max}} = 4, \quad \tau_{\text{max}} = 0.7s, \quad K = 3, \quad \text{and} \quad (0, \tau_{\text{max}}) = (0, 0.2s) \cup (0.2s, 0.6s) \cup (0.6s, 0.7s). \]

According to results of [7], we have \( \bar{a} = 10 \) and \( \bar{\delta}_{\text{max}} \) conditions as:
\[ \delta(1) = 0.2, \quad \delta(2) = 0.6, \quad \delta(3) = -0.4, \]
\[ \delta(4) = 2.1, \quad \delta(5) = 6.8, \quad \delta(6) = -3, \quad \delta(7) = 2, \]
\[ \delta(8) = 1.7, \quad \delta(9) = 4.5, \quad \delta(10) = 2.3, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{the networked feedback controller for every} \]

\[ \bar{\delta} = 1.3 \quad \text{is} \quad F = \begin{bmatrix} -1.4 \times 10^{-2} & 2.3 \times 10^{-3} \end{bmatrix}. \]

Next, we assume that the working status of NCSs are switched between in the normal case and un-normal case. The random generated network-induced delay and the number of successive packet dropout are taken larger than the parameter \( \tau_{\text{max}} = 0.7s \) and \( d_{\text{max}} = 4 \), which are \( \tau_{\text{max}} = 0.8s, \)
\[ d_{\text{max}} = 5. \]

According to Theorem 1 in this paper, we can calculate the parameter index \( \zeta_{\text{max}} = 0.7 \) and the correspondingly best combination of \( L(j) \), which are described as
\[ L(9) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 \times 10^{-1} & 3.1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad L(10) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.25 & 2 \times 10^{-1} \end{bmatrix}. \]

Furthermore, we consider the dynamic behavior of the NCSs where witching between the normal case and the un-normal case. The initial condition response under the network-induced delay and packet dropouts is plotted in Fig. (2) and Fig. (2), where \( x(0) = [50 \quad -30] \) and \( x(0) = [5 \quad -3] \). It shows that under normal case and un-normal case, the proposed control strategy works well. Therefore, based on the above analysis, it is obvious that the proposed control strategy in this paper is effective Fig. (3).

**CONCLUSION**

In this paper, we have considered the stability and controller design problem of networked control systems (NCSs)
with two different working status. The obtained results can be considered as the development of the theory in [7], which only consider the normal case. Under the un-normal case, there are two goals have been achieved for designing: one is to simulate the initial system for restarting the normal case; the other is to ensure the stability of NCSs as much as possible. By proposing a necessary and sufficient condition for guaranteeing the stability of the NCSs under the un-normal case, the dynamic behavior of the NCSs where switching between the normal case and the un-normal case has been studied.
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