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Abstract: For some disturbances and model uncertainties of the multi-tank level system, we develop an adaptive nonlinear control law based on the neural network to achieve high performance control. A nonlinear model including the model uncertainties and disturbances is firstly built through carefully analyzing the multi-tank level system. Based on the model, the level controller is designed, in which the adaptive law based on the neural network is used to approach the model uncertainty and disturbances, and the nonlinear feedback control law deals with the nonlinearity of the multi-tank level system. The proposed control strategy is thoroughly tested by simulation results compared with those obtained from a normal PID controller. The comparisons demonstrate that, for the multi-tank system with the model uncertainty, the designed control strategy is more advantageous in disturbance rejection, with higher control accuracy and so on, and is far superior to the PID control method.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Liquid level control is a very important problem in industry processes, such as waterworks, sewage treatment works, petrochemical works, and so on. In these processes, the liquid level is required at a constant value or within a certain range by the level controller. Above complex systems all have the large flow of liquid out, so that the containers are often designed to communicate with each other. Therefore, many scholars have been dedicated to the research on the multi-tank level control and made a number of important achievements [1-7].

Multi-tank system model is the basis of liquid level control. The linear model is used by [1-6], and PID controllers are designed in [2-6] and achieved good performance. However, the complex industry environment causes great difficulties for the accurate system model and disturbances in the process reduce the quality of the products. So, the model uncertainty and external disturbances are considered in the design of liquid level controller. Therefore, based on manual operation experience, the literature [7, 8] has designed the multi-tank system based on fuzzy control and the experiment results show that fuzzy control has better performance than PID, however, it is lack of stability analysis. Multi-tank nonlinear model is adopted by [9], and the nonlinear model predictive controller is designed that the large computation caused by iterative process causes great difficulties with engineering application.

In this paper, considering model uncertainty and disturbances, an adaptive nonlinear multi-tank level controller is designed that make each tank level quickly reach and remain at a constant value. The nonlinear state feedback level controller is designed with nonlinear radial basis function neural network (RBFNN) that approximates model uncertainties and other unknown disturbances, and Lyapunov method that is applied to analyze the stability of the designed system.

2. DYNAMIC MODEL OF MULTI-TANK SYSTEM

Fig. (1) depicts the physical structure of multi-tank system. As we know from Fig. (1), only level \( h_1 \) is controlled by a valve, and other levels are all affected by two factors, one of which is the liquid outflow of above its vessel, the other is the corresponding valve opening. Therefore, dynamic mathematical model of multi-tank system is obtained by mechanism analysis.

We firstly analyze the tank 1, whose liquid inflow \( Q_{i1} \) is controlled by the opening of valve 1, outflow \( Q_{o1} \) by the opening of load valve \( R_1 \). Therefore, level \( h_1 \) reflects the liquid balance of tank 1 between inflows and outflows, and the dynamic characteristic of tank 1 is shown as follows:

\[
\frac{dh_1}{dt} = \frac{1}{S_1}(Q_{i1} - Q_{o1})
\]

where \( S_1 \) is the cross-sectional area of tank 1, \( Q_{i1} \) is defined by the flow feature of electric control valve:

\[
Q_{i1} = k_{u1}u_1
\]

where \( Q_{i1} \) is equal percentage flow characteristic, \( k_{u1} \) is the flow coefficients of electric valve 1, and \( u_1 \) is the opening. Load valve \( R_1 \) is regulated by the operating person. When the opening of the valve \( R_1 \) is a constant, its structure cannot vary, and the outflow \( Q_{o1} \) is denoted as:

\[
Q_{o1} = k_1\sqrt{h_1}
\]
where $k_1$ is the flow coefficient. Bring Eq. (2) and Eq. (3) into Eq. (1), the dynamics model of level $h_1$ is obtained:

$$\frac{dh_1}{dt} = \frac{1}{s_1} \left( Q_{11} - Q_{01} \right) = \frac{1}{s_1} \left( k_{u1} u_1 - k_1 \sqrt{h_1} \right)$$

$$= a_1 \sqrt{h_1} + b_1 u_1$$

where $a_1 = -\frac{k_1}{s_1}$ and $b_1 = \frac{k_{u1}}{s_1}$. (4)

Secondly, we analyze the tank 2, whose level $h_2$ is affected by the inflow of valve 2 $Q_{12} = k_{u2} u_2$ and the outflow of tank 1 $Q_{01}$ and tank 2 $Q_{02} = k_2 \sqrt{h_2}$, where $k_{u2}$ and $u_2$ are the flow coefficient and opening of valve 2, respectively, $k_2$ is the flow coefficient of load valve $R_2$. As used the same analysis method to the tank, the dynamics of level $h_2$ is obtained as:

$$\frac{dh_2}{dt} = \frac{1}{s_2} \left( Q_{12} + Q_{01} - Q_{02} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{s_2} \left( k_{u2} u_2 + k_1 \sqrt{h_1} - k_2 \sqrt{h_2} \right)$$

$$= a_{12} \sqrt{h_1} + a_{2} \sqrt{h_2} + b_2 u_2$$

where $a_{12} = \frac{k_1}{s_2}$, $a_2 = -\frac{k_2}{s_2}$, $b_2 = -\frac{k_{u2}}{s_2}$. (5)

Then, we adopt the above analysis method with the tank $n$, the dynamics of $h_n$ for the tank $k$ is obtained:

$$\frac{dh_n}{dt} = \frac{1}{s_n} \left( Q_{in} + Q_{o(n-1)} - Q_{0n} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{s_n} \left( k_{un} u_n + k_{n-1} \sqrt{h_{n-1}} - k_n \sqrt{h_n} \right)$$

$$= a_{(n-1)n} \sqrt{h_{n-1}} + a_n \sqrt{h_n} + b_n u_n$$

where $h_n$ is the level of tank $n$, $s_n$ is the cross-sectional area of tank $n$, the inflow controlled by valve $n$ is $Q_{in} = k_{un} u_n$, $k_{un}$ and $u_n$ are the flow coefficient and opening of valve $n$, the outflow of tank $n$ is $Q_{0n} = k_n \sqrt{h_n}$, $k_n$ is the flow coefficient of load valve $R_n$ and $a_{(n-1)n} = \frac{k_{n-1}}{s_n}$, $a_n = -\frac{k_n}{s_n}$, $b_n = -\frac{k_{un}}{s_n}$. (6)

The above analysis suggests that multi-tank system has nonlinearity and great couple between each tank. Additionally, in the actual industry process, the levels of multi-tank system are also influenced from the fluid viscosity, density, temperature and other working conditions. Moreover, the flow characteristics of valve are not entirely linear. So, the above multi-tank system model ignores some model uncertainty and interferences that should be considered in the design of multi-tank control system. Lastly, on the basis of the above ideal model, considering the model uncertainties and external disturbances, the level dynamic of multi-tank system is followed as:
\[ h_1 = a_1 \sqrt{h_1} + b_1 u_1 + \Delta_1 + d_1 \]
\[ h_2 = a_2 \sqrt{h_2} + b_2 u_2 + \Delta_2 + d_2 \]
\[ h_n = a_{(n-1)n} \sqrt{h_n} + a_n \sqrt{h_n} + b_n u_n + \Delta_n + d_n \]  

(7)

where \( \Delta_i \) (\( i = 1, 2, ..., n \)) is the model uncertainty, \( d_1 \) is the disturbance and \( |d_1| < d_{\text{max}} \).

The control objective is to keep the desired liquid level of multi-tank system in the presence of model uncertainty and environment disturbance. Thus, the proposed level control techniques must render the each tank track a desired level \( h_{\text{id}} \) such that the tracking errors converge to a very small neighborhood of the origin, that is, \( \lim_{t \to \infty} |h_i - h_{\text{id}}| < \varepsilon \) with \( \varepsilon > 0 \). To facilitate control system design, we assume that all levels of the multi-tank system dynamics (7) are available. Moreover, the following assumptions are needed for the subsequent developments.

**Assumption 1:** For all \( t < 0 \), there exist \( \sigma_1 > 0 \) such that \( |h_{\text{id}}| \leq \sigma_1 \).

**Lemma 1:** For bounded initial conditions, if there exists a \( C^1 \) continuous and positive definite Lyapunov function \( V(x) \) satisfying \( \mu_1(||x||) \leq V(x) \leq \mu_2(||x||) \), such that \( V(x) \leq -\tau V(x) + c \), where \( \mu_1, \mu_2 : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \) are class K functions and \( c \) is a positive constant, then the solution \( x(t) \) is uniformly bounded.

### 3. Nonlinear Adaptive Controller Design

This section will design the nonlinear adaptive controller for the multi-tank system. The control strategy uses RBFNN to approximate model uncertainties and unknown interferences and nonlinear feedback control method to deal with nonlinear and coupling. Lyapunov theory analysis of the designed control system shows that the controller will enable the level of multi-tank system fast arrive its set value.

#### 3.1. Adaptive Control Law Design

For tank \( i \), supposing that \( h_{\text{id}} \) is its liquid level setting point, and then the level error is \( e_i = h_i - h_{\text{id}}(i = 1, 2, ..., n) \). Defining the unknown item \( \Delta_i + d_i \) of model (7) is \( \rho(e_i) \) which is approximated with RBFNNs and expressed as [10]:

\[ \tilde{\theta}(e_i) = \tilde{\theta}^T_i S(e_i) \]  

(8)

where \( \tilde{\theta}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times 1} \) is the approximation parameter, \( S(e_i) = [s_1(e_i), s_2(e_i), ..., s_k(e_i)] \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times 1} \) represents the basis function vector with NN node number \( L > 1 \) and \( s_k(e_i) \) is chosen as the commonly used Gaussian distribution:

\[ s_k(e_i) = \exp \left( \frac{|e_i - c_{ik}|^2}{\sigma_{ik}^2} \right), \quad k = 1, 2, ..., L \]  

(9)

where \( c_{ik} \) is the center of receptive field and \( \sigma_{ik} \) is the width of the Gaussian function. \( \tilde{\theta}^T_i S(e_i) \) approximates \( \theta^T_i S(e_i) \) given by:

\[ \theta^T_i S(e_i) + e_i = \rho(e_i) \]  

(10)

where \( \theta^*_i \) is the most optimal weight vector and obtained by solving the following optimization problem:

\[ \theta^*_i = \arg \min_{\theta_i \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times 1}} (\text{sup} |\tilde{\theta}^T_i S(e_i) - \rho(e_i)|) \]

and \( e_i < \epsilon_{\text{max}} \) is the approximation error with and \( \epsilon_{\text{max}} \) is the upper bound of approximation error. The control law for \( \tilde{\theta}_i \) is designed as:

\[ \dot{\tilde{\theta}}_i = \Gamma_i (e_i S(e_i) - \lambda_i (\tilde{\theta}_i - \theta_i)) \]  

(11)

where \( \Gamma_i \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times L} \) is positive definite diagonal matrix, \( \theta_i \) is the initial weight value and the \( \lambda_i > 0 \) is correction coefficient which increases the robust of NN approximation error and reduces the effect of parameter drift.

#### 3.2. Nonlinear Controller Design

For the first equation of multi-tank model (7), the opening of valve 1 \( u_1 \) is designed as:

\[ u_1 = -\frac{1}{b_1} [a_1 \sqrt{h_1} + k_1 e_1 + \tilde{\theta}^T_i S(e_i) + h_{\text{id}}] \]  

(12)

where \( k_1 > 0 \) is the control gain. The opening of valve 2 \( u_2 \) is designed as:

\[ u_2 = -\frac{1}{b_2} [a_{12} \sqrt{h_1} + a_{2} \sqrt{h_2} + k_2 e_2 + \tilde{\theta}^T_i S(e_2) + h_{\text{id}}] \]  

(13)

where \( k_2 > 0 \) is the control gain. Similarly, The opening of valve \( i \) \( u_i \) is designed as:

\[ u_i = -\frac{1}{b_i} [a_{(i-1)} \sqrt{h_1} + a_i \sqrt{h_i} + k_i e_i + \tilde{\theta}^T_i S(e_i) + h_{\text{id}}] \]  

(14)

where \( k_i > 0 \) is the control gain.

### 4. Stability Analysis

#### Theorem 1:
Consider the multi-tank system dynamics (7) satisfies the Assumptions 1. The robust level controller is designed according to (14) using NNs and parameter updated law is chosen as (11). For bounded initial conditions, there exist design parameters \( \Gamma_i = \Gamma_i^T > 0, k_i > 0 \) and \( \lambda_i > 0 \) such that the overall closed-loop control system is semi-globally stable in the sense that all of the closed-loop signals \( e_i \) and \( \tilde{\theta}_i \) are bounded. Furthermore, the tracking error \( e_i \) converges to a compact set.

**Proof:** Let \( \tilde{\theta}_i = \theta_i - \theta_i^* \) is the weight vector estimation error. Substituting the first equation of model (7), unknown items approximation (8) and controller (12) into the time differentiation of the level error \( e_i \), the closed system dynamics of tank \( i \) is as following:

\[ \dot{e}_i = h_i - h_{\text{id}} - k_i e_i - \tilde{\theta}^T_i S(e_i) + e_i \]  

(15)

Choose the Lyapunov function candidate:

\[ V_i = \frac{1}{2} e_i^2 + \frac{1}{2} \theta_i^T \Gamma_i^{-1} \theta_i \]  

(16)

Owing to (15) and (10), the time derivative of \( V_i \) is given by:
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\[ V_i = e_i \dot{e}_i + \theta_i^T \Gamma_i^{-1} \dot{\theta}_i = e_i \left( -k_i e_i - \theta_i^T S(e_i) + e_i \right) + \theta_i^T \Gamma_i^{-1} \left( \Gamma_i e_i S(e_i) - \lambda_i \left( \dot{\theta}_i - \dot{\theta}_{10} \right) \right) - k_i e_i^2 + e_i \dot{e}_i - \theta_i^T \lambda_i \left( \dot{\theta}_i - \dot{\theta}_{10} \right) \] (17)

Noting the following facts:

\[ e_i \dot{e}_i \leq \frac{1}{2} e_i^2 + \frac{1}{2} e_i^2 \] (18)

\[ -\theta_i^T \lambda_i \left( \dot{\theta}_i - \dot{\theta}_{10} \right) = -\theta_i^T \lambda_i \left( \dot{\theta}_i + \theta_i^* - \dot{\theta}_{10} \right) \]

\[ -\frac{1}{2} \lambda_i \| \theta_i^\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \lambda_i \| \theta_i^* - \theta_{10} \|^2 \] (19)

We obtain,

\[ V_i \leq - \left( k_i - \frac{1}{2} \right) e_i^2 - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_i \| \theta_i^\| \| + \frac{1}{2} e_i^2 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_i \| \theta_i^* - \theta_{10} \|^2 \leq -2r_i \left( \frac{1}{2} e_i^2 + \frac{1}{2} \theta_i^T \Gamma_i^{-1} \dot{\theta}_i \right) + C_i \] (20)

where \( 0 < r_i < \min \left( \left( k_i - \frac{1}{2} \right), \lambda_{\max} (\Gamma_i^{-1}) \right) \), \( C_i = \frac{1}{2} e_i^2 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_i \| \theta_i^* - \theta_{10} \|^2 \). To ensure that \( r_i > 0 \), the design parameter \( k_i > \frac{1}{2} \).

Substituting the second equation of model (7), unknown items approximation (8) and controller (13) into the time differentiation of the level error \( e_2 \), the closed system dynamics of tank 2 is as following:

\[ e_2 = h_2 - h_2d = k_2 e_2 - \theta_2^T S(e_2) + e_2 \] (21)

Consider the Lyapunov function candidate:

\[ V_2 = \frac{1}{2} e_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \theta_2^T \Gamma_2^{-1} \theta_2 \] (22)

Using the similar analysis method as tank 1, the time derivative of \( V_2 \) is:

\[ V_2 \leq - \left( k_2 - \frac{1}{2} \right) e_2^2 - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 \| \theta_2^\| \| + \frac{1}{2} e_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 \| \theta_2^* - \theta_{20} \|^2 \leq -2r_2 \left( \frac{1}{2} e_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \theta_2^T \Gamma_2^{-1} \theta_2 \right) + C_2 \] (23)

Where \( 0 < r_2 < \min \left( \left( k_2 - \frac{1}{2} \right), \lambda_{\max} (\Gamma_2^{-1}) \right) \), \( C_2 = \frac{1}{2} e_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 \| \theta_2^* - \theta_{20} \|^2 \). To ensure that \( r_2 > 0 \), the design parameter \( k_2 > \frac{1}{2} \).

Similarly, substituting the i-th equation of model (7), unknown items approximation (8) and controller (14) into the time differentiation of the level error \( e_i \), the closed system dynamics of tank i is as following:

\[ e_i = h_i - h_{i,d} = -k_i e_i - \theta_i^T S(e_i) + e_i \] (24)

Consider the Lyapunov function candidate:

\[ V_i = \frac{1}{2} e_i^2 + \frac{1}{2} \theta_i^T \Gamma_i^{-1} \theta_i \] (25)

Similar as tank 1, we obtain:

\[ V_i \leq - \left( k_i - \frac{1}{2} \right) e_i^2 - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_i \| \theta_i^\| \| + \frac{1}{2} e_i^2 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_i \| \theta_i^* - \theta_{10} \|^2 \leq -2r_i \left( \frac{1}{2} e_i^2 + \frac{1}{2} \theta_i^T \Gamma_i^{-1} \dot{\theta}_i \right) + C_i \] (26)

where \( 0 < r_i < \min \left( \left( k_i - \frac{1}{2} \right), \lambda_{\max} (\Gamma_i^{-1}) \right) \), \( C_i = \frac{1}{2} e_i^2 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_i \| \theta_i^* - \theta_{10} \|^2 \). To ensure that \( r_i > 0 \), the design parameter \( k_i > \frac{1}{2} \).

For the multi-tank system, the augmented Lyapunov function candidate can be written as:

\[ V = \sum_{i=1}^{n} V_i \] (27)

Considering inequality (20), (23) and (26), the time derivative of \( V \) yields:

\[ V = \sum_{i=1}^{n} V_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ -2r_i \left( \frac{1}{2} e_i^2 + \frac{1}{2} \theta_i^T \Gamma_i^{-1} \dot{\theta}_i \right) + C_i \right] \leq -2r \bar{V} + C \] (28)

where \( 0 < r < \min \left( m \left( r_1, r_2, ..., r_n \right) \right) \), \( C = \sum_{i=1}^{n} C_i \). Multiplying (28) by \( e^{-2rt} \) and integrating over \([0,t]\), we obtain:

\[ 0 \leq V(t) \leq \frac{C}{2r} + \left( V(0) - \frac{C}{2r} \right) e^{-2rt} \] (29)

According to (29), we can prove that the bounded stability of the closed-loop system if only appropriate design parameters, and \( \lambda_i \) are chosen. Therefore all signals of the closed-loop system, that is, \( e_i \) and \( \theta_i \) are uniformly ultimately bounded and the tracking error \( e_i \) converges to the compact set \( \Omega_{e,i} = \{ e_i \in R | e_i < \alpha \} \) where \( \alpha = 2(V(0) + \frac{C}{2r}) \), \( C \) and \( r \) are defined in (28).

This concludes the proof.

**5. SIMULATION RESULTS**

A two-tank system is used in this section, whose model is built in MATLAB/Simulink and then simulations are given to demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed level control techniques. In this simulation, the model uncertainties and external disturbances are considered, and then the dynamics of two-tank system is expressed as:

\[ h_1 = -0.5 \sqrt{h_1} + 0.04u_1 + \Delta_1 + d_1 \]

\[ h_2 = 0.4 \sqrt{h_2} - 0.2 \sqrt{h_2} + 0.03u_2 + \Delta_2 + d_2 \]

where the model uncertainties are given by:

\[ \Delta_1 = \Delta_2 = 0.5 \cdot \sin (20 \pi \cdot t) \]

and external disturbances are the white noises with the maximum amplitude \( d_{\max} = 0.5m \).

The control gains are chosen as \( k_1 = 2 \) and \( k_2 = 3 \), neural network parameters are: \( L = 3, c_{11} = -0.5, c_{12} = 0, c_{12} = 0.5 \), and \( \sigma_{i1} = \sigma_{i2} = 1(i=1,2) \) and the adaptive update law parameters are: \( \Gamma_1 = \Gamma_2 = \text{diag}(0.5,0.5,0.5), \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0.1 \).

For further highlighting the performance of the proposed control algorithm, which is in contrast with PID control. The
control parameters of first tank are chosen as: $K_{p1} = 2$, $K_{i1} = 0.6$, and the second tank are $K_{p2} = 10$, $K_{i2} = 0.2$.

We suppose the initial states of two-tank system are:

$h_{10} = h_{20} = 0m$,
and the control objectives are

$h_{1d} = h_{2d} = 5m$.

The simulation results are given in Figs. (2-4). Fig. (2) and Fig. (3) show the level $h_1$ and level $h_2$, in which dotted dashes is the desired value, solid line is the proposed control and dashes is PID control. Fig. (4) shows the control signals of valve 1 $u_1$ and valve 2 $u_2$.

From Fig. (2) and Fig. (3), the level of both two-tank system can be maintained within a small envelop of the desired point with the uncertainties and disturbances. However, for the designed adaptive nonlinear control system, two level steady errors are within $\pm 0.1m$, while two errors of the PID control system are within $\pm 0.2m$ and $\pm 0.6m$, separately. It is concluded that the adaptive nonlinear control strategy has better performance than PID control.

CONCLUSION

In this paper, the adaptive nonlinear control has been presented for multi-tank system in the presence of model uncertainty and unknown disturbance. In the proposed control techniques, the nonlinear dynamics have been considered and the semi-globally uniform boundedness of the closed-loop signals has been guaranteed via Lyapunov analysis. Finally, simulation studies have been provided to illustrate the effectiveness of the proposed level control. In the subsequent research, we will use the adaptive nonlinear control in the practical engineering to further test its performance.
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