Identification of Estrogen-Related Genes in Breast Cancer: The Malaysian Context
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Abstract: Estrogen receptor (ER) status is an important indicator used to predict hormone responsiveness and survival rate of breast cancer patients. Breast cancer patients with estrogen receptor positive (ER+) tumors are more likely to benefit from endocrine therapies and have better prognosis than those with estrogen receptor negative (ER-) tumors. Approximately 30% of the patients with ER+ phenotype do not benefit from anti-estrogen therapies. This circumstance suggests that additional biomarkers are needed to predict and improve the treatment strategies in breast cancer patients. We analyzed 28 ER+ and 18 ER- tumors to evaluate their gene expression patterns. We identified 180 differentially expressed genes in ER+ compared to the ER- group at 99% confidence interval level. Out of the 180 genes, 79 and 94 genes were determined respectively as positive and negative significant genes in Significance Analysis of Microarray (SAM). Eleven out of the 79 significant positive genes were more than two (>2) fold change. The differentially expressed genes identified in the ER+ group included CADM4, CD151, GATA3, Wnt2, PGR, TFF1 and TFF3. We randomly selected two genes, namely CD151 and LPIN1 for verification by Taqman qRT-PCR. Our qRT-PCR result is reproducible and comparable with the microarray data. Our preliminary findings revealed potential estrogen-responsive genes such as CADM4, CD151 and GATA3, which might play a crucial role in ER+ breast cancer development and progression in the Malaysian population. More extensive studies are however needed to investigate the role of these genes in the carcinogenesis of estrogen related breast cancers.
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INTRODUCTION

A total of 67,792 new cancer cases were diagnosed in Malaysia over a span of three years (2003-2005). The cumulative life time risk for any Malaysian to develop cancer accounts for 14.8% in males and 15.9% in females [1]. This translates to an estimated risk of one in every seven males and one in every six females developing cancer during their lifetime. Breast cancer accounts for the majority of the cancers detected among women in Malaysia. It has an overall incidence of 18% among the total number of cases reported in the three major ethnic groups, that is, the Malays, Chinese and Indian women [1].

Estrogen, the female signaling molecule plays a crucial role in the development and maintenance of female characteristics and sexual reproduction. Although estrogen is an important regulator of growth and differentiation in normal mammary gland, it can also trigger the onset of breast cancer through the abnormal expression of estrogen-responsive genes. Patients with estrogen receptor positive (ER+) breast tumors have been found to have a more favorable prognosis than those with estrogen receptor negative (ER-) tumors [2]. These patients respond well to hormonal therapies. To date, there are scanty research reports on the identification of hormone responsive gene set responsible for monitoring the hormone signaling status and application of the information to subtype breast tumors according to functional phenotype. The gene expression profiles of ER+ tumor have been shown to be different from the ER- tumors [3,4]. These reports implicate that the ER status of breast cancer represents a distinct phenotype. Although numerous studies have been performed, the details of the estrogen effect on downstream gene targets, the role of co-factors, and cross-talk between other signaling pathways are not completely understood [5]. In fact, epidemiology evidence had shown that the risk of breast cancer predisposition varies among different ethnicity [6]. This study aims to determine the gene expression patterns in...
relation with ER status in a group of multi ethnic Malaysian breast cancer patients. Proper identification of new genes or pathways responsive to estrogen could lead to a better understanding on estrogen related breast tumorigenesis and then improvement in the diagnosis and treatment of the disease.

**MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY**

**Breast Tumor Biopsies**

Tumor and normal tissues samples from 46 female patients diagnosed with primary local breast carcinoma were analyzed for gene expression profiling using Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133A array, which contains 22,283 probe sets representing ~18,400 different genes. Breast cancer patients were recruited from Hospital Kuala Lumpur, Hospital Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia and Hospital Putrajaya. Samples were grossly dissected in the operating theater immediately after surgical excision and were flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen prior to RNA isolation.

Breast cancer carcinomas were investigated from 46 tumor samples, amongst which 37 and 9 patients had infiltrating ductal carcinoma (IDC) and non-infiltrating ductal carcinoma, respectively. All the subjects had undergone surgery for breast cancer from the year 2005-2007. Out of 46 cases, 9, 24, 13 patients were classified as Grade 1, Grade 2 and Grade 3, respectively.

The study subjects represented three major ethnic races of the Malaysian population, which consisted of 30 Malay, 10 Chinese and 6 Indian individuals. The patient’s age ranged between 22-79 years (mean age - 51.37 years, median - 52 years and mode - 47 years). Twenty-eight patients or 60% of the total subjects in this study were ER+ and 18 were found to be ER-. Ethical approval was obtained from the Medical Research & Ethics Committee, Ministry of Health Malaysia to perform this study. The informed consent was obtained from the study participants in written format.

**RNA Isolation**

Poly-L-Lysine coated slide was prepared for a Diff Quick Staining (Imeb Inc.) for all tissues. Microscopic examination of touch preparations verified the presence of at least 70% of cancer cells in all tumor samples. Minced tissues were placed directly in Trizol Reagent (Invitrogen), homogenized and total RNAs were isolated and purified through RNeasy columns (QIAGEN) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The integrity of the purified total RNAs were assessed by visualization of the 28S / 18S ribosomal RNAs ratio on 1% agarose denaturing gel and the quantities were assessed based on absorbance at 260 nm in Biophotometer (Eppendorf).

**Microarray Labeling and Hybridization**

One hundred micrograms of purified total RNA was labeled using two cycle cDNA synthesis kit and 35 micrograms of cRNAs were hybridized onto Affymetrix GeneChip HG-U133A according to manufacturer's recommendations. After the chips were washed and stained in fluidic station 400, the chips were scanned with Gene Array scanner 2500. Hybridization signals were scaled in the Affymetrix GCOS software version 1.4 prior to analysis by adjusting global trimmed mean signal intensity value to ensure the scale factors were in the range of 1.000 - 3.000.

**Statistical Analysis**

The microarray data analysis of Affymetrix GeneChip HG U133A array was carried out using the TM4 suite [7]. We applied total intensity normalization to analyze the microarray data. Differential expression of genes in the expression profiles of microarray was detected using Student’s t-test. To compare ER+ and ER- groups, which consisted of unequal number of tumor tissue samples, we applied between subjects t-test. Two test statistic or level of significance to differentiate significant and non significant genes was applied. Probability value of 0.01 was used as test criteria or significance criteria. If the calculated p-value for a gene was less than or equal to the user input alpha (critical p-value), the gene was considered to be significant (p≤0.01).

We applied two class paired Significance Analysis of Microarrays (SAM) test to pick out significant genes based on differential expression between the study groups. SAM gives estimates of the False Discovery Rate (FDR), which is the proportion of genes likely to have been wrongly identified by chance as being significant.

**Quantitative Real-Time Polymerase Chain Reaction (qRT-PCR)**

The concentration of the RNA samples was determined using the NanoDrop ND-1000 Spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies Inc.). One - two hundreds μg of total RNAs were reverse-transcribed using AMV and the random hexamer primer (First strand cDNA synthesis kit, Roche). Real-time RT-PCR was performed using Taqman probe. Probes for GAPDH (cat. no.: 04688589001), CD151 (cat. no.: 04688660001) and LPIN1 (cat. no.: 04688301001) were purchased from Universal ProbeLibrary (Roche Diagnostics) and the primers were synthesized from Bioneer. Due to limitation in the samples, verification test for CD151 and LPIN1 were conducted with 45 (27 ER+ and 18 ER-) and 40 samples (25 ER+ and 15 ER-), respectively. The primer sequences for GAPDH, CD151 and LPIN1 were listed in Table 1.

**Table 1. Primer Sequences for qRT-PCR**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Size Amplicons (nt)</th>
<th>Sequences 5’------------------- 3’</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GAPDH</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>Forward ctgctctctctgtggcag &lt;br&gt; Reverse agacacaaatctggcagta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD151</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>Forward tctgcctgtactccact &lt;br&gt; Reverse tctctgagactcctggtt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPIN1</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>Forward tggggttgctgatacacaag &lt;br&gt; Reverse gtcttcaacgtgacaaa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Standard curves for CD151, LPIN1 and GAPDH were generated using 10X serial diluted purified target PCR products synthesized from normal breast total RNAs. Real-time PCR was carried out in 20 μL volumes containing 4 μg of cDNA, 1X LightCycler® LC480 Probe Master, 2 μL of probes and 0.8 - 1.0 pmol of each primer. Real-time PCR
was carried out on LightCycler LC480 using 96 well plate in duplicate. The amplification program consisted of pre-incubation at 95 °C with a 10 min hold, denaturation at 95 °C with a 10 s hold, followed by annealing at 54 °C with a 10 s hold (40 cycles) and extension at 72 °C with a 1 s hold. A water control was included in each run as negative control. Gene expressions of the samples were obtained by comparing the crossing points (Cp) with the log concentrations of standard curves. The obtained gene expression or concentration of the gene was then normalized with GAPDH. The ratio of mRNA expression of CD151 and LPIN1 in ER+ breast tumors vs ER- breast tumors were calculated based on the mean expression of the genes in ER+ and ER- groups.

RESULTS

A total of 181 significant differentially expressed probes or 180 differentially expressed genes were identified between ER+ and ER- group at 99% confident interval level [Supplementary 1]. In the SAM analysis, 174 probes out of 181 probes are significant. Seventy nine and 95 probes were significant positive and negative in ER+ tumors, respectively (Fig. 1). Seventy nine positive significant probes and 95 negative significant probes were derived from 79 and 94 genes, respectively. Eleven out of 79 significant positive probes showed > 2 fold change (Table 2). They were LGALS8, ABCA3, SCCPDH, RABEP2, EFHC1, ACOT1 & ACOT2, NOVA1, CADM4, CD151, DCI and TFF3. None of the significant negative probes showed > 2 fold change.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Mean Intensity (ER-)</th>
<th>Mean Intensity (ER+)</th>
<th>Fold Change (ER+/ ER-)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LGALS8</td>
<td>-3.6456</td>
<td>-2.8436</td>
<td>2.6233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABCA3</td>
<td>-3.3564</td>
<td>-2.4405</td>
<td>2.2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCCPDH</td>
<td>-1.6983</td>
<td>-0.7298</td>
<td>2.1010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RABEP2</td>
<td>-3.4750</td>
<td>-2.5494</td>
<td>2.1680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFHC1</td>
<td>-2.2806</td>
<td>-1.5181</td>
<td>2.0465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACOT1/ACOT2</td>
<td>-3.5013</td>
<td>-2.5120</td>
<td>2.0619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOVA1</td>
<td>-6.0406</td>
<td>-4.6487</td>
<td>5.0627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CADM4</td>
<td>-5.1421</td>
<td>-4.2402</td>
<td>2.1417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD151</td>
<td>-3.2489</td>
<td>-2.3142</td>
<td>2.0915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCI</td>
<td>-2.6556</td>
<td>-1.900</td>
<td>2.0033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFF3</td>
<td>-0.6427</td>
<td>0.5047</td>
<td>2.9876</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The standard curves for CD151, LPIN1 and GAPDH were successfully obtained (Figs. 2a-d, 3a-d). The PCR amplification efficiencies for CD151 and GAPDH genes were 1.674 and 1.758, respectively while PCR efficiencies for LPIN1 and GAPDH genes were 1.921 and 1.843,
respectively. The qRT-PCR results showed that the expressions of CD151 and LPIN1 were consistent with the microarray data. The expression of CD151 and LPIN1 were significantly up-regulated and down-regulated by 1.577 fold and 0.684 fold, respectively in ER+ group relative to ER- group. The mean expression of CD151 and LPIN1 for ER+ and ER- groups were shown in Table 3. As expected, the expression ratio of CD151 and LPIN1 in ER+ vs ER- group were slightly different from microarray result since qRT-PCR is more sensitive than microarray.

DISCUSSION

The ER is an important bio-marker in the therapeutic target and prognostic prediction of breast cancer patients. ER+ tumors exhibit a well-differentiated phenotype and respond more effectively to endocrine treatment [8, 9]. Therefore, it has better survival rate and lower risk of any recurrence. Although ER has been considered as a useful determinant in predicting response in endocrine therapies, approximately 30% of ER+ tumors are resistant to hormonal
therapies or estrogen deprivation therapies [10]. The percentage of patient response to endocrine therapy varies between different ER and PR status. The response in endocrine therapy in ER+/ PR+, ER+/ PR-, ER-/ PR+ and ER-/ PR- are 78%, 34%, 45% and 10%, respectively [11]. The reasons for this scenario are still unknown. Hence, ER status alone is insufficient to serve as a predictive biomarker for breast cancer endocrine therapy. Additional genes involved in the molecular genetics of ER-dependent breast cancer are yet to be determined.

Our results revealed a set of 180 differentially expressed genes, which showed a distinct pattern of expression between ER+ and ER- tumors (p<0.01). These genes are involved in various functional categories such as cell adhesion molecule, signal transduction, cell cycle regulation, transcription factor, cell proliferation and metabolic processes. As expected, PGR and TFF1 were identified as up-regulated genes in ER+ breast tumors [12]. Besides ER, PGR and TFF1 were the two most useful predictive biomarkers for breast cancer endocrine therapy [13].

Fig. (2). (c) Amplification curves for internal control gene, GAPDH for normalization with CD151 expression. GAPDH standards were obtained by serial diluted purified target PCR products (The standard curves contained 1.06 x 10^{-1} ng, 1.06 x 10^{-3} ng and 1.06 x 10^{-5} ng of cDNAs). Amplification curves for sample designated as BC0183T and negative control were included in this graph. All samples were performed in duplicate. (d) The standard curve was imported to create the scatter plot of log concentration vs crossing point with PCR efficiency of 1.758.
The discovery of PGR has improved the predictive value of ER status. Although TFF1 is a well-established breast cancer biomarker, the use of TFF1 in predicting prognosis in hormonal therapies is still under research [14].

Consistent with previous findings, another trefoil factor gene, TFF3, is up-regulated in ER+ breast tumors [13]. TFF3 has been initially linked to the cancers of the gastrointestinal tract [15]. In vitro studies showed that TFF3 is expressed in ER+ breast cancer cell lines. This gene is believed to play a crucial role in cell migration by regulating the expression and cellular localization of APC and E-cadherin-catenin complexes [15, 16].

LGALS8, ABCA3, SCCPDH, RABEP2, EFHC1, ACOT1 & ACOT2, NOVA1, CADM4, CD151, DCI and TFF3 were identified as significant over-expressed genes by > 2 fold change in ER+ breast tumors. We compared these genes with five published microarray studies examining breast tumor cases from the Oncomine database [17-21]. Our findings revealed that all the genes except CADM4 were reported as significant over-expressed genes in these studies.

CADM4 is a cell adhesion molecule, the alteration of which might disrupt the intercellular contact of cells and lead to the local invasion and spreading of tumor cells [22]. However, the mechanism underlying the role of CADM4 in the tumorigenesis of estrogen-dependent breast cancer is not well understood.

Besides CADM4, other cell adhesion molecules identified in ER+ breast tumors were MCAM and CD151. The role of CD151 gene in cell motility highlighted its function as a promoter in the tumor progression and metastasis events [23]. Over-expression of CD151 was found to be associated with poor prognosis in non-small cell carcinoma of the lung, colon and prostate [24-26]. In breast cancer, CD151 is elevated in approximately 31% of the cases and it is significantly related to the high-grade (40%) and estrogen receptor negative (45%) subtypes [27]. Reduced CD151 expression is likely to cause delay in tumor cell progression [27]. Our gene expression data showed that CD151 was over-expressed in ER+ breast subtype, which is contradictory with previous research findings. This scenario might be caused by the different cell type and extracellular
matrix components of the breast tumor cells and the expression of the integrin alpha3 beta1 gene in the cells [27].

Table 3. Comparison of Microarray and qRT-PCR Results in ER+ and ER- Breast Cancers. The qRT-PCR Results Showed that CD151 and LPIN1 Were Over-Expressed and Under-Expressed, Respectively in ER+ Breast Cancer and they were Reproducible with Microarray Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>ER+ (Mean)</th>
<th>ER- (Mean)</th>
<th>Ratio ER+/ER-</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CD151</td>
<td>Microarray</td>
<td>-2.314</td>
<td>-3.249</td>
<td>0.712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>qRT-PCR</td>
<td>0.304</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>1.577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPIN1</td>
<td>Microarray</td>
<td>0.216</td>
<td>0.508</td>
<td>-0.426</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|       | qRT-PCR    | 0.00522    | 0.00763    | -0.684       

Fig. (3). (c) Amplification curves for internal control gene, GAPDH for normalization with LPIN 1 expression. GAPDH standards were obtained by serial diluted purified target PCR products (The standard curves contained 1.06 x 10 ng, 1.06 x 10² ng and 1.06 x 10⁴ ng of cDNAs). Amplification curves for sample designated as BC0190T and negative control were included in this graph. All samples were performed in duplicate. (d) The standard curve was imported to create the scatter plot of log concentration vs crossing point with PCR efficiency of 1.843.

Wnts belong to a large family of cysteine-rich secreted glycoproteins, consisting of at least 19 members in humans [28-30]. Wnts play important roles in multiple cellular processes during development, including migration, apoptosis, polarity, differentiation and stem cell self-renewal [31]. Wnt2 is a proto-oncogene implicated in the canonical or beta-catenin pathway. Differential expression of Wnt2 is detected in various carcinomas involving breast, stomach and colon [32, 33]. Our SAM results showed that Wnt2 is significantly up-regulated in ER- breast tumors. Up-regulation of Wnt2 could activate up to 60% of downstream components in beta-catenin signaling pathway [34]. The activation of downstream target genes in beta-catenin signaling pathway such as cyclin D1, c-myc and AXIN is believed to promote tumorigenesis in mammary glands [31].

Interestingly, our results differ from past research reports which postulated that Wnt2 is up-regulated in estrogen-
dependent tumors [33]. We suggest that differential expression of Wnt2 may or may not relate to the hormone estrogen. The downstream effect of down-regulation of Wnt2 in the presence of estrogen hormone is unknown. It probably involves inactivation of certain tumor suppressor genes or apoptosis related genes.

GATA3 is identified as a positive significant gene in ER+. The GATA3 is a potential tumor marker in breast cancer and its expression is strongly correlated with ER+ breast tumors [35]. Over-expression of GATA3 is likely to regulate genes, which are critical in the estrogen-responsive breast cancer phenotype. Besides ER, GATA3 has been suggested as a potential indicator for the hormone responsiveness in breast cancer patients. Hence, more extensive functional study of GATA3 in breast cancer is important to delineate the role of GATA3 pathway in oncogenesis of breast cancer.

We randomly selected 2 differentially expressed genes, CD151 and LPIN1 to validate by qRT-PCR. Our qRT-PCR results were consistent with the microarray data. This further indicated that our microarray data was reproducible and reliable.

To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first of its kind to report on gene expression study of estrogen receptor status in multi races of Malaysian breast cancer patients. A total of 180 potential genes that may explain the phenotype differences seen in ER+ and ER- tumors were identified. We conclude that differential expression of CADM4, CD151 and GATA3 might be important and therefore require further investigation to determine their role in the carcinogenesis of estrogen-dependent breast tumors. Identification of CADM4, CD151 and GATA3 would contribute to the understanding of genes involved in the estrogen-dependent carcinogenesis pathway, and improved the diagnosis of breast carcinoma thereby helping in the course of treatment.

ABBREVIATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ER</td>
<td>Estrogen receptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGR</td>
<td>Progesterone receptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFF1</td>
<td>Trefoil factor 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFF3</td>
<td>Trefoil factor 3 (intestinal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APC</td>
<td>Adenomatous polyposis coli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGALS8</td>
<td>Lectin, galactoside-binding, soluble, 8 (galectin 8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABCA3</td>
<td>ATP-binding cassette, sub-family A (ABC1), member 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCCPDH</td>
<td>Saccharopine dehydrogenase (putative)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RABEP2</td>
<td>Rabaptin, RAB GTPase binding effector protein 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFHC1</td>
<td>EF-hand domain (C-terminal) containing 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACOT1</td>
<td>Acyl-CoA thioesterase 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACOT2</td>
<td>Acyl-CoA thioesterase 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOVA1</td>
<td>Neuro-ontological ventral antigen 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CADM4</td>
<td>Cell adhesion molecule 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD151</td>
<td>CD151 molecule (Raph blood group)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DCI = Dodecenoyl-Coenzyme A delta isomerase (3,2 trans-enoyl-Coenzyme A isomerase)
Wnt2 = Wingless-type MMTV integration site family member 2
GATA3 = GATA binding protein 3
LPIN1 = Lipin 1
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