Metastatic Lobular Carcinoma of the Breast in Pleural Fluid: Diagnostic Pitfall for Mesothelial Cells
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Abstract: Cytomorphologic patterns of breast carcinoma in effusion include three-dimensional groups of tightly packed clusters for invasive ductal type and single files with cytoplasmic signet ring-like cells for invasive lobular type. A mesothelial-like cell pattern has been described for metastatic lobular carcinoma in pleural fluid. We report a case of a patient with a remote history of lobular carcinoma of the breast who presented with hypocellular pleural effusion with the mesothelial-like cell pattern. Without the previous clinical history and the help of marker studies, the mesothelial-like cell pattern of metastatic lobular carcinoma to pleural fluid may be difficult to distinguish from benign reactive/atypical mesothelial cells.
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INTRODUCTION

Lobular carcinoma is a type of mammary carcinoma characterized by multifocality and cytomorphic features of small, relatively uniform, bland appearing tumor cells with single file pattern without stromal desmoplasia. Lobular carcinoma of the breast has a greater propensity to metastasize to the leptomeninges, peritoneal surfaces, retroperitoneum, gastrointestinal tract, reproductory organs and bone in contrast to the ductal carcinoma, which shows common metastasis to the lung, liver, brain and pleural cavity [1]. Infiltrating lobular carcinoma is one of the most difficult types to identify in fine-needle aspiration as well as body fluid cytology because of the innocuous appearance of the tumor cells and the lack of cohesive clusters, thus being a frequent cause of false negative diagnosis on cytology especially when the specimen is paucicellular [2-4]. It is also known that when the lobular carcinoma metastasizes to the body fluid, the distinction between metastatic carcinoma cells and mesothelial cells can be very difficult. In this report, we demonstrate a case of metastatic lobular carcinoma in pleural fluid mimicking benign and reactive mesothelial cells which could have lead to false negative diagnosis if we had no knowledge of clinical history, clinical presentation and without the help of ancillary studies.

CASE REPORT

A 60-year-old woman was admitted to the hospital due to dyspnea and shortness of breath worse on exertion, for a few months. She had a history of breast cancer from the right side 16 years ago and had undergone lumpectomy with axillary lymph node dissection, chemotherapy and radiation therapy. The tumor was reported as an invasive lobular carcinoma, classic type with 4 of 11 lymph nodes involved. Her initial chest X-ray on admission showed near-complete opacification of the right hemithorax, most likely due to large right pleural effusion and atelectasis. She then had a follow up chest CT which revealed large right tension hydrothorax, suspicious for malignant pleural effusion. Subsequent MRI showed a large right pleural effusion suggestive of pleural carcinomatosis and multiple rib metastases involving the right 5th, 7th, left 5th and 6th ribs. Multiple lymph nodes including right cardiophrenic angle, right internal mammary and right periaortic lymph nodes were enlarged concerning for metastasis clinically. She has undergone thoracentesis and a pleural fluid specimen of 800 yellow cloudy material was sent for cytologic evaluation. Papanicolaou (PAP), May-Grunewald-Giemsa (MGG) stains and cell block were made.

Cytologic Findings

The pleural fluid cytology specimen was hypocellular composed of small lymphoid cells, histiocytes and benign mesothelial cells and a few dyshesive and loosely cohesive atypical cells (Figs. 1, 2). Some of the atypical cells were singly scattered or loosely aggregated with three or more cells with rare linear pattern, mimicking reactive mesothelial cells. These atypical cells had slightly irregular nuclei with occasional prominent nucleoli and high nuclear to cytoplasmic ratio. There were occasional cells with vacuolization and peripheral lucent zone in the cytoplasm (Fig. 3). While the cells showed two dimensional, flat, and loosely arranged sheets of cells as seen in reactive mesothelial cells, these cells lacked two-tone cytoplasm; dense inner cytoplasm and paler outer layer, cytoplasmic windows between cells, knobby cytoplasmic borders, and peripheral halos. The cell block section showed more cellular material, admixed with acute inflammatory cells, lymphocytes, mesothelial cells and scattered dispersed,
dyshesive, mildly atypical larger cells (Fig. 4). These larger cells had plasmacytoid features with eccentrically placed nuclei and were monomorph in appearance. No evidence of intracytoplasmic mucin targetoid vacuoles was noted in the cytoplasm in the cell block section. The differential diagnosis included metastatic lobular carcinoma and reactive/typical mesothelial cell proliferation.

**Immunohistochemical Findings**

Immunohistochemical stains were performed on the cell block of the pleural fluid.

The cell block was fixed in 10% neutral-buffered formalin prior to immunohistochemical stains. The immunohistochemical staining was performed on the Ventana Benchmark XT system (Tucson, AZ) with iView DAB detection. The antibodies used were: BerEP4, B72.3, CD15, MOC-31, Calretinin, and estrogen receptor (ER). The immunostains performed on the cell block from the pleural fluid were positive for B72.3 (10-15% of the cells) and ER (10-15% of the cells) and negative for BerEP4, CD15, and MOC-31. Scattered cells were positive for Calretinin representing background mesothelial cells (Figs. 5, 6). We retrieved the slides and blocks from her original tumor 16 years ago and performed breast biomarkers. Her original tumor was reported “ER positive” without quantification because it was a ligand assay test. Immunohistochemical stains for ER and PR on the original tumor block showed strong positivity (95% with 3+ intensity) for both markers and Her-2/neu was not overexpressed with a score of 1+. FISH Her-2/neu test was also performed on the original tumor section and revealed no amplification. Cytomorphology of the invasive lobular carcinoma from the original tumor was similar to atypical cells seen in the pleural fluid. We concluded the atypical cells in the pleural fluid as metastatic lobular carcinoma by the cytologic findings, clinical suspicion and immunostain results.

**DISCUSSION**

The metastasis of lobular carcinoma in pleural fluid is rare and very difficult to detect due to tumor cells resembling reactive mesothelial cells [1]. The inherent cytologic
characteristics of lobular carcinoma cells as small size and bland appearance especially in scanty cellular material in cavity fluid may lead to false-negative diagnosis. This case highlights the importance of performing immunostains when the pleural fluid specimens show reactive/atypical mesothelial-like cells in the setting of a clinical history suspicious for metastatic lobular carcinoma. There are limited reported cases in literature that describes metastatic lobular carcinoma to pleural effusion. Lobular carcinoma is reported to have predilection for peritoneal spread rather than pleural cavity [2, 3]. The largest series of metastatic carcinomas in effusions was reviewed by Antic et al., [4] with metastatic lobular carcinoma in only 2 out of 249 cases, (0.8%) in pleural fluid and 21.6% in peritoneal fluid.

Several cytologic patterns of breast lobular carcinoma in body fluid have been described, which includes cannonball or three-dimensional cluster pattern, single-cell or mesothelial-like cell pattern [4-7]. The single or mesothelial-like cell pattern, which is characterized by cell monotoncy, eccentric nuclei with plasmacytoid features, secretory vacuoles and intracytoplasmic lumina, is characteristic of metastatic lobular carcinoma although it can be also found in 6.0% of metastatic ductal carcinoma [4]. However, unlike in metastatic lobular carcinoma, most of ductal carcinoma cells show nuclear pleomorphism with overt malignant features. Pleomorphic lobular carcinoma in pleural fluid has been described by Monaco S et al., [8]. The differential diagnosis of pleomorphic lobular carcinoma in the body fluid includes not only reactive/atypical mesothelial cells but also malignant epithelioid mesothelioma.

Danner et al., [9] also emphasized the characteristic single cell appearance with hyperchromatic nuclei, scanty cytoplasm and conspicuous nucleoli and their single file configuration and bull’s-eye arrangement as basic patterns in effusions. The bland cytologic characteristics of tumor cells make the cytologic distinction between tumor cells and reactive mesothelial cells very difficult. The mesothelial cells are known to have distinct cytologic features, such as two-tone cytoplasm (dense inner cytoplasm and paler outer layer), windows between mesothelial cells, and peripheral halos representing the microvillous border [9]. Two dimensional, flat, and loosely arranged sheets of cells are the pattern frequently seen in reactive mesothelial cells [10]. Mesothelial cells are also known to have a variety of reactive changes in the setting of various diseases.

Metastatic lobular carcinoma cells in body fluid are easy to misdiagnose as reactive mesothelial cells because of the relative bland appearing cytomorphology and the resultant difficulty to perceive foreignness in fluid cytology. Although the metastatic lobular carcinoma cells in the present case seem to be arranged singly or in more loosely aggregated small clusters with rare linear pattern, the morphologic differences between tumor cells and mesothelial cells are so subtle that it was very difficult to discern the two types of cells without the help of ancillary studies such as immunostains.

Several immunostain markers are helpful to differentiate metastatic adenocarcinoma from reactive/atypical mesothelial cells. Carcinoembryonic antigen (mCEA), B72.3, BerEP4, Leu M1 (CD 15), and MOC-31 are the commonly used epithelial markers whereas calretinin, D2-40, WT-1, HBME-1, and cytokeratin 5/6 can be helpful to support a mesothelial origin [11, 12]. Of those epithelial cell markers, MOC-31 is reported to be a superior marker to differentiate metastatic lobular carcinoma from the mesothelial cells since it was reported to be positive in 89% of metastatic lobular carcinoma whereas Ber-EP4 showed positivity in only
27.5% [13]. Our case revealed negativity for MOC-31 in the tumor cells. A few positive cells for ER (estrogen receptor), and B72.3 supported the presence of metastatic lobular carcinoma in our case.

Most patients with a malignant effusion have a known history. If a positive effusion is the first sign of an unsuspected malignancy of lobular carcinoma of the breast, false-negative diagnosis may result. Subtle clues among the cytologic features may include singly scattered small atypical cells with occasional linear or single file pattern and intracytoplasmic lumina, if present, may be helpful findings in pleural effusions in women. In conclusion, considering the difficulty of appreciating a foreign population in cases of metastatic lobular carcinoma in body fluid, it is of the utmost importance to have a high index of suspicion accompanied by meticulous cytologic inspection and initiation of further ancillary studies, especially in patients with a history of breast carcinoma.
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