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Abstract:

Background: The genus *Achillea* is one of the most important genus of the Asteraceae family and many species of *Achillea* are used in traditional medicine to treat several ailments. Aim: The aim of the current research was to evaluate in vitro cytotoxic activities of n-hexan, chloroform, ethyl acetate and methanol extracts and to isolate the active compounds from the extract showing the strongest cytotoxic activity. In addition to this, it was aimed to evaluate the biological activities (cytotoxic, antioxidant, anti-urease, anticholinesterase, antimicrobial) of different extracts and active compounds from *Achillea monocephala*. Methods and Materials: The in vitro antioxidant, cytotoxic, anti-urease, anticholinesterase and antimicrobial activities of different extracts from *A. monocephala* aerial parts were examined. The structures of the active compounds were determined by NMR techniques, UV, IR and LC-MS/MS analysis and their biological potential was examined. Results: The chloroform extract showed strong and selective cytotoxic activity on the cancer cell lines (MDA-MB-231, MCF-7). Besides, this extract exhibited stronger antimicrobial activity than other extracts. Therefore, through activity-guided procedures, luteolin, naringenin and 8-hydroxy-salvigenin compounds were isolated from this extract. The methanol extract showed stronger antioxidant (DPPH, ABTS, CUPRAC) and anticholinesterase activity than other extracts. The n-hexan extract exhibited the highest anti-urease activity. In this study, it was determined that the isolated compounds had a strong biological activity. Naringenin compound had stronger ABTS radical cation scavenging and ferric reducing/antioxidant power, cytotoxic and antimicrobial activity than other compounds. 8-hydroxy-salvigenin compound showed the highest urease and acetylcholinestase enzyme inhibition. Conclusion: The results of this study suggest that the extracts and isolated compounds from the *A. monocephala* may be used as antioxidant, cytotoxic, anti-urease, anticholinesterase and antimicrobial agents in the future. Keywords: *A. monocephala*, Biological activity, Luteolin, Naringenin, 8-hydroxy-salvigenin, Cytotoxic.

1. INTRODUCTION

The genus *Achillea* is one of the most important genus of the Asteraceae family and has more than 100 species that grow wild in different regions of the world. The main habitats of this genus are concentrated in different parts of Iran, Turkey, Serbia and Eastern regions of Europe. The phytochemical studies of *Achillea* species reveal that this genus contains many components (flavones, guaianolides, lignans, non-saturated carboxylic acids, phenolic glycosides, phthalate derivatives, piperidine amides, polyacetylenes, proazulenes, sesquiterpene...
lactone diol, sesquiterpene lactones, sesquiterpenes, spiroleppressolide, tannins, triterpene alkaloids). Since the genus Achillea is widespread all over the world, many species of this genus have been used as traditional herbal medicines by the local population. It is known that there are many reports highlighting the ethnopharmacological uses of different species of Achillea. Many species of Achillea have been used as tonic, anti-inflammatory, anti-spasmodic, diaphoretic, diuretic and emmenagogic agents, wound healing and carminative. Achillea species are among the most important indigenous economic plants of Anatolia. Herbal teas prepared from some species of this genus are traditionally used in the treatment of abdominal pain and flatulence in Turkey [1 - 3]. Globally cancer is one of the diseases that has seriously affected the human population. In recent years, natural compounds which are thought to have less toxic side effects than current treatments have attracted the attention of researchers. The number of studies on the use of compounds and extracts isolated from medicinal plants instead of synthetic compounds has increased in recent years [4 - 6]. To The best of our knowledge, there no reports on cytotoxic guided substance isolation of endemic Achillea monocephala. Hence, the aim of the current research was to evaluate in vitro cytotoxic activities of n-hexane, chloroform, ethyl acetate and methanol extracts and to isolate the active compounds from the extract showing the strongest cytotoxic activity. In addition to this, it was aimed to evaluate the biological activities (cytotoxic, antioxidant, anti-urease, anticholinesterase, antimicrobial) of the different extracts and active compounds from the plant.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Chemical Reagents

DPPH (2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl), Folin –Ciocalteu (FCR), ascorbic acid, acetylcholinesterase (AChE), galantamine were obtained from Sigma Chemical Co. (Sigma-Aldrich GmbH, Sternheim, Germany). All other chemicals and solvents were of analytical grade. The column chromatography (CC) was achieved by using silica gel 0.2-0.5-mm (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) as stationary phases. Kieselgel 63 F254 (Merck) precoated plates were used for thin layer chromatography. Fetal bovine serum (FBS), Leibovitz’s L15 Medium, McCoy’s 5a Medium, RPMI 1640 Medium, Eagle’s Minimum Essential Medium (E’MEM), penicillin-streptomycin, cholera toxin, and transferrin were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Seelze, Germany). MEGM Kit was obtained from Lonza/Clonetics Corporation (Basel, Switzerland).

2.2. Spectroscopic Methods

The NMR spectroscopic analyses were performed on a Bruker Avance III (500 MHz). MS analyses were performed using Q-TOF-LC/MS (Agilent6530, CA, US). Shimadzu UV-1800 and FT-IR Affinity-1 spectrophotometer was used for UV and IR spectra, respectively.

2.3. Plant Material and Preparation of Plant Extracts

The aerial parts of Achillea monocephala Boiss. et Bal. were authenticated by Prof. Dr. Turan Arabacı. A voucher specimen (TA3042) was deposited at the herbarium of the Faculty of Pharmacy, İnönü University, for future reference.

2.4. Extraction and Isolation

The plant aerial parts were dried in shade (25°C) and grounded in a mechanic grinder (Renas, RBT1250) to a fine powder. The powdered sample (350 g) was extracted with organic solvents such as n-hexan, chloroform, ethyl acetate and methanol using the maceration method, respectively, until colorless. The organic phase was evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. All the extracts obtained were stored at 4 °C for future analysis. The chloroform subextract (8 g) was submitted to silica gel column and eluted with n-hexan, chloroform and methanol mixture of increasing polarity, n-hexan (100%, 700 mL), n-hexan: chloroform (30%, 50%, 2x500 mL), chloroform (100%, 700 mL), chloroform: methanol (30%, 50%, 2x500 mL), methanol (100%, 500 mL), to obtain thirteen fractions. After these sub-fractions were controlled by TLC, similar ones were combined (Fr.I-V). Fr. I (0.40 g) was applied to a silica gel column using mixtures of toluene: ethyl acetate (7:3) to yield lutelin (4.3 mg). Fr. III. was applied to preparative TLC using mixtures of toluene: ethyl acetate: methanol (70:30:10) to yield naringenin (6.2 mg). Fr. V. was applied to a silica gel column using mixtures of toluene: ethyl acetate (80:20; 70:30, 50:50) and ethyl acetate: methanol (80:20; 70:30, 50:50) to yield 8-hydroxy-salvigenin (5.1 mg).

2.5. Cytotoxic Activity of Different Extracts from Plant

The cell culture and maintenance: MDA-MB-231 cells (as standard cell line from human breast carcinoma cells), MCF-7 cells (as standard cell line from human breast carcinoma cells) and 184A1 (as standard human normal breast/epithelium cells) were obtained from American Type Cell Culture Collection (ATCC, Germany). MDA-MB-231 cells were cultured in Leibovitz’s L15 Medium, MCF-7 cells were cultured in E’MEM and 184A1 cells were cultured in MEBM. The medium was supplemented with 10% FBS, 100 U/mL of penicillin and 100 ng/mL of streptomycin. Trypan blue exclusion test was used for the estimation of the number of viable cells.

Cytotoxicity assay: The cytotoxic activity of extracts and isolated compounds on the cells were examined by ATP levels measured with luminescence test (Cell-Titer-Glo Luminescent Cell Viability Assay, Promega). Cells were seeded onto 96-well plates at a density of 1.5×10^4 cells per well and incubated overnight at 37°C in 5% CO_2. The medium was then changed with a fresh complete medium including varying concentrations of extracts and isolated compounds. Control cells were treated with 0.1% DMSO. Cells were left for incubation under humidified 5% CO_2 and 95% O_2 at 37°C for 24 hours. Afterward, the cells were rinsed with the culture medium and examined for ATP. Each sample was supplemented with 100 µL of the reagent (Cell Titer-Glo Luminescent Cell Viability Assay, Promega), mixed for 2 minutes and incubated for 10 minutes at room temperature. The results were evaluated with a luminometer (Varioscan Flash Multimode Reader, Thermo, Waltham, MA). The light emitted in the presence of ATP was measured as relative light units.
2.6. Antioxidant Study

2.6.1. DPPH Radical Scavenging Assay

240 µL 0.1 mM DPPH solution was added to 10 µL sample of the extracts and active compounds. The prepared mixture was stirred for 1 min and placed at 25°C for 30 min. The mixture absorbance was determined at the reference of 517 nm. The control sample was prepared under the same conditions using 10 µL of methanol instead of experimental and standard materials and the control sample was daily measured. The experiment was performed three times and the averages of the data and standard deviation were calculated. The data were given as IC₅₀/mg/mL [8].

2.6.2. ABTS Radical Cation Scavenging Assay

40 µL of extracts/active compounds were prepared and then 3960 µL of ABTS’ working solution was added on the prepared mixture. The mixture absorbance was determined against the reference at 734 nm for 6 min. The control sample was prepared under the same conditions with the use of 40 µL distilled water instead of experimental and standard materials. The control sample was measured daily. ABTS radical scavenging determination was applied to trolox solutions prepared at different concentrations (0.2-1 mM). The results of this study were given as mM trolox/mg extract [9].

2.6.3. Ferric Reducing/Antioxidant Power (FRAP) Assay

The method of Benzie and Strain (1996) was applied to the extracts/active compounds in order to estimate the ferric reducing ability. The FRAP reagent [25 mL 300 mM acetate buffer (pH 3.6), 2.5 mL of TPTZ solution and 2.5 mL 20 mM FeCl₃·6H₂O] was kept at 37°C for 30 min. 190 µL FRAP reagent was mixed with 10 µL extract and the mixture absorbance was determined at 593 nm after 4 min. FRAP values of the extracts were given as mM Fe⁺/mg extract [10].

2.6.4. Cupric Ion Reducing/Antioxidant Power (CUPRAC) Assay

Briefly, 60 µL Cu(II)x2H₂O, 60 µL neocuproine and 60 µL NH₄Ac (1 M) were mixed. Then, 60 µL of the extracts/active compounds and 10 µL of ethanol were added to the mixture. After the duration time of 60 min, the mixture absorbance was spectrophotometrically measured at 450 nm. CUPRAC values of the extracts were given as mM trolox/mg extract [11].

2.6.5. Total Phenolic Contents

Total phenolic content of plant extracts was determined with the Folin-Ciocalteu reagent (FCR) method. Briefly, 0.1 mL of the extract (5-0.5 mg/mL) was put in a plate and 4.5 mL of water was added. Then, 0.1 mL of the Folin-Ciocalteu reagent (diluted 1:3 with distilled water) and 0.3 mL of 2% sodium carbonate solution were added to the mixture. The mixture was left at room temperature for 2 h, and then absorbance was measured against the reference at 760 nm. Total phenolic contents were expressed as mg of gallic acid equivalents per mg of the extract [12].

2.7. Anti-urease Activity Assay

Briefly, working solution (100 µL) was taken and then urease (500 µL) was added on it. The mixture was incubated at 37°C for 30 min. Then, 1100 µL of urea was added to this mixture and kept in the incubator at 37°C for 30 min. R1 (1% phenol, 0.005% sodium nitroprusside) and R2 (0.5% NaOH, 0.1% sodium hypochlorite) reagents were added to the mixture, respectively. After the incubation period at 37°C for 2 h, the absorbance of samples was measured at 635 nm [13].

2.8. Anticholinesterase Activity Assay

Briefly, AChE (20 µL) and different concentrations of extracts (20 µL) were added to phosphate buffer solution (pH 8.20, 0.1 M, 40 µL). This mixture was incubated at 25°C for 10 min. After incubation, DTNB (100 µL) and AcI (20 µL) as substrate were added to the mixture. The same procedure was applied to the galantamine used as standard. The 5-thio-2-nitrobenzoic acid was spectrophotometrically measured at 412 nm [14].

2.9. Antimicrobial Activity

The antimicrobial activities of the extracts and compounds were examined by microbroth dilution method against Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 25923, Staphylococcus epidermidis ATCC 12228, Escherichia coli ATCC 25922, Klebsiella pneumoniae ATCC 4352, Proteus vulgaris ATCC 13315, Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 27853, Candida albicans ATCC 90028, Candida glabrata ATCC 90030, Candida guilliermondii KUEN 998, Candida tropicalis KUEN 1021, Candida parapsilosis ATCC 90018 and Candida kru sei ATCC 6258 [15, 16].

2.10. Statistical Analysis

All the experiments were performed in triplicates. All data from the study were demonstrated as mean ± SD and analysed by the Graphpad Prism 5. Statistical differences between the study groups were analysed using two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey’s Multiple Comparison Test. Mean values were considered statistically significant when p<0.05.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Cytotoxic Activity of Different Extracts and Active Compounds from Plant

The cytotoxic activities of the n-hexan, chloroform, ethyl acetate and methanol extracts and active compounds on breast adenocarcinoma cell (MCF-7), breast adenocarcinoma cell receptor triple negative (MDA-MB-231) and healthy breast cell...
(184A1) were examined and results `given in Table 1. According to the results obtained from this study, it was determined that chloroform extract showed strong and selective cytotoxic activity against MDA-MB-231 (IC_{50}: 7.00 mg/mL) and MCF-7 (IC_{50}: 8.63 mg/mL) cell lines. It was also found that the methanol extract had low activity against MCF-7 (IC_{50}: 25.32 mg/mL) and MDA-MB-231 (IC_{50}: 20.30 mg/mL) cell lines. When the results of the extracts on the normal cell line (184A1) were evaluated, the cytotoxic activity of the chloroform extract on cancer cells (IC_{50}: 7 mg/mL, 8.63 mg/mL) was found to be higher than in the normal cell line (IC_{50}: 15.82). Therefore, cytotoxic activity-guided isolation was made from chloroform extract and luteolin, naringenin and 8-hydroxy-salvigenin were isolated as the active components. When cytotoxic activities of active compounds were evaluated, it was determined that all compounds (especially naringenin compound) showed stronger and selective cytotoxic activity on cancer cells (MCF-7, MDA-MB-231) than normal cell. Therefore, it is contemplated that these compounds may be used in the future as cytotoxic agents.

Table 1. The cytotoxic activities of different extracts and active compounds from plant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extracts</th>
<th>- Inhibition (IC_{50} mg/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n-Hexan</td>
<td>14.73±0.06^a 8.63±0.12^b 20.27±0.05^c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>8.63±0.03^d 7.00±0.04^e 15.82±0.9^f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>18.93±0.08^a 10.73±0.09^b 24.54±0.20^c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>25.32±0.34^a 20.30±0.05^b 29.94±0.24^c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luteolin</td>
<td>2.13±0.09^a 2.41±0.06^a 4.03±0.09^a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-hydroxy-salvigenin</td>
<td>0.64±0.05^a 0.77±0.08^a 1.29±0.53^a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naringenin</td>
<td>0.57±0.23^a 0.73±0.12^b 1.05±0.08^c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean of triplicate determination (n = 3) ± standard deviation; Means with different superscripts are significantly different, p<0.05.

3.2. Structure Elucidation of the Active Components

The structures of the isolates were elucidated on the basis of their 1H NMR and HR-MS analysis and by comparison of their spectroscopic data with those published earlier.

3.2.1. 8-hydroxy-salvigenin

It was obtained as a yellow amorphous powder; C_{16}H_{10}O_{6}, UV (MeOH) λmax: 214, 271, 336. IR (MeOH) umax cm⁻¹: 3316 (OH), 2943 (C-H), 2831, 1680 (C=O), 1447, 1411, 1261, 1180, 1113, 1025, 663. HR-MS: m/z = 344.0872 g/mol, fragment ions: m/z = 329.0555; 314.0340; 286.0370; 271.0223; 242.0228; 186.0310 g/mol. 1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3); δ7.78 (3H, s), δ3.83 (3H, s), δ3.97 (3H, s), δH: 8.00 (dd, J=3.5 Hz; J=9 Hz, H-2'/6'), δH: 6.96 (dd, J=3.5 Hz; J=9.1 Hz, H-5'/3'); δH: 6.48(s, H-3); δH: 12.87 (s, H-5). These data were confirmed by the previous data [17 - 19].

3.2.2. Luteolin

It was obtained as a yellow amorphous powder. C_{16}H_{10}O_{5} HR-MS: m/z = 285.0477 [M-H] g/mol; UVmax (MeOH) nm: 255, 348; IR umax cm⁻¹: 3208 (OH), 1606 (C=O), 1508, 1442; 1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3); δ8.12 (1H, s, 5-OH), 6.70 (4H, ddd, J=8.3, 2.1 Hz, H-6'), 8.78 (1H, d, J=2.5 Hz, H-2'), 8.06 (1H, d, J=8.3 Hz, H-5'), 8.18 (1H, s, H-3), 8.43 (1H, d, J=2.3 Hz, H-8), 8.62 (1H, d, J=2.1 Hz, H-6). All data were identical with that of reported in literatüre [19 - 21].

3.2.3. Naringenin

C_{16}H_{10}O_{5}, HR-MS: m/z = 271.8542 [M-H] g/mol; UVmax (MeOH) nm: 288; IR umax cm⁻¹: 3271 (O-H), 1620 (C=O), 1590, 1500, 1083; 1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3); δ3.20 (1H, s, 5-OH), δ5.31 (H, dd, J=2.7, 12.99 Hz, H-2), δ3.12 (H, dd, J=1.31, 17.00 Hz, H-3), 8.51 (H, dd, J=2.9, 17.00 Hz, H-3), 8.65 (H, dd, J=2.3 Hz, H-6), 8.59 (H, dd, J=2.0, 8.0 Hz, H-8), 8.75 (H, dd, J=1.5, 8.2 Hz, H-2'/6'), 8.69 (H, dd, J=1.6, 8.3 Hz, H-3'/ H-5'). All data were identical with that of reported in literatüre (Fig. 1) [22 - 24].

3.3. Biological Activities of Isolated Compounds and Different Extracts from Plant

3.3.1. Total Phenolic Contents

The total phenolic contents of different extracts were analyzed and presented in Table 2. When we compared the results obtained, it was found that methanol extract had the highest amount of phenolic contents.

Table 2. The antioxidant activities and total phenolic contents of plant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>DPPH (IC_{50} mg/mL)</th>
<th>ABTS (mM trolox/mg extract)</th>
<th>FRAP assay (mM Fe²⁺/mg extract)</th>
<th>CUPRAC assay (mM trolox/mg extract)</th>
<th>Total phenolic (mgGAE/mg extract)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n-hexan</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.005±0.003^a</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.51±0.01^a</td>
<td>0.01±0.01^a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>0.90±0.16^a</td>
<td>0.025±0.007^b</td>
<td>0.167±0.009^c</td>
<td>1.03±0.03^d</td>
<td>0.002±0.001^e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>0.18±0.001^f</td>
<td>0.042±0.001^g</td>
<td>0.054±0.015^h</td>
<td>2.38±0.05^i</td>
<td>0.049±0.005^j</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>0.06±0.003^a</td>
<td>0.067±0.003^c</td>
<td>0.09±0.03^d</td>
<td>4.03±0.06^e</td>
<td>0.11±0.005^f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luteolin</td>
<td>0.07±0.002^d</td>
<td>0.77±0.54^e</td>
<td>3.48±0.94^g</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-hydroxy-salvigenin</td>
<td>0.091±0.048^h</td>
<td>8.73±0.99^i</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naringenin</td>
<td>0.151±0.029^k</td>
<td>5.70±0.20^l</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascorbic acid</td>
<td>0.004±0.9^m</td>
<td>1.3±0.1^n</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BHT</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.1±0.12^q</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BHA</td>
<td>0.006±0.6^r</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean of triplicate determination (n = 3) ± standard deviation; Means with different superscripts are significantly different, p<0.05; NA: not activity.
3.3.2. The Antioxidant Activity

The methanol extract from plant showed stronger DPPH free radical scavenging (IC<sub>50</sub>: 0.06 mg/mL), ABTS radical cation scavenging (0.067 mM trolox/mg extract) and cupric reducing antioxidant (4.03 mM trolox/mg extract) activity than other extracts. In addition, chloroform extract exhibited the highest ferric reducing activity (0.167 mM Fe<sup>2+</sup>/mg extract). In this study, it was found that n-hexan extract showed no activity in the two methods (DPPH, FRAP) and the lowest activity in the other two methods (ABTS, CUPRAC). As shown in Table 2, the antioxidant activities of all extracts were lower than that of standard compounds (ascorbic acid, BHT, BHA). The antioxidant activities of the compounds isolated from the plant were examined using ABTS and FRAP methods and naringenin was found to have the strongest antioxidant activity. It was also determined that the naringenin and 8-hydroxy-salvigenin compounds had stronger ferric reducing activity than the standard BHT. When antioxidant activities of extracts and isolated compounds were compared, it was determined that isolated compounds had stronger ABTS radical cation scavenging and ferric reducing activity than extracts.

3.3.3. Urease and Acetylcholinesterase Inhibitory Activity

The results of anti-urease and anticholinesterase activity of different extracts and active compounds are shown in Table 3. The n-hexan extract (IC<sub>50</sub>: 0.023 mg/mL) exhibited the strongest anti-urease activity. In this study, it was also found that chloroform (IC<sub>50</sub>: 0.031 mg/mL) and ethyl acetate extracts (IC<sub>50</sub>: 0.030 mg/mL) had a very close anti-urease activity. Besides, the anti-urease activities of all extracts was shown to be lower than that of the standard Thiourea. When the anticholinesterase activities of plant extracts were compared, it was found that methanol extract (IC<sub>50</sub>: 0.018 mg/mL) had activity close to the standard compound (IC<sub>50</sub>: 0.012 mg/mL) and strong anticholinesterase activity than other extracts. When the activities of the isolated compounds on enzyme inhibition were evaluated, it was found that the 8-hydroxy-salvigenin compound had strong inhibition activity on both urease (IC<sub>50</sub>: 0.043 mg/mL) and acetylcholinesterase (IC<sub>50</sub>: 0.01 mg/mL) enzymes.

3.3.4. Antimicrobial Activity

In this study, the ethyl acetate extract showed antifungal activity against <i>C. glabrata</i>, <i>C. krusei</i> and <i>C. parapsilosis</i> while it did not show against bacteria. Similarly, the n-hexan extract showed antifungal activity against <i>C. glabrata</i>, <i>C. guilliermondii</i>, <i>C. tropicalis</i>, <i>C. krusei</i> and <i>C. parapsilosis</i>. In contrast, we did not find any effect on bacteria. The methanol extract showed antifungal activity against <i>C. glabrata</i> and <i>C. krusei</i>. The chloroform extract showed antibacterial activity against <i>S. aureus</i> and antifungal activity against all standard <i>Candida</i> species. The luteolin compound showed antifungal activity against <i>C. albicans</i>, <i>C. guilliermondii</i>, <i>C. tropicalis</i>, <i>C. krusei</i> and <i>C. parapsilosis</i>. The naringenin compound showed antibacterial activity against <i>P. vulgaris</i>, <i>P. aeruginosa</i> and antifungal activity against <i>C. glabrata</i> species except <i>C. glabrata</i>. The 8-hydroxy-salvigenin compound showed antibacterial activity against <i>P. vulgaris</i>, <i>P. aeruginosa</i>, <i>S. epidermidis</i> and <i>E. coli</i> and antifungal activity against <i>C. albicans</i>, <i>C. glabrata</i>, <i>C. guilliermondii</i>, <i>C. krusei</i> and <i>C. parapsilosis</i> (Table 4).

---

**Table 3. The enzyme inhibitory activities of different extracts and active compounds from plant.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Urease inhibition (IC&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt; mg/mL)</th>
<th>Acetylcholinesterase inhibition (IC&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt; mg/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n-hexan</td>
<td>0.023±0.004&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.033±0.002&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>0.031±0.004&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.031±0.004&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>0.030±0.005&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.027±0.001&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>0.090±0.071&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.018±0.011&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luteolin</td>
<td>1.20±0.39&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.014±0.002&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-hydroxy-salvigenin</td>
<td>0.043±0.004&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.01±0.0001&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naringenin</td>
<td>0.083±0.049&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.033±0.006&lt;sup&gt;h&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiourea</td>
<td>0.002±0.001&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galantamine</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.012±0.001&lt;sup&gt;j&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean of triplicate determination (n = 3) ± standard deviation; Means with different superscripts (a-j) are significantly different, p<0.05.
**Table 4. Antimicrobial activities of different extracts and active compounds from plant.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard strains</th>
<th>Ethyl acetate</th>
<th>n-hexan</th>
<th>Methanol</th>
<th>Chloroform</th>
<th>Luteolin</th>
<th>Naringenin</th>
<th>8-hydroxy-salvigenin</th>
<th>Meropenem</th>
<th>Fluconazole</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>P. vulgaris</em></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. aeruginos</em></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0052</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>0.00025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. pneumoniae</em></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.000125</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. epidermidis</em></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.00006</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. aureus</em></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.0312</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.000125</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. coli</em></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>0.000008</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. albicans</em></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.0625</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.2968</td>
<td>0.0104</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>0.00025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. glabrata</em></td>
<td>4.125</td>
<td>4.125</td>
<td>4.125</td>
<td>4.125</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. guilliermondii</em></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.0312</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.0312</td>
<td>0.1484</td>
<td>0.0052</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>0.000031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. tropicalis</em></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.0312</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.0312</td>
<td>0.2968</td>
<td>0.0052</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.00025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. krusei</em></td>
<td>4.125</td>
<td>2.0625</td>
<td>4.125</td>
<td>2.0625</td>
<td>0.5937</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. parapsilosis</em></td>
<td>1.0312</td>
<td>1.0312</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.5156</td>
<td>0.1484</td>
<td>0.0052</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NT (not tested): Luteolin compound could not be tested against bacteria due to insufficient amount.

**MICs**: Minimum inhibitory concentration

**DISCUSSION**

A literature survey revealed that there are only two reports regarding the chemical contents and biological activity of *A. monocephala*. The contents of the essential oil obtained from the leaves and flowers of the plant were examined, borneol in the leaves and camphor, borneol, 1,8-cineole in the flowers were found to be major compounds [25, 26]. In another study, the biological activities of ethanol extracts from root and aerial parts of the plant were investigated. In this study, it was observed that the root extract exhibited strong antioxidant and the aerial extract strong anticholinesterase and anti-urease activities. The chloroform: methanol (1:1, v/v) extract from plant’s root exhibited strong cytoxic activity againsts HeLa cell line. In addition, quinic acid, malic acid, fumaric acid, chlorogenic acid, vanillic acid, rutin, luteolin and apigenin compounds as major from root and aerial parts were analyzed using LC-MS/MS [27]. In the present study, different from the above studies, biological activities (antioxidant, cytotoxic, anti-urease, anticholinesterase, antimicrobial) of different extracts (n-hexan, chloroform, ethyl acetate and methanol) from aerial parts of the plants were investigated. The active compounds (luteolin, 8-hydroxy-salvigenin, naringenin) were then isolated from the chloroform extract showing strong cytotoxic activity. Finally, the biological activities (antioxidant, cytotoxic, anti-urease, anticholinesterase, antimicrobial) of the isolated compounds were determined and the relationship between the active compounds and the biological activity was determined. The biological activity of luteolin and naringenin compounds isolated from the plant has been previously reported in several studies. The antioxidant (DPPH, linoleic acid peroxidation, xanthine oxidase), antimicrobial and cytotoxic (SGC-7901, ABT-737 and HeLa cell lines) activities [35 - 39]. When we compare the results of naringenin compound with the literature, it was observed that we obtained parallel results. In addition, naringenin compound was found to have potent anti-urease and anticholinesterase activities in this study.

**CONCLUSION**

The results of this study clearly demonstrated that the plant has potent antioxidant, cytotoxic, anti-urease, anticholinesterase and antimicrobial activities. The methanol extract was found to exert significant *in vitro* antioxidant and anticholinesterase activity. The chloroform extract showed the strongest cytotoxic and antimicrobial activity. The active components in chloroform were determined to be luteolin, naringenin, 8-hydroxy-salvigenin. The naringenin and 8-hydroxy-salvigenin compounds exhibited the strongest antioxidant, cytotoxic, antimicrobial, anti-urease and anticholinesterase activity.
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