Geology, Construction Materials and Building Phases of the El Gustal Neolithic Menhir (Álava, Spain)
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Abstract: The Neolithic menhir El Gustal is located on a karstificated Coniacian limestone. The original morphology of the monolith and the pit has been preserved, and fragments of limestone filled the hollow. This has made it possible to identify construction phases of the most elementary stone constructive typology. Firstly, the original position of the monolith in the quarry of origin has been determined. Secondly, according to the basic laws of the lever, the forces required to erect the monolith provided by three movements employing two class I and one class II levers have been determined. Thirdly, the fall and subsequent breakage of the menhir due to the shallowness of the pit has been deduced.
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INTRODUCTION

Independently of its sculptural, monumental or functional character, the menhir is the simplest of stone constructions. Structurally, it consists of a monolith, a pit and backfill for the pit. The preservation of the original morphology of these three elements at the El Gustal menhir makes it possible to deduce the whole construction process, from quarrying to its collapse. Furthermore, to erect a monolith the minimum force required can be calculated by a lever construction model.

The El Gustal menhir is located in the Valderejo Natural Park in the province of Álava, Autonomous Community of the Basque Country, northern Spain (42º 54.127 'N, 3º 14.642 'W, 1204 m) (Fig. 1). It was discovered in 1982 by Murga [1] and excavated by Lobo [2]. In the close vicinity there is a flint workshop from which several hundred stone artefacts have been collected with typologies having their origin in the late Middle and early Upper Palaeolithic [3], while taking into account the period of the numerous mega-liths present in the region, the age of the menhir most likely falls in the Neolithic era [1].

Geologically, El Gustal is situated on the Álava Block, southern unit of the Basque-Cantabrian Basin, at the western termination of the Pyrenees. Structurally, menhir is located in the hinge of the Sobrón-Valderejo anticline on Coniacian biosparite locally known as Subijana Limestone. The poorly stratified limestone has a horizontal position. Morphologically, the menhir is located on the slope of a reverse structural relief, 3m from the vertical ramp or slope of the karst (Fig. 1). This proximity to the escarpment and relative altitude provides a high degree of visibility.

The menhir, which was found to be broken into two fragments, was rejoined during restoration with the help of three corrugated galvanized iron rods. The dimensions of the monolith are: 348 cm high, an average width of 60 cm and an average depth of 20 cm. With these dimensions the monolith can be defined morphologically as a laminate. The top is carved suggesting an anthropomorphic silhouette (Fig. 1).

The archaeological excavation has exposed the original pit carved into the limestone pavement [2]. The observation of the hollow increased the understanding of the construction process, giving added value to the monument. For this reason, it was decided to leave it exposed and move the menhir 2 m toward the steep slope, gaining visibility and monumentality.

THE MONOLITH

The menhir weighing 1058 kg is a single piece of Coniacian biosparite limestone from the immediate surroundings. The monolith, with its given dimensions of 348 x 60 x 20 cm, was aligned with the long axis in the vertical, the intermediate axis in the East-West direction and the short axis in the North-South direction (Fig. 1). Analysis of a very similar limestone gave average values of a density of 2.6 g/cm³, a porosity of only 0.5%, a break resistance of 1110 kg/cm² and great durability to alteration [4]. To calculate the weight of a given monolith section both the surface of that section to a constant thickness of 20 cm and its density are considered.

The approximate E-W orientation of the menhir's centre axis, as observed in the excavated pit, is parallel to a set of joints J2 orientation N80E-N100W (Fig. 1). In the substrate another set of vertical joints is also visible, J1 with an N-S orientation. This system of joints, which determined the excavation of the pit, is also reflected in the morphology of the monolith. The long axis of the menhir is parallel to the J2 set and the centre axis to the J1 set (Fig. 1). The intersection of the planes J1 and J2 corresponds to the base or minor axis of the monolith.
The plane of the monolith directed towards S shows intense karstification processes identical to those observed in the limestone of the environment. The parallel plane oriented N is a flat surface without erosive morphologies. Therefore, the S and N planes correspond respectively to the top and wall of the same layer (Fig. 1).

The narrow surface facing towards the W has a concentric shape with rounded forms. In contrast, the surface facing E is rectilinear with acute edges and a profile that would suggest conchoidal fractures probably caused by mechanical impact. Given this morphology it can be ascertained that the W face was originally exposed and that the E face would be the plane hewn from the quarry (Fig. 1). Taken into account the typologies of old quarries described for limestone with structural relief, it is speculated that origin of the monolith would have been from a dip-slope quarry [5].

The nearest outcrops of limestone, from which the monolith could potentially be extracted, are located between 50 and 200 m, however, it has not been possible to pinpoint the original quarry hole. In any case, the levels from where it could have been hewn are at heights that are similar or slightly greater than the height of the menhir, which implies that the monolith was either moved horizontally or lowered. This observation has already been demonstrated in other megaliths and even in later historical buildings [6].

**THE PIT AND ITS BACKFILL**

The morphology of the monolith, pit and backfill fragments has made it possible to identify the construction phases of the El Gustal menhir. Those phases where it was possible, forces and resistances were calculated according to the law of the lever, solved geometrically by Archimedes. Mathematically, the law of the lever is expressed by \( F_{\text{in}}d_{\text{in}} = F_{\text{out}}d_{\text{out}} \), where \( F_{\text{in}} \) is the input force or effort and \( F_{\text{out}} \) is the output force or resistance. The distances \( d_{\text{in}} \) and \( d_{\text{out}} \) are the perpendicular distances between the forces and the fulcrum. Levers are classified into three classes by the relative positions of the fulcrum, the effort and the resistance.

**Quarrying, Carving and Transportation of the Monolith**

The S and W faces, corresponding to the top of the layer and the slope of the terrain, were exposed (Fig. 1). The N
face of the monolith, which is the wall of the layer, lay flat on the lower layer. The E face of the monolith was attached to the quarry. The wall of the stratum was used in order to separate the monolith from the rock mass and mechanically breaking off the E face of the erected monolith. Some of the conchoidal fractures on this E face might have arisen due to the quarrying of the monolith.

The morphology of the monolith allows it to be positioned according to the joint sets of the substrate (Fig. 2). Joint set J2 is oriented approximately E-W on the ground and N-S on the monolith before it erection. Thus, it follows that the piece had to be rotated 90° clockwise from the quarry to the placement hole (Fig. 3a).

Excavation of the Pit

It has already been indicated above that conchoidal fractures produced by mechanical impact can be seen on one side of the pit. As it is quite often the case, the same tools that were employed in the extraction and carving of the monolith, were probably used to excavate the pit (Fig. 3b). One possible instrument could be mallets, which is made from ophite (dolerite), which has already been described in Álava [7]. In any case, the limestone substrate is fractured and is relatively easy to excavate.

The natural and artificial fragments from the pit are usually used as a support during the erection of the monolith and especially for the backfilling of the hollow.

First Class I Lever

When the centre of gravity of the monolith is placed on the intersection of the horizontal ground surface with the 10° ramp, the first class I lever intervenes (Fig. 3c). The beginning of the ramp acts as a fulcrum F1. When the monolith is moved progressively over F1 various loads allow the monolith to swing until it rests on the 10° ramp (Fig. 3d). The first lever acts in a passive mode, given that apart from dragging the monolith no external force is required to tilt the monolith.

Second Class I Lever

In this phase, the fulcrum F2 is at the intersection of the 10° and 35° ramps. The lever initially acts with the monolith inclined at 10° (Fig. 3e) and finishes at 35° (Fig. 3f). This requires an initial vertical load of 349 kg, decreasing progressively, as per the cosine of the angle of inclination, down to 290 kg. With only 59 kg difference the monolith is raised to 25°.

Class II lever and filling of the pit

The monolith was rested on both the 35° ramp as well as on the limestone fragments, which had to be placed at least up to the centre of gravity of the monolith (Fig. 3g). In this position the minimum initial vertical force required to begin the erection of the monolith was 857 kg. This force decreased progressively, as per the cosine of the angle of inclination of the monolith, until it was placed in the upright position, where the force was zero (Fig. 3h). The class II lever has the fulcrum (F3) at the intersection of the 35° ramp with the horizontal surface of the pit. Afterwards, the pit was filled with fragments of limestone.

Fall and Breakage

The now erected monolith is exposed to external pushing and vibrations, especially from the wind. Winds of 120 km/h, representing a pressure of 80 kg·m², produces a moment of 264 kg·m. The buried section of the monolith has a moment of just 32 kg·m (Fig. 3h) which would explain why it collapsed (Fig. 3i). The position of the moor where the menhir stands is exposed to all winds, which are often exceeding the stated speed. From a constructive standpoint and in terms of stability, the erected monolith has a safety factor of only 0.78%. For a safety factor SF=1 the monolith should be buried at least 87 cm, i.e., 27cm more than the 60cm at which it is buried now. In civil engineering, in order to construct this kind of structure a safety factor SF = 3 is recommended. All these calculations are based on European standardization [8].
The fall caused the monolith to break along a surface sub-parallel to the set of joints J1 (Fig. 1).

**CONCLUSIONS**

The El Gustal menhir and the morphology of the three elements, the monolith, the pit and the filling for the pit, make it possible to reconstruct the construction phases as well as to calculate the minimum forces required for the erection of the monument.

The monolith was transported to the pit on the lower flat surface, corresponding to the wall of the stratum. Before beginning to erect it, the monolith was horizontally rotated at 90° clockwise (Fig. 3a).

The pit has a N-S profile with a 10° northern ramp, another at 35°, a horizontal base and a vertical southern end around 60 cm in height (Fig. 3b). The monolith was gradually moved and supported on these ramps.

The method of mechanical erection can be explained by using two class I levers and a final class II lever. The first class I lever is passive, i.e., it does not require the application of any external force, exploiting the movement of the monolith onto the fulcrum F1 (Figs. 3c and 3d). The second lever, also class I, with a fulcrum F2 at the intersection of the 10° and 35° ramps, starts with a minimum vertical force of 349 kg, ending up with a force of 290 kg (Figs. 3f and 3g). The third and final lever, in this case class II, requires a minimum vertical load of 857 kg to place the monolith upright (Figs. 3g and 3h). When erected, the pit was filled with fragments of limestone that were produced while digging out the pit.

The last distinct phase was its fall and consequent breakage (Fig. 3i). The volume of the monolith inserted into the pit produced moments with very low values as compared to the exposed section of the monolith, such that any external disturbance could cause it to collapse.
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