12
 The Open Bioinformatics Journal, 2018, 11, 12-28

 BENTHAM OPEN
 The Open Bioinformatics Journal

 Image: CrossMark
 Content list available at: www.benthamopen.com/TOBIOIJ/ DOI: 10.2174/1875036201811010012

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Investigation of Drought and Salinity Tolerance Related Genes and their Regulatory Mechanisms in Arabidopsis (*Arabidopsis thaliana*)

Nikwan Shariatipour and Bahram Heidari*

Department of Crop Production and Plant Breeding, School of Agriculture, 7144165186, Shiraz University, Shiraz, Iran

Received: October 22, 2017

Revised: February 12, 2018

Accepted: February 19, 2018

Abstract:

Background:

The development of genome microarrays of the model plant; *Arabidopsis thaliana*, with increasing repositories of publicly available data and high-throughput data analysis tools, has opened new avenues to genome-wide systemic analysis of plant responses to environmental stresses.

Objective:

To identify differentially expressed genes and their regulatory networks in *Arabidopsis thaliana* under harsh environmental condition.

Methods:

Two replications of eight microarray data sets were derived from two different tissues (root and shoot) and two different time courses (control and 24 hours after the beginning of stress occurrence) for comparative data analysis through various bioinformatics tools.

Results:

Under drought stress, 2558 gene accessions in root and 3691 in shoot tissues had significantly differential expression with respect to control condition. Likewise, under salinity stress 9078 gene accessions in root and 5785 in shoot tissues were discriminated between stressed and non-stressed conditions. Furthermore, the transcription regulatory activity of differentially expressed genes was mainly due to hormone, light, circadian and stress responsive *cis*-acting regulatory elements among which ABRE, ERE, P-box, TATC-box, CGTCA-motif, GARE-motif, TGACG-motif, GAG-motif, GA-motif, GATA- motif, TCT-motif, GT1-motif, Box 4, G-Box, I-box, LAMP-element, Sp1, MBS, TC-rich repeats, TCA-element and HSE were the most important elements in the identified up-regulated genes.

Conclusion:

The results of the high-throughput comparative analyses in this study provide more options for plant breeders and give an insight into genes and *cis*-acting regulatory elements involved in plant response to drought and salinity stresses in strategic crops such as cereals.

Keywords: Bioinformatics, Microarray, Gene expression, Gene ontology, Cis-acting regulatory element, Abiotic stress.

1. INTRODUCTION

Plants respond to abiotic stresses in various ways to survive under harsh environmental conditions. Plants have acquired various stress tolerance mechanisms including physiological and biochemical changes allowing adaptation to

^{*} Address correspondence to this author at the Department of Crop Production and Plant Breeding, School of Agriculture, Shiraz University, Bahram Heidari, Shiraz, Iran; Tel: +98-9177070889; E-mail: bheidari@shirazu.ac.ir

Investigation of Drought and Salinity

changeable environmental conditions [1]. Recent advances in genome-wide analyses have revealed complex regulatory networks that control global gene expression, protein modification, and metabolite compositions. Genetic regulation and epigenetic regulation, including changes in nucleosome distribution, histone modification, DNA methylation, and npcRNAs (non-protein-coding RNA) play important roles in abiotic stress related gene networks [1]. Transcriptomics, metabolomics, bioinformatics, high-through-put DNA sequencing and high-density microarrays have enabled precise assessment of the regulatory networks contributing to abiotic stress tolerance. Such analyses have markedly increased our understanding of global plant systems in response to stressed conditions [1].

DNA microarray -a recent high-throughput gene expression technology- has been extensively used in gene expression analysis in crop plants [2 - 5]. Accordingly, several genes being responsive to different abiotic stresses at the transcriptional level have been studied [6 - 13]. The products of the stress inducible genes have been classified into two groups: one that directly protects plants against environmental stresses and another that regulates gene expression and signal transduction. Stress-inducible genes have been used to improve the stress tolerance of plants by gene transfer [6 - 8, 12 - 16]. Irrespective availability of such information about abiotic stresses responsible genes and various genomic tools, no satisfactory experimental crop plant model system is available to explore mechanisms behind stress tolerance at a molecular and systems level [17]. These targets necessitate investigation for the complete genomic sequence in the public domain, availability of easy transformation protocols, Expressed Sequence Tags (EST), microarray and proteomics data, and ideally a large set of well-characterized mutants. The availability of aforementioned information in Arabidopsis and the considerable body of physiological data on ion homeostasis [18], have made it the system of choice for molecular and system-wide plant studies of abiotic stress.

In Arabidopsis, the AtGenExpress project (http://www.arabidopsis.org/portals/expression/microarray/ATGenExpr ess.jsp) has collected thousands of transcript profiles on the basis of the Affymetrix ATH1 GeneChip that are now publicly available. This contribution has enabled the discovery of candidate genes on the basis of expression profiles in various tissues, developmental stages, and environmental conditions. Transcriptome analysis technologies have advanced to the point where high-through-put DNA sequencers and high-density microarrays such as tiling arrays are readily available [1]. The results of several studies indicated the usefulness of the microarray technology to the analysis of expression profiles in response to abiotic stresses, such as drought, cold and high-salinity [19 - 24].

In silico, identification and characterization of the genes in various organisms under different conditions are important due to growing data in the databases [25]. According to the importance of bioinformatic tools to analyze current mass biology data to identify key genes and their regulatory pattern to achieve abiotic stresses tolerance mechanism, herein we assessed the most important and common genes contributing to the key factors in response to abiotic stress in model plant *Arabidopsis thaliana* that can be used in practical plant breeding programs for generated new abiotic stress tolerant staple food crops. Another important aim of this study was to characterize the regulatory mode of abiotic tolerance responsible genes.

2. METHODS

2.1. Microarray Data Mining

The comparative analysis of the microarray data from different tissues of Arabidopsis under drought and salinity stresses was conducted. The Affymetrix raw data (.CEL) files from two independent experiments -drought stress time course (Unpublished data from Kudla Group, ME00338 (Expression Set: 1007966668)) and salt stress time course (Unpublished data from Kudla Group, ME00328 (Expression Set: 1007966888))- were retrieved from the TAIR dataset (https://www.arabidopsis.org/). The collected data were analyzed by FlexArray software version 1.6.3. In the first step, CEL data were normalized by Robust Multiarray Average (RMA) algorithm [26] and in the second step the RMA signal values were transformed into log_2 . In order to select Differentially Expressed Genes (DGEs), the RMA expression values were analyzed by two-sample student's t-test and the up-regulated genes with more than 1-symmetrical raw fold changes, and down-regulated genes of less than 0-symmetrical raw fold changes were selected for further data analysis. *p*-values were adjusted in false discovery rate as less than <0.05.

2.2. Comparing Gene List

To compare results of microarray data analysis, the Venn diagram was used to identify the overlapped of the resulting genes (differentially expressed genes) and representing interactions among these gene sets in different tissues under both salinity and drought stresses conditions. The Venn diagram could be available in effective and free web

application at http://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/Venn/.

2.3. Functional Annotation Analysis

Gene Ontology (GO) analysis was conducted with AgriGO database tool (http://bioinfo.cau.edu.cn/agriGO/). Singular Enrichment Analysis (SEA) was done to complete GO analysis of *Arabidopsis thaliana* species and the Affymetrix ATH1 genome Array was selected as a reference background. In the next step, the Fisher and Yekutieli (FDR under dependency) methods were chosen for statistical test and multi-test adjustment at 0.05 significance level, respectively. Finally, the results were described in three categories including, molecular function, biological processes and cellular components [27].

2.4. Cis-Acting Regulatory Element Analysis (CAREs Analysis)

Based on the GO analysis, the complete nucleotide sequence of 13 gene accession numbers (representative of 21 known genes from binding category) involved in transcription regulatory activity under salinity and drought stress conditions were downloaded from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) database. For CAREs analysis, the 1.5 kbp of 5' upstream of each gene was retrieved from Phytozome (http://www.phytozome.net/) database and they were subjected to the PlantCare (http://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/plantcare/html/) database to identify common CAREs in the responsive genes for both salinity and drought stress conditions [28].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Identification of Differentially Expressed Genes

The availability of a large volume of microarray data provides an opportunity to study the gene expression at wholegenome, gene family or single gene level and to identify the gene(s) involved in various biological processes [29].

The results of t-test for the microarray data demonstrated 2558 and 3691 gene accessions were differentially expressed in response to drought stress in root and shoot tissue, respectively. For salt stress, 9078 gene accessions in root and 5785 gene accessions in shoot tissue were differentially expressed.

Differences and cross-talk of gene expression among drought and salinity stress responses were analyzed based on Venn diagram analysis. As shown in Fig. (1), 683, 1226, 2276 and 1634 gene accessions were identified as drought root-, drought shoot-, salinity root- and salinity shoot-induced genes with higher than one-fold change induction, respectively (Fig. 1). The list and expression data of up-regulated genes in response to drought and salinity stress are available as a supplementary material (Table S1). A number of 450 gene accessions were induced in response to both drought and salinity in shoot tissue, whereas only 224 gene accessions were induced by both drought and salinity stress in root tissue (Fig. 1). These results indicate the existence of greater crosstalk between drought and salinity stress signaling processes in shoot tissue, than those in root tissue. Analysis of overlapping on the Venn diagram showed that 49 gene accessions were induced under all two stresses (Table 1), of which 0.47% (49 out of 10467 of the gene accessions) were responded to drought stress regulated by salinity stress. Therefore, it can be concluded that there is a strong correlation between the responses of plant to drought and salinity stress.



Fig. (1). Venn diagram of the number of up-regulated genes differentially expressed in response to drought and salinity stresses in Arabidopsis.

Gene Bank Acc.	Gene symbol	Gene type	Chromosome number	Fold change	Symmetrical raw fold change	T statistic	P-value
At3g12570	FYD	Protein coding	3	1.116	1.116	8.046	0.015
	PHOT2	Protein coding	5				
At5g58140	PLPB	Protein coding	2	1.131	1.131	4.410	0.048
	PHOT1	Protein coding	3				
At4g24690	NBR1	Protein coding	4	1.155	1.155	5.904	0.028
At2g01460	AT2G01460	Protein coding	2	1.155	1.155	4.791	0.041
AUE 10400	SMG7	Protein coding	5	1.157	1.156	(01(0.027
At5g19400	AT1G28260	Protein coding	1	1.156	1.156	6.016	0.027
	LARP1c	Protein coding	4				
At4g35890	LARP1a	Protein coding	5	1.163	1.163	5.449	0.032
	LARP1b	Protein coding	5				
At1g23950	AT1G23950	Protein coding	1	1.166	1.166	50.860	0.028
	AT4G20930	Protein coding	4				
At4g20930	AT1G18270	Protein coding	1	1.167	1.167	8.359	0.014
	ATARD2	Protein coding	4				
441-(7200	AT1G67300	Protein coding	1	1 170	1 170	17.296	0.002
At1g6/300	SGB1	Protein coding	1	1.179	1.179	17.386	0.003
441-70(10	NHX6	Protein coding	1	1 101	1 101	10.004	0.007
At1g/9610	NHX5	Protein coding	1	1.181	1.181	12.284	0.007
At1g64110	DAA1	Protein coding	1	1.181	1.181	7.058	0.019
	JAZ12	Protein coding	5				
AUE 20000	JAZ11	Protein coding	3	1 107	1.187	4.070	0.020
At5g20900	JAZ10	Protein coding	5	1.187		4.979	0.038
	AT5G03235	ncRNA	5				
At2g39720	RHC2A	Protein coding	2	1.197	1.197	6.285	0.024
At3g51830	SAC8	Protein coding	3	1.202	1.202	11.518	0.007
441-12100	AT1G13190	Protein coding	1	1 204	1.204	5.021	0.027
Alig15190	AT5G55670	Protein coding	5	1.204		5.051	0.057
A+4~10610	CID12	Protein coding	4	1 206	1.206	4.960	0.028
At4g10010	CID11	Protein coding	1	1.200			0.036
A+5a61810	APC1	Protein coding	5	1 221	1.221	8 105	0.015
Allgoiolo	APC3	Protein coding	5	1.221		8.105	0.013
At1g08000	GATA10	Protein coding	1	1 260	1.260	6 868	0.021
Aligobooo	GATA11	Protein coding	1	1.200		0.000	0.021
At4g01070	GT72B1	Protein coding	4	1.263	1.263	10.318	0.009
At1g19190	AT1G19190	Protein coding	1	1.264	1.264	6.476	0.023
	MIPS2	Protein coding	2		1.270		
At2g22240	MIPS1	Protein coding	4	1.270		5.188	0.035
	MIPS3	Protein coding	5				
At1g69295	PDCB4	Protein coding	1	1.323	1.323	9.209	0.012
At4g18020	APRR2	Protein coding	4	1.332	1.332	12.573	0.006
At5g57050	ABI2	Protein coding	5	1.341	1.341	4.481	0.046
	ABI1	Protein coding	4				
At2g32700	LUH	Protein coding	2	1.362	1.362	5.206	0.035
1112802700	LUG	Protein coding	4	1.502	1.302	0.200	0.050
At4g34460	AGB1	Protein coding	4	1.373	1.373	60.304	0.001
	PRT6	Protein coding	5				
At5902310	CER3	Protein coding	5	1 389	1 389	8 168	0.015
	ATE1	Protein coding	5	1.507	1.507	0.100	0.015
	ATE2	Protein coding	3				

Table 1. Specifications of 49 common up-regulated gene accessions (represent 96 known genes) induced under both salinity and drought stresses.

16 The Open Bioinformatics Journal, 2018, Volume 11

(Table 1) contd							
Gene Bank Acc.	Gene symbol	Gene type	Chromosome number	Fold change	Symmetrical raw fold change	T statistic	P-value
At5g05600	AT5G05600	Protein coding	5	1.398	1.398	4.726	0.042
	AFP3 Protein coding	3					
At3g29575	NINJA	Protein coding	4	1.402	1.402	11.564	0.007
	TMAC2	Protein coding	3				
At3g16740	AT3G16740	Protein coding	3	1.409	1.409	5.649	0.030
At4g05150	AT4G05150	Protein coding	4	1.418	1.418	4.635	0.044
A+5 ~02 400	AT5G03490	Protein coding	5	1 422	1 422	5 427	0.022
Al3g03490	AT1G51210	Protein coding	1	1.425	1.425	5.427	0.032
A+2~24520	HSFC1	Protein coding	3	1.460	1 460	5.014	0.029
Al5g24520	AT3G24518	ncRNA	3	1.400	1.400	5.014	0.038
	SWEET13	Protein coding	5				
At5g50800	SWEET14	Protein coding	4	1.470	1.470	5.495	0.032
	SWEET10	Protein coding	5				
At1g30200	AT1G30200	Protein coding	1	1.485	1.485	10.054	0.010
A+5-12740	ZIF1	Protein coding	5	1 507	1.507	9.470	0.014
Al5g15/40	ZIFL1	Protein coding	5	1.507	1.507	8.479	
	HAC1	Protein coding	1				0.035
A.1. 70000	HAC12	Protein coding	1	1.519	1.519	5.192	
At1g/9000	HAC5	Protein coding	3				
	HAC2	Protein coding	1				
	TIM23-1	Protein coding	1		1.547	7.624	0.017
At1g17530	TIM23-2	Protein coding	1	1.547			
	TIM23-3 Protein coding 3						
1.5 15120	AT5G45430	Protein coding	5	1.561	1.561	5.266	0.034
At5g45430	AT4G19110	Protein coding	4				
AT1G07750 Protein coding	1						
At1g07750	GRF10	Protein coding	1	1.574	1.574	10.959	0.008
	AT2G28680	Protein coding	2				
	AT3G06780	Protein coding	3				0.039
At3g06780	RBP1	Protein coding	1	1.683	1.683	4.926	
	AT3G01895	ncRNA	3				
	AT5G15950	Protein coding	5	1.0.40	1.040	9.646	0.011
At5g15950	SAMDC	Protein coding	3	1.842	1.842		
psaC	psaC	Protein coding	-	1.911	1.911	5.772	0.029
nad5.1	nad5	Protein coding	-	1.955	1.955	9.612	0.011
nad4	nad4	Protein coding	-	2.141	2.141	6.937	0.020
At5g10960	AT5G10960	Protein coding	5	2.289	2.289	10.651	0.009
	AT4G30460	Protein coding	4				
	RD20	Protein coding	2				
1.1. 20160	RAB18	Protein coding	5	2.983	2.983	(20(0.024
At4g30460	RABA1e	Protein coding	4			6.386	
	AT1G71000	Protein coding	1				
	AT3G22570	Protein coding	3				
A.4. 20/00	GRP2	Protein coding	4	2.150	2.150	0.641	0.000
At4g38680	GRP2B	Protein coding	2	3.150	3.150	8.641	0.013
At5g10770	AT5G10770	Protein coding	5	3.562	3.562	230.784	0.001

Analysis of stress-down-regulated as well as stress-up-regulated genes is important in understanding molecular responses to abiotic stresses [22]. In the present study, a total of 635, 553, 3762 and 1186 gene accessions were identified as drought root-, drought shoot-, salinity root- and salinity shoot-down-regulated genes by means of microarray analysis (Fig. 2). The list and expression data on these drought and salinity stress-down-regulated gene accessions are available as a supplementary material (Table S2). A total 358 down-regulated gene accessions were

observed in shoot tissue under both drought and salinity and expression of 362 number of gene accessions were decreased by both drought and salinity stress in root tissue (Fig. 2). The results indicated that 0.25% (27 out of 10645) of DEGs from both tissues showed possible crosstalk in response to drought and salinity stresses (Table 2). Based on the result presented in Figs. (1 and 2), the number of down-regulated genes was higher than the number of up-regulated ones indicating most of Arabidopsis genes have been switched off under drought and salinity stresses.



Fig. (2). Venn diagram of the number of down-regulated genes differentially expressed in response to drought and salinity stresses in Arabidopsis.

Table 2. Specifications of 27	common down-regulated	gene accessions (represent 77 kn	iown genes) w	ere induced u	inder both
salinity and drought stresses						

Gene Bank Acc.	Gene symbol	Gene type	Chromosome number	Fold Change	Symmetrical fold change	T statistic	P-value
	AT3G62930	Protein coding	3			-7.144	0.019
A+2 ~62020	AHBP-1B	Protein coding	5	0.484	2.064		
Al3g02930	WEE1	Protein coding	1	0.464	-2.004		
	AT1G03020	Protein coding	1				
At2g04440	AT2G04440	Protein coding	2	0.670	-1.493	-16.229	0.004
A+5-00650	PPa6	Protein coding	5	0.685	1.460	4 (07	0.044
Al3g09030	IPY1	Protein coding	-	0.085	-1.400	-4.007	0.044
A+5~62080	FOLB2	Protein coding	5	0.742	1 247	-5.529	0.031
Al3g02980	FOLB1	Protein coding	3	0.742	-1.347		
	CPN60B	Protein coding	1		-1.309	-5.398	0.033
	Cpn60beta4	Protein coding	1				
At1g55490	Cpn60beta2	Protein coding	3	0.764			
	Cpn60beta3	Protein coding	5				
	Cpn60alpha2	Protein coding	5				
At1g31860	AT-IE	Protein coding	1	0.784	-1.275	-5.276	0.034
	pde191	Protein coding	4	0.794	-1.260	-4.706	0.042
At4g38160	AT4G38150	Protein coding	4				
	FRS9	Protein coding	4				
	ADF1	Protein coding	3		-1.251	-10.853	0.008
442-46010	ADF4	Protein coding	5	0.700			
Al3g46010	ADF3	Protein coding	5	0.799			
	ADF6	Protein coding	2				
	AT4G15680	Protein coding	4		-1.234	-5.407	0.033
At4g15680	AT5G18600	Protein coding	5	0.810			
	AT4G15670	Protein coding	4				
A+2~42020	HOL2	Protein coding	2	0.926	-1.210	12.42.1	0.006
At2g43920	HOL1	Protein coding	2	0.826		-13.424	

Gene Bank Acc.	Gene symbol	Gene type	Chromosome number	Fold Change	Symmetrical fold change	T statistic	P-value
	CPN60A	Protein coding	2	-			
	Cpn60alpha2	Protein coding	5			-7.739	
At2g28000	Cpn60beta2	Protein coding	3	0.828	-1.208		0.016
	Cpn60beta3	Protein coding	5				
	Cpn60beta4	Protein coding	1				
	AT2G31490	Protein coding	2				
	VAP27-1	Protein coding	3				
4.12. 21.400	NLM1	Protein coding	4	0.021	1.202	6 001	0.026
At2g31490	ASIL1	Protein coding	1	0.831	-1.203	-6.081	0.026
	AT1G27300	Protein coding	1				
	AT3G16310	Protein coding	3				
At5g61440	ACHT5	Protein coding	5	0.840	-1.190	-10.586	0.009
4.1. 700.50	RPS17	Protein coding	1	0.044	1.105	0.000	0.010
At1g/9850	AT1G09927	ncRNA	1	0.844	-1.185	-8.908	0.012
	AT1G76405	Protein coding	1				
At1g76405	CSN5A	Protein coding	1	0.854	-1.171	-4.902	0.039
	AT1G20816	Protein coding	1				
4.15 04040	AT5G24840	Protein coding	5	0.856	-1.169	-4.668	0.043
At5g24840	AT5G17660	Protein coding	5				
4.12. 25020	RPL15	Protein coding	3	0.866	-1.155	-7.801	0.016
At3g25920	AT5G64670	Protein coding	5				
At4g14110	COP9	Protein coding	4	0.867	-1.153	-4.897	0.039
A +5 - 40010	cpHsc70-2	Protein coding	5	0.072	1 147	7 714	0.016
At5g49910	cpHsc70-1	Protein coding	4	0.872	-1.14/	-/./14	0.016
	AT1G71020	Protein coding	1	0.900	-1.111	-4.737	
	MYC2	Protein coding	1				0.042
	MYC3	Protein coding	5				
	CIPK3	Protein coding	2				
	MYC4	Protein coding	4				
	SCL30	Protein coding	3				
At1g71020	PDLP6	Protein coding	2				
	AT1G23030	Protein coding	1				
	AT1G03520	Protein coding	1				
	AT4G39050	Protein coding	4				
	AT1G15170	Protein coding	1				
	AT5G47070	Protein coding	5				
	AT4G35500	Protein coding	4				
4.5.02005	AT5G03905	Protein coding	5	0.004	1.107		
At5g03905	CPISCA	Protein coding	1	0.904	-1.107	-5.300	0.034
At4g28660	PSB28	Protein coding	4	0.908	-1.101	-8.447	0.014
444-14410	bHLH104	Protein coding	4	0.000	1 100	0.002	0.010
At4g14410	bHLH34	Protein coding	3	0.909	-1.100	-9.993	0.010
A 12 A 6225	ABIL1	Protein coding	2	0.017	1.000	4.020	0.020
At2g46225	AT2G09710	ncRNA	2	0.917	-1.090	-4.938	0.039
	AT3G49640	Protein coding	3				
At3g49640	AT4G38890	Protein coding	4	0.918	-1.089	-6.274	0.024
	AT5G67220	Protein coding	5				
A 11 40 COC	PREP2	Protein coding	1	0.010		-8.914 0	0.010
At1g49630	PREP1	Protein coding	3	0.919	-1.088		0.012
At2g01860	EMB975	Protein coding	2	0.921	-1.086	-5.867	0.028

(Table 2) contd.....

3.2. Functional Annotation Analysis of DGEs

The GO analysis of up-regulated common genes (49 gene accessions, Table 1) of root and shoot tissues under

Investigation of Drought and Salinity

salinity and drought stress revealed that DEGs products were dissected into three distinct categories: biological process, molecular function and cellular component (Fig. **3**). In the biological process category, the overrepresented genes belonged to the metabolic (42.86%) and cell (40.82%) processes (Fig. **3**). In molecular function process, the largest group with 46.94% and 38.78% related to the binding and catalytic activity, respectively (Fig. **3**). In the cellular component process, the largest group belonged to the cell (46.94%) and cell part (46.94%). To identify the functional key genes, we used non-repetitive genes distinct in each functional category.



Fig. (3). Functional categorization of common genes in different tissues (root and shoot) of Arabidopsis in response to drought and salinity stresses.

In metabolic process, the genes AT5G10770, AT2G01460, AT1G19190, AT5G03490 and AT1G51210 were identified to contribute to proteolysis, kinase, hydrolase and UDP-glucosyltransferase activity under both salinity and drought stresses. Proteome analysis has contributed significantly to understanding the plant response to abiotic stress through changes in the abundance of proteases [30]. For instance, up-regulation of different subunits of the 20S proteasome has been detected in desiccation-tolerant maize embryos during desiccation [31], leaves of drought-treated *Medicago sativa* plants [32], wheat stems under drought [33], and during dehydration of desiccation tolerant grass *Sporobolus stapfianus* [34]. On the other hand, in response to dehydration, proteins involved in proteolysis carried out by the proteasome were found to be expressed to constant levels in nuclei in the desiccation tolerant *Xerophyta viscosa*, probably to help maintain minimum viability in cells under stress [35]. Specific proteolysis is essential for the activation of the many enzymes and regulatory proteins during plant development and diverse environmental changes [36].

There are different products of genes with kinase activity, which typically makes them useful for abiotic stress tolerance. Among the protein kinases involved in stress signal transduction in plants are those common to all eukaryotic organisms, *i.e.* Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinases (MAPKs) [36 - 38], Glycogen Synthase Kinase3 (GSK3) [40 - 41], S6 Kinase (S6K) [42], Calcium-Dependent Protein Kinases (CDPKs) [43 - 45] and most of SNF1-related kinases (SnRKs). Also, SnRK2 family members are plant-specific serine/threonine kinases involved in plant response to abiotic stresses and abscisic acid (ABA)-dependent plant development [46]. The results of GO analysis indicated that in metabolic process, the gene *AT1G19190* had hydrolase activity and controls alpha/beta-Hydrolases superfamily protein expression. Recently, a novel α/β -Hydrolase gene *IbMas* containing maspardin domain from α/β -hydrolase superfamily has been discovered [47]. The biological functions of α/β -hydrolase fold enzymes in organisms are widely variable and include biosynthesis, metabolism, signal transduction and gene regulation [48]. To date, several of α/β -hydrolase fold enzymes (esterase, phospholipase D and OsPOP₃) have been shown to be involved in plant salt tolerance [49 - 52].

In cell process, *GRP2*, *GRP2B*, *AT1G67300*, *SGB1*, *TIM23-1*, *TIM23-2* and *TIM23-3* genes had function in plasma membrane, transmembrane transport and protein targeting to mitochondrion. The *nad5*, *GT72B1*, *ABI2*, *ABI1*, *AT1G19190*, *AT5G15950*, *SAMDC*, *nad4*, *AT5G03490*, *AT1G51210*, *PRT6*, *CER3*, *ATE1*, *ATE2* and *SAC8* genes in catalytic activity category had important role in response to toxin, xenobiotic catabolic process, photoinhibition, protein amino acid de-phosphorylation, osmotic stress, spermine biosynthetic process, adenosyl-methionine decarboxylase activity, ubiquitin-protein ligase activity, regulation of lipid catabolic process and phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate 5-phosphatase activity.

For binding category HSFC1, AT3G24518, CID12, CID11, GATA10, GATA11, APC1, APC3, RHC2A, PDCB4, APRR2, GRP2, GRP2B, psaC, AT5G10770, NBR1, AT1G13190, AT5G55670, TIM23-1, TIM23-2 and TIM23-3 genes

had important effect on DNA duplex unwinding, transcription factor activity, protein binding, RNA binding, mRNA binding and DNA binding protein. Among these genes, the role of *AT3G24518* is noticeable because it codes a non-coding RNA. Accordingly, this gene could reflect various epigenetic regulation mechanisms *i.e.* histone modification and chromatin remodeling to induce a number of genes against different environmental stimuli. Furthermore, transcription factors like DRE-Binding Protein (DREB), C-repeat-Binding Factor (CBF), ABA-Binding Factor (ABF), myelocytomatosis oncogene (MYC) and myeloblastosis oncogene (MYB) [53 - 55] have important role on regulation of the expression of environmental stress-inducible genes. DREBs (dehydration responsive element binding) are important plant Transcription Factors (TFs) regulating the expression of many stress-inducible genes mostly in an ABA-independent manner and play a critical role in improving the abiotic stress tolerance of plants by interacting with a DRE/CRT *cis*-element present in the promoter region of various abiotic stress-responsive genes [56]. The protein binding is a critical part for the regulation of the genes against abiotic stresses [57].

RNA-binding proteins are important in cases such as post-transcriptional gene regulation mechanism. Most of RNA-binding proteins are plant specific with specific function. Definitely, recent research on RNA-binding proteins indicated that, beside their regulation function, they are also important for adaptation of plants to various environments. Their function is associated with the regulation of pre-mRNA splicing, polyadenylation, RNA stability and RNA export [58]. The DREB TFs contain a highly conserved AP2/ERF DNA-binding domain across the plant kingdom including Arabidopsis, rice, soybean, chickpea, tomato, tobacco, and millets [56].

Phosphorylation may be necessary for the activation of proteins under drought-stress conditions, thus enhancing the DNA-binding activity of several transcription regulators [59]. The functional gene group for DNA binding activity, plays a key role in providing tolerance to multiple stresses, generally in an ABA-independent manner through DRE/ CRT *cis*-elements and the AP2/ERF DNA binding domain [56].

3.3. Identification of Cares in the Promoter Site of Transcription Factors

Transcription Factors (TFs) have a critical role in response to unregular and harsh environmental condition such as abiotic stresses through regulation of gene expression. According to the results of GO analysis, 21 genes including HSFC1, AT3G24518, CID12, CID11, GATA10, GATA11, APC1, APC3, RHC2A, PDCB4, APRR2, GRP2, GRP2B, psaC, AT5G10770, NBR1, AT1G13190, AT5G55670, TIM23-1, TIM23-2 and TIM23-3 had significant role in binding activity as TF elements. In order to identify the presence of most presented CAREs that regulated the expression of the salinity and drought responsive genes in Arabidopsis, a genomic sequence of 1.5 kbp from aforementioned genes were used in the promoter analysis. The most present CAREs in the promoter region are shown in Table (3). CAREs functions were predominantly associated with light response, hormonal regulation and stress response that were detected in the upstream of DGEs under drought and salinity stress conditions in Arabidopsis (Table 3). The hormonal regulatory elements included Methyl jasmonate (MeJA), Gibberellin (GA), Ethylene (ET) and abscisic acid (ABA) responsive such as ABRE, ERE, P-box, TATC-box, CGTCA-motif, GARE-motif and TGACG-motif elements were presented in the majority of DGEs under salinity and drought stress conditions (Table 3). Plants perceive and respond adaptively to abiotic stress imposed by salt, cold, drought and wounding and the adaptive process is controlled mainly by the phytohormone, abscisic acid (ABA), which acts as an endogenous messenger in the regulation of the plant's water status [60]. ABA is generated as a signal during a plant's life cycle to control developmental processes such as seed germination. The ABA function can target specifically guard cells for the induction of stomatal closure but may also involve in signaling pathway for adjustment towards severe water shortage conditions. Since various stresses induce ABA synthesis, it is considered as a plant stress hormone [61, 62]. Various transcription factors are known to regulate the ABA-responsive gene expression [61, 63]. ABA induced expression often relies on the presence of *cis*acting element called ABRE element (ABA-responsive element) [6, 8, 64]. ABRE element regulates the dehydration and salinity responses in model plants like Arabidopsis and rice [65]. In addition, this element has been involved in abiotic stress responses in Arabidopsis [66] and Brassica napus [67].

Table 3. The most present *cis*-regulatory elements in the promoter of drought and salinity stress responsive genes in Arabidopsis.

Cis-Acting Regulatory Element (CARE)	Function
5UTR Py-rich stretch	cis-acting element conferring high transcription levels
ABRE	cis-acting element involved in the abscisic acid responsiveness
ARE	cis-acting regulatory element essential for the anaerobic induction
Box 4	part of a conserved DNA module involved in light responsiveness

(Table 3)	contd

Cis-Acting Regulatory Element (CARE)	Function
CAAT-box	common <i>cis</i> -acting element in promoter and enhancer regions
CGTCA-motif	cis-acting regulatory element involved in the MeJA-responsiveness
circadian	cis-acting regulatory element involved in circadian control
ERE	ethylene-responsive element
GAG-motif	part of a light responsive element
GA-motif	part of a light responsive element
GATA-motif	part of a light responsive element
GARE-motif	gibberellin-responsive element
G-Box	cis-acting regulatory element involved in light responsiveness
G-box	cis-acting regulatory element involved in light responsiveness
GT1-motif	light responsive element
GCN4_motif	cis-regulatory element involved in endosperm expression
HSE	cis-acting element involved in heat stress responsiveness
I-box	part of a light responsive element
LAMP-element	part of a light responsive element
MBS	MYB binding site involved in drought-inducibility
P-box	gibberellin-responsive element
Skn-1-motif	cis-acting regulatory element required for endosperm expression
Sp1	light responsive element
TATA-box	core promoter element around -30 of transcription start
TATC-box	cis-acting element involved in gibberellin-responsiveness
TCA-element	cis-acting element involved in salicylic acid responsiveness
TC-rich repeats	cis-acting element involved in defense and stress responsiveness
TCT-motif	part of a light responsive element
TGACG-motif	cis-acting regulatory element involved in the MeJA-responsiveness

Jasmonates (JAs) [Jasmonic Acid (JA) and methyl jasmonates (MeJAs)] are known to participate in various physiological processes [68]. An important phytohormone, JA (Jasmonic Acid) and its methyl ester, methyl jasmonates (MeJAs), are derivatives of the fatty acid metabolism [69 - 71]. According to the results of this study, TGACG and CGTCA motives are involved in Methyl jasmonate (MeJA) response that affects the regulation of plant defense against biotic stresses. In a study the role of these motives in abiotic stress tolerance has been highlighted [72]. The recently published research indicated both JA and MeJA promote plant defense against different stresses [73]. In the case of ethylene (ET), the Ethylene Response Factor Protein, JERF3, has been demonstrated to activate the expression of oxidative genes, resulting in lower accumulation of ROS and, in turn, enhanced adaptation to drought, freezing, and salt stresses in tobacco [74]. The effect of ethylene as stress hormones controlling adaptation to abiotic and biotic stress has been reported [75, 76]. In the present study, the results indicated that these elements interfere with drought and salinity stress responses. The presence of these hormonal regulatory elements in the majority of expressed genes helps to expand our knowledge to better understand the function of hormone responsive genes under different abiotic stresses in Arabidopsis and consequently in other plant species. The central role of the gibberellin (GA) class of growth hormones in the response to abiotic stress is becoming increasingly evident [77]. Reduction in GA levels and signaling has been shown to contribute to plant growth restriction on exposure to several stresses, including cold, salt and osmotic stress. Conversely, increased GA biosynthesis and signaling promote growth in plant escape responses to shading and submergence. In several cases, GA signaling has also been linked to stress tolerance [77]. The interaction of the GAsignaling molecule -DELLA- with the components of the signaling pathways for the stress hormone jasmonic acid indicates the role of an additional mechanism by which GA signaling may integrate multiple hormone signaling pathways in response to abiotic stresses [77].

The results of this study revealed that the light responsive elements such as GAG-motif, GA-motif, GATA- motif, TCT-motif, GT1-motif, Box 4, G-Box, G-box, I-box, LAMP-element and Sp1 were located in the promoter region of expressed salinity and drought responsive genes (Table 3). The result of CARE analysis demonstrated that the light responsive elements were mainly controlled by the responsive genes. These regulatory elements play a fundamental role in the regulation of transcriptional activity [78]. GATA-box, G-box, Box-4, GAG, GAP, GA-motif and I-box are known as light responsive *cis*-elements (LREs). They have been found in the regulatory region of light-regulated genes, with the GATA-motif and I-box being the most prominent among them, apparently essential for light-controlled

transcriptional activity [79, 80]. G-Box element is one of the parts of the response mechanism against abiotic stresses in *Arabidopsis* [81]. GAG motif plays a major role in abiotic stress in tobacco [82]. In addition, the role of G-Box element under drought stress on wheat ancestors has been reported [83].

The circadian clock in plants coordinates the responses to multiple simultaneous environmental challenges as the sessile plant cannot avoid. With respect to this issue, several circadian elements were found in drought and salinity responsive genes in this study (Table **3**). These circadian CAREs control photoperiod-responsive genes. On the one hand, these genes are involved in opening and stomata closure, regulation of flowering, water use efficiency and response to hormone and light especially in leaves. They affect transpiration and regulate the biological processes and are involved in metabolic and physiological networks that are essential for growth, reproduction and proper response to abiotic stresses [84 - 86]. The role of this element to improve Arabidopsis tolerance against salinity, drought and cold stress has been confirmed [87]. Therefore, our study confirmed the role of circadian regulatory element in response to salinity and drought stresses in Arabidopsis.

The stress responsive elements such as MBS were presented in the majority of responsive genes (Table **3**). MBS is a binding site for MYB transcription factors, which control many abiotic stress responses [88]. Genetic and molecular approaches have facilitated extensive functional characterization of MYB domain proteins, particularly the R2R3-type members in various plant species, including rice, maize and soybean. A genome wide comparative analysis of MYB genes and their expression in Arabidopsis and rice have indicated the potential role of several MYB domain proteins in plant stress responses [89]. Several members of R2R3-type MYB transcription factors are involved in the regulation of phenylpropanoid pathway and production of various secondary metabolic compounds under abiotic stress conditions in plants. In addition, most studies declared the role of MBS element against drought stress in common Bean [90] and maize [91].

TC-rich repeats and TCA-element were another CAREs that are present in the majority of responsive genes (Table **3**). The TC-rich repeats and TCA-element have been associated with stress responses according to previous reports [92 - 95].

In the present study, the HSE element has been found in the regulatory region of responsive genes showing a possible role of this CARE in response to salinity and drought stress in Arabidopsis. TF is an HSF that binds to HSE *cis*-acting elements in the promoter of stress-inducible genes and plays central roles in the acquisition of plant tolerance against abiotic stresses [96].

Furthermore, ARE regulatory elements are essential for the induction of anaerobic respiration (Table 3). The role of these elements against abiotic stresses is limited, although our study indicates the possibility of the role of these elements in tolerance against drought and salinity stresses.

Moreover, other elements such as GCN4_motif and Skn-1 motives were present in the majority of responsive genes specifically in endosperm (Table 3). Although our study shows that these elements could be considered as regulatory candidates against abiotic stresses, their function and contribution are still unknown.

CONCLUSION

In the present study, the expression pattern and regulation network of Arabidopsis as genetic model crop under salinity and drought stresses were highlighted. According to higher number of co-expressed gene accessions in shoot (450 genes) with respect to root tissue (224 genes) under both salinity and drought stresses it can be concluded that there was a great crosstalk between drought and salinity stress signaling processes in shoot tissue. Also, there was a strong correlation between the responses of plant to drought and salinity stress. Furthermore, a number of 13 gene accessions had important effect on binding activity such as DNA duplex unwinding and transcription factor activity. Among genes, the role of *AT3G24518* was remarkable because it codes a non-coding RNA which suggests some possible epigenetic regulation mechanisms like histone modification and chromatin remodeling. The result of CARE analysis indicated that hormonal regulatory and light responsive elements were the most presented CAREs in the majority of DGEs under salinity and drought stress conditions. Overall, these kinds of studies on model plants and such high-throughput analyses give an insight into the genetic architecture of important plants like cereals under stress condition and consequently help plant breeders to perform comprehensive breeding programs in order to develop abiotic stress- tolerant cultivars.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

npcRNAs	=	non-protein-coding RNAs
EST	=	Expressed Sequence Tags
RMA algorithm	=	Robust Multiarray Average algorithm
DGEs	=	Differentially Expressed Genes
GO	=	Gene Ontology
SEA	=	Singular Enrichment Analysis
FDR	=	False Discovery Rate
NCBI	=	National Center for Biotechnology Information
CAREs	=	Cis-Acting Regulatory Element
MAPKs	=	Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinases
GSK3	=	Glycogen Synthase Kinase3
CDPKs	=	Calcium-Dependent Protein Kinases
86K	=	S6 kinase
SnRKs	=	SNF1-Related Kinases
DREB	=	Dehydration Responsive Element Binding
CBF	=	C-repeat-Binding Factor
ABA	=	Abscisic Acid
ABF	=	Abscisic Acid-Binding Factor
MYC	=	Myelocytomatosis oncogene
МҮВ	=	myeloblastosis oncogene
TFs	=	Transcription Factors
MeJA	=	Methyl Jasmonate
GA	=	Gibberellin
ET	=	Ethylene

ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

Not applicable.

HUMAN AND ANIMAL RIGHTS

No Animals/Humans were used for studies that are base of this research.

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Not applicable.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest, financial or otherwise.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge the support of this study by Shiraz University.

REFERENCES

- Urano K, Kurihara Y, Seki M, Shinozaki K. 'Omics' analyses of regulatory networks in plant abiotic stress responses. Curr Opin Plant Biol 2010; 13(2): 132-8.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2009.12.006] [PMID: 20080055]
- Ma L, Chen C, Liu X, et al. A microarray analysis of the rice transcriptome and its comparison to Arabidopsis. Genome Res 2005; 15(9): 1274-83.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/gr.3657405] [PMID: 16140994]
- [3] Oono Y, Seki M, Satou M, et al. Monitoring expression profiles of Arabidopsis genes during cold acclimation and deacclimation using DNA microarrays. Funct Integr Genomics 2006; 6(3): 212-34.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10142-005-0014-z] [PMID: 16463051]

- [4] Mantri NL, Ford R, Coram TE, Pang EC. Transcriptional profiling of chickpea genes differentially regulated in response to high-salinity, cold and drought. BMC Genomics 2007; 8(1): 303.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-8-303] [PMID: 17764573]
- [5] Fernandez P, Di Rienzo J, Fernandez L, Hopp HE, Paniego N, Heinz RA. Transcriptomic identification of candidate genes involved in sunflower responses to chilling and salt stresses based on cDNA microarray analysis. BMC Plant Biol 2008; 8(1): 11. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2229-8-11] [PMID: 18221554]
- [6] Thomashow MF. Plant cold acclimation: freezing tolerance genes and regulatory mechanisms. Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol 1999; 50(1): 571-99.
 50(1): 571-99.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.arplant.50.1.571] [PMID: 15012220]

- Hasegawa PM, Bressan RA, Zhu JK, Bohnert HJ. Plant cellular and molecular responses to high salinity. Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol 2000; 51(1): 463-99.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.arplant.51.1.463] [PMID: 15012199]
- [8] Shinozaki K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K. Molecular responses to dehydration and low temperature: Differences and cross-talk between two stress signaling pathways. Curr Opin Plant Biol 2000; 3(3): 217-23.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1369-5266(00)00067-4] [PMID: 10837265]
- [9] Wan J, Dunning FM, Bent AF. Probing plant-pathogen interactions and downstream defense signaling using DNA microarrays. Funct Integr Genomics 2002; 2(6): 259-73.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10142-002-0080-4] [PMID: 12444419]
- [10] Zhu JK. Salt and drought stress signal transduction in plants. Annu Rev Plant Biol 2002; 53(1): 247-73. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.arplant.53.091401.143329] [PMID: 12221975]
- [11] Narusaka Y, Narusaka M, Seki M, et al. The cDNA microarray analysis using an Arabidopsis pad3 mutant reveals the expression profiles and classification of genes induced by Alternaria brassicicola attack. Plant Cell Physiol 2003; 44(4): 377-87. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pcg050] [PMID: 12721378]
- [12] Seki M, Kamei A, Satou M, et al. Transcriptome analysis in abiotic stress conditions in higher plants.Plant Responses to Abiotic Stress. Springer Berlin Heidelberg 2003; pp. 271-308. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-39402-0 11]
- Shinozaki K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Seki M. Regulatory network of gene expression in the drought and cold stress responses. Curr Opin Plant Biol 2003; 6(5): 410-7.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1369-5266(03)00092-X] [PMID: 12972040]
- [14] Salmeron JM, Vernooij B. Transgenic approaches to microbial disease resistance in crop plants. Curr Opin Plant Biol 1998; 1(4): 347-52. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/1369-5266(88)80058-X] [PMID: 10066610]
- [15] Hammond-Kosack KE, Parker JE. Deciphering plant-pathogen communication: Fresh perspectives for molecular resistance breeding. Curr Opin Biotechnol 2003; 14(2): 177-93.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0958-1669(03)00035-1] [PMID: 12732319]
- [16] Zhang JZ. Overexpression analysis of plant transcription factors. Curr Opin Plant Biol 2003; 6(5): 430-40. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1369-5266(03)00081-5] [PMID: 12972043]
- [17] Denby K, Gehring C. Engineering drought and salinity tolerance in plants: lessons from genome-wide expression profiling in Arabidopsis. Trends Biotechnol 2005; 23(11): 547-52.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2005.09.001] [PMID: 16165235]
- Salt DE. Update on plant ionomics. Plant Physiol 2004; 136(1): 2451-6.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.104.047753] [PMID: 15375201]
- [19] Kawasaki S, Borchert C, Deyholos M, *et al.* Gene expression profiles during the initial phase of salt stress in rice. Plant Cell 2001; 13(4): 889-905.
 - [http://dx.doi.org/10.1105/tpc.13.4.889] [PMID: 11283343]
- [20] Seki M, Narusaka M, Abe H, et al. Monitoring the expression pattern of 1300 Arabidopsis genes under drought and cold stresses by using a full-length cDNA microarray. Plant Cell 2001; 13(1): 61-72. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1105/tpc.13.1.61] [PMID: 11158529]
- Seki M, Ishida J, Narusaka M, *et al.* Monitoring the expression pattern of around 7,000 Arabidopsis genes under ABA treatments using a full-length cDNA microarray. Funct Integr Genomics 2002; 2(6): 282-91.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10142-002-0070-6] [PMID: 12444421]
- [22] Seki M, Narusaka M, Ishida J, et al. Monitoring the expression profiles of 7000 Arabidopsis genes under drought, cold and high-salinity stresses using a full-length cDNA microarray. Plant J 2002; 31(3): 279-92. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-313X.2002.01359.x] [PMID: 12164808]
- [23] Chen W, Provart NJ, Glazebrook J, et al. Expression profile matrix of Arabidopsis transcription factor genes suggests their putative functions in response to environmental stresses. Plant Cell 2002; 14(3): 559-74. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1105/tpc.010410] [PMID: 11910004]

- [24] Fowler S, Thomashow MF. Arabidopsis transcriptome profiling indicates that multiple regulatory pathways are activated during cold acclimation in addition to the CBF cold response pathway. Plant Cell 2002; 14(8): 1675-90. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1105/tpc.003483] [PMID: 12172015]
- [25] Aceituno FF, Moseyko N, Rhee SY, Gutiérrez RA. The rules of gene expression in plants: organ identity and gene body methylation are key factors for regulation of gene expression in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. BMC Genomics 2008; 9(1): 438. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-9-438] [PMID: 18811951]
- Bolstad BM, Irizarry RA, Åstrand M, Speed TP. A comparison of normalization methods for high density oligonucleotide array data based on variance and bias. Bioinformatics 2003; 19(2): 185-93.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/19.2.185] [PMID: 12538238]
- [27] Du Z, Zhou X, Ling Y, Zhang Z, Su Z. agriGO: A GO analysis toolkit for the agricultural community Nucleic Acids Research 38(suppl_2): W64-70 2010.
- [28] Lescot M, Déhais P, Thijs G, et al. PlantCARE, a database of plant cis-acting regulatory elements and a portal to tools for in silico analysis of promoter sequences. Nucleic Acids Res 2002; 30(1): 325-7. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/30.1.325] [PMID: 11752327]
- [29] Rensink WA, Buell CR. Microarray expression profiling resources for plant genomics. Trends Plant Sci 2005; 10(12): 603-9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2005.10.003] [PMID: 16275051]
- [30] Kosová K, Vítámvás P, Prášil IT, Renaut J. Plant proteome changes under abiotic stress--contribution of proteomics studies to understanding plant stress response. J Proteomics 2011; 74(8): 1301-22. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jprot.2011.02.006] [PMID: 21329772]
- [31] Huang H, Møller IM, Song SQ. Proteomics of desiccation tolerance during development and germination of maize embryos. J Proteomics 2012; 75(4): 1247-62.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jprot.2011.10.036] [PMID: 22108046]
- [32] Aranjuelo I, Molero G, Erice G, Avice JC, Nogués S. Plant physiology and proteomics reveals the leaf response to drought in alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.). J Exp Bot 2011; 62(1): 111-23. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erq249] [PMID: 20797998]
- [33] Bazargani MM, Sarhadi E, Bushehri AA, et al. A proteomics view on the role of drought-induced senescence and oxidative stress defense in enhanced stem reserves remobilization in wheat. J Proteomics 2011; 74(10): 1959-73. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jprot.2011.05.015] [PMID: 21621021]
- [34] Oliver MJ, Jain R, Balbuena TS, Agrawal G, Gasulla F, Thelen JJ. Proteome analysis of leaves of the desiccation-tolerant grass, Sporobolus stapfianus, in response to dehydration. Phytochemistry 2011; 72(10): 1273-84. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2010.10.020] [PMID: 21109273]
- [35] Abdalla KO, Rafudeen MS. Analysis of the nuclear proteome of the resurrection plant *Xerophyta viscosa* in response to dehydration stress using iTRAQ with 2DLC and tandem mass spectrometry. J Proteomics 2012; 75(8): 2361-74. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jprot.2012.02.006] [PMID: 22361341]
- [36] Kidrič M, Sabotič J, Stevanović B. Desiccation tolerance of the resurrection plant Ramonda serbica is associated with dehydration-dependent changes in levels of proteolytic activities. J Plant Physiol 2014; 171(12): 998-1002. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jplph.2014.03.011] [PMID: 24974326]
- [37] Cho K, Agrawal GK, Jwa NS, Kubo A, Rakwal R. Rice OsSIPK and its orthologs: a "central master switch" for stress responses. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2009; 379(3): 649-53.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2008.12.107] [PMID: 19116133]
- [38] Mishra NS, Tuteja R, Tuteja N. Signaling through MAP kinase networks in plants. Arch Biochem Biophys 2006; 452(1): 55-68. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.abb.2006.05.001] [PMID: 16806044]
- [39] Pitzschke A, Schikora A, Hirt H. MAPK cascade signalling networks in plant defence. Curr Opin Plant Biol 2009; 12(4): 421-6. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2009.06.008] [PMID: 19608449]
- [40] Jonak C, Hirt H. Glycogen synthase kinase 3/SHAGGY-like kinases in plants: an emerging family with novel functions. Trends Plant Sci 2002; 7(10): 457-61.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1360-1385(02)02331-2] [PMID: 12399181]
- [41] Koh S, Lee SC, Kim MK, et al. T-DNA tagged knockout mutation of rice OsGSK1, an orthologue of Arabidopsis BIN2, with enhanced tolerance to various abiotic stresses. Plant Mol Biol 2007; 65(4): 453-66. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11103-007-9213-4] [PMID: 17690841]
- [42] Mahfouz MM, Kim S, Delauney AJ, Verma DP. Arabidopsis TARGET OF RAPAMYCIN interacts with RAPTOR, which regulates the activity of S6 kinase in response to osmotic stress signals. Plant Cell 2006; 18(2): 477-90. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1105/tpc.105.035931] [PMID: 16377759]
- [43] Das R, Pandey GK. Expressional analysis and role of calcium regulated kinases in abiotic stress signaling. Curr Genomics 2010; 11(1): 2-13. [http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/138920210790217981] [PMID: 20808518]
- [44] Hrabak EM, Chan CW, Gribskov M, et al. The Arabidopsis CDPK-SnRK superfamily of protein kinases. Plant Physiol 2003; 132(2): 666-80. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.102.011999] [PMID: 12805596]

26 The Open Bioinformatics Journal, 2018, Volume 11

- [45] Wurzinger B, Mair A, Pfister B, Teige M. Cross-talk of calcium-dependent protein kinase and MAP kinase signaling. Plant Signal Behav 2011; 6(1): 8-12.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.4161/psb.6.1.14012] [PMID: 21248475]
- [46] Kulik A, Wawer I, Krzywińska E, Bucholc M, Dobrowolska G. SnRK2 protein kinases--key regulators of plant response to abiotic stresses. OMICS 2011; 15(12): 859-72.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/omi.2011.0091] [PMID: 22136638]
- [47] Liu D, Wang L, Zhai H, Song X, He S, Liu Q. A novel α/β-hydrolase gene IbMas enhances salt tolerance in transgenic sweetpotato. PLoS One 2014; 9(12): e115128.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0115128] [PMID: 25501819]
- [48] Lord CC, Thomas G, Brown JM. Mammalian alpha beta hydrolase domain (ABHD) proteins: Lipid metabolizing enzymes at the interface of cell signaling and energy metabolism. Biochim Biophys Acta 2013; 1831(4): 792-802. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbalip.2013.01.002] [PMID: 23328280]
- [49] Swapna TS. Esterase as molecular marker for salt tolerance in regenerated plants of rice, Oryza sativa L 2002.
- [50] Wang X. Phospholipase D in hormonal and stress signaling. Curr Opin Plant Biol 2002; 5(5): 408-14. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1369-5266(02)00283-2] [PMID: 12183179]
- [51] Hong Y, Zhang W, Wang X. Phospholipase D and phosphatidic acid signalling in plant response to drought and salinity. Plant Cell Environ 2010; 33(4): 627-35.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2009.02087.x] [PMID: 19968827]
- [52] Tan CM, Chen RJ, Zhang JH, et al. OsPOP5, a prolyl oligopeptidase family gene from rice confers abiotic stress tolerance in Escherichia coli. Int J Mol Sci 2013; 14(10): 20204-19. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijms141020204] [PMID: 24152437]
- [53] Abe H, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Urao T, Iwasaki T, Hosokawa D, Shinozaki K. Role of arabidopsis MYC and MYB homologs in droughtand abscisic acid-regulated gene expression. Plant Cell 1997; 9(10): 1859-68. [PMID: 9368419]
- [54] Bartels D, Sunkar R. Drought and salt tolerance in plants. Crit Rev Plant Sci 2005; 24(1): 23-58. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07352680590910410]
- [55] Sakuma Y, Maruyama K, Osakabe Y, et al. Functional analysis of an Arabidopsis transcription factor, DREB2A, involved in droughtresponsive gene expression. Plant Cell 2006; 18(5): 1292-309. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1105/tpc.105.035881] [PMID: 16617101]
- [56] Lata C, Prasad M. Role of DREBs in regulation of abiotic stress responses in plants. J Exp Bot 2011; 62(14): 4731-48. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jxb/err210] [PMID: 21737415]
- [57] Zhang X, Rerksiri W, Liu A, et al. Transcriptome profile reveals heat response mechanism at molecular and metabolic levels in rice flag leaf. Gene 2013; 530(2): 185-92. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2013.08.048] [PMID: 23994682]
- [58] Lorković ZJ. Role of plant RNA-binding proteins in development, stress response and genome organization. Trends Plant Sci 2009; 14(4): 229-36.
 - [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2009.01.007] [PMID: 19285908]
- [59] Busk PK, Pagès M. Regulation of abscisic acid-induced transcription. Plant Mol Biol 1998; 37(3): 425-35. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1006058700720] [PMID: 9617810]
- [60] Tuteja N. Abscisic Acid and abiotic stress signaling. Plant Signal Behav 2007; 2(3): 135-8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.4161/psb.2.3.4156] [PMID: 19516981]
- [61] Mahajan S, Tuteja N. Cold, salinity and drought stresses: An overview. Arch Biochem Biophys 2005; 444(2): 139-58. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.abb.2005.10.018] [PMID: 16309626]
- [62] Swamy PM, Smith BN. Role of abscisic acid in plant stress tolerance. Curr Sci 1999; 1220-7.
- [63] Xiong L, Schumaker KS, Zhu JK. Cell signaling during cold, drought, and salt stress. Plant Cell 2002; 14(Suppl.): S165-83. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1105/tpc.000596] [PMID: 12045276]
- [64] Uno Y, Furihata T, Abe H, Yoshida R, Shinozaki K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K. Arabidopsis basic leucine zipper transcription factors involved in an abscisic acid-dependent signal transduction pathway under drought and high-salinity conditions. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2000; 97(21): 11632-7.
 - [http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.190309197] [PMID: 11005831]
- [65] Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Shinozaki K. Transcriptional regulatory networks in cellular responses and tolerance to dehydration and cold stresses. Annu Rev Plant Biol 2006; 57: 781-803. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.arplant.57.032905.105444] [PMID: 16669782]
- [66] Dinneny JR, Long TA, Wang JY, et al. Cell identity mediates the response of Arabidopsis roots to abiotic stress. Science 2008; 320(5878): 942-5.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/asinga.1152705] [DMID: 18426742]

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1153795] [PMID: 18436742]

Investigation of Drought and Salinity

- [67] Xu L, Lin Z, Tao Q, et al. Multiple NUCLEAR FACTOR Y transcription factors respond to abiotic stress in Brassica napus L. PLoS One 2014; 9(10): e111354. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0111354] [PMID: 25356551]
- [68] Ahmad P, Rasool S, Gul A, *et al.* Jasmonates: multifunctional roles in stress tolerance. Front Plant Sci 2016; 7: 813. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.00813] [PMID: 27379115]
- [69] Küpper FC, Gaquerel E, Cosse A, et al. Free fatty acids and methyl jasmonate trigger defense reactions in Laminaria digitata. Plant Cell Physiol 2009; 50(4): 789-800. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pcp023] [PMID: 19213737]
- [70] Gao QM, Venugopal S, Navarre D, Kachroo A. Low oleic acid-derived repression of jasmonic acid-inducible defense responses requires the WRKY50 and WRKY51 proteins. Plant Physiol 2011; 155(1): 464-76. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.110.166876] [PMID: 21030507]
- [71] Jalalpour Z, Shabani L, Afghani L, Sharifi-Tehrani M. AMINI SA. Stimulatory effect of methyl jasmonate and squalestatin on phenolic metabolism through induction of LOX activity in cell suspension culture of yew. Turk J Biol 2014; 38(1): 76-82. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3906/biy-1306-91]
- [72] Kazan K. Diverse roles of jasmonates and ethylene in abiotic stress tolerance. Trends Plant Sci 2015; 20(4): 219-29. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2015.02.001] [PMID: 25731753]
- [73] Huang L, Zhang F, Zhang F, et al. Comparative transcriptome sequencing of tolerant rice introgression line and its parents in response to drought stress. BMC Genomics 2014; 15(1): 1026.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-15-1026] [PMID: 25428615]
- [74] Wu L, Zhang Z, Zhang H, Wang XC, Huang R. Transcriptional modulation of ethylene response factor protein JERF3 in the oxidative stress response enhances tolerance of tobacco seedlings to salt, drought, and freezing. Plant Physiol 2008; 148(4): 1953-63. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.108.126813] [PMID: 18945933]
- [75] Ma S, Bohnert HJ. Integration of Arabidopsis thaliana stress-related transcript profiles, promoter structures, and cell-specific expression. Genome Biol 2007; 8(4): R49.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/gb-2007-8-4-r49] [PMID: 17408486]
- [76] Divi UK, Rahman T, Krishna P. Brassinosteroid-mediated stress tolerance in Arabidopsis shows interactions with abscisic acid, ethylene and salicylic acid pathways. BMC Plant Biol 2010; 10(1): 151. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2229-10-151] [PMID: 20642851]
- [77] Colebrook EH, Thomas SG, Phillips AL, Hedden P. The role of gibberellin signalling in plant responses to abiotic stress. J Exp Biol 2014; 217(Pt 1): 67-75.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/jeb.089938] [PMID: 24353205]
- [78] Gilmartin PM, Sarokin L, Memelink J, Chua NH. Molecular light switches for plant genes. Plant Cell 1990; 2(5): 369-78. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1105/tpc.2.5.369] [PMID: 2152164]
- [79] Lam E, Chua NH. ASF-2: a factor that binds to the cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter and a conserved GATA motif in Cab promoters. Plant Cell 1989; 1(12): 1147-56. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1105/tpc.1.12.1147] [PMID: 2535536]
- [80] Ibraheem O, Botha CE, Bradley G. In silico analysis of *cis*-acting regulatory elements in 5' regulatory regions of sucrose transporter gene families in rice (*Oryza sativa* Japonica) and *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Comput Biol Chem 2010; 34(5-6): 268-83. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compbiolchem.2010.09.003] [PMID: 21036669]
- [81] Behnam B, Iuchi S, Fujita M, et al. Characterization of the promoter region of an Arabidopsis gene for 9-cis-epoxycarotenoid dioxygenase involved in dehydration-inducible transcription. DNA Res 2013; 20(4): 315-24. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/dnares/dst012] [PMID: 23604098]
- [82] Zhang HB, Bokowiec MT, Rushton PJ, Han SC, Timko MP. Tobacco transcription factors NtMYC2a and NtMYC2b form nuclear complexes with the NtJAZ1 repressor and regulate multiple jasmonate-inducible steps in nicotine biosynthesis. Mol Plant 2012; 5(1): 73-84. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mp/ssr056] [PMID: 21746701]
- [83] Tavakol E, Sardaro ML, Shariati JV, Rossini L, Porcedu E. Isolation, promoter analysis and expression profile of Dreb2 in response to drought stress in wheat ancestors. Gene 2014; 549(1): 24-32. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2014.07.020] [PMID: 25017054]
- [84] Xu W, Yang R, Li M, et al. Transcriptome phase distribution analysis reveals diurnal regulated biological processes and key pathways in rice flag leaves and seedling leaves. PLoS One 2011; 6(3): e17613. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0017613] [PMID: 21407816]
- [85] Li G, Siddiqui H, Teng Y, et al. Coordinated transcriptional regulation underlying the circadian clock in Arabidopsis. Nat Cell Biol 2011; 13(5): 616-22. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncb2219] [PMID: 21499259]
- [86] Greenham K, McClung CR. Integrating circadian dynamics with physiological processes in plants. Nat Rev Genet 2015; 16(10): 598-610. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrg3976] [PMID: 26370901]

- [87] Nakamichi N, Takao S, Kudo T, *et al.* Improvement of Arabidopsis biomass and cold, drought and salinity stress tolerance by modified circadian clock-associated PSEUDO-RESPONSE REGULATORs. Plant Cell Physiol 2016; 57(5): 1085-97. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pcw057] [PMID: 27012548]
- [88] Dai X, Xu Y, Ma Q, et al. Overexpression of an R1R2R3 MYB gene, OsMYB3R-2, increases tolerance to freezing, drought, and salt stress in transgenic Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol 2007; 143(4): 1739-51. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.106.094532] [PMID: 17293435]
- [89] Katiyar A, Smita S, Lenka SK, Rajwanshi R, Chinnusamy V, Bansal KC. Genome-wide classification and expression analysis of MYB transcription factor families in rice and Arabidopsis. BMC Genomics 2012; 13(1): 544. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-13-544] [PMID: 23050870]
- [90] Wu J, Chen J, Wang L, Wang S. Genome-Wide Investigation of WRKY Transcription Factors Involved in Terminal Drought Stress Response in Common Bean. Front Plant Sci 2017; 8: 380. [PMID: 28386267]
- [91] Zhang T, Tan D, Zhang L, Zhang X, Han Z. Phylogenetic analysis and drought-responsive expression profiles of the WRKY transcription factor family in maize. Agri Gene 2017; 3: 99-108. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aggene.2017.01.001]
- [92] Merlot S, Gosti F, Guerrier D, Vavasseur A, Giraudat J. The ABI1 and ABI2 protein phosphatases 2C act in a negative feedback regulatory loop of the abscisic acid signalling pathway. Plant J 2001; 25(3): 295-303. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-313x.2001.00965.x] [PMID: 11208021]
- [93] Rowland O, Ludwig AA, Merrick CJ, et al. Functional analysis of Avr9/Cf-9 rapidly elicited genes identifies a protein kinase, ACIK1, that is essential for full Cf-9-dependent disease resistance in tomato. Plant Cell 2005; 17(1): 295-310. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1105/tpc.104.026013] [PMID: 15598806]
- [94] Diévart A, Clark SE. LRR-containing receptors regulating plant development and defense. Development 2004; 131(2): 251-61.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/dev.00998] [PMID: 14701679]
- [95] Qin YX, Qin F. Dehydrins from wheat *x Thinopyrum* ponticum amphiploid increase salinity and drought tolerance under their own inducible promoters without growth retardation. Plant Physiol Biochem 2016; 99: 142-9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2015.12.011] [PMID: 26756791]
- [96] Guo M, Liu JH, Ma X, Luo DX, Gong ZH, Lu MH. The plant heat stress transcription factors (HSFs): structure, regulation, and function in response to abiotic stresses. Front Plant Sci 2016; 7: 114. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.00114] [PMID: 26904076]

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License (CC-BY 4.0), a copy of which is available at: (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode). This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

^{© 2018} Shariatipour and Heidari