Molecular Character, Phylogeny and Expression of Tomato LeNHX3 Gene Involved in Multiple Adverse Stress Responses
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Abstract: Crop production is severely affected by high salt stress. To obtain more salt-tolerant crops by genetic modification, it is crucial to explore some key genes associated with salt tolerance. LeNHX3 gene is considered one putative Na+/H+ antiporter with the ability of improving plant salt tolerance by maintaining intracellular ionic balance in tomato, however, limited information about it has been reported. Here, we report the structure, phylogenetic evolution and expression of LeNHX3 gene from wild type tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum Mill cv. Ailsa Craig). Sequence analysis showed that LeNHX3 encodes a protein containing 10 transmembrane domains, with a typical conserved amiloride binding domain presented in the third transmembrane domain. An interesting discovery also showed that sequence of LeNHX3 was more conserved than its allele protein collected by GenBank (designated as LeNHX3-GB in this study) when compared with others Na+/H+ antiporters. Homology modeling results showed that the structure of LeNHX3 protein consists mainly of a-helix and random coil, it has similar tertiary structure to that of LeNHX3-GB, however, inter-residue interactions were found to be further strengthened in LeNHX3. Phylogenetic analysis showed LeNHX3 was clustered with vacuolar Na+/H+ antiporters and has distant relationship to plasma membrane Na+/H+ antiporters. Expression profiles analysis indicated LeNHX3 gene was constitutively expressed in roots, stems and leaves, its expression was also induced by salt, low temperature and abscisic acid. The results presented in this work provide new insights into LeNHX3 gene, it is particularly important that one new LeNHX3 allele from wild tomato was mined, which can serve as a candidate gene for improving plant stress tolerance by genetic engineering.

Keywords: Homology modeling, LeNHX3 gene, Na+/H+ antiporters, Phylogenetic evolution, Salt tolerance.

1. INTRODUCTION

Soil salinization has been one of the severest negative environmental constraints, nearly 7 percent of the total land, 20 percent of the cultivated area and 50 percent of the irrigated lands in the world are adversely affected by salinity stress [1–3], it disrupts the normal photosynthesis and carbohydrate metabolism of corps, with a consequence of plant growth retardation and yield reduction. Global agricultural sustainability is largely dependent on the improvement of crop salt tolerance [4]. Tomato is one of the most widely grown and consumed vegetables in the world [5], however, most of the cultivated tomatoes are highly or moderately sensitive to soil salinity, which results in substantially reducing the yields under salt stress [6, 7]. Wild tomatoes are more salt-tolerant than cultivated tomatoes [8], they are suitable as the germplasms for mining genes for genetic improvement of salt tolerance in cultivated tomatoes.

In order to avoid occurrence of high salt toxicity in plants, Na+ should be transported outside the cytosol or inside the vacuoles, all the processes can be mediated by Na+/H+ antiporter, a protein conferring salt tolerance for plant by maintaining ion homeostasis in cells [9]. To date, many Na+/H+ antiporters have been cloned and characterized. AtNHX1 was the first vacuolar Na+/H+ antiporter isolated from Arabidopsis thaliana, its over-expression led to increased salt tolerance of Arabidopsis thaliana, peanut and maize [10, 11]. Na+/H+ antiporters from other species also confer salt tolerance in plants, for example, the vacuolar Na+/H+ antiporter SbNHX1 gene from extreme halophyte Salicornia brachiata conferred salt tolerance for Jatropha curcas [12]. In tomato, several Na+/H+ antiporters have also been reported. LeNHX2, one Na+/H+ antiporter located in vacuole, is an important determinants for salt tolerance of tomato [13, 14]. LeNHX3 is another Na+/H+ antiporter in tomato, a positive correlation was found between its expression level and salt tolerance in tomato [15], however, more molecular information about it is still lacking. In this study, structure, phylogeny and expression profiling of LeNHX3 from wild type tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum Mill cv. Ailsa Craig) were analyzed. This work is helpful for us to explore more information of LeNHX3 and improve plant abiotic stress tolerance by genetic engineering in the future.
2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Plant Materials

Mature seeds of *Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill. cv. Ailsa Craig were sanitized with 5% sodium hypochlorite and then germinated on 1/2 Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium, after grown at 25°C in complete darkness for one week, seeds were incubated under 16h light and 8h dark photoperiod cycles until seedlings reached the height of about 8 centimeters, after treated with 200mM NaCl, 150mM mannitol, low temperature (4°C) and 10µM Abscisic acid for 6h, all samples were then collected and frozen immediately in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C refrigerator for RNA extraction.

2.2. Protein Sequences

The *LeNHX3* gene has been cloned from wild type tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill. cv. Ailsa Craig) and sequenced in our previous study [16]. In this study, amino acid sequence of *LeNHX3* was deduced from its cDNA sequence, sequences of other Na⁺/H⁺ antiporters were got from the protein database maintained by NCBI (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/protein), for more details see Table 1.

### Table 1. Comparison of Na⁺/H⁺ antiporters from different species.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein Name</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>GenBank Accession Number</th>
<th>Numbers of Amino Acid</th>
<th>Molecular Weight (Da)</th>
<th>Theoretical Isoelectric Point</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LeNHX3</td>
<td><em>Solanum lycopersicum</em> (wild type, Ailsa Craig)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>537</td>
<td>59421.5</td>
<td>8.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LeNHX3-GB</td>
<td><em>Solanum lycopersicum</em></td>
<td>CAK12754.1</td>
<td>537</td>
<td>59443.5</td>
<td>8.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InNHX2</td>
<td><em>Ipomoea nil</em></td>
<td>BAD91200</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>59317.6</td>
<td>7.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CmNHX1</td>
<td><em>Chrysanthemum x morifolium</em></td>
<td>ABN71591</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>61085.3</td>
<td>6.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AgNHX1</td>
<td><em>Atriplex gmelini</em></td>
<td>BAB11940</td>
<td>555</td>
<td>61504.8</td>
<td>6.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BnNHX2</td>
<td><em>Brassica napus</em></td>
<td>ACZ92142</td>
<td>542</td>
<td>59931.1</td>
<td>7.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZmNHX2</td>
<td><em>Zea mays</em></td>
<td>NP001105531</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>59808.2</td>
<td>8.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MzNHX1</td>
<td><em>Malus zumi</em></td>
<td>ADB80440</td>
<td>544</td>
<td>60474.0</td>
<td>8.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VvNHX1</td>
<td><em>Vitis vinifera</em></td>
<td>AAV36562</td>
<td>541</td>
<td>60137.2</td>
<td>7.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SbNHX1</td>
<td><em>Salicornia brachiata</em></td>
<td>ACA33931</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>62322.7</td>
<td>6.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PeNHX3</td>
<td><em>Populus euphratica</em></td>
<td>ACU01854</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>60293.7</td>
<td>8.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TaNHX2</td>
<td><em>Triticum aestivum</em></td>
<td>AAK76738</td>
<td>538</td>
<td>59082.4</td>
<td>8.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GhNHX1</td>
<td><em>Gossypium hirsutum</em></td>
<td>AAM54141</td>
<td>543</td>
<td>60089.5</td>
<td>7.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KeNHX2</td>
<td><em>Karelinia caspia</em></td>
<td>ABC18331.1</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>61079.5</td>
<td>6.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HtNHX1</td>
<td><em>Helianthus tuberosus</em></td>
<td>ABM17091.1</td>
<td>549</td>
<td>60744.2</td>
<td>6.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtNHX1</td>
<td><em>Puccinellia tenuiflora</em></td>
<td>EF440291</td>
<td>1137</td>
<td>125500.2</td>
<td>6.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CsSOS1</td>
<td><em>Cucumber</em></td>
<td>AFD64618.1</td>
<td>1144</td>
<td>127272.0</td>
<td>6.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OsSOS1</td>
<td><em>Oryza sativa</em></td>
<td>AAW33875.1</td>
<td>1148</td>
<td>127917.8</td>
<td>6.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: LeNHX3-GB indicates the LeNHX3 protein collected in Genbank.

2.3. Molecular Characteristics, Structure and Phylogenetic Relationship Analysis

Physical characteristics of *LeNHX3* protein were deduced by protparam program at the ExPASy server (http://au.expasy.org/tools/protparam.html) with default parameters. Transmembrane analysis was performed by TMHMM server (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/TMHMM-2.0/) with default parameters. Multiple sequence alignments and amino acid sequence homology analysis between *LeNHX3* and other Na⁺/H⁺ antiporters were performed by DNAMAN software (Lynnon corporation, Quebec, Canada) using the full alignment method. To construct three-dimensional structure of *LeNHX3*, fasta format sequence of which was submitted to the Swiss-model workspace (http://swissmodel.expasy.org/workspace/index.php), the template hits for *LeNHX3* protein was then searched using template identification tool [17], the resulting structure with the largest sequence homology to *LeNHX3* was used as template, homology modeling of *LeNHX3* was then performed using alignment mode in Swiss-Model, the resulting structure was viewed using pymol software (version 0.99, DeLano Scientific LLC, South San Francisco, California, USA). On the basis of amino acid sequence alignments by Clustalx1.83 (EMBL-EBI, Cambridge, UK) using multiple alignment mode, phylogenetic evolutionary
analysis was completed using MEGA software version 5.0 (www.megasoftware.net), the neighbor-joining (NJ) tree was generated using the p-distance method with complete deletion option and 1000 bootstrap replicates.

2.4. Tissue Specific and Stress Induced Expression of LeNHX3 Gene

Total RNA for tissue specific expression was extracted from the mashed roots, stems and leaves. Total RNA for stress induced expression was extracted from the plantlets induced by salt, mannitol, low temperature and ABA using RNAprep Kit (Tiangen, Beijing, China), their cDNA were synthesized using cDNA synthesis kit (TaKaRa, Dalian, China). RT-PCR primers were designed with Primer 5.0 software, the primer sequences for LeNHX3 gene amplification were as follows: 5'-GACTTATGCGAGGTGCTGT-3' (forward primer) and 5'-CATTGGTTCCGTTGCTAGT-3' (reverse primer). The housekeeping gene ubiquitin III (Ubi3) was assayed as an internal control (GenBank accession no. X58253.1), the primer sequences were 5'-AGAAGAGACTTACACCAAGCC-3' (forward primer) and 5'-TCCCCAGGTGTTGACATACATC-3' (reverse primer). The PCR amplification program was as follows: 94°C for 5min (initial denaturing), followed by 30 cycles of 94°C for 30s (denaturation), 55°C for 30s (annealing) and 72°C for 30s (extension), with a final extension at 72°C for 10 min, PCR products were then analyzed on 1.0% ethidium bromide-stained agarose gel.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Physiochemical Properties

To analyze the physicochemical parameters of different Na+/H+ antiporters, the protein sequences of 3 plasma membrane (PtNHA1, CsSOS1, OsSOS1) and 15 vacuolar type Na+/H+ antiporters were analyzed using ProtParam tool. The results showed that LeNHX3 protein encoding a polypeptide containing 537 amino acid residues with a predicted molecular weight of 59421.5 Da and theoretical isoelectric point of 8.55, it was smaller in molecular weight, but with larger theoretical isoelectric point than other Na+/H+ antiporters (Table 1). To analyze the distribution of transmembrane domain, amino acid sequence of LeNHX3 was analyzed using TMHMM 2.0, the result indicated that LeNHX3 was consisted of ten transmembrane domains between the residues 21 to 43, 53 to 72, 77 to 99, 114 to 136, 218 to 240, 270 to 292, 304 to 326, 341 to 363, 384 to 402, 417 to 436, respectively (Fig. 1).

3.2. Sequence Alignments and Homology Analysis

To identify the conserved domain presented in LeNHX3 protein and compare the sequence differences between LeNHX3 and other Na+/H+ antiporters, multiple sequence alignments of LeNHX3 against other 14 known vacuolar type Na+/H+ antiporters were performed using full alignment method of DNAMAN software. The result revealed that the conserved amiloride-binding domain LFIIYLLPPI was present in the third transmembrane domain at the N terminal of LeNHX3. Interesting, by comparing the amino acid sequence of LeNHX3 with its allele-associated protein LeNHX3-GB, three amino acid substitutions between them were found, it was a Tyrosine to Histidine substitution at position 143 (Y143H), a Proline to Serine substitution at position 346 (P346S) and a Glycine to Alanine substitution at position 399 (G399A) in LeNHX3 protein, respectively. The Serine at position 346 and Alanine at position 399 were located in the eighth and ninth transmembrane domain of LeNHX3, both of them were more conserved than that of LeNHX3-GB (Fig. 1), this indicated that the Serine-346 and Alanine-399 are important for transport function of LeNHX3.

3.3. Modeling the Three-Dimensional Structure of LeNHX3

To establish the tertiary structure of LeNHX3 and compare the structure differences between LeNHX3 and its allele associated protein LeNHX3-GB, their protein sequences were homology-modeled using the SWISS-MODEL server in alignment model, structures were constructed based on the sequence ranging from Phenylalanine at position 3 (Phe 3) to Isoleucine at position 386 (Ile 386) of LeNHX3 and LeNHX3-GB proteins, structure of NapA (PDB code, 4bwzA) was chosen as the template for modeling. The results showed that LeNHX3 and LeNHX3-GB proteins were primarily composed of α-helix and random coil (Fig. 2A, B), the conserved amiloride-binding domain LFIIYLLPPI and the different residues at position 143 and 346 were located at the protein surface (Fig. 2C, D). Although LeNHX3 showed high similarity with the structure of LeNHX3-GB, changes of inter-residue interactions were still found. In LeNHX3, one oxygen of Histidine residue at position 143 (H143) was found to interact with the nitrogen of Glycine residue at position 147 (G147), with a distance of 3.36 Å, two nitrogen atoms of H143 were involved in the interface with the oxygen and nitrogen of Asparagine residue at position 140 (N140), including formation of two sets of hydrogen bonds with separation of 2.86 and 3.10Å (Fig. 2E). We also found the Serine residue at position 346 (S346) interacted with the Glutamine residue at position 343 (Q343) in LeNHX3, with a single polar contact of 3.36 Å (Fig. 2F). However, only the residue Tyrosine at position 143 (Y143) formed two polar contacts with the Glycine at position 147 (G147) and the Asparagine at position 140 (N140) in LeNHX3-GB, resulting in two hydrogen bonds with distance of 3.34 and 2.86 Å, respectively (Fig. 2G).

3.4. Phylogenetic Analysis of LeNHX3 and other Na+/H+ Antiporters

In order to ascertain the evolutionary relationships between the LeNHX3 and Na+/H+ antiporters from other plant species, a phylogenetic tree was constructed. The result showed that LeNHX3 has close phylogenetic relationship to the vacuolar type antiporters, it falls into the same clade with tomato LeNHX3-GB and InNHX2 from Ipomoea nil. However, LeNHX3 showed distant genetic relationship to plasma-membrane type Na+/H+ antiporters (Fig. 3), this allows us to confirm that LeNHX3 is a typical vacuolar Na+/H+ antiporter.
Fig. (1). Multiple sequence alignments of LeNHX3 with Na\(^+\)/H\(^+\) antiporters from other species. The box indicates the conserved amiloride-binding site, the different amino acids in LeNHX3 and LeNHX3-GB are indicated by arrows, the 10 transmembrane domains are indicated by an overline respectively.
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Fig. (2). Homology modeling and comparison of the interactions for different residues in LeNHX3 and LeNHX3-GB. Three-dimensional structures of LeNHX3 and LeNHX3-GB are shown in cartoon and surface representations, with α-helices colored red, coiled regions colored green, the conserved amiloride-binding domain colored in yellow and labeled as (I). Different residues at position of 143 and 346 of LeNHX3 and LeNHX3-GB were colored blue and indicated by arrows. (A) Cartoon representation of LeNHX3. (B) Cartoon representation of LeNHX3-GB. (C) Surface representation of LeNHX3. (D) Surface representation of LeNHX3-GB. The different residue interactions in LeNHX3 and LeNHX3-GB were shown in stick-sphere representations, hydrogen bonds were shown as dashed red lines. (E) Interaction of the Histidine residue at position 143 (H143) with the Glycine residue at position 147 (G147) and the Asparagine residue at position 140 (N140) in LeNHX3. (F) Interaction of the Serine residue at position 346 (S346) with the Glutamine residue at position 343 (Q343) in LeNHX3. (G) Interaction of the Tyrosine residue at position 143 (Y143) with the residue Glycine at position 147 (G147) and the residue Asparagine at position 140 (N140) in LeNHX3-GB.

3.5 Expression Patterns of LeNHX3 Gene

To investigate the expression patterns of LeNHX3 gene, specific tissue expressions of LeNHX3 in various tissues were examined by RT-PCR. The results showed that LeNHX3 was constitutively expressed in the leaves, stems and roots (Fig. 4A), this suggested that LeNHX3 is essential for the normal function of wild type tomato. Abiotic stress induced expression showed that LeNHX3 was induced by salt, which demonstrated the potent role of LeNHX3 in salt tolerance in wild type tomato. Interestingly, the transcript levels of LeNHX3 were also up-regulated by low temperature and ABA, and the highest expression occurs at low temperature treatment, however, the transcript was not obviously induced by mannitol, this indicated that LeNHX3 is involved in cross talk between salt, low temperature and ABA in tomato (Fig. 4B).

4. DISCUSSION

Na⁺/H⁺ antiporter maintains a steady salt homeostasis by transporting Na⁺ and H⁺ ions across the cell membrane [18]. Due to wild genotype tomatoes are usually more salt tolerant than the cultivar, they are regarded as ideal gene donor for improving salt tolerance capacity of cultivated tomatoes [19]. The putative Na⁺/H⁺ antiporter LeNHX3 gene has been
Previously cloned by us from wild type tomato [16], three amino acids were found different in comparison with its homologous LeNHX3-GB, and two of them were more likely to appear in most of the Na⁺/H⁺ antiporters (Fig. 1). It has been previously reported that base substitutions can significantly alter gene function, for example, the Na⁺/H⁺ antiporter SOS1 gene in Arabidopsis thaliana is essential for plant salt tolerance, however, a single base substitution in SOS1 made plants show salt-hypersensitive and low K⁺ affinity [20, 21], thus we speculate that the substituted amino acids in LeNHX3 may confer plants more pronounced salt tolerance. It has been demonstrated that homology model is accurate enough to predict protein structures in wide ranging applications [22, 23], their folds are stabilized by inner residues contacts [24, 25]. Kozachkov and Padan have reported that two residues at position 136 and 399 of Na⁺/H⁺ antiporter NhaA in Escherichia coli were closely related to the conformational changes of protein [26]. In this study, no obvious conformational changes were observed between LeNHX3 and its homologues LeNHX3-GB, however, the substituted residues 143 (H143) and 346 (S346) in LeNHX3 formed the same clade with vacuolar Na⁺/H⁺ antiporter (Fig. 2E-G). Evolutionary tree is commonly used to infer phylogenetic relationships between species [27], our results showed LeNHX3 and LeNHX3-GB strengthened inter-residue interactions in comparison with LeNHX3-GB, those make LeNHX3 conformation more stable than LeNHX3-GB (Fig. 4B). The transcript form of LeNHX3 increased under salt stress, but it was not induced by cold [32]. The transcript of OsNHX1 increased under condition of salt stress, but it was not induced by mannitol treatment [33]. In this study, the LeNHX3 expression was improved by salt, ABA treatments, and the highest expression occurs at low temperature treatment, however, the expression was not obviously affected by mannitol (Fig. 4B), this indicates that LeNHX3 is involved in salt, cold and ABA stresses response.

CONCLUSION

Results of molecular character and phylogeny indicates residue substitutions in LeNHX3 make it more conserved as a typical vacuole Na⁺/H⁺ antiporter in compare to LeNHX3-GB. Expression analysis showed that LeNHX3 gene is involved in the cross-talk of salt, low temperature and ABA response in wild type tomato. All results in this study showed that the transcript form of LeNHX3 in wild type tomato is suitable as an important target gene for improving plant adverse stress tolerance by genetic manipulation in the future.
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