Three-Dimensional (3D) Visualisation of the Cardiovascular System of Mouse Embryos and Fetus

Wolfgang J. Weninger* and Stefan H. Geyer

Abstract: The mouse is the most appropriate biomedical model organism for researching the mechanistic function of genetic factors in normal embryogenesis and in the genesis of cardiovascular malformations. Three-dimensional (3D) visualisation of the developing organs of wild type and genetically modified mouse embryos is essential for such research. This paper aims at discussing imaging methods that permit the generation of digital volume data sets, which fit for the virtual 3D visualisation and 3D analysis of the cardiovascular system of mouse embryos and mouse fetus. To cover the spectrum of imaging methods comprehensively, we will start with a short overview about early 3D-reconstruction techniques. This will be followed by a brief discussion why in vivo imaging techniques, such as ultrasound (US) or optical coherence tomography (OCT) do not fit for constructing volume data sets that permit virtual 3D visualisation of the cardiovascular system of mouse embryos/fetus. We will then briefly introduce techniques, which permit 3D analysis of the cardiovascular system but do not fit for creating digital volume data (corrosion casts, scanning electron microscopy). Finally, we will focus on describing the advantages and disadvantages, the spectrum of application and the limitations of important modern digital volume data generation methods, such as micro-computed tomography (μCT), micro-magnetic resonance imaging (μMRI), optical projection tomography (OPT), confocal microscopy, histological section based volume data generation methods, and 3D episcopic imaging methods.

INTRODUCTION

Scientific Background

Approximately 0.4 - 1% of all live-born humans suffer from congenital heart diseases [1]. Detailed knowledge of the function and the interactions of genetic and epigenetic factors driving normal and abnormal embryogenesis is essential for understanding their aetiology. It will be the key for developing new diagnostic and therapeutic strategies.

The Mouse as an Important Biomedical Model Organism
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well as their potency to visualise gene expression patterns in the context of embryo anatomy.

Using strict biological definitions, the prenatal life of all mammals, including rodents, like the mouse, is parted in an embryonic and a fetal period. In mice, the embryonic period lasts from conception to developmental stage 22 according to Theiler [13]. This is approximately embryonic day 14. The fetal period starts with Theiler stage 23 and ends with birth. However, the majority of modern biomedical scientists do not distinguish between mouse embryos and fetus. Instead they use the term embryo for unborn mice of all developmental stages. In the following chapters, we will distinguish between embryos and fetus.

**History of 3D Imaging**

Due to the small size of embryos and fetus, their morphology is traditionally analysed with the aid of histological serial sections. But embryos are highly complex three-dimensional (3D) biological objects, and this approach is purely two-dimensional (2D). Therefore the first attempts to create 3D models of embryos and their organs on the basis of histological serial sections date back to the 19th century [14-17].

The early 3D reconstruction methods utilised histologically processed and wax embedded embryos. As in traditional histology, the wax blocks were mounted on microtomes and histological section series were created. The sections were then carefully examined under a microscope. But in contrast to traditional histology, wax, wood, or - in the second part of the 20th century - plexiglas sheets were carved according to the appearance of the microscopic image of every single section. The sheets, which represented subsequent histological sections of a serially sectioned embryo were then stacked together to construct a physical 3D representation of the sectioned embryo (Fig. 1). Naturally these early 3D reconstruction methods were subjectively biased, error prone and immensely time expensive.

A major breakthrough in terms of feasibility of 3D reconstructions came with the introduction of computers into biomedical research. It was no longer necessary to represent histological sections by plates of wax or other materials or to model solid 3D representations of embryos. Digital images of histological sections could be directly captured with the aid of digital cameras or by scanning micro-photographs taken from sections viewed with a microscope [18, 19]. A number of software packages were developed and allowed processing of these digital images and/or the subsequent generation and visualisation of virtual 3D models [20-22]. These early computer supported 3D reconstruction and visualisation methods essentially followed the same workflow as most modern destructive post mortem 3D imaging techniques (see below) and suffered from similar problems.

**Modern 3D Imaging**

In the last two decades a number of innovative new 2D and 3D imaging techniques were invented. They are either optimised for diagnosing pathologies in humans (computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), ultrasound (US), single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT), positron emission tomography (PET)), for in vivo analysis of – often transparent - model animals [23-32], or for post-mortem analyses of entire biological specimens and biological tissue samples, on the molecular, subcellular, cellular, tissue, and organ system level (atomic force microscopy, electron microscopy, confocal imaging, optical projection tomography (OPT), episcopic imaging techniques, histological sectioning, micro-MRI, micro-CT, near infrared imaging techniques, polarised light spectroscopy, optical coherence tomography (OCT)). Not all of these techniques permit 3D imaging of the developing cardiovascular system of unborn mice. Our study aims at introducing the most promising ones.
the edge lengths of a Pixel (squares or rectangles that compose a digital 2D image) and Z is the distance between two subsequent sections (Fig. 3).

The size of a voxel is a measure for the resolution of a volume data set. However, due to interpolation errors and similar artefacts inevitably introduced during data generation, this does not mean that structures as small as one voxel can be really detected. A simple example, a spherical cell with a diameter of 15 µm might be missed in a volume data set with a voxel size (resolution) of 15 µm x 15 µm x 15 µm (Fig. 4). Even if the cell would be clearly assigned to one voxel, it is doubtful whether the occupied voxel (to which
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**Fig. (2).** Steps involved in constructing three-dimensional (3D) models; common to all modern 3D imaging methods. Note that helical or spiral computed tomography directly constructs a volume data set.

---

**Fig. (3).** Volume data set. (a) Two-dimensional (2D) images consisting of single pixels are stacked together. (b) A volume data set results. It is composed of voxels. The x-axis (X) and y-axis (Y) dimensions of a voxel are the x- and y-dimensions of the pixel. The section thickness or distance between subsequent section images represents the z-axis dimension (Z) of the voxel.
only one certain colour or grey scale value can be assigned) really represents a cell. No information at all is available about the morphology of the cell. Information about a space covered by 5 x 5 x 5 voxels is necessary for being able to, at least roughly, define cell morphology. In our example, a voxel size of 3 μm x 3 μm x 3 μm would be necessary to detect whether the cell is spherical or not (Fig. 4). Data generation artefacts, such as interpolation errors, partial volume effects, or bad data quality (low signal/noise ratio, blurring of fluorescence signals) usually obscure image information. Therefore even higher resolutions are required for classifying single cells three dimensionally.

Mouse embryos and fetus are small biological objects. Even if no cellular information is required, it is necessary to produce volume data sets of high resolution to properly ana-
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**Fig. (4).** Consequence of volume data resolution. Left panels show to which position within a volume data set spherical cells, which have a diameter of 15 μm and are sectioned at their equator, would be captured. Corresponding right panels show the digital representation of the cell in a section through the volume data set. Voxel size in a-d is 15 μm x 15 μm x 15 μm, voxel size in e and f is 3 μm x 3 μm x 3 μm. (a) 2D sections of two cells. They would project to cross points of neighbour voxels. (b) If captured in a grey-scale data generation mode the sections of the cells are represented as grey areas. Note that the 2 cells of 15 μm diameter appear as a 8 pixel (120 μm) long and 4 pixel (60 μm) broad structure. If the cells are captured in a binary data generation mode, they do not have any representation in the volume data set. (c) A cell would project exactly to a voxel. (d) The cell is detected and represented by a voxel. Note that shape information is entirely lost. (e) In volume data of higher resolution (3 μm x 3 μm x 3 μm instead of 15 μm x 15 μm x 15 μm), the 2D section through the equator of cells of a diameter of 15 μm would project to an area of 25 pixels (f) In the volume data set the section through the cell is represented by 21 pixels – if generated in a binary modus (top cell). A cell appears differently if captured in a grey-scale modus (bottom cell). Note that the two cells can be clearly distinguished and that they appear roundish.
Attempts were made to use MRI for 3D visualisation of intrathoracic arteries is only approximately 30 to 100 μm in size and position.

MRI is unable to provide volume data in a resolution except for ossified tissue - are relatively homogeneous. Consequently the contrasts between most tissues are very low in CT scans. Enhancing the contrast with the aid of contrast agents is almost impossible in living mouse embryos and fetus. Therefore, in vivo CT might be used for analysing ossification centres in mouse fetus and late non-mammal embryos [41]. However it is insufficient for in vitro 3D visualisation of mouse embryos and soft tissues of mouse fetus.

**Ultrasound (US)**

For mouse embryos, 2D (B-mode) ultrasound can be - and is - used for analysing heart morphology and blood flow in the late fetal and perinatal period [42, 43]. For human fetus ultrasound data can be even used for creating 3D models of various fetal structures [44-49].

However in vivo 3D visualisation of the cardiovascular system of unborn mice with the aid of ultrasound is currently impossible.

**POST-MORTEM VOLUME DATA GENERATION METHODS**

Currently in vivo imaging methods are unable to assist 3D analysis of the cardiovascular phenotype of mouse embryos/fetus. In contrast most post mortem imaging techniques are successful in providing 3D phenotype information on different levels of detail. Some of these methods even are capable of providing 3D information on gene expression patterns in the context of tissue architecture and embryo anatomy.

Following steps are common to all post mortem imaging techniques: Mouse embryos/fetus are allowed to develop in utero, until the pregnant mice have reached the assigned day of pregnancy. At this day the dams are sacrificed, the uterus is opened, and the embryos or fetus are harvested. They either are staged according to the duration of embryo development (day post conceptionem, dpc) or according to external features [13]. After harvesting and staging the embryos are processed according to protocols that are specific for the technique, which will be used for subsequent volume data generation.

Several methods exist for post mortem 3D imaging of sacrificed mouse embryos/fetus. Each of the methods produces data of different accuracy, quality, and resolution and is optimised for specific scientific applications. The methods can be grouped in two – “non destructive” and “destructive” methods. The methods of the first group (“non destructive” methods) produce volume data without destroying the specimen. In contrast, “destructive” methods produce volume data while physically sectioning and thus destroying the specimen. Information and data obtained by analysing an embryo or fetus with a non-destructive method can be combined with data and information generated from the same embryo with the aid of a destructive imaging method. An example is the μMRI-HREM pipeline [50].

**NON DESTRUCTIVE 3D IMAGING METHODS**

**Micro-Magnetic Resonance Imaging (μMRI)**

Post mortem μMRI or MRM (magnetic resonance microscopy) is well suited for generating volume data sets from sacrificed mouse fetus, in which tissue contrasts are enhanced with unspecific contrast enhancing agents [51, 52].
After fixation the fetus are stored in dimeglumine gadopentetate (Gd-DTPA, Magnevist) or ProHance (gadoteridol, Bracco Diagnostics) for a few days, before they are embedded in agarose. Then they are scanned either with a high-Teslar MRI apparatus (up to 11.7 T), or specific laboratory animal scanners. In principle MRI also suits for analysing mouse embryos. However the resolution of the produced volume data sets is too low to permit thorough 3D analysis of their developing cardiovascular system.

μMRI usually produces images with total side dimensions of 128 x 128, 256 x 256, 512 x 512 (routine) or 1024 x 1024 Pixel. In images with such a relative small image size, partial volume effects (voxels can represent more than one tissue type) may have dramatic effects. This lowers the significance of a volume data set generated from MRI data [53]. A second problem is the disadvantageous signal to noise ratio in high resolution MRI scans. Therefore lowering the dimension of a voxel below approximately 10 μm x 10 μm x 10 μm does not bring forth new information [52]. The third problem is that up to now no routinely applicable techniques exist, which permit the specific labelling of tissues or gene products – although attempts exist [54, 31].

Despite its disadvantages μMRI is an important tool in modern biomedical research. Thanks to the possibility to scan up to 32 specimens in an overnight run [55, 56], μMRI is used in mutagenesis studies to screen the phenotype of prenatally lethal offspring of randomly produced mouse mutants. (Such mutants are the result of large scale mutagenesis experiments devoted to detecting new mouse models for hereditary diseases [5, 8, 57-63]). Although μMRI fails to detect small cardiac and vascular malformations in early mouse fetus [50], its yet unmatched speed and the possibility to detect potentially lethal malformations (Fig. 5), justifies its application in mutagenesis screens. In any case, secondarily to μMRI scanning scanned and suspect specimens can be further analysed with the aid of destructive imaging tech-
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**Fig. (5).** Exemplary data generated with various post mortem volume data generation methods. (a) Original section plane and 2 perpendicularly arranged virtual section planes through μMRI data of a 15.5 dpc mouse fetus. Voxel size: 25.5 μm x 25.5 μm x 24.5 μm. (b) Original section plane and 2 perpendicularly arranged virtual section planes through μCT data of a 13.5 dpc mouse embryo. Voxel size: 9 μm x 9 μm x 9 μm. (c) Original section plane and 2 perpendicularly arranged virtual section planes through HREM volume data of a 14.5 dpc mouse fetus. Voxel size: 1.07 μm x 1.07 μm x 2 μm. (d) Hematoxylin/eosin stained histological section through a 15.5 dpc mouse fetus. Pixel size: 1.13 μm x 1.13 μm.
niques [50].

Post Mortem Micro Computed Tomography (μCT)

While in vivo μCT is not of much use for visualising the cardiovascular system of mouse embryos/fetus, post mortem μCT is a powerful tool. For two reasons post mortem μCT analysis of embryos and fetus is more promising than their in vivo μCT analysis: Firstly, the tissues of harvested embryos can be unspecifically contrasted with osmiumtetroxide. Secondly the cardiovascular system can be perfused with hardening contrast agents prior to scanning.

Osmiumtetroxide staining and subsequent μCT scanning of mouse embryos is an already established tool, which is also termed as “virtual histology” [64]. The term “virtual histology” is misleading, since the quality of the produced scans is far away from the quality of digital images of histological sections. Also the resolution of the volume data (8 μm x 8 μm x 8 μm) is comparably low. Nevertheless the technique permits precise descriptions of the shape and even the tissue layers of some organs (Fig. 5) such as the heart within relative short data generation times [65, 66]. A profitable increase of resolution might be possible within the next years.

Perfusion of the cardiovascular system of embryos and fetus with x-ray dense substances and subsequent CT scanning is an interesting and promising new approach that permits qualitative and quantitative analysis of the lumina of hearts and blood vessels. Although developed for chick embryos [67] and not yet tested in the mouse, it seems to be only a question of time until it will also be applied for the latter. After harvesting, a x-ray dense substance is injected into the cardiovascular system of the specimen. This substance hardens and is not altered (does not shrink) during further embryo processing. If the substance is administered with a physiological pressure, the cavitates and lumina of the heart and blood vessels can not only be topologically analysed, but even their true dimensions can be measured. However, a problem remains with analysing the walls of blood vessels or the anatomy of other embryonic organs, because, although heart and blood vessel lumina are perfectly contrasted all the embryonic tissues are not.

Currently μCT is unable to provide 3D information of gene expression and gene product patterns. However, the development of such a method seems to be possible and efforts now at hand.

Optical Projection Tomography (OPT)

OPT was introduced 2002 by James Sharp [68] (see also http://genex.hgu.mrc.ac.uk/OPT_Microscopy/optwebsite/frontpage/index.htm) and became an immediate success [69-75]. Within a few years it was commercialised and relatively easy to handle OPT scanners can be purchased from several companies.

For OPT scanning, embryos must be immersed in benzyl alcohol / benzyl benzoate to become translucent. Then they are embedded in agarose, before they are mounted on the OPT apparatus. Like with MRI and CT, the embryos are scanned segment by segment to create subsequent digital section images. Creating such a section image, involves stepwise rotation of the embryo and transmission of light (instead of x-rays) through the embryo segment (Alternately monochrome light is used to excite the tissues at the segment). The light passing through the specimen, respectively the light emitted from the excited tissues is detected by a camera and this information is used for reconstructing a digital section image. After generating such a section image, the embryo is shifted and a similar image of the next embryo segment is generated. The aligned digital images are stacked together to build up a volume data set.

OPT is the only non-destructive imaging method, which permits the 3D analysis of specifically labelled gene expression and gene product patterns in relative high resolution (5 μm x 5 μm x 5 μm). Using “fluorescence OPT” (eOPT), even multiple gene expression patterns can be visualised simultaneously. Although the scans are not of the appearance of histological images, the resolution and the quality of OPT data is sufficient for analysing anatomical details of the cardiovascular system. For eliminating the artefacts introduced by data generation, a number of sophisticated mathematical methods were recently published [76, 77].

One of the problems of OPT is that data can only be created from translucent, whole mount stained specimens. This sets an upper specimen size limit. Mouse embryos, especially early embryos are small enough to become 3D visualised with OPT [73, 78-80]. Late mouse embryos and mouse fetus must be parted or dissected prior to staining and scanning (e.g. the hearts must be isolated) to produce sufficiently good results in respect to anatomy and gene expression patterns. Another serious problem of OPT, which especially complicates 3D visualisation of the cardiovascular system is caused by blood cells remaining inside the cardiac chambers and the blood vessels. Information of embryo morphology is best obtained by using tissue auto-fluorescence provoked by using GFP and RFP filter sets. However under these conditions blood cells show intense auto-fluorescence, which obscures anatomical information and introduces artefacts.

Very recently the spectrum of OPT was expanded to in vivo 3D and four-dimensional (4D) imaging of the limbs of explanted and cultured mouse embryos [81]. Although not yet out of its child’s shoes, this is an innovative and very powerful approach. However, since it currently does not permit 4D analysis of the cardiovascular system, we will not discuss it further in this paper.

Surface Electron Microscopy (SEM)

SEM images the surface of fixed, dehydrated, dried, and coated specimens.

SEM has been used to study the morphology of embryonic structures of various species [82-85]. Alternatively it can be used in combination with corrosion casting for analyzing small vascular networks, such as capillary beds [86, 87]. However SEM produces merely pseudo 3D images and can not be used for generating digital volume data. Therefore it is not further discussed in this review.

DESTRUCTIVE IMAGING METHODS

Corrosion Casts

An ancient, but still often used technique for 3D visualisation of the topology and branching patterns of blood ves-
sels is the creation of corrosion casts [88-90]. Dyed and liquid plastics are injected into the cardiovascular system of embryos. After hardening, all tissues surrounding the plastic are corroded and a physical 3D model of the blood vessel lumina remains.

This sophisticated and powerful technique permits detailed 3D analysis of the systematic and the branching patterns of the lumen of blood vessels. However it is also restricted to such analysis. It does not generate digital volume data sets, which can be used for creating virtual 3D models and does not permit the visualisation of embryonic tissues. Therefore we will not discuss it further in this paper.

**Histological Section Based Techniques**

Modern methods that generate virtual 3D models from a series of subsequent histological sections through an embryo follow a simple workflow. An embryo is harvested and then infiltrated with and embedded in wax or resin. The block of embedding medium containing the embryo is sectioned on a microtome. The sections are collected, stretched in a water bath and mounted on glass slides. After removing the embedding medium (wax only) the mounted sections are un-specifically or specifically contrasted with histochemical, immunohistochemical, or in situ hybridisation techniques, and cover slipped (Alternatively whole mount staining techniques can be used prior to the embedding of the specimens). A light microscope, equipped with a digital camera, or a scanner are now used for capturing digital images of each histological section (Fig. 5). All digital section images of a series are then aligned according to either intrinsic or fiducial marker [91]. Then they are virtually stacked together and converted to a volume data set, which can be processed and three dimensionally visualised and analysed. Several problems complicate this approach:

1. **Missing sections and distortions:** Histological sections must be collected, stretched on a water bath and mounted on glass slides. Especially if resin sections are collected between 1% and 10% of the sections of a series and the information enclosed in them is lost. Furthermore, a number of artefacts are introduced by sectioning, section mounting, and section processing. Such artefacts are for example section shrinkage, non-affine distortions, and inhomogeneous tissue staining within or between sections.

2. **Alignment:** It is necessary to align the histological sections with the aid of cross tables on the microscope to allow capturing of matching parts of subsequent sections and to keep a field of view as large as possible. But despite this alignment the digital section images are not aligned properly. Thus re-alignment of the digital images of the sections is an additional and crucial step of all histological section based methods. Several alignment methods exist. Widely used are the so called “best fit” or “best guess” methods and intrinsic marker techniques [92-96]. But these methods are subjectively biased and produce questionable results of doubtful quality. A different approach that ensures objective alignment of digital section images is their alignment with the aid of fiducial markers [97-99]. But for introducing fiducial markers resolution has to be sacrificed and an additional, time expensive image processing step, namely marker segmentation is required.

3. **Time and personnel expense:** Histological sections must be manually generated, collected and mounted on glass slides. Then they must be stained, cover slipped, and cleaned. The next step, image capturing, involves that they are placed, one by one under a light or fluorescence microscope and rotated until they at least roughly match the field of view (This step might be speeded up by using digital scanners and automated slide mounters). 180 degrees rotated as well as flipped sections must be identified and corrected. Subsequently each single digital image has to be aligned with the aid of special software tools. Each of these steps is time expensive and must be conducted by specialists.

4. **Section thickness:** The resolution of the digital 2D image of a histological section can be kept very high. However, the resolution and usability of a volume data set on the basis of 2D sections depends not only on the resolution of the 2D sections. It also depends on the thickness of each section. For a number of technical reasons the thickness of histological wax sections is between 5 μm and 10 μm. This limits the resolution of a volume data set generated from such sections. If section thickness is e.g. 5 μm, only volume data with a minimal resolution of (X μm x Y μm x 5 μm) can be created (X μm x Y μm means the Pixel dimension of the 2D image). In such volume data, e.g. branching of capillaries (approximate diameter of 5 μm), and the correct course of small blood vessels might be missed.

Despite the many disadvantages, histological section based 3D imaging techniques also have some major advantages:

1. A great number of tested protocols exist for visualising gene expression and gene product patterns in histological sections. Even better, the possibility to split a section series into two or three offers the possibility to stain different gene products and merge the results in one volume data set [100]. Such a splitting however causes a loss in resolution, since the distance between two sections doubles or triples (see last paragraph).

2. If necessary, physical sections can be re-studied in a higher resolution or even stained with additional agents.

3. The production of histological sections needs only the basic equipment of biomedical laboratories.

For special scientific questions, histological section based 3D imaging techniques are still the method of choice. However, their many disadvantages, especially the enormous time and personnel expense effectively prevent their application in daily routine.

**3D Confocal Imaging**

Confocal imaging theoretically can be used for *in vivo* analysis of very early explanted and cultured mouse embryos. However, in the praxis, it is/was only used for 3D
imaging of living transparent fish and frog embryos [26, 101-103], but not for the cardiovascular system of mouse embryos.

Confocal imaging plays an important role as a post mortem 3D imaging method. Embryos are embedded in resin and sectioned into 50 - 100 μm thick sections. The sections are stained with antibodies coupled with fluorochromes. Monochrome light is directed either episcopically, or from the side towards the section in order to excite the tissues and/or fluorochromes at a narrow segment of the section. The excited fluorochromes and tissues emit fluorescence and autofluorescence signals which are detected with a digital camera sitting on a microscope. Thanks to a system of pinholes in front of the objective only signals coming from a very narrow focus plane passes to the camera. Such digital images of subsequent optical sections can be created from the physical section. The digital images are perfectly aligned and can be immediately converted into a volume data set.

The main problem of confocal microscopy is that signals emitted from tissues, which are located deep inside the physical section are weaker than signals emitted from the same tissues located on the surface of the physical section. The reason is that light emitted from a deep optical section plane has to travel through parts of the sectioned embryo. When it has reached the surface of the physical section it is already partly absorbed and thus extinguished by the overlying tissues. The use of calibration beads and sophisticated mathematical tools (“deconvolution” filters) eliminate or at least reduce this artefact [104, 105]. However, from a certain distance onwards signals can be totally extinguished by overlying tissues. Thus the depth of optical sectioning is limited to approximately 50-100 μm.

Due to this absorption, of signals by overlying tissues, volume data created with the confocal technique cannot exceed 50-100 μm in the z-direction. This is too small for generating useful 3D models of the cardiovascular system of whole mouse embryos. It is however sufficient for 3D analysis of the expression patterns of multiple, selectively labelled (trans-)genes in small parts of embryos [106, 107], or the morphology of cells in the walls of the heart and blood vessels.

Table 1. Techniques Suitable for Imaging the Cardiovascular System of Mouse Embryos/Fetus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Min. Voxel Size (Reasonable Quality)</th>
<th>Main Advantages</th>
<th>Main Drawbacks</th>
<th>Detection of Gene Expression?</th>
<th>Key References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>In vivo</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(MRI)</td>
<td>(25¹ μm³) 10⁰ μm³</td>
<td>good tissue contrasts</td>
<td>low resolution movement artefacts</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>[37, 38]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(CT)</td>
<td>not yet established for mouse embryos</td>
<td>good visualisation of ossification</td>
<td>low tissue contrast movement artefacts</td>
<td>no</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(US)</td>
<td>visualisation of blood flow</td>
<td>low contrast</td>
<td>no proper volume data</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>[42, 43]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Post mortem (non destructive)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μMRI</td>
<td>10⁰ μm³</td>
<td>high-throughput screening</td>
<td>partial volume effects</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>[51, 52, 55]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μCT</td>
<td>8³ μm³</td>
<td>short data generation time</td>
<td>low tissue contrasts</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>[64, 65, 66]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPT</td>
<td>5³ μm³</td>
<td>simultaneous analysis of multiple gene expression patterns</td>
<td>transparents specimens required specimen size limitation whole mount staining required</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>[68]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Post mortem (destructive)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>histological section series</td>
<td>0.3 μm x 0.3 μm x 7 μm</td>
<td>established method tripartition of series</td>
<td>laborious &amp; time expensive section processing artefacts</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>[94, 96, 98]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>confocal technique</td>
<td>0.3³ μm³</td>
<td>subcellular structures in good quality</td>
<td>limited light penetration distance transparent specimens required</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>[101, 106]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>episcopic imaging</td>
<td>0.5 μm x 0.5 μm x 1 μm</td>
<td>simple cheap</td>
<td>whole mount staining required shining through artefacts</td>
<td>yes (not all)</td>
<td>[108-112, 114]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Although the table lists the most promising “in vivo techniques”, none of them currently enables the generation of useful volume data of the heart and great blood vessels of unborn mice. Note that each of the listed post mortem techniques has its specific advantages and disadvantages. It entirely depends on the scientific question, which technique is the most adequate.
3D episcopic or “block face” imaging creates volume data from histologically embedded and physically sectioned embryos. The specimens are embedded in wax or resin mixtures. The blocks of embedding medium containing the specimens are mounted and sectioned on a microtome. After each cut a digital image showing the surface of the block is captured with the aid of a digital camera sitting on the phototube of a magnifying optic. Since the images are captured at reproducible photo-positions, the digital images are aligned and can be immediately stacked together and converted to a volume data set. Several methods exist for generating volume data sets on the basis of the episcopic imaging technique [108-112]. Each has its advantages and disadvantages. For a detailed review on episcopic 3D imaging techniques see [113].

In contrast to histological section based techniques, 3D episcopic imaging techniques create volume data from digital images showing the unsectioned specimen, while it becomes sectioned. Therefore stacks of hundreds to thousands of aligned digital images (typically between 600 and 2000) are available immediately after sectioning, which is performed in an automated way and lasts only a few hours. Data generated with episcopic 3D imaging techniques can be of almost histological quality (compare e.g. Fig. 5 and http://www.meduniewien.ac.at/3D-Rekonstr/HREM/), but without showing section mounting, section staining, section processing, and alignment artefacts – as digital images series created from histological sections do.

Episcopic imaging techniques are capable of generating volume data with voxel sizes as low as 1 µm³. The morphology and tissue architecture of all the components of the cardio-vascular system of all developmental stages of mouse embryos can be 3D visualised in high resolution [50, 111]. Taking advantage of whole mount in situ hybridisation and whole mount staining, a few episcopic methods permit the 3D visualisation of gene expression and gene product patterns in respect to the cardiovascular system of mouse embryos/fetus [114]. However, in specimens the thickness of which exceeds a few hundred µm, whole mount staining cannot be performed in good quality. Therefore, 3D analysis of gene expression patterns in mouse fetus requires the dissection of the specimens and their analysis in parts (e.g. thorax only).

CONCLUSION

Currently in vivo 3D imaging of the cardiovascular system of mouse embryos and mouse fetus does not provide convincing results. The greatest potential for detailed 3D analysis of the cardiovascular system of mouse embryos and mouse fetus have destructive post mortem imaging methods. However, for comprehensive 3D visualisation of the embryonic and fetal heart and vasculature, the future lays in the combination of non-destructive and destructive imaging methods (see Table 1).
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