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Abstract: This paper presents a study about the bond of high strength concrete with high strength steel. Fourteen pull out tests were carried out to determine the bond. The concrete strength was about 70 MPa and the steel was a 500 MPa grade. Bar diameters used were 12, 16, 20, 25, 28, 32 and 36 mm. In order to investigate the effect of cover, each test was done twice, once in a 240 mm diameter concrete cylinder and the second in a 300 mm diameter cylinder. Based on the test results a new equation representing the bond is proposed.
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RESEARCH SIGNIFICANCE

High strength concrete is being more widely used in the last few years. More recently, new 500 MPa reinforcing steel has been introduced. Most design guides are limited to concrete up to 50 MPa or so compressive strength and reinforcing steel of 400 MPa tensile strength. This paper is a step in understanding the behaviour of one aspect of high strength concrete reinforced with high strength steel.

INTRODUCTION

In many countries, high strength concrete has become popular in recent years. High strength concrete is undergoing widespread use in civil engineering and construction processes today. The strength of concrete up to 130 MPa has been used popularly for overseas projects while concrete up to 100 MPa has been used in some Australian projects. The benefits of increased strength, smaller dimensions and lower volumes would see its immediate application into design. In the last few years, a draft standard incorporating the use of high strength 500 MPa steel to the construction industry was introduced. The use of high strength steel provides smaller cross sections and a solution to congestion problems. The benefit of the increase in steel strength, includes providing stronger structural members and decreasing the dead load of members. The scope of the Australian Standards for Concrete Structures, AS 3600 [1] is limited to concrete with strength less than 50 MPa and reinforcing steel of 400 MPa strength. Hence, there is a need to investigate many aspects of the behaviour and interaction of high strength concrete and high strength steel and propose design rules and limitations for their use. This paper is a step in this direction.

In order to investigate the bond strength of high strength steel bars with high strength concrete, pullout tests were conducted. These tests were conducted on 14 specimens with concrete compressive strength of about 70 MPa while the tensile steel was greater than 500 MPa.
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where $P_{\text{max}}$ = maximum pullout load, $d_b$ = diameter of the bar and $L_d$ is the embedded bar length.

Several researchers have attempted to formulate equations that represent the bond between the reinforcing bars and the concrete. Below is a brief description of a few:

Orangun et al. [5] proposed the following formula:

$$u = 0.083045 \sqrt{f'_c} \left[ 1.2 + 3 \frac{c}{d_b} + 50 \frac{d_b}{L_d} \right]$$

where $c$ = minimum concrete cover, mm and $f'_c$ is the concrete compressive strength, MPa.

Darwin et al. [6] proposed a modified expression (in SI) for bond strength as follows:

$$u = 0.083045 \sqrt{f'_c} \left[ 0.92 + 0.08 \frac{C_{\text{max}}}{C_{\text{min}}} + 75 \frac{d_b}{L_d} \right]$$

where $C = \min(C_s, C_j, C_s/2)$ and, $C_{\text{max}} = \max\left[ \min(C_s, C_j/2), C_1 \right]$, in which $C_s$ is the side cover, $C_j$ is the bottom cover and $C_1$ is the spacing between the bars.

Australian Standard 3600 [1] recommends the following equation:

$$u = 0.265 \sqrt{f'_c} \left( \frac{c}{d_b} + 0.5 \right)$$

Esfahani and Rangan [7] proposed the following formula for high strength concrete with compressive equal to or greater than 50 MPa:

$$u = 8.6 \frac{C}{d_b} + 0.5\frac{f'_t}{C_b} + 5.5$$

where $C$ is the minimum cover and $f'_t$ is the tensile strength of concrete taken as $0.55 \sqrt{f'_c}$, in MPa.

**PULLOUT SPECIMEN FABRICATION**

In order to test the viability of the above formulas and their applicability for high strength concrete and high strength steel, fourteen pullout test specimens were produced to determine the bond between high strength concrete and high strength steel bar. All of the pullout specimens were made on the same day at the University of Wollongong laboratory. The high strength concrete used in construction was provided by industry. All the steel bars were 500 grade steel with nominal diameters of 12, 16, 20, 25, 28, 32, 36 mm. For each bar size two concrete sizes (240 and 300 mm diameter) were conducted. Fig. (2) shows details of the test specimens.

Before conducting the pull-out tests, all reinforcing bars were tested for their tensile strength. Bars with the diameters 12, 16, 20, 25, and 28 mm were tested at the University of Wollongong and those with 32 and 36 mm diameter were tested at the University of New South Wales. One strain gauge was placed on each size of the bar surface to measure the strain value during the tensile test. The change in length in millimeters was recorded at test completion. The experimental results are shown in Table 1.

The average compressive strength of the concrete used in the pullout tests is 70.9 MPa.

All the pullout specimens were constructed by using moulds made of PVC pipes (Fig. 3). Two sizes of the PVC pipes were used, which were 240 x 300 mm (denoted as A specimens) and 300 x 300 mm (denoted as B specimens) cylinders. The PVC pipes were cut into seven specimens for each size by the use of a cutting machine. The fourteen pipes were cleaned and the cut surface was smoothed by using a file on the surface. All fourteen pipes were placed on a special wooden board, which was 650 mm wide by 2400 mm deep by using four brackets for each specimen. Screws were used to fix all the pipes on the board after the pipes were arranged parallel to each other on the board. Two square iron bars were prepared for holding the reinforcing bars by the use of brackets (Fig. 4). Before pouring the concrete into the pullout test moulds, the moulds were oiled around the moulds surface and at the bottom of the moulds. The concrete was poured into the PVC moulds in three layers. Each layer of the poured concrete was vibrated thoroughly with the penetrating vibrator placed vertically into the specimen and slowly removed. A 25.4 mm diameter-penetration vibrator was used for compacting the concrete. After the poured concrete was compacted properly, two square bars were placed on the short steel columns for holding the reinforcing bars vertically above the concrete specimens. One bar was placed into the fresh concrete specimen and embedded for 150 mm depth in the concrete. The reinforcing bars were approximately 600 mm length. Before using the bars, the external (free) end of each bar was threaded by the use of lathe for placing a nut. This nut would resist the loading during the pullout test.
The pullout test specimens were cured by covering with wet Hessian bags, and kept moist by replenishing the water every day for approximately ten days. The PVC moulds were taken out after ten days and the specimens were kept moist by covering with plastic until 28 days. The cylinders, which were cast to determine the compressive strength were taken at the same day and cured in the water tank for 28 days.

![Fig. (3). Moulds used in the experimental programme.](image)

The pullout test specimens were loaded by the hollow hydraulic machine, which has maximum loading 30 tons (300 kN). The hollow hydraulic machine was installed with Enerpac hydraulic hand-pump machine. The source of loading equipment and the load cell connected with strain indicator were used for the pullout test in 12A, 12B, 16A, 16B, 20A, 20B, 25A and 25B specimens. In the other specimens, which were 28A, 28B, 32A, 32B, 36A and 36B, the Enerpac RCH-603 was used for loading due to the bar size of these specimens which were unable to be fitted with the hollow hydraulic machine. The maximum load of Enerpac RCH-603 is 60 tons (600 kN). The pullout test set up is presented in Figs. 5 and 6. A dial gauge was used to measure the slip of the bar from the concrete. The dial gauge was fixed onto the load cell and the displacement was measured of the top off the loading equipment.

![Fig. (5). Testing the specimens.](image)

![Fig. (6). Test set up.](image)

### RESULTS

The fourteen pullout specimens were divided into two groups according to the concrete cover of the specimen, the

---

**Table 1. Results of Tensile Strength of the Bars**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bar Nom. Dia. mm</th>
<th>Ave. Bar Core Dia. mm</th>
<th>Yield Load kN</th>
<th>Ultimate Load kN</th>
<th>Yield Stress MPa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>58.7</td>
<td>68.72</td>
<td>519.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>106.3</td>
<td>124.10</td>
<td>522.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>174.0</td>
<td>202.20</td>
<td>553.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>256.0</td>
<td>297.00</td>
<td>521.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>331.0</td>
<td>393.50</td>
<td>537.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>365.0</td>
<td>450.60</td>
<td>453.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>540.0</td>
<td>648.20</td>
<td>530.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The bond strength increased with the smaller bar sizes and the bigger concrete cover specimens.

Based on the measured bond strength for all the specimens and in order to take into account the higher strength of both the concrete and the reinforcing steel, a new formula is proposed. The formula is similar to the formula of Orangun et al. [5], which is shown in Equation 4. Statistical analysis is used to best fit the data. Based on this analysis, the following formula is proposed:

\[ u = 0.083045\sqrt{f_c} \left[ 22.8 - 0.208 \frac{C}{d_b} - 38.212 \frac{d_b}{L_d} \right] \]  

(8)

Application of the new proposed formula to the test results of this study is presented in Table 2. Next the measured bond strengths together with the calculated ones based on the formulas of Orangun et al. [5], Darwin et al. [6], the Australian Standard 3600 [1] and Esfahani and Rangan [7] as well as the proposed formula are compared. These comparisons are presented for the 240 mm specimens in Fig. (7) and those for the 300 mm specimens in Fig. (8).

**CONCLUSIONS**

Based on the test results of fourteen pullout specimens, it can be stated that the pullout specimen with the smaller bar size has greater bond strength than the specimen with the larger diameter bar and the pullout test results also indicated that the bond strength and the initial stiffness increased as the amount of concrete surrounding the reinforcing bar increased. The maximum bond stress value occurred in specimens 16B and 20B whereas the minimum bond stress value was in specimen 36A.

Table 2. Summary of the Pullout Test Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spec.</th>
<th>Bar Core Dia.</th>
<th>Cylinder dia./len.</th>
<th>Failure Mode*</th>
<th>Pmax kN</th>
<th>Measured Bond Stress MPa</th>
<th>Calculated Bond Strength MPa</th>
<th>Proposed Formula</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mm</td>
<td>mm/mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Orangun et al. [5]</td>
<td>Darwin et al. [6]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12A</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>240/300</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12B</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>300/300</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>23.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16A</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>240/300</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>16.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16B</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>300/300</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>19.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20A</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>240/300</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>15.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20B</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>300/300</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>18.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25A</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>240/300</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25B</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>300/300</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>17.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28A</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>240/300</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>16.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28B</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>300/300</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>17.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32A</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>240/300</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32B</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>300/300</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>18.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36A</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>240/300</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>17.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* CS = steel rupture failure, P = pullout failure, S = splitting failure.
For the pullout specimen failing, the predominant mode of failure of eleven of the tested specimens was splitting failure. The pullout failure only occurred in one specimen with the 12 mm bar with 300 mm cylinder and was characterized by cracks on the top loaded face only due to the adequate confinement of concrete cover. For the two specimens with the mode of steel rupture failure, the test specimens were broken by the exceeding load of the ultimate load of the reinforcing bar.

Based on the fourteen pull out tests, a new formula for the bond between high strength concrete and high strength steel is proposed.
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**LIST OF NOTATIONS**

- $A_b$ = Nominal cross-section area of reinforcing bar
- $A_{st}$ = Area of tensile reinforcement ($\text{mm}^2$)
- $c$ = Concrete cover
- $C^{\text{max}}$ = The highest of the lowest of $C_x$ and $C_y/2$ and $C_y$
- $C_{\text{min}}$ = The smallest of $C_x$, $C_y$, $C_y/2$
- $C_x$ = Spacing between the bars
- $C_y$ = Side cover
- $C_y$ = Bottom cover
- $d_b$ = Nominal diameter of the bar
- $d_x$ = Short length of beam
- $f'_{ct}$ = Characteristic compressive strength of concrete
- $f_{ct}$ = Tensile strength of concrete
- $f_y$ = Maximum stress in bar
- $f_y$ = Yield stress of steel reinforcing bar
- $L_d$ = Embedded length of reinforced bar $d_b$
- $P_{\text{max}}$ = Maximum pullout load
- $u$ = Bond stress of the bar surface
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