The Use of Sheet Glass Powder as Fine Aggregate Replacement in Concrete
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Abstract: Sheet glass powder (SGP) used in concrete making leads to greener environment. In shops, near by Chidambaram many sheet glass cuttings go to waste, which are not recycled at present and usually delivered to landfills for disposal. Using SGP in concrete is an interesting possibility for economy on waste disposal sites and conservation of natural resources. This paper examines the possibility of using SGP as a replacement in fine aggregate for a new concrete. Natural sand was partially replaced (10%, 20%, 30%, 40% and 50%) with SGP. Compressive strength, Tensile strength (cubes and cylinders) and Flexural strength up to 180 days of age were compared with those of concrete made with natural fine aggregates. Fineness modulus, specific gravity, moisture content, water absorption, bulk density, %voids, % porosity (loose and compact) state for sand (S) and SDA were also studied. The test results indicate that it is possible to manufacture concrete containing Sheet glass powder (SGP) with characteristics similar to those of natural sand aggregate concrete provided that the percentage of SGP as fine aggregate is limited to 10-20%, respectively.
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INTRODUCTION

During the last decades it has been recognized that Sheet Glass waste is of large volume and is increasing year by year in the Shops, construction areas and factories. Using waste glass in the concrete construction sector is advantageous, as the production cost of concrete will go down [1]. Waste glasses are used as aggregates for concrete [2-4]. In Chennai there is a place called Chidambaram where most of the colored sheet glasses from windows are packed as a waste and sent to landfill. The plain sheet glasses can be recycled, but it is costly to remove the color of colored glasses and recycle again. Estimated cost for housing is more and some construction materials like natural sand are also becoming rare [5]. Attempts have been made for a long time to use waste glasses as an aggregate in concrete, but it seems that the concrete with waste glasses always cracks [2, 6]. Very limited work has been conducted for the use of ground glass as a concrete replacement [7]. These waste storage disposals are becoming a serious environmental problem especially for Chidambaram where place disposal sites are lacking. Hence there is a need for recycling more and more waste materials.

The most widely used fine aggregate for the making of concrete is the natural sand mined from the riverbeds. However, the availability of river sand for the preparation of concrete is becoming scarce due to the excessive nonscientific methods of mining from the riverbeds, lowering of water table, sinking of the bridge piers, etc. are becoming common.

Recently, some attempts have been made to use ground glass as a replacement in concrete [8-10]. The objective of this paper is to present the results of experimental investigations on Physical and Mechanical properties of concrete made with Sheet glass powder concrete. Natural fine aggregate is substituted by weight by Sheet Glass Powder at rates varying from 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50 percentages. Compressive, Tension, and flexural strength are evaluated and compared up to 180 days of ages. Specific Properties of concrete materials and Sheet Glass Powder are also studied.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The raw materials, used for this study are natural coarse aggregate, fine aggregate, Sheet glass Powder (SGP) aggregate and 53 grades Portland cement. The Sheet glass used for this study was collected from the points in Chidambaram taluk at Cuddalore District. Sheet Glass is converted into fine aggregate as shown in flowchart Fig. (1) and the procedure for making Sheet Glasscrete is shown in flowchart Fig. (2). Sheet Glass collected from shops is shown in Fig. (3), Sheet Glass crushed is shown in Fig. (4) and Sheet glass powder is shown in Fig. (5). Sheet glass Powder using sieve size from 4.75mm onwards is shown in Fig. (6). Table 1 shows the Fineness Modulus results of S, SGP, (S + 10%SGP), (S + 20%SGP), (S + 30%SGP), (S + 40%SGP) and (S + 50%SGP). Fig. (3) shows the Fine aggregate material of grading curve and also SGP, (S+10%SGP), (S+20%SGP), (S+30%SGP), (S+40%SGP) (S+50%SGP) and 100%SGP. The sand used for the study was locally available river sand conforming to grading zone III of IS:383-1970. The coarse aggregate was a
Fig. (1). Shows the Flowchart procedure for grinding sheet glass into sheet glass fine aggregate.

Fig. (2). Shows the Flowchart procedure for making suitable sheet glasscrete.
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**Fig. (3)**. sheet glass

**Fig. (4)**. Crushed sheet glass

**Fig. (5)**. sheet glass powder

**Fig. (6)**. Sieve Analysis of the Materials.

### Table 1. Fineness Modulus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Fineness Modulus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sand (S)</td>
<td>2.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGP</td>
<td>2.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(90%S+10%SGP)</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(80%S+20%SGP)</td>
<td>1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(70%S+30%SGP)</td>
<td>1.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(60%S+40%SGP)</td>
<td>1.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(50%S+50%SGP)</td>
<td>1.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Physical Properties of Materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Sand</th>
<th>Coarse</th>
<th>SGP</th>
<th>(90%S+10%SGP)</th>
<th>(80%S+20%SGP)</th>
<th>(70%S+30%SGP)</th>
<th>(60%S+40%SGP)</th>
<th>(50%S+50%SGP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Specific gravity</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>3.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water absorption %</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moisture content %</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk density ( dry loose state)(kg/m³)</td>
<td>1468</td>
<td>1450</td>
<td>1310</td>
<td>1510</td>
<td>1530</td>
<td>1540</td>
<td>1540</td>
<td>1520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Voids</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Porosity</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk density(dry compact state)(kg/m³)</td>
<td>1512</td>
<td>1640</td>
<td>1530</td>
<td>1620</td>
<td>1650</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>1690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Voids</td>
<td>35.39</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Porosity</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flakiness Index</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elongation Index</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9.61</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Physical Properties of Materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oxide</th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>A₂O₃</th>
<th>Fe₂O₃</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>SO₃</th>
<th>LOI</th>
<th>Na₂O+K₂O</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percentage by mass</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
normal weight aggregate with a maximum size of 20mm IS: 456-2000. Table 2 shows the physical properties of SGP and Fine and coarse aggregate. Table 3 shows chemical characteristics of the SGP material. The control mix of the concrete was designed with a mix ratio of cement/water/Sand/Coarse of 1:0.48:1.66:3.61 by weight. This mix design yielded an average 28 days compressive strength 41 Mpa. The sand was replaced with 10%, 20%, 30%, 40%, and 50% SGP.

Table 3. Chemical Analysis of SGP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Fineness Modulus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sand (S)</td>
<td>2.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGP</td>
<td>2.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(90%S+10%SGP)</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(80%S+20%SGP)</td>
<td>1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(70%S+30%SGP)</td>
<td>1.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(60%S+40%SGP)</td>
<td>1.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(50%S+50%SGP)</td>
<td>1.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAMME

The SG (Sheet Glass) was collected from shops in Chidambaram and its properties were tested. Analysis was carried out in Concrete mixtures with 7 levels of SGP (Sheet Glass Powder) replacement ranging from 10% to 50% and 100%. The specimens were cast and tested to study the possibility of using SGP as a substitute material for sand in concrete. The control mix, utilizing SGP, replaced as the fine aggregate, was designed for the cube, cylinder and beam. Based on the laboratory trials, the mix proportion of the control mix (M20) was finalized and investigated to determine the effect on compressive and tensile strength in cubes and cylinder. The mixture were 0%, 10%, 20%, 30%, 40%, 50% and 100% with different Sheet glass powder (SGP) replacement in fine aggregate is analyzed. It is also used to investigate the effect of SGP replacement on Flexural strength.

Tests to determine specific gravity, moisture content, water absorption, Bulk density, Compressive and Tensile strength of cubes and cylinders, SGP was used to replace 0 to 50% and 100% of the sand by weight. For compressive and tensile strength tests 150 x 150mm cubes and 150 x 300mm cylinders specimens were used. A total of 500 specimens were cast and cured in water at room temperature in the laboratory for 28, 45, 60, 90, 180 days. At the end of each curing period, three specimens for each mixture were tested for Compressive, Tensile and Flexural strength and the average was recorded.

Flexural strength was measured using 100x100x500 mm beam specimen in the centre of the beam load applied. A total of 90 beams were cast and cured in water for 28, 45, 60, 90, 180 days. For each mixture, three beams were loaded to failure, and the average strength was recorded in each case.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Workability of the concrete increased as the percentages of SGP replacement increased, but it later decreases as the curing days increases because of alkali silica reaction. The density of the concrete 2531 kg/m³ at 0% replacement of SGP decreased but at 100% the density increased to 2689 kg/m³ for cube at 28 days curing.

Compressive Strength

The compressive strength test results for the concretes containing SGP as fine aggregates of cubes according to their age are very similar to each other. Thus, the results are presented in Fig. (7) and Fig. (9). Concretes containing SGP as fine aggregates, with a mixing ratio of 30%, 40% and 50% displayed a reduction in compressive strength than that of plain concrete respectively. This tendency towards a decrease in compressive strength with an increase in mixing ratio was repeated for concretes 180 days of age. At 10%, 20% and 100% mixing ratio there was increase in strength than that of plain concrete. In any case, the SGP as fine aggregate in concrete showed a decrease in strength as the curing days increased but at 10% and 100% the strength as concrete is more than that of conventional concrete. Fig. (8) and Fig. (10) show the increase in the density of concrete cubes and cylinders as the SGP increases in percentages.
Tensile Strength

The Tensile strength test results for the concretes containing SGP fine aggregates of cubes and cylinders according to their age are very similar to each other up to 20% replacement. Thus, the results are presented in Fig. (11) and Fig. (12). Concretes containing SGP as fine aggregates, with a mixing ratio of 30%, 40% and 50% displayed an increase in Tensile strength than that of plain concrete as the curing days increase respectively. This tendency towards a decrease in tensile strength with an increase in mixing ratio was repeated for concretes 180 days of age. At 10% and 100% mixing ratio there was an increase in strength than that of plain concrete. In any case, the SGP as fine aggregate in concrete did not have any notable effect on the compressive strength of the concrete.

Flexural Strength

The Flexural strength test results for the curing concretes with SGP of different percentage according to their age are presented in Fig. (13). The results were very similar to each

---

Fig. (9). Density of concrete cubes.

Fig. (10). Density of concrete cylinders.

Fig. (11). Tensile strength of Concrete cubes.

Fig. (12). Tensile strength of Concrete cylinders.

Fig. (13). Flexural strength of the concrete beams.
The concrete containing SGP as fine aggregate, at a 10-20% mixing ratio, showed a slight increase in the flexural strength while that of plain concrete. At 30%, 40%, 50% and 100% mixing ratio there was increase in strength than that of plain concrete.

Fig. (14) shows details of the relationship between the compressive strength of cubes and compressive strength of cylinders of the concretes containing the SGP as fine aggregate and Table 3 gives the details of regression equations and its R². Fig. (15) explains the relationship between tensile strength of cubes and the tensile strength of cylinders of the concrete containing SGP as fine aggregate and Table 4 gives the details of regression equations.

CONCLUSION

From the tests conducted on SGP replaced in fine aggregate for concrete as presented in various sections, the following conclusions are made:

The SGP is suitable for use in concrete making. The fineness modulus, specific gravity, moisture content, uncompacted bulk density and compacted bulk density at 10% Sheet glass powder (SGP) were found to be 2.25, 3.27, 2.57%, 1510kg/m³ and 1620kg/m³. For a given mix, the water requirement decreases as the SGP content increases. The compressive strength of cubes and cylinders of the concrete for all mix increases as the % of SGP increases but decreases as the age of curing increases due to alkali silica reaction. The Tensile strength of cubes and cylinders of the concrete for all mix increases than that of conventional concrete. The Flexural strength of the beam of concrete for all mix increases with age of curing and decreases as the SGP content increases. 100% replacement of SGP in concrete showed better results than that of conventional concrete at 28 days and 45 days curing but later it started to decrease its strength because of its alkali silica reactions. The density of SGP concrete is more that of conventional concrete. SGP is available in significant quantities as a waste and can be utilized for making concrete. This will go a long way to reduce the quantity of waste in our environment. The optimum replacement level in fine aggregate with SGP is 10%.
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