Correlations of Hormone Receptors (ER and PR), Her2/neu and p53 Expression in Breast Ductal Carcinoma Among Yemeni Women
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Abstract: Aims: The purpose of this study was to determine if any relationship exists between Estrogen Receptor (ER), Progesterone Receptor (PR), Her2/neu, P53, and clinicopathological factors in female breast ductal carcinoma. Materials and Methods: One hundred and thirty seven (IDC=124, NIDC=13) ductal carcinomas were clinicopathologically and immunohistochemically analyzed and compared with 20 control cases of benign breast lesions in which assessment of Her-2/neu, ER, PR, and P53 has been performed, prospectively. Chi-square analysis was then used to correlate the above observations. Results: The overall immunoexpression of ER, PR, Her2/neu and P53 were 43.8%, 27%, 30.6% and 48.9%, respectively, of the 137 ductal carcinomas. A significant Positive association between ER or PR expression with lymph node involvement was found (p= 0.004, p= 0.022 respectively), while p53 was found to be negatively associated with lymph nodes involvement (p= 0.03, 0.02, respectively). P53 also associated negatively to lymph node status (P=0.03) and positively with borderline tumor grade (p= 0.03). Conclusion: There are high rates of positive expression of ER, PR, Her2/neu and P53 among Yamani women with breast ductal carcinoma.
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INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer has a great impact in women’s health. In Yemen, it is the second most common malignant neoplasia, but it is the leading cause of death among women. Most of these cases were ductal carcinoma (Invasive ductal carcinoma (IDC) or Non-invasive ductal carcinoma (NIDC)) [1].

Invasive breast cancer is still the most common female malignancy worldwide and more than 1 million women are diagnosed with breast cancer each year [2]. Currently, it is believed that the invasive carcinoma derives from an in situ component; because of its frequent coexistence and histologic similarity [3]. This linear process would occur through several steps, where the normal epithelium modifies to ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS), progressing to invasive carcinoma and then metastasis [4].

It has been proposed that many parallel pathways may exist for the high and low-grade carcinoma, as well as, for the pure ductal carcinoma in situ (pDCIS), or to the DCIS associated with invasive ductal carcinoma (IDC) and to pure invasive carcinoma [5].

Different expression patterns for estrogen receptor alpha (ER-α), progesterone receptor (PR), and epidermal growth factor receptor between high-grade DCIS and DCIS(IDC), have been identified, suggesting that at least some pDCIS is molecularly distinct from DCIS + IDC, but these differences were not seen without the cytokeratin subtypes [6]. The expression patterns of ER-α, PR, HER-2/neu, and EGFR are markedly different in different cell origin subtypes of both high grade and non-high grade DCIS, suggesting that cell origin subtypes as well as, nuclear grade contribute to the biological and molecular heterogeneity of DCIS [7].

The aims of this study were to initiate the establishment of a data base about the hormone receptors, HER2 and p53 incidences and to evaluate the association between these markers and other pathological factors in females diagnosed with breast cancer in Yemen.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

One hundred and fifty seven formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissue block samples from the breast lesions were investigated. These included 124 cases of invasive ductal carcinomas, 13 in-situ ductal carcinomas and 20 cases of benign breast lesions. Data related to the studied subjects were retrieved from Oncology Center, Al-Jumoury Teaching Hospital, Sana’a; Yemen. The benign lesions included, Fibroadenoma, fibrocystic changes of the breast and mastitis constituting, 10 (50%), 5(25%) and 5(25%), respectively.

All biopsies were obtained from females with breast lesions, their ages ranging from 16 to 80 years with mean age of 43.75 years old, among whom 137/157 (87.3%) had primary breast cancer, their ages ranging from 21 to 80 years with a mean age of 46 years old (ascertained as cases). The remaining 20 individuals were selected from patients with benign breast tumors (ascertained as internal controls), their ages ranged from 16 to 45 years, with a mean age of 28 years.
Table 1. Distribution of Ductal Carcinoma by Clinicopathological Features

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinicopathological Features</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Histological type (available for 137(100%))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDC</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>90.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non IDC</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor size (available for 106 (77.4%))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 2 cm</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-5 cm</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>69.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;5 cm</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>23.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor grade (available for 87 (63.5%))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade I</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>25.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade II</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>55.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade III</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph node status (available for 81 (59.1%))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>75.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>24.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>59.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sample processing: Serial sections on poly-L-lysine-coated slides for immunohistochemistry (IHC) and one section on a regular slide for Hematoxylin and Eosin (H&E) procedure were prepared from each case. The immunohistochemistry staining was performed as described previously [8, 9]. Slides were heated overnight at 56°C, followed by deparaffinization through graded ethyl alcohols and rehydration to the aqueous buffer. Before immunostaining with antibodies, the tissues were treated with 10mM sodium citrate buffer (pH 6.0) at 100°C for 15 minutes for antigenic retrieval. The samples were then incubated in 0.3% hydrogen peroxide (Merk, Germany) in methanol for 30 minutes to inhibit endogenous Peroxidase activity, then washed 3 times with phosphate buffered-saline (PBS). For blocking of nonspecific background staining, horse normal serum (DAKO, Denmark) diluted in phosphate buffer (PBS) was used, the slides then were rinsed in distilled water DW 2x5 minutes in PBS. Primary antibodies were incubated for 8 hours in a humidity chamber using the following dilutions: p53 (clone DO-7, titer 1:50, Dako, Denmark), and HER-2/neu (titer 1:50, Dako, Denmark), ER (clone 1D5, Dako, Denmark) PR (clone PgR 636, Dako, Denmark), was performed by applying the Avidin-Biotin-Peroxidase complex method. After rinsing the sections in two changes of PBS for 5 minutes in each, then secondary antibody (LSAB2, DAKO) was incubated for 30 minutes in the same chamber. Detection of the primary antibody was obtained using the Strepto ABC, LSAB2 system (DAKO) according to the manufacture instructions. The sections were counter stained using Hematoxylin, dehydrate using ethyl alcohol, cleared using xylene and mounted in DPX then examined with light microscope. All sections were performed at the same time and submitted to standard methods. Known positive and negative cases were used as external controls. Three investigators have evaluated the sections independently. Positive expression for each tumor marker was defined as in the literature: ER, and PR, were considered positive when >10% of the nuclei were stained in 10 high power field (HPF) [10, 11]. The HER-2/neu was considered negative when had score 0 and +1, and positive with score +2 and +3. To be considered as +2, +3 the cellular membrane should be completely stained in more than 10% of the tumor cells. Cells without staining, or with weak staining in part of the cell membrane and in less than 10% of the tumor cells were considered negative [12].

P53 was considered positive when > 5% of the nuclei were stained in 10 HPF [13]. Regardless to the consideration of the positivity, overall expression was further evaluated as follows: The stained slides were examined by light microscopy and graded as follows: “negative” (−) indicates absence of brown precipitate in cells; the positives, including controls, were labeled as (+) if there were a few (<10%) scattered cells with precipitate; (+++) for large areas (10–50%) of positivity; and (++++) designated 50% to 100% positivity.

ETHICAL CONSENT

The study was submitted and approved by the Faculty Research Board of Sudan University for Science and Technology in collaboration with National Oncology Center, at Al-Jumhory Teaching Hospital, Sana'a, and Yemen. Sample size was calculated based on the proportions between samples, having the following parameters: a proportion of 65% of positive cases (±8%) and a 95% confidence interval. This calculation yielded a minimum of 137 patients.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

For all statistical analyses, the SPSS system for personal computer was used, and P values of 0.05 or less were regarded as statistically significant. Kendall’s W Test was for coefficient of concordance.

RESULTS

The clinic-pathological features of the cases were shown in Table 1. Histological type (IDC and NIDC), tumor size, tumor grade and lymph node status were available in 137 (IDC=124 and NIDC=13), 106, 87 and 81 of the cases, respectively.

Her2/neu and P53 markers used lacked expression in normal epithelium. Therefore, normal squamous epithelium served as the control for their analyses. The results presented here were based on the expressions of the markers in the lesions.

Table 2 and Fig. (1) summarize the positive expression of different markers in all cases of ductal carcinoma: 60 (43.8%) positive for ER, 37 (27%) for PR, 42 (30.6) % for Her2/neu and 67 (48.9) % for p53. The P values of the overall expression of ER, PR, Her2/neu and P53 in cases compared with controls were 0.16, 0.01, 0.001 and 0.0001
Table 2. Expression of ER, PR, Her2/neu and P53 in Cases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marker</th>
<th>Positive</th>
<th>Negative</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cases (137)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ER</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Her2/neu</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P53</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>48.9</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. (1). Description of immunohistochemical positive expression and correlation of ER, PR, HER2/neu and P53 markers.

Fig. (2). Description of IDC by the level of immunohistochemical expression of ER, PR, HER2/neu and P53 markers.

Fig. (3). Description of NIDC by the level of immunohistochemical expression of ER, PR, HER2/neu and P53 markers.

respectively. Positive correlations of immunostaining of ER/PR+, ER/HER2/neu+, ER/P53+ and HER2/neu/P53+, were identified in 35, 9, 21 and 22 of the cases respectively, as shown in Fig. (1). Kendall’s Coefficient of Concordance, showed mean ranks of, 2.27, 2.53, 2.82, 2.37 for ER, PR, Her2/neu and p53, respectively.

Figs. (2, 3) show the description of IDC and NIDC with various levels of immunohistochemical expression. For ER, PR, Her2/neu and p53, it demonstrates that 38, 17, 33 and 37 of ++ + in IDC, respectively; and 4, 2, 2 and 4 of in NIDC, respectively. (see photomicrographs, (1-4)).
The association between the levels of expression of ER and the tumor size, tumor grade and was summarized in Table 3. For ER, it demonstrates that 28.5% of ++++, 28.5% of ++ and 0% of + in tumor size, < 2cm; 35% of ++++, 8% of ++ and 9% of + in 2-5 cm, and 32% of ++++, 20% of ++ and 4% of + in >5. Although, these findings indicates that the level of expression of ER increases with the increase of tumor size and this was not found to be statistically significant p <0.06.

Table 3. The Levels of Positive Expression of ER by the Tumor Size and Tumor Grade

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of ER Expression</th>
<th>Tumor Size</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;2 cm</td>
<td>2-5 cm</td>
<td>&gt;5 cm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>++</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+++</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For Tumor Grade I, Grade II and Grade III, the levels of expression of ER were; 5 (45.5%), 13 (62%), 7 (58%), of ++++, respectively. For lymph node positive status, the levels of expression of ER was found to increase in positive status and this was found to be statistically significant P <0.03 (Fig. 5).

The relationships between the levels of expression of PR and the tumor size and tumor grade were summarized in Table 4. There is no statistically significant association be-
between levels of expression of PR and the tumor size or tumor grade, but the lymph node positive status showed statistically significant association \( P < 0.02 \) (Fig. 5).

The correlations between the levels of expression of Her2/neu and the tumor size, tumor grade were summarized in Table 5. The levels of expression Her2/neu didn’t show any statistical significant difference with the tumor size or tumor grade or the lymph node positive status (Fig. 5).

The correlations between the levels of expression of P53 and the tumor size, tumor grade were summarized in Table 6. There is no statistically significant association between levels of expression of PR and the tumor size, or the lymph

Table 5. The levels of Positive Expression of Her2/neu by the Tumor Size and Tumor Grade

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of Her2/neu Expression</th>
<th>Tumor Size</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;2 cm</td>
<td>2-5 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>++</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+++</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. The Levels of Positive Expression of ER by the Tumor Size and Tumor Grade

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of P53 Expression</th>
<th>Tumor Size</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;2 cm</td>
<td>2-5 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>++</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+++</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of P53 Expression</th>
<th>Tumor Grade</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grade I</td>
<td>Grade II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>++</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+++</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. (5). Description of immunohistochemical levels of positive expression of ER, PR, Her2/neu, P53 by the lymph node positive status.
node positive status (P <0.06), Fig. 5), but tumor grade showed statistically significant association P <0.03. However, the over all p53 expression has a significant negative association with lymph node involvement (P= 0.03).

**DISCUSSION**

Breast cancer has a wide range of pathologic aspects and clinical behavior. Breast cancer is either the commonest or second commonest cause of cancer morbidity and mortality among women in developing countries [14]. The association between p53 expression and conventional pathological factors in breast cancer was already reported in previous studies that can be found in the literature. The interest in the study is the evaluation of this correlation in a poor studied specific and genetically homogenous population such as the Yemen women. In Yemen, more than 11.5% out of total 3400 patients under treatment in National Oncology Center (NOC) were females with breast cancer [1]. In those patients, the biology of breast cancer remains poorly understood while wide variety of molecular-based breast cancer prognostic factors and tumor markers have been studied in the western countries. The hormones receptor status and responsiveness of tumor to hormone therapy is an important parameter in breast cancer management and patient survival [15]. For example the possibility of treating breast cancer patients with zoledronic acid independently from their estrogen receptor status,[16] Therefore, the objective of this study was to compare the immunohistochemical expression of ER, PR, HER-2/neu, and p53 in breast cancer classified as IDC and NIDC versus benign breast tumors.

**Tumor markers:** Tumor markers are molecules occurring in tissue that are associated with cancer and whose identification is useful in patient diagnosis and treatment or clinical management [17]. In this study, we compared the immunohistochemical expression of tumor markers (ER, PR, HER-2/neu, P53) between breast ductal carcinoma (IDC+NIDC), without sub typing into low and high grade, as it was carried out by Mario et al., [18] versus benign breast lesions. Moreover, we compared the immunohistochemistry expression of these markers within the different breast tumors (ie, IDC, NIDC), as well as, with clinicopathological features of these lesions.

The expression of ER, PR, HER-2/neu and p53 revealed a high concordance, represented by the Kendall’s Coefficient of Concordance (Kendall’s W^2 =0.59) with P value less than 0.001. The similarities between the expressions of these tumor markers suggest that these tumors belong to the same cellular clone in different phases of their growth, and the in situ components may represent cells with a higher potential of malignancy. These findings are in agreement to those reported by Schuetz et al., [19] where they identified the similarities between the gene expression of the in situ and invasive components of the same tumor by using microarray hierarchical cluster analysis, and with others [20, 21].

Many studies in USA, Europe and Asia, [22-24] have reported differences in breast carcinoma sub-typing with hormones receptors status and HER2 by race and ethnicity.

**ER and PR:** The prevalence of hormones receptor-positive breast cancer in Asian countries has been found to be lower than those in the western world. However, as denoted in this study, the incidence rates of these markers particularly the ER and PR receptors, expressions were lower than what were reported in the literature from USA and Australia (65% to 80%). [25, 26] However, these relatively lower ER and PR expressions in the present study were relatively consistent with the lower reported ranges in different Asian and African countries [15, 27-33], as shown in Table 7.

**Her2/neu:** In regard to Her2/neu the current results appear to be within the commonly reported rates of 20% to 30%. [28, 30, 32, 34-36,] Less than 20% or more than 30% of HER2 over-expression was reported by many studies[31, 37, 38].

**P53:** Tumor suppressor gene (P53) is considered as one of the important predictive markers in breast cancer as it gives good information about the resistant to some chemotherapeutic agents and can be used as specific prognostic factor in breast cancer [39]. In our series, the p53 expression was found in 48.2% of the cases examined. A proximately less than p53 expression rates reported by Al-Moundhri, et al., [35] (41.1%), while Tamimm, et al., [40] found that p53 positive expressions were present in 57.3% of primary breast cancer. However, the p53 expression in the present study is far from 27.6% which was demonstrated by Ihemelandu, et al., [41] and from 74.38% reported by Lu, et al., [36]. These differences may attribute to the demographic genetic variation and sample size used by different investigators.

**ER/PR Co-expression:** The over all co-expression of hormones receptors in this study were found as follow: ER+/PR+(39.41%), ER+/PR-(13.86%), ER-/PR+(0.72%) and ER-/PR-(45.98%). One of the interested results in our study was that ER-/PR+ which found only in one case out of 137 malignant cases. Such findings was reported by Olivotto, et al., [42], they found only one case out of 192 with ER- have PR+ with weak positive immunostaining. These results were strongly challenged by [43] Colomer, et al., they reported ER+/PR+, ER+/PR−, ER−/PR+, and ER−/PR− in 46%, 19%, 7% and 28%, respectively. In another study, 63.9% of white American women with breast cancer were ER+/PR+, 12.8% ER+/PR−, 3.6% ER−/PR+ and 19.8% ER−/PR− while among black American women 48.3% were ER+/PR+, 11.8% ER+/PR−, 5% ER−/PR+ and 34.8% ER−/PR−[44].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>ER</th>
<th>PR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jordan [15]</td>
<td>50.8%</td>
<td>57.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iran [26]</td>
<td>46.6%</td>
<td>43.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sri Lanka [27]</td>
<td>35.1%</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunisia [28]</td>
<td>59.4%</td>
<td>52.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egypt [29]</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghana [30]</td>
<td>43.2%</td>
<td>17.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan [31]</td>
<td>32.7%</td>
<td>25.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sudan [32]</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>77.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sudan</strong></td>
<td><strong>90%</strong></td>
<td><strong>77.5%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7. Rates of Hormone Receptor Status of Breast Carcinoma in Some ASIAN and African Countries
One well defined subtype of breast cancer is characterized by lack of ER, PR and HER2 over-expression/ or amplification that’s called TN tumors. It constitutes 10% to 20% of breast cancer. [45-47] In our cases, 39 (28.46 %) were triple negative and this value is high than that presented in the literature. TN prevalence was found to differ by race (29.3% among African American women and 13% among non- African American women)[48], while another study reported about the differences of TN with obesity (29% obese vs 31% non-obese) [49].

**ER/Her2/neu Co-expression:** The inverse association between hormones receptors and HER2 leads to lower or absent hormone receptors in women with HER2 positive breast cancers. This is one of the reasons why women who over-express HER2 may be resistant to Tamoxifen [50]. Our results confirmed that the presence of ER and PR receptors (to some extent) in human breast cancer cell lines resulted in a strong reduction of HER2 protein over-expression. These findings are in agreement with other reports in the literature, which showed an inverse significant association between hormones receptors expression and HER2 over-expression [29, 34, 51]. Anim, et al., [52] didn’t find any association between ER expression alone and HER2 over-expression.

**ER/P53 Co-expression:** With regard to ER and PR expression, p53 appears to be exhibit the same behavior of HER2. Our results showed a tendency of ER and PR hormones receptors positive tumors to be negative when associated with p53 expression. Most studies regarding this inverse association have focused on ER alone [53]. While other studies considered the negative association of ER and/or PR expression with p53 over-expression [35, 54].

**Her2/neu/P53 Co-expression:** Despite our study didn’t show any association between HER2 over-expression and p53 category, although, some studies have correlated HER2 over-expression with p53 [41, 30], while other studies consistent with our findings [35, 38].

**Markers and clinicopathological features:** The IHC technique has an expanding prognostic role in determination of factors that affect clinicopathological features. Nevertheless, the results of this study showed different pattern of findings in respect to clinicopathological features. Hormone receptors contents had no noticeable relation with tumor grade, tumor size and histological type. In our findings the ER and PR receptors status has a positive association with lymph nodes involvement (p = 0.03 and p = 0.02, respectively). Similar findings were reported for PR only by Moradi-Marjaneh, et al., [55] while, Ayadi, et al., [29] didn’t find any association between ER and PR expression and clinicopathological factors except a negative association with tumor grade. Furthermore, the associations of PR expression with other pathological factors were reported by Mohsin, et al., [56], while only association of ER and PR expression with tumor grade was reported by Adebamowo, et al., [37] in addition, to the findings by Lu, et al., [36], when, they demonstrated a negative association between the expression of hormones receptors and tumor size and tumor grade.

Some authors, as presented in our study, have suggested that HER-2 over-expression is not associated with clinicopathological factors. [35, 57] Furthermore, many authors reported that HER2 hasn’t association with histological type [58, 59], tumor size [36, 38, 50], tumor grade [51, 59] and lymph nodes involvement [38, 58, 59]. In contrast, association of HER2 over-expression with tumor size [29, 34] tumor grade [30, 38, 55], lymph nodes involvement [60] and histological type [36] were reported.

With clinicopathological factors, our study showed that p53 has a significant negative association with lymph node involvement (P = 0.03), as well as, positive association with tumor grade (p=0.03), and in to some extent, with borderline significant, with tumor size (p = 0.066) but not with histological type. From the literature, our results of p53 association with lymph nodes were compatible with study by Moradi-Marjaneh, et al., [55], they reported a significant reverse association between p53 and lymph node involvement while Tammim, et al., [40] reported that neither tumor grade and nor tumor size showed a correlation with p53 expression. Our results of p53 association with clinicopathological factors were incompatible, in to some extent, with studies [41, 54].

However, one of the relatively limits in this study, there is no molecular analysis, as well as, there is no similar study from Yemen which might confirm genetic variations.

In conclusion, study of ER, PR, Her2/neu and P53 in breast ductal carcinoma from Yemen women by IHC methods indicates that there are high rates of positive expression of theses markers. There is a significant correlation between the lymph node positive status and the levels of expression of ER and PR, but not with Her2/neu or P53. No statistically significant association was found between ER, PR, Her2/neu, P53 and tumor size or grade, with exception of P53 and tumor grade; however, it is statistically significant.
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