Population Approach in Breast Cancer Research Based on Integration of Genetic, Clinicopathological and Genealogical Clues
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Abstract: Like other cancer types, breast cancer is considered to be a genetic disease. While the majority of genetic changes are somatic, a minority are in germline. About 10-20% of breast cancer is thought to be due to a germline mutation in high-penetrance genes, while the major focus has been on BRCA1 and BRCA2. Some of these mutations are defined as founder mutations. Studies on founder mutations yield important information, mainly due to a large number of available carriers with the same mutation, regarding penetrance, expression, genetic modifiers or low-penetrant genes and influence from the environment. Population studies are also valuable due the possibilities for evaluating clinicopathological data in a group of patients who have the same mutation. In Iceland a rare founder mutation has been detected in BRCA1, and a frequent founder mutation has been detected in BRCA2. In addition to population-based studies on genetics and clinicopathology, an extensive analysis of somatic changes in tumours of BRCA2 founder mutation carriers has been made.

INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer has all the hallmarks of a multigenic disease [for review see 1]. Although germline mutations in several genes are well known to be involved in breast tumour progression, this is largely a consequence of somatic evolution. Breast cancer is considered as hereditary if linkage is clear with a relatively highly penetrant gene mutation, while the rest are classified as sporadic. Mutations in BRCA1, BRCA2 and TP53 are highly penetrant, while mutations in others, such as ATM, CHK2 and PTEN, show lower penetrance. Sporadic breast cancer is partly due to the interplay between low-penetrance genetic factors and exogenous environmental factors. It is roughly estimated that over half of breast cancer is due to the intricate and poorly understood interaction between exogenous environmental factors and multiple low-penetrance genetic factors. No single gene defect has been identified that accounts for the initiation of sporadic breast cancer, and only about 10-15% of breast cancer patients inherit a familial predisposition. Even in this latter category, only about 50% of breast cancer can be attributed to the inheritance of mutations in the BRCA1 and BRCA2 suppressor genes. Therefore the underlying aetiological bases of most sporadic breast tumours are largely unknown, and additional unidentified genes and the corresponding environmental interactions must play a significant role in the aetiology of breast cancer.

BRCA1 and BRCA2

BRCA1 is a familial breast- and ovarian-cancer susceptibility gene [2]. Brca1 is involved in diverse cellular events and functions, including homologous recombination DNA repair, transcriptional regulation, chromatin remodelling, cell-cycle checkpoint control and ubiquitin ligation [3-6]. BRCA2 is also a familial breast cancer susceptibility gene that is structurally unrelated to BRCA1, but its protein product plays a partial role in the same pathways [7]. The main function of Brca2 is in homologous recombination DNA repair. Both Brca1 and Brca2 bind to Rad51, a protein implicated in recombination and double-stranded DNA repair [8]. The Brca1 and Brca2 proteins participate in the BASC (Brca1 associated genome surveillance complex). They are multifunctional proteins involved in complex protein-protein interactions. The factors binding to Brca1 are both specific transcription factors and factors involved in chromatin remodelling. Brca2 is involved in loading of Rad51 to damaged DNA. Mainly active in S and G2 phases of the cell cycle, Brca1 and Brca2 are essential for preserving chromosome structure, suggesting that, in their role as tumour suppressors, they behave as caretakers, suppressing genomic instability. While the role of Brca1 and Brca2 in homologous recombination repair of double-strand DNA breaks is well established, more data are needed to clarify how they act as regulators of cell-cycle events independent of their role in DNA repair.

Even though BRCA1 and BRCA2 are the major genes involved in hereditary breast cancer, they explain only less than 10% of breast cancers. The majority of breast cancers are believed to be sporadic. In sporadic breast cancer somatic mutations have a major role but it is also influenced by combined effects of low-penetrance sequence variants. The mechanism of BRCA1 or BRCA2 inactivation in tumours is believed to be a double hit, a germline mutation and a somatic deletion [9, 10]. However, experimental data are lacking to clarify whether losses of the wild-type chromosomes are a prerequisite for non- or abnormal function of the proteins, or whether dominant negative or haplo-insufficient mechanisms can explain the original pathogenesis [11]. Since germline mutations of BRCA1 and BRCA2 are relatively frequent in relation to familial breast cancer, the rarity of somatic
Germline (Founder) Mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2 in the Icelandic Population

In carriers of BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations there is a dramatic increase in the risk of breast cancer. Most of the mutations involved are at relatively high penetrance and the majority of women carriers have a lifetime risk of developing breast or ovary carcinoma. Numerous mutations in each gene have been found in most populations studied. The size and number of mutations in these genes have made it difficult to determine their impact on cancer risk. The incidence of mutations in high-risk families varies among different populations. Some populations have a relatively wide spectrum of different mutations, while high-frequency mutations are detected in certain ethnic groups due to a founder effect. When founder mutations have been identified it is possible to examine the prevalence of mutations in different populations and mutation-specific effects on penetrance and disease phenotype.

The Icelandic population originated about 1100 years ago, comprising Nordic and Celtic settlers. The number of primary settlers was small and the population fluctuated over the centuries between 40,000 and 60,000 until the mid-19th century. Several times the population has been adversely affected by cold winters, epidemics and tephra from volcanic eruptions, for instance from the Laki volcano in 1783-4. At that time the population of Iceland fell from 50,000 to 40,000. Due to improved living standards the population has since risen rapidly, especially in the past 100 years, and the population today is 313,000. This situation can enhance the probability of founder mutations.

Studies on founder mutations, such as those of Ashkenazi Jewish ancestry and the Icelandic and Polish populations, have made it possible to evaluate the influence of individual BRCA mutations at population level. To date only one mutation in each of the BRCA1 and BRCA2 genes has been identified in the Icelandic population of 313,000. This is a rare mutation in the BRCA1 gene and a frequent mutation in the BRCA2 gene, both considered to be of founder origin [18, 19]. The Icelandic BRCA2 founder mutation is present in 8% of unselected breast cancer patients in Iceland and in 24% of women diagnosed before the age of 40 years [20]. The BRCA2 999del5 mutation explains 40% of the increased breast cancer risk in first-degree relatives of Icelandic breast cancer patients [21]. The estimated breast cancer risk in BRCA2 999del5 carriers at the age of 70 years is about 40% [22].

Population-Based Studies and BRCA2 999del5 Carriers

Table 1 summarises the most recent findings on germline mutations in the Icelandic population. Sample collection on Iceland has proven to be an important research tool as it is drawn from population-based series of cancer cases and is linked to genetic and clinical data, as well as data on lifestyle and risk factors [24]. Due to the high frequency of the BRCA2 999del5 mutation, it has received the most attention. The risk of breast cancer in BRCA2 mutation carriers varies from individual to individual, and it appears that the risk has increased in recent generations. These observations imply that non-genetic factors may modify the inherited risk. To date, the factors that appear most strongly to modify the risk include reproductive history and exogenous hormones. Modifying factors include age of menarche, parity, breastfeeding and oophorectomy.

Table 1. Population-Based Studies of Breast Cancer Risk in Icelandic Mutation Carriers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Mutation</th>
<th>Risk/conclusion of study</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BRCA1</td>
<td>D1692N/Splice site*</td>
<td>In &lt;0.5% of breast cancer</td>
<td>[18]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRCA2</td>
<td>999del5*</td>
<td>In 8.5% of breast cancer, 7.9% of ovarian cancer, 2.7% of prostate cancer</td>
<td>[19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>In 40% of male breast cancer</td>
<td>[23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Variable phenotype</td>
<td>[20]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>37% risk at age of 70 years</td>
<td>[22]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Risk difference due to reproductive factors</td>
<td>[24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>In 6% of ovarian cancer, 20-fold risk</td>
<td>[25]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Quadrupled penetrance over 80 years</td>
<td>[26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Poor prognosis of prostate patients</td>
<td>[27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHK2</td>
<td>T59K*</td>
<td>Low penetrance, not detected in BRCA2 999del5</td>
<td>[28]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BARD1</td>
<td>C557S</td>
<td>Low penetrance, higher frequency in BRCA2 999del5</td>
<td>[29]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AURKA</td>
<td>F31I</td>
<td>Low penetrance, not detected in BRCA2 999del5</td>
<td>[30]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*) Founder mutation or mutation only described in Icelanders
Shortly after the discovery of BRCA1 and BRCA2, several papers published numbers on penetrance and estimated risk of breast cancer and other cancer types. These data were based mainly on families with a high predisposition to breast cancer. Hence mutation penetrance was relatively high, or 70-80%. Also, in these studies different mutations in one of the genes were pooled. Today improved numbers are available on penetrance, based on population studies, including the BRCA2 999del5 Icelandic founder-mutation. The original population studies on the BRCA2 999del5 in the Icelandic population suggested 39% penetrance [22]. More recent data show that penetrance of the Icelandic BRCA2 founder-mutation has increased about fourfold in 80 years [24]. The cumulative incidence of breast cancer before the age of 70 years in BRCA999del5 carriers was detected as 19% in 1920 and 72% in 2002 [24]. Relatively, this is a similar increase in breast cancer risk to that of the general population, so there is a similar overall effect. Possible explanations are changes in lifestyle, involving changes in life expectancy, decline in age of menarche, fewer children born, increased age of mother at birth of first child, menopause at higher age, oral contraceptives etc.

Somatic Events in BRCA2 999del5 Tumours

Molecular and pathological data suggest a difference not only between BRCA1- and BRCA2-associated tumours, but also between them and sporadic tumours. BRCA1 and BRCA2 tumours are more aggressive than sporadic tumours, as indicated by S-phase, mitosis, aneuploidy, genomic instability and pathological appearance [31]. Other characteristics of BRCA1 tumours are low ER content, elevated lymphocyte infiltration and appearance of medullary phenotype [32, 33]. The gross genomic instability detected in BRCA1 and BRCA2 tumours fits well with their documented function in DNA repair [34, 35]. Moreover, the chromosome aberration profiles of BRCA1 and BRCA2 tumours differ from each other and from other breast cancers, suggesting that specific genetic pathways operate in the progression of genomic instability in these inherited tumours [34, 35]. Functional support for discrimination between BRCA1, BRCA2 and sporadic breast tumours is also evident from genome-wide gene expression profiles [36].

There seems to be a link between the Brca1 and Aurka (Aurora kinase A), since the former is phosphorylated by the latter, an event that is considered to be important for the regulation of the G2-M transition in the cell cycle [37]. It has been shown that Aurka modulates the Brca1 inhibition of centrosome function by decreasing the ubiquitin ligase activity of Brca1 [38]. Somatic events in breast tumours of BRCA2 999del5 carriers can include amplified regions where oncogenes are located, as has been shown for the AURKA. While AURKA amplification is found in 22% of noncarriers it is much more frequent in BRCA2 999del5 carriers, or 70% [39]. The same study also demonstrates more frequent AURKA amplification if BRCA2 is lost at somatic level. Both AURKA and BRCA2 are involved in maintaining the correct number of centrosomes in the G2-M transition of the cell cycle [40, 41]. It is possible that AURKA amplification increases the risk of tumourigenesis linked to BRCA2 germline mutation through abnormalities in DNA damage response and control of cell division. This may be due to increased risk of AURKA amplification and/or growth selection for AURKA-related pathways leading to tumour formation in BRCA2 mutation carriers.

The majority of TP53 mutations are missense, in contrast to mutations in several other tumour-suppressor genes, where the majority of mutations result in a truncated protein. Some of the TP53 mutations are dominant negative, presumably due to incompetent transcription factor, if one or more mutant copies of the protein are included in the p53 tetrameric form. The germline mutation spectrum is slightly different from the somatic pattern, in line with endogenous mutagenic processes [42]. A high frequency of codon 163 mutation of the TP53 is detected in breast tumours, particularly in a BRCA1 mutational background [43, 44]. The mutation spectrum of TP53 in BRCA1 and BRCA2 carriers is different from that of sporadic tumours, which is consistent with a repair function of Brca1 and Brca2 [44]. The p53 mutants are presumably selected during the malignant progression in the genetic background of BRCA1- and BRCA2-associated tumours. Similarly, elevated somatic mutations of CHK2 have been detected in tumours of BRCA1 genetic mutation carriers [45]. Tumours in BRCA1 carriers have a relatively high frequency of somatic CHK2 mutations, as do tumours in patients with medullary carcinoma [45]. This is of particular interest, since TP53 somatic mutations are also found at a high level in BRCA1 tumours [43, 44]. These findings of somatic mutations in cell-cycle checkpoint genes such as TP53 and CHK2 are in line with the theory that they increase the rate of tumourigenesis in BRCA1-associated tumours.

It can be hypothesised that in the early stage of BRCA1 and BRCA2 pathogenesis, cells progress through a preliminary crisis phase with massive apoptosis due to accumulation of genetic changes. Further gene alterations, for instance somatic mutations in TP53 or CHK2 or amplification of AURKA, rescue the cell from this senescence phase, and progression is towards reduced apoptosis, enhanced cell growth and a fully malignant phenotype. Even though TP53 mutations are not as frequent in BRCA2- as in BRCA1-associated tumours, overexpression of p53 is detected, suggesting that in BRCA2 mutation carriers the p53 pathway is deregulated by some other mechanisms in addition to mutation [44, 46, 47]. Mouse knockout experiments support the hypothesis of a preliminary crisis phase, and it has been shown that inactivation of p53, or other checkpoint proteins such as Bub1 and Mad3L, is of importance in tumour progression in mouse cells lacking Brca [48].

The 3p region is not only frequently altered in breast cancer, but is also among the most frequently lost regions in many types of cancer [49]. However, it has been a difficult region in which to find a definite tumour-suppressor gene, and it can be hypothesised that combined functional loss of several tumour suppressor genes located at 3p contributes to tumour pathogenesis. The FHIT gene is located at the most common fragile site in the human genome at 3p14.2, FRA3B, and is frequently altered in breast cancer, particularly if it is of hereditary origin, where BRCA2 is mutated [50, 51]. This could merely reflect the unstable nature of the fragile site in the breast tumour cell, but it is also possible that FHIT plays a
tumour suppressor role. Specific Fhit pathways have not been identified, but a recent study suggests a role as a transcriptional repressor [52]. The question may be asked, whether the fragile sites in the genome are more sensitive to alterations in a background of germline mutations where DNA repair is dysfunctional. This could be part of the story, but not the only explanation. When comparing losses from chromosomes that carry the most common fragile sites in the genome, FRA3B, FRA16D and FRA6E, only chromosomes 3p and 6q show elevated loss in hereditary tumours associated with DNA repair dysfunction, compared to sporadic breast tumours, but not chromosome 16q [1]. Also, there is higher loss at chromosome 8p in hereditary tumours with mutated repair genes, as against sporadic tumours, even though this chromosome region does not contain a defined fragile site [53].

Low-Penetrance Genes and BRCA2 Mutation Carriers

Genetic variants of several breast-cancer susceptibility genes have been analysed in BRCA2 999del5 carriers, including BARD1, AURKA and CHK2. Bard1 binds to Brca1 and is important for ubiquitin ligase function while Aurora and Chk2 are kinases involved in chromosome segregation and cell-cycle checkpoint, respectively.

Somatic and germline mutations in the CHK2 gene have been described in relation to breast cancer, suggesting that loss of Chk2 is functionally equivalent to TP53 mutations, while mutation frequency is lower in CHK2 than in TP53 [28, 45, 54]. Germline mutations of CHK2 have been found in Li-Fraumeni and Li-Fraumeni-like families, and by population screening of breast cancer patients [28, 45, 54]. The germline variants of CHK2 analysed so far by population screening seem to be low-penetrance alleles conferring susceptibility to breast cancer [28, 55]. Population-based analysis of a mutation that abolishes kinase activity indicated a 5% frequency in individuals with breast cancer, and a twofold and tenfold increased risk of breast cancer in females and males respectively [55].

It has been suggested that Brca1-dependent ubiquitination activity in concert with Bard1 marks the centrosomes, and inhibits their reduplication [56, 57]. Mutations of BARD1 are found at low frequency in breast cancer [58, 59]. The role of Bard1 in the Brca1 and Brca2 pathways and genomic stability is further established in knockout mouse experiments [60]. The BARD1 C557S genetic variant is detected in the European population and in Americans of European origin. One population-based cohort of 1,090 Icelandic breast-cancer patients and 703 controls suggest that there is a minor elevation in risk of breast cancer in BARD1 C557S carriers, which is further elevated in BRCA2 999del5 carriers [29]. This is the opposite of the low penetrance alleles of CHK2 and AURKA, T59K and F31I respectively. In both cases there is an increase risk of breast cancer in carriers, with the exception of BRCA2 999del5 carriers [28, 30]. Therefore it is clear that it is important, when looking for low-penetrance cancer-susceptibility genes, to acknowledge the influence of major cancer genes such as BRCA1 and BRCA2. Likewise, it is important to know the status of low-penetrance genes when estimating the penetrance of BRCA1 and BRCA2.

A possible explanation could be in the progression of breast tumours in BRCA2 carriers. Presumably there is a preliminary phase with apoptosis and senescence, due to failure of DNA repair, resulting in less viable cells (Fig. 1). Somatic events could influence the progression to cancer as described earlier, but this could also be influenced by genetic background or low penetrance genes. Accordingly, the given AURKA or CHK2 variants could entail less growth advantage and BARD1 increased growth advantage, influencing cancer progression (Fig. 1).

![Fig. (1). Theoretical scheme of breast cancer progression in individuals carrying BRCA2 germline mutation. Presumably a BRCA2 defect leads to a preliminary phase with induction of genomic instability and activation of cell-cycle checkpoints and apoptosis. Gene variants in other genes, such as AURKA, CHK2 and BARD1, could either enhance this preliminary phase resulting in reduced cell viability or rescue the cells from checkpoint control and apoptosis phase, resulting in growth advantage for the breast tumour cell, depending on which gene is involved and the variant type (see main text for references and details).](image)

CONCLUSION

Genetic background is of importance for breast-cancer development, and gene variants are many and have both high and low penetrance. As a significant proportion of sporadic breast cancer can be explained by interaction between low-penetrance genetic factors and exogenous environmental factors, further investigation of the genes in the pathways initiated by DNA-damaging, mutagenic environmental agents is important. There is strong evidence that genomic instability has a role in breast cancer pathogenesis, particularly in hereditary breast cancer, and possibly a role in sensitivity and resistance to therapy. Cells with elevated genomic instability are viable due to selective pressure of genes involved in cell turnover. It seems clear that somatic events in tumours of individuals with germline mutation in breast-cancer-predisposing genes are fundamental for breast-tumour pathogenesis. In carriers of highly penetrant genes such as BRCA1 or BRCA2, caution must be used in extrapolating the data to the general population, and also to other locations in the
corresponding genes. Founder mutations permit analysis of a large number of cases, and provide more accurate information on penetrance, expression, and genetic and environmental modifiers of risk. This information can be useful in understanding the role played by these genes in the incidence of breast cancer, in order to target genetic testing, provide individual risk assessment, and design better therapeutic strategies. The evidence of differences in susceptibility and in age of onset among carriers of a specific mutation makes it easier to define the role and importance of risk-modifying factors, leading to improved disease management. Information on new breast cancer genes is expected in the near future, and genetic association studies, which survey the entire genome, are being developed for uncovering the genetic basis of breast cancer. Such studies have identified several novel loci, including common variants on chromosomes 2 and 16 [61]. New information is currently under consideration for developing therapy strategies in hereditary breast cancer. This information also includes the somatic events in hereditary breast cancer. One example of a relevant question to be addressed is whether breast tumours with mutations in BRC2A2 could be promising candidates for Aurka-targeted treatment.
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