The Open Cardiovascular Medicine Journal, 2016, 10, 188-195

 Discretion The Open Cardiovascular Medicine Journal The Open Cardiovascular Medicine Journal Discretion Content list available at: www.benthamopen.com/TOCMJ/ Discretion Discretion

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Pulse Wave Analysis by Applanation Tonometry for the Measurement of Arterial Stiffness

John Doupis^{1,*}, Nikolaos Papanas², Alison Cohen¹, Lyndsay McFarlan¹ and Edward Horton¹

¹Joslin Diabetes Centre, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA ²Diabetes Centre, Second Department of Internal Medicine, Democritus University of Thrace, Alexandroupolis, Greece

Received: July 05, 2016	Revised: July 11, 2016	Accepted: July 17, 2016

Abstract: The aim of our study was to investigate the association between pulse wave velocity (PWV) and pulse wave analysis (PWA)-derived measurements for the evaluation of arterial stiffness. A total of 20 (7 male and 13 female) healthy, non-smoking individuals, with mean age 31 ± 12 years were included. PWV and PWA measurements were performed using a SphygmoCor apparatus (Atcor Medical Blood Pressure Analysis System, Sydney Australia). PWV significantly correlated with all central aortic haemodynamic parameters, especially with pulse pressure (PP) (p < 0.0001), augmentation index corrected for 75 pulses/min (AI75) (p = 0.035) and augmentation pressure (AP) (p = 0.005). Male subjects presented significantly higher PWV compared with females (p = 0.03), while there were no differences in PP, AP and AI75. In conclusion, PWA is strongly correlated with PWV as a method for the evaluation of arterial stiffness.

Keywords: Applanation tonometry, Arterial stiffness, Pulse wave analysis, Pulse wave velocity.

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, emphasis has been placed on the role of arterial stiffness in the development of cardiovascular disease [1 - 5]. There is a multitude of available methods, but important questions remain as to the choice of method, utility of various arterial indices and their clinical implications [1 - 5]. Pulse wave velocity (PWV) is generally considered the most precise way to estimate, non-invasively, arterial stiffness in humans. Indeed, a wide range of studies have shown that high PWV values are associated with increased arterial stiffness and increased risk for cardiovascular disease [1, 2, 5 - 7].

Pulse Wave Analysis (PWA) is another modality evaluating arterial function, which is less time consuming and technically easier than PWV [7]. Central aortic haemodynamic parameters derived by PWA include pulse pressure (PP), augmentation pressure (AP) and augmentation index (AI75), which have been reported to be reliable markers for estimating arterial stiffness [7]. In addition, AI75 and AP have been proposed as independent risk factors for atherosclerosis in patients with type 2 diabetes [8 - 10].

Despite the evidence supporting the reliability of both PWV and PWA, there are not enough data regarding their possible correlation with each other and with other established cardiovascular risk factors. Thus, the aim of the present study was to investigate the association between PWV and PWA-derived measurements of PP, AI75 and AP in healthy male and female individuals.

PARTICIPANTS AND METHODS

Healthy, non-smoking individuals (n = 20; 7 male and 13 female; mean age 31 ± 12 years) were included. Exclusion

^{*} Address correspondence to this author at the Joslin Diabetes Centre, Harvard Medical School, Clinical Research Centre, One Joslin Place, Boston, MA, USA; Tel: 617-309-2749; E-mail: john.doupis@joslin.harvard.edu

criteria were: blood pressure (BP) >140/90 mmHg, bradycardia (heart rate <55 beats/min), atrial fibrillation or other cardiac arrhythmias or current pregnancy. We also excluded subjects with a body-mass index (BMI) >35 kg/m², as it would be technically difficult to access their femoral artery for the PWV measurements.

All participants provided written informed consent before entering the study, which was conducted according to the principles of the declaration of Helsinki [11]. The study was approved by the institutional review board of the Joslin Diabetes Centre. The demographic and clinical characteristics of the study participants are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Participant characteristics.

Participant Characteristics	Values	
n	20 (7 male, 13 female)	
Age (years), mean \pm SD	31±12	
Systolic blood Pressure (mmHg), mean ± SD	115±13	
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg), mean ± SD	72 ±7	
Heart Rate (beats/min), mean ± SD	62±9	
Height (cm), mean ± SD	172±9	
Weight (kg), mean ± SD	75±18	
BMI (kg/m ²), mean \pm SD	25.1±4.5	

BMI: Body mass Index, SD: Standard deviation.

Measurements were performed at least 4 h after the individual's last food consumption without further restrictions. First, a full medical history and a family history were recorded followed by the measurement of the arterial BP. Body weight and height were measured in light clothing and without shoes. Both PWV and PWA measurements were then performed using the SphygmoCor Px (Atcor Medical Blood Pressure Analysis System, Sydney Australia) in a temperature-controlled room in the Clinical Research Unit of Joslin Diabetes Centre.

PWV was evaluated between the carotid and femoral artery with the participant lying in the supine position. Pulse measurements were performed non-invasively using the SphygmoCor probe over the carotid and femoral artery while an ECG recording was performed simultaneously [12]. To ensure a stable, artefact-free ECG, the skin was properly prepared (hair removed at electrode site and skin cleaned with an alcohol wipe). A minimum of 12 sec of signal (approximately 10 heart beats) was recorded after a strong accurate and reproducible pulse wave signal was obtained.

The distance from the carotid to femoral artery was measured directly between each artery location and the suprasternal notch and the values were entered into the SphygmoCor software database. PWV was calculated by measuring the time delay between two characteristic timing points on two pressure waveforms that were at a known distance apart. The SphygmoCor method uses the foot of the waveform as an onset point for calculating the time differences between the R wave of the ECG and the pulse waveforms at each site. PWV was automatically calculated by the Atcor software as the carotid-femoral artery distance divided by the wave travelling time between the above 2 measuring sites. PWV measurements with a standard deviation less than 10% were used for analysis [12].

The central aortic haemodynamic parameters, AI75, AP and PP, were measured using applanation tonometry of the radial artery as previously described [13]. The radial artery pressure wave and amplitude were recorded non-invasively with a pencil-type probe over the right radial artery with the wrist slightly extended and supported on a pillow (Fig 1). Recordings were taken after a reproducible signal was obtained. Twenty sequential waveforms covering a complete respiratory cycle were required for the system software to generate an average peripheral and corresponding central waveform, which was then subjected to further analysis [13].

Two pressure peaks characterise the systolic part of the central waveform. The first peak results from the left cardiac ventricle ejection, and the second one results from the wave reflections from the periphery. The difference between these two peaks represents the degree of the central arterial pressure augmentation due to wave reflection. The AP is the absolute increase of the PP due to the reflected wave and AI75 is the measure of the contribution of the wave reflection to the arterial pressure waveform. AI75 is expressed as a percentage of the PP. The amplitude and timing of the reflected wave ultimately depends on the stiffness of the small vessels and large arteries, representing a measurement of the systemic arterial stiffness [12, 13].

The PWA calculation is influenced by factors including the heart rate and the site of the wave reflection [14]. Thus, data corrected for 75 pulses/min by an automated feature of the Atcor software were used for the analysis.



Fig. (1). PWA technique. (PWA: pulse wave analysis).

Data were analysed using the Minitab 14.0 statistical package software (Minitab, State College, Pennsylvania, USA). Normality was evaluated by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. As a first descriptive tool, the association between PWV and PWA parameters was graphically displayed by scatter plots. To evaluate their correlation, Pearson's Correlation Coefficients between PWV and AI75, AP or PP were calculated. The hypothesis that the correlation is significantly different from zero (no correlation) was tested by double-sided Pearson's product moment correlation tests. A p < 0.05 were considered significant.



Fig. (2). Scatter plot of Mean PP vs. Mean PWV. (PP: Pulse pressure, PWV: Pulse wave velocity).

RESULTS

PWV significantly correlated with all central aortic haemodynamic parameters, especially with PP (PP: p < 0.0001, AI75: p = 0.035, AP: p = 0.005, respectively) (Figs. 2-4). There was a small but significant difference of PWV between male and female individuals (male 7.10 ± 1.11 m/sec; female 6.13 ± 0.80 m/sec) (p = 0.03), while there were no differences in PP, AP and AI75.



Fig. (3). Scatter plot of mean AI75 vs. mean PWV. (AI75: Augmentation index adjusted for heart rate 75, PWV: Pulse wave velocity).



Fig. (4). Scatter plot of mean AP vs. mean PWV. (AP: Augmentation pressure, PWV: Pulse wave velocity).

192 The Open Cardiovascular Medicine Journal, 2016, Volume 10

Family history of diabetes, hyperlipidaemia or cardiovascular disease had no significant correlation with central haemodynamic parameters or PWV (Table 2).

Variables	PWV	AI75	AP	РР
DMfh	0.597	0.339	0.575	0.807
CVDfh	0.622	0.330	0.496	0.655
HTNfh	0.057	0.885	0.535	0.051
LIPfh	0.761	0.811	0.845	0.779

Table 2. Correlation between family medical history and PWV and PWA parameters (mean values).

(DMfh: family history of Diabetes, CVDfh: family history of cardiovascular disease, HTNfh: family history of hypertension, LIPfh: family history of hyperlipidaemia, PWV: Pulse wave velocity, PWA: Pulse wave analysis, AI75: Augmentation index adjusted for heart rate 75, AP: Augmentation pressure, PP: Pulse pressure).

Heart rate was also not correlated with PWV nor with any of the PWA parameters (Table 3). Age correlated significantly with both PWV (p = 0.03) and central haemodynamics (AI75: p = 0.006, AP: p = 0.002; PP: p = 0.009, respectively) (Table 3).

Table 3. Correlations between PWA parameters (mean values) and PWV (mean value) with participant characteristics (*p* values).

Variables	PWV	AI75	AP	PP
PWV		0.035	0.005	0.000
AI75	0.035			
AP	0.005			
PP	0.000			
Age	0.033	0.006	0.002	0.009
BMI	0.003	0.194	0.153	0.084
Weight	0.006	0.658	0.448	0.100
Height	0.329	0.232	0.488	0.470
SBP	0.001	0.907	0.405	0.002
DBP	0.042	0.939	0.679	0.337
HR	0.443	0.456	0.479	0.122

(PWV: Pulse wave velocity, AI75: Augmentation index adjusted for heart rate 75, AP: Augmentation pressure, PP: Pulse pressure, BMI: Body mass index, SBP: Systolic blood pressure, DBP: Diastolic blood pressure, HR: Heart rate).

BMI was positively related to PWV (p = 0.08) but not to AI75 and AP, and there was a trend to be correlated to PP, however, this did not reach significance (p = 0.08).

Systolic BP correlated significantly with PWV (p = 0.001) and PP (p = 0.002) while diastolic BP correlated only with PWV (p = 0.04).

DISCUSSION

The main finding of our study is that there is a significant correlation between the PWV and each of the PWA parameters as measured by applanation tonometry. The correlation reached its highest degree of significance between PWV and PP (p < 0.0001). The close relation of these two methods for the arterial stiffness measurement is consistent with other published studies [15].

As expected, age was a major determinant of arterial stiffness measured by both PWV and PWA, although the majority of the study participants were of young age. Young age was probably the reason why the family history of diabetes, cardiovascular disease and hyperlipidaemia did not show any correlation with either PWV or PWA values, as reported in other studies [16 - 18].

Family history of hypertension was a significant predictor of arterial stiffness, as reported in other studies [19].

Male gender was a predictor for arterial stiffness as measured by PWV, while PWA parameters did not show any differences in arterial stiffness between genders. Exploring the literature, there are inconsistent findings regarding the

influence of gender on arterial stiffness as measured by PWV or PWA. In one study that evaluated PWV in young normotensive individuals (mean age: 22.3±2.1 years) with a family history of hypertension, it was reported that male gender was an independent risk factor for arterial stiffness as measured by PWV [19]. Men were reported to have stiffer arteries than women, measured by PWV, in another study in middle and old aged Chinese population (44-79 years) [20]. Similar results were reported in a larger general population study in Denmark [21]. In contrast, in a study using applanation tonometry for the measurement of arterial stiffness it was reported that female healthy individuals had increased arterial stiffness compared with healthy men [22]. Similar results were presented in another recent large study conducted in the general population in Denmark [23]. However, most of the studies were conducted in a relatively small number of participants, and arterial stiffness was evaluated with either PWV or PWA, and not with both methods.

However, we did find a significant correlation between arterial BP and arterial stiffness measured by PWV as well as with PP. This is in accordance to other published studies that support the association between arterial stiffness and BP, especially systolic BP [10, 24].

It is noteworthy that the strongest correlation among PWV and the PWA parameters proved to be between PWV and PP. Indeed, considerable evidence supports that increased PP as measured by PWA may be considered a significant risk factor for cardiovascular events, even stronger than hypertension measured by standard BP readings [25 - 27].

The major limitation of this study is the small number of participants. A second limitation is the absence of prospective data. Furthermore, we did not analyse the effect of therapeutic agents, which may affect arterial stiffness [28 - 31]. Similarly, we did not enquire into the effect of smoking [32], obesity and metabolic syndrome [33 - 35]. All these interesting issues were beyond the scope of the present study, which looked at PWA as a method of evaluating arterial stiffness.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, our findings demonstrate that central aortic haemodynamic parameters, measured by PWA calculated using applanation tonometry of the radial artery, are closely correlated to the PWV measurements, which are considered to be the gold standard for the non-invasive evaluation of arterial stiffness in males and females.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors confirm that this article content has no conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The study has been funded by the Joslin Diabetes Centre.

REFERENCES

- [1] Vlachopoulos C, Aznaouridis K, Stefanadis C. Aortic stiffness for cardiovascular risk prediction: just measure it, just do it! J Am Coll Cardiol 2014; 63(7): 647-9.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2013.10.040] [PMID: 24239659]
- Laurent S, Kingwell B, Bank A, Weber M, Struijker-Boudier H. Clinical applications of arterial stiffness: therapeutics and pharmacology. Am J Hypertens 2002; 15(5): 453-8.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0895-7061(01)02329-9] [PMID: 12022248]
- Mackenzie IS, Wilkinson IB, Cockcroft JR. Assessment of arterial stiffness in clinical practice. QJM 2002; 95(2): 67-74. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/qjmed/95.2.67] [PMID: 11861952]
- [4] Oliver JJ, Webb DJ. Noninvasive assessment of arterial stiffness and risk of atherosclerotic events. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2003; 23(4): 554-66.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/01.ATV.0000060460.52916.D6] [PMID: 12615661]
- [5] O'Rourke MF, Staessen JA, Vlachopoulos C, Duprez D, Plante GE. Clinical applications of arterial stiffness; definitions and reference values. Am J Hypertens 2002; 15(5): 426-44.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0895-7061(01)02319-6] [PMID: 12022246]
- [6] Pannier BM, Avolio AP, Hoeks A, Mancia G, Takazawa K. Methods and devices for measuring arterial compliance in humans. Am J Hypertens 2002; 15(8): 743-53.
 - [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0895-7061(02)02962-X] [PMID: 12160200]
- [7] Laurent S, Cockcroft J, Van Bortel L, *et al.* European network for non-invasive investigation of large arteries. Expert consensus document on arterial stiffness: methodological issues and clinical applications. Eur Heart J 2006; 27(21): 2588-605. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehl254] [PMID: 17000623]

- [8] Wittrock M, Scholze A, Compton F, Schaefer JH, Zidek W, Tepel M. Noninvasive pulse wave analysis for the determination of central artery stiffness. Microvasc Res 2009; 77(2): 109-12. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mvr.2008.10.001] [PMID: 18996402]
- [9] Nürnberger J, Keflioglu-Scheiber A, Opazo Saez AM, Wenzel RR, Philipp T, Schäfers RF. Augmentation index is associated with cardiovascular risk. J Hypertens 2002; 20(12): 2407-14.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00004872-200212000-00020] [PMID: 12473865]
- [10] Safar ME. Pulse pressure, arterial stiffness and wave reflections (augmentation index) as cardiovascular risk factors in hypertension. Ther Adv Cardiovasc Dis 2008; 2(1): 13-24. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1753944707086652] [PMID: 19124404]
- [11] World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki. Ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects. Bull World Health Organ 2001; 79(4): 373-4.
 [PMID: 11357217]
- [12] Qureshi G, Brown R, Salciccioli L, et al. Relationship between aortic atherosclerosis and non-invasive measures of arterial stiffness. Atherosclerosis 2007; 195(2): e190-4.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2007.06.021] [PMID: 17678931]
- O'Rourke MF. Wave travel and reflection in the arterial system. J Hypertens Suppl 1999; 17(5): S45-7.
 [PMID: 10706326]
- [14] Davies JI, Struthers AD. Pulse wave analysis and pulse wave velocity: a critical review of their strengths and weaknesses. J Hypertens 2003; 21(3): 463-72.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00004872-200303000-00004] [PMID: 12640232]
- [15] Yasmin, Brown MJ. Similarities and differences between augmentation index and pulse wave velocity in the assessment of arterial stiffness. QJM 1999; 92(10): 595-600.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/qjmed/92.10.595] [PMID: 10627881]

- [16] Hopkins KD, Lehmann ED, Jones RL, Turay RC, Gosling RG. A family history of NIDDM is associated with decreased aortic distensibility in normal healthy young adult subjects. Diabetes Care 1996; 19(5): 501-3. [http://dx.doi.org/10.2337/diacare.19.5.501] [PMID: 8732717]
- [17] ter Avest E, Holewijn S, Bredie SJ, van Tits LJ, Stalenhoef AF, de Graaf J. Pulse wave velocity in familial combined hyperlipidemia. Am J Hypertens 2007; 20(3): 263-9.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.amjhyper.2006.09.015] [PMID: 17324737]
- [18] McEleavy OD, McCallum RW, Petrie JR, et al. Higher carotid-radial pulse wave velocity in healthy offspring of patients with Type 2 diabetes. Diabet Med 2004; 21(3): 262-6. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-5491.2004.01127.x] [PMID: 15008837]
- [19] Rajzer MW, Klocek M, Kawecka-Jaszcz K, *et al.* Aortic pulse wave velocity in young normotensives with a family history of hypertension. J Hypertens 1999; 17(12 Pt 2): 1821-4.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00004872-199917121-00006] [PMID: 10703874]
- [20] Yan LX, Li Y, Zhao LC, et al. Profile and associations of carotid femoral pulse wave velocity in a community-based Beijing population of middle and old age. Zhonghua Xin Xue Guan Bing Za Zhi 2008; 36(12): 1120-4. [PMID: 19134283]
- [21] Willum-Hansen T, Staessen JA, Torp-Pedersen C, *et al.* Prognostic value of aortic pulse wave velocity as index of arterial stiffness in the general population. Circulation 2006; 113(5): 664-70.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.105.579342] [PMID: 16461839]
- [22] Noon JP, Trischuk TC, Gaucher SA, Galante S, Scott RL. The effect of age and gender on arterial stiffness in healthy Caucasian Canadians. J Clin Nurs 2008; 17(17): 2311-7. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2702.2007.02155.x] [PMID: 18537854]
- [23] Janner JH, Godtfredsen NS, Ladelund S, Vestbo J, Prescott E. Aortic augmentation index: reference values in a large unselected population by means of the SphygmoCor device. Am J Hypertens 2010; 23(2): 180-5. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ajh.2009.234] [PMID: 19959999]
- [24] O'Rourke M. Arterial stiffness, systolic blood pressure, and logical treatment of arterial hypertension. Hypertension 1990; 15(4): 339-47. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/01.HYP.15.4.339] [PMID: 2180816]
- [25] Roman MJ, Devereux RB, Kizer JR, et al. Central pressure more strongly relates to vascular disease and outcome than does brachial pressure: the Strong Heart Study. Hypertension 2007; 50(1): 197-203. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.107.089078] [PMID: 17485598]
- [26] Chirinos JA, Zambrano JP, Chakko S, *et al.* Relation between ascending aortic pressures and outcomes in patients with angiographically demonstrated coronary artery disease. Am J Cardiol 2005; 96(5): 645-8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.amjcard.2005.04.036] [PMID: 16125487]
- [27] Nichols WW, Denardo SJ, Wilkinson IB, McEniery CM, Cockcroft J, O'Rourke MF. Effects of arterial stiffness, pulse wave velocity, and wave reflections on the central aortic pressure waveform. J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich) 2008; 10(4): 295-303.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-7176.2008.04746.x] [PMID: 18401227]

- [28] Inzucchi SE, Zinman B, Wanner C, et al. SGLT-2 inhibitors and cardiovascular risk: proposed pathways and review of ongoing outcome trials. Diab Vasc Dis Res 2015; 12(2): 90-100. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1479164114559852] [PMID: 25589482]
- [29] Boutouyrie P, Lacolley P, Briet M, et al. Pharmacological modulation of arterial stiffness. Drugs 2011; 71(13): 1689-701. [http://dx.doi.org/10.2165/11593790-00000000-00000] [PMID: 21902292]
- [30] Duvnjak L, Blaslov K. Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors improve arterial stiffness, blood pressure, lipid profile and inflammation parameters in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Diabetol Metab Syndr 2016; 8: 26. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13098-016-0144-6] [PMID: 27006706]
- [31] Papademetriou V, Katsiki N, Doumas M, Faselis C. Halting arterial aging in patients with cardiovascular disease: hypolipidemic and antihypertensive therapy. Curr Pharm Des 2014; 20(40): 6339-49. [http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/1381612820666140620162157] [PMID: 24953392]
- [32] Doonan RJ, Hausvater A, Scallan C, Mikhailidis DP, Pilote L, Daskalopoulou SS. The effect of smoking on arterial stiffness. Hypertens Res 2010; 33(5): 398-410. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/hr.2010.25] [PMID: 20379189]
- [33] Prenner SB, Chirinos JA. Arterial stiffness in diabetes mellitus. Atherosclerosis 2015; 238(2): 370-9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2014.12.023] [PMID: 25558032]
- [34] Katsiki N, Athyros VG, Karagiannis A, Mikhailidis DP. Metabolic syndrome and non-cardiac vascular diseases: an update from human studies. Curr Pharm Des 2014; 20(31): 4944-52.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/1381612819666131206100750] [PMID: 24320038]
- [35] Seifalian AM, Filippatos TD, Joshi J, Mikhailidis DP. Obesity and arterial compliance alterations. Curr Vasc Pharmacol 2010; 8(2): 155-68. [http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/157016110790886956] [PMID: 20180777]

© Doupis et al.; Licensee Bentham Open.

This is an open access article licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial 4.0 International Public License (CC BY-NC 4.0) (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/legalcode), which permits unrestricted, non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the work is properly cited.