Thermogravimetric Study of Oxide Spallation for Chromium-Rich Cast Cobalt-Based and Iron-Based Alloys Oxidized at High Temperature
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Abstract: During temperature cycles, metallic alloys for high temperature applications are usually oxidized with formation of an external protective oxide scale, but they loose it during the cooling. This problem of oxide spallation can be studied by specific tests of cyclic oxidation but first indications can be provided by analyzing the cooling parts of thermogravimetry curves. This possibility was studied in this work for simple {Co or Fe} – 30 wt.% Cr alloys containing between 0 and 0.8 wt.% of carbon, and for two of these alloys after addition of about 5 wt.% of tantalum. These alloys, elaborated by foundry process, were exposed to oxidation at 1000, 1100 or 1200°C for 50 hours, with recording of their mass gain during heating, isothermal dwell and cooling. The analysis of the cooling part of the thermogravimetry files allowed specifying several data characterizing the spallation of the external oxide, such as the temperature at which the phenomenon begins and the rate with which the mass decreases because of the loss of oxide. Metallographic data about oxidation and spallation were obtained by examination of cross-sections of the oxidized samples. Oxide spallation, characterized by its beginning’s temperature and its corresponding mass loss rate, was obviously more severe for the cobalt alloys than for the iron ones, for the carbon-free alloys than for carbides-containing ones and for tantalum-containing alloys than for the tantalum-free ones. Most of these effects were explained.
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INTRODUCTION

When they are used at high temperature metallic materials are generally subjected to oxidation which can occur externally as well as internally. The external oxide scale formed on surface becomes continuous after a more or less long time, and then it reduces the rate of oxidation since the latter is now governed by diffusion of ionic species (O²⁻ anions or metallic cations) through the scale [1]. Oxidation becomes slower and slower and the kinetic is of the parabolic type since it obeys the Wagner’s law [2]. When elements such as aluminum or chromium are present with sufficient amounts, the continuous oxide layer separating bulk and external gas is stoichiometric (respectively Al₂O₃ or Cr₂O₃) [3] and the rate of oxidation is considerably lowered since diffusion of ions through the external oxide, in which ionic vacancies and interstitial ions are only the Frenkel and Schottky defects, is very slow. This is the reason why chromium or aluminum is added to the superalloys destined to work at very high temperature [4]. More precisely, in the case of chromium, which is the element added to the alloys studied in this work, it is usually considered that its content must be at least 20wt.% for the nickel-based alloys and the iron-based alloys to favor the chromia-forming behavior, while cobalt-based alloys must contain at least 30wt.%Cr to be also chromia-former.

Thus, the deterioration by high temperature oxidation can be prevented by choosing sufficiently high contents in chromium or aluminum in the chemical composition of the alloy. Unfortunately, the oxide growth sometimes leads to the appearance of internal stresses in the oxide scale which may involve detachments and breakdowns of this protective scale. Such phenomena occur almost always when the temperature of the alloy falls down after oxidation on long times, as encountered in cyclic oxidation as well as after a single cooling (illustrating examples in Fig. 1). The loss of the external oxide constrains the alloy to develop a new continuous external oxide when it is heated again, from the outer part of the alloy which was previously impoverished in Cr by the development of the first chromia scale. Then the alloy can be more threatened by a possible loss of its chromia-forming behavior. This is especially true for cobalt alloys because of the high quantity of chromium that they must contain for being chromia-former, but also for alloys based on nickel and/or iron since several weight percent of chromium can be lost in their first hundreds μm from surface because of a previous oxidation.

Thermogravimetry is more destined for specifying the oxidation rates in isothermal conditions, than for analyzing the following oxide spallation. Nevertheless this technique was successfully used in numerous previous works for characterizing oxide spallation, for example, among the most recent studies, in the case of refractory austenitic steels [5, 6] or of alumina-forming nickel alloys [7] thermally cycled.

The purpose of the present work is to characterize this oxide spallation during cooling after isothermal oxidation, by exploiting the cooling parts of thermogravimetry curves.
concerning ternary alloys, of the type \{Co or Fe, 30wt.%Cr and 0-0.8C, in weight percent\}, which can be considered as simple versions of real industrial alloys for high temperature applications. The cases of a Co-based alloy and of a Fe-based alloy both containing 30wt.% Cr and 0.4wt.%C with also several weight percents of tantalum, will be also examined to reveal a possible effect of this other element on the oxide spallation phenomenon.

**MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY**

The five studied cobalt-based alloys are a binary Co-30 wt.%Cr, three ternary Co-30 wt.%Cr alloys containing 0.2, 0.4 or 0.8 wt.%C, and a quaternary alloy the composition of which is Co(bal.)-30Cr-0.4C-5Ta (all contents in weight percents). The five studied iron-based alloys are a Fe-30Cr alloy, three Fe-30wt.%Cr alloys with also 0.2, 0.4 and 0.8wt.%C, and a Fe-29Cr-0.4C-6Ta (in wt.%) alloy. They were all elaborated by High Frequency (HF) induction foundry under an inert atmosphere (three hundreds millibars of pure argon), using pure elements (purity higher than 99% in mass); Co, Fe, Cr and Ta (Alfa Aesar), and C (pure graphite). Fusion and solidification were performed in the water-cooled copper crucible of the HF induction furnace (CE-LES), and compact ingots of about 100 g were obtained. The microstructures of the alloys are composed of a dendritic matrix which contains the base element and a part of the chromium (and carbon) atoms, and of interdendritic Cr23C6 carbides (identified by microprobe), for both the ternary cobalt alloys and iron alloys. The binary alloys are single phased and the carbides of the tantalum-containing alloys are only TaC (controlled by microprobe).

All the ingots were cut in order to obtain parallelepipeds of about 10 mm × 10 mm × 3 mm. They were polished with SiC grit paper (grade 1200) on their six faces and their edges and corners were smoothed with the same paper. The thermogravimetry runs were performed using a Setaram TGA-92 thermobalance, in a flow of 1.5 L h⁻¹ of industrial air (80 % N₂ – 20% O₂). The heating rates and cooling rates were equal to respectively 20 K min⁻¹ and -5 K min⁻¹, and the temperatures of the 50 hours – dwells were 1000, 1100 and 1200 °C.

After return to room temperature, the oxidized samples were firstly covered by a thin layer of gold (by cathode-polarized technique), then by a thick nickel layer (by electrolytic reduction of Ni²⁺ ions on cathode-polarized samples immersed in a Watts’ solution). Thereafter the samples were cut without additional loss of the external oxide thanks to the mechanically resistant Ni-layer previously deposited. The two halves of each sample were embedded in a {resin + hardener} mixture, polished firstly with SiC papers (grades from 120 to 1200), and secondly with alumina 1μm particles. The metallographic examinations of the mounted and polished samples were done using a Scanning Electrons Microscope (SEM, type: Philips XL30) in Back Scattered Electrons mode (BSE) with an acceleration voltage of 20 kV. Bulk, sub-surface and oxide layers were observed. The identification of the different oxides, which could be of different types (CoO, Cr₂O₃, CoCr₂O₄ for the cobalt alloys, different iron oxides, Cr₂O₃ or (Fe, Cr)₂O₃ for the iron alloys, Cr₇TaO₁₄ when tantalum is present in the alloy), and the determination of their chemical compositions, were achieved using the Energy Dispersion Spectrometry device (EDS, Kevex) of the SEM, and by Wavelength Dispersion Spectrometry (WDS, Cameca SX50 and SX100).

The mass gain results were drawn in the \{Δm/S versus T\} scheme, instead of the \{Δm/S versus time or square root of time\} schemes, since attention was here focused on the mass losses during the post-dwell cooling, and not on the kinetic of isothermal oxidation. In order to correctly interpret the cooling parts of the curves a thermogravimetric run was performed in the same conditions as the real tests, but with a sample made of an inert material (Al₂O₃) and the volume of which was similar to the studied samples. More precisely this allowed better knowing the apparent mass variations only due to the increase in Archimedes’ thrust when the temperature decreases. This led to the mass gain curve displayed in Fig. (2A) and enlarged in Fig. (2B), in which one can see the apparent increase in mass during heating, the isothermal loss due to the slow volatilization of the hottest part of the platinum suspension (here during 10 hours at 1300°C), and finally the decrease in mass during cooling. The last one is initially slow and linear (between 1300°C and almost 500°C) but it accelerates more and more during the cooling between 500°C and the ambient temperature. If the low temperature part of the heating curve was more or less perturbed by the thermal equilibrium which was gradually established at the beginning of the experiment, the acceleration of the decrease in mass under about 500°C in the cooling curve is conform to the loss of the “linearity” (evolution almost linear versus T if T is far enough from T₀) of the expression of the apparent mass of the sample and its suspension (in the hottest zone where the temperature is T):

\[
m_{\text{app}}(T) = m_{\text{app}}(T_0) + V \times ρ(T_0) \times \left( \frac{T - T_0}{T} \right)
\]
with $T_0$: the ambient temperature, $V$: the volume of the sample and of the hottest part of its suspension and $\rho(T_0)$: the volume mass of air at $T_0$. This acceleration of mass loss (average mass loss rate equal to about -0.4 g/cm$^2$/°C between 500°C and ambient temperature, four times higher than for the linear decrease at $T > 500°C$) must be compared to the mass loss rates in the same temperatures range observed for oxidized samples.

![Fig. (2). Mass variations due to the Archimedes’ thrust during heating and during cooling: (A) revealed by a test with an Al$_2$O$_3$ sample with the same geometry as a real alloy sample, and plotted with the same mass gain scale as for the real alloys when tested until 1000°C; (B) enlargement of the previous graph for revealing initial variations due to a thermal equilibrium not yet established at the beginning of the heating part, and measurement of the mass increase rates (divided by 2 cm$^2$, typical average surface of the alloy samples) (same thermobalance as for the alloy samples, heating rate and cooling rate: +20K min$^{-1}$ and -5K min$^{-1}$, dwell at 1300°C during 10 hours, volatilization of the hottest part of the platinum wires).](image)

Finally, the thermogravimetric curves that may be observed when plotted in the $\Delta m/S$ versus $T$ scheme can be represented by one of the schematic drawings given in the Fig. (3) which also summarizes the commentaries that can be done about the different parts of the curves.

![Fig. (3). Typical curves which can be encountered: (a$_1$) apparent increase in mass at heating due to the decrease in Archimedes’ thrust, (a$_2$) acceleration due to the addition of a real mass gain resulting from the starting transient oxidation, (b) isothermal mass gain essentially due to parabolic oxidation, (c$_1$ and c$_1'$) mass gain due to oxidation going on at the beginning of cooling and still more important that the decrease in mass due to the increase in Archimedes’ thrust, (c$_2$) apparent mass loss due to the increase in Archimedes’ thrust and/or (c$_2'$) jump due to oxide bending followed by a new rapid oxidation, (d) fast mass loss either simply caused by the acceleration of the increase in Archimedes’ thrust at low temperature, or really due to spallation with a mass loss added to the first one.](image)

**RESULTS**

The curves representing the variation of the mass gain per surface unit ($\Delta m/S$) versus temperature are given for all the tantalum-free alloys in Fig. (4) for the tests performed at a dwell temperature equal to 1000°C, in Fig. (5) for 1100°C and in Fig. (6) for 1200°C. The curves corresponding to the tantalum-containing alloys are presented in Fig. (7A) for 1000°C, Fig. (7B) for 1100°C and Fig. (7C) for 1200°C, in which the curves of the tantalum-free alloys with the same carbon contents are added again for comparison. The parameters of the curves which will be used thereafter to quantitatively characterize spallation are presented by some examples in Fig. (5A) in the case of the Co30Cr oxidized at 1100°C.

Generally, the tests started with an apparent slow increase in mass which was only due to the decrease in Archimedes’ thrust because of the heating of the oxidizing gas surrounding the sample. When the temperature was high enough, oxidation started and induced an additional increase in mass. During the dwell at the temperature targeted for the test, oxidation was initially fast because of the transient linear oxidation, then the latter was rapidly replaced by parabolic oxidation, the rate of which decreased according to the Wagner’s law. Oxidation (and mass gain) went on at the beginning of cooling, and after a sufficient cooling, the effect of the increasing Archimedes’ thrust became more important than the mass gain due to oxidation which slowed down. Then, the measured sample mass decreased, firstly slowly then it fell more or less suddenly, with a more or less
Fig. (4). $\Delta m/S$ plotted versus temperature, from results obtained at $1000^\circ$C for the low carbon (0 and 0.2 wt.%, A) and high carbon (0.4 and 0.8 wt.%, B) alloys.

high mass loss rate. In contrast, in few cases the cooling part of the curve was affected by a more or less sudden jump (increase in mass gain) induced by a more or less important detachment of the oxide scale which was responsible to a new fast oxidation of the underlying denuded alloy.

Plotting, versus the carbon content, the mass gain obtained at the end of dwell for all alloys and all dwell temperatures together, allows seeing first that the isothermal oxidation was naturally faster when the dwell temperature was higher (Fig. 8A, B). The isothermal mass gain was also higher for the Ta-free cobalt alloys than for the Ta-free iron alloys for $1200^\circ$C and for the Co30Cr alloy than for the Fe30Cr alloy at $1100^\circ$C, while there are no significant differences in the other cases. The binary Co-based alloy obviously oxidized significantly faster than the ternary Co-based alloys at the two highest temperatures, except the alloy with $0.8%C$ which underwent at $1200^\circ$C a much faster oxidation. In contrast, the iron alloys did not show significant differences of behavior for the different carbon contents. Except for the cobalt alloys at $1200^\circ$C, the Ta-containing alloys were generally oxidized slightly faster than the Ta-free alloys with the same carbon content.

Oxide spallation during the cooling, revealed in the thermogravimetric curves by a mass loss rate significantly higher than for the apparent mass loss due to the Archimedes’ thrust increase (and sometimes also by an irregular cooling part of the curve), obviously occurred for the binary Co30Cr and Fe30Cr for all temperatures of dwell, for all alloys previously oxidized at $1200^\circ$C (except two of the Fe-based alloys) and for the two Ta-containing alloys after oxidation at $1100$ or $1200^\circ$C. For the cobalt alloys (Fig. 9A), there is no real or systematic relations between on the one hand the decrease in 0.8%C which underwent at $1200^\circ$C a much faster oxidation. In contrast, the iron alloys did not show significant differences of behavior for the different carbon contents. Except for the cobalt alloys at $1200^\circ$C, the Ta-containing alloys were generally oxidized slightly faster than the Ta-free alloys with the same carbon content.

Fig. (5). $\Delta m/S$ plotted versus temperature, from results obtained at $1100^\circ$C for the low carbon (0 and 0.2 wt.%, A) and high carbon (0.4 and 0.8 wt.%, B) alloys.
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Fig. (6). \( \Delta m/S \) plotted versus temperature, from results obtained at 1200°C for the low carbon (0 and 0.2 wt.\% A) and high carbon (0.4 and 0.8 wt.\% B) alloys.

Fig. (7A). \( \Delta m/S \) plotted versus temperature, from results obtained at 1000°C for the medium carbon (0.4 wt.\%) alloys and the tantalum-containing medium carbon alloys.

Fig. (7B). \( \Delta m/S \) plotted versus temperature, from results obtained at 1100°C for the medium carbon (0.4 wt.\%) alloys and the tantalum-containing medium carbon alloys.

temperature from the dwell before spallation, and on the other hand the temperature of the isothermal dwell or the carbon content of the alloy (for 1200°C). For the iron-based alloys (Fig. 9B), in contrast, the decrease in temperature before spallation seems to be more important for a high temperature of isothermal dwell than for a lower temperature of dwell. In the two families of alloys, the presence of tantalum seems having a systematic effect since the decrease in temperature before spallation is generally lower for the Ta-containing alloys than for the Ta-free ones, as is to say spallation occurred earlier during cooling when Ta was present than when there was no tantalum in the alloys. Concerning the mass loss rates, they are much higher for the cobalt alloys (Fig. 10A) than for the iron alloys (Fig. 10B) while these rates seem to be lowered by the presence of tantalum.

By considering the cobalt alloys oxidized at 1200°C notably, it seems that the total mass gain at the end of the isothermal dwell and the two characteristics of spallation (decrease in temperature and mass loss rate) could be correlated. Thus, it is interesting to plot also the decrease in temperature before spallation and the mass loss rate, versus the total mass gain at the dwell’s end, what is done respectively in Fig. (11A, B) (of course only for the alloys affected by spallation). For the cobalt alloys the decrease in temperature before spallation increases with the mass gain (about 10°C per mg/cm\(^2\) for the tantalum-free cobalt alloys). In contrast, there is no obvious dependence for the iron alloys. However, in the two cases, the decrease in temperature before spallation seems to be significantly decreased by the presence of tantalum in the iron alloys while there is no obvious effect in the case of the cobalt alloys. When the mass loss rates are
Fig. (7C). Δm/S plotted versus temperature, from results obtained at 1200°C for the medium carbon (0.4 wt.%) alloys and the tantalum-containing medium carbon alloys.

Fig. (8). Mass gain at the end of dwell for the cobalt alloys (A) and the iron alloys (B) plotted versus the carbon weight content of the alloys.

Fig. (9). Decrease in temperature from the dwell temperature before the beginning of spallation, for the cobalt-alloys (A) and the iron-based alloys (B).

considered one can see a more evident dependence on the mass gain at the end of the dwell: indeed, for all alloys taken together, the mass loss rate increases when the mass gain at dwell’s end, with a slope of about $3 \times 10^{-3}$ mg/cm²/°C by mg/cm². Similarly to the decrease in temperature before spallation, tantalum seems lowering the mass loss rates of the iron alloys while it does not induce any effect for the cobalt alloys.
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Fig. (10). Mass loss rate due to oxide spallation, for the cobalt-based alloys (A) and the iron-based alloys (B).

The selected BSE micrographs displayed in Fig. (12) for the cobalt alloys, and in Fig. (13) for the iron alloys, result from SEM examinations of the oxidized surfaces, while the natures of the different oxides were controlled by WDS measurements of their chemical compositions. Concerning the cobalt-based alloys, several surfaces states were noticed. Few external oxides still exist over the Co-30Cr sample and they are rather Cr₂O₃. They are more numerous over the Co-30Cr-0.2C sample (Cr₂O₃ + CoO), while many oxides exists over the Co-30Cr-0.4C and Co-30Cr-0.8C samples for which an outer waved Cr₂O₃ layer remains more or less attached to an inner adherent CoO scale. At 1100°C, the Co-30Cr alloy obviously underwent a catastrophic oxidation into porous spinel oxides which can be still noticed in several locations on the metallographic sample. For the Co-30Cr-0.2C some detached Cr₂O₃ outer oxides were present over adherent CoO oxides in other locations. For the two C-richest alloys, the external oxide scale is almost present and adherent everywhere, but it is composed of different types of oxides (CoO, Cr₂O₃ and sometimes small parts of spinel). The samples oxidized at 1200°C all present thick spinel oxides, more or less lost during cooling.

Concerning the iron-based alloys, there are much less differences between the alloys and between the test temperatures. Indeed, a (Fe, Cr)₂O₃ external oxide can be seen all
Fig. (12). Some SEM/BSE micrographs illustrating the surface states of the Co-based alloys after oxidation at 1100°C during 50 hours and cooling at -5°C min⁻¹ down to room temperature (A: Co30Cr, B: Co30Cr0.2C, C: Co30Cr0.4C, D: Co30Cr0.8C, E: Co30Cr0.4C+Ta).

Fig. (13). Some SEM/BSE micrographs illustrating the surface states of the Fe-based alloys after oxidation at 1100°C during 50 hours and cooling at -5°C min⁻¹ down to room temperature (A: Fe30Cr, B: Fe30Cr0.2C, C: Fe30Cr0.4C, D: Fe30Cr0.8C, E: Fe30Cr0.4C+Ta).
around all samples, more or less thick, rich in Cr (or in Fe) or detached from the substrate. The Fe content and Cr content both vary in the external M₂O₃ scale along the surface of a same alloy. As for the cobalt alloy, the presence of tantalum also led to an external chromia scale mixed with films of CrTaO₄ oxides and to other CrTaO₄ oxides in the subsurface.

**DISCUSSION**

During the cooling, it is well known that an alloy undergoes a thermal contraction, inverse to the thermal expansion which occurred during the heating before the isothermal dwell. In the heating part of the experiment, this had not real importance for the external oxide since only few dispersed oxides existed on the surface (when the temperature was high enough). In contrast, during the cooling a more or less thick continuous external oxide scale exists and is subjected to increasing mechanical stresses (biaxial compression in the oxide thickness and shear at the oxide-alloy interface) since the thermal expansion coefficients of oxides are usually significantly lower than the alloy’s ones [8, 9]. This may lead to breakdowns and losses of the external oxide [1] as illustrated in Fig. (1, left) or to its local folding (Fig. 1, right) or generalized detachment from the substrate. Such phenomena were clearly seen on the cooling part of the thermographic curves for the alloys of this study. Indeed, folding of the external scale occurred for example in the case of the two ternary cobalt alloys with 0.4 and 0.8wt.%C at 1000°C (jumps of mass gain, Fig. 4B) while more or less continuous spallation of the oxide scale, revealed by mass losses beginning at high temperature or by especially fast mass loss rates (too fast to be due to the increase in Archimedes’ thrust) at lower temperatures. Nevertheless some parts of oxides remained on the surfaces of the oxidized samples and they allowed characterizing their natures.

By their natures as well as by their features, these oxidation products well correspond to classical cases as well as to previous observations done for other alloys belonging to the same families, even if a great part of the scale was lost by spallation and then only its inner parts are still on surface. Indeed, Cr₂O₃ and CoO separately appeared during transient oxidation may have not totally reacted together (e.g. Cr₂O₃+CoO -> spinel CoCr₂O₄) because of too low test temperatures and duration [1, 10]. External continuous Cr₂O₃ and internal CrTaO₄ were already met in chromia-forming TaC-containing cobalt-based and iron based alloys (e.g. [11, 12]), and external (Fe, Cr)₂O₃ scales with varying contents in Fe and Cr were also encountered for chromium-containing iron-based alloys [10, 13].

Fast oxidation with spinel CoCr₂O₄ formation, with consequently especially high mass gains at the end of isothermal dwell occurred at the two highest temperatures for the tantalum-free cobalt alloys with the two extreme contents in carbon. The binary Co30Cr was obviously victim of the difficulty of chromium diffusion which is less easy in volume for a cobalt matrix than for example for a nickel matrix, and which was not helped by the grain boundaries diffusion because of the absence of intergranular chromium carbides. This chromium diffusion along grain boundaries was seemingly easier for the medium-carbon alloys, while, for the carbon-richest alloy the precipitation at solidification of a too high fraction of chromium carbides had seemingly too decreased the chromium concentration in the matrix, with consequently the loss of its chromia-forming behavior. The presence of tantalum, in contrast, allowed keeping all chromium in the matrix since the single type of carbides was TaC, the presence of which in grain boundaries nevertheless helped diffusion. However, it is true that internal oxidation of tantalum was in addition responsible of slightly increased mass gains. Tantalum similarly acted in the case of the Fe-based alloys, which were less threatened by fast oxidation anyway, thanks to the (Cr, Fe)₂O₃ external oxide.

About the parameters influencing the spallation phenomenon for the studied alloys, it appears that they are multiple: (1) the base element, (2) the presence or not of carbides, (3) the presence or not of another very oxidable element, (4) the temperature at which the isothermal oxidation was realized and (5) the quantity of oxide formed prior to cooling.

1. It was effectively seen first that, when spallation begins the mass loss rate was higher for the cobalt alloys than for the iron alloys. This can be related to differences of thermal expansion (or contraction) coefficient (α) between the two families [14]: the thermal contraction of Fe-based alloys (α of about 15 x 10⁻⁶ K⁻¹) is significantly slower than for the similar Co-based alloys (about 20 x 10⁻⁶ K⁻¹). The behaviors of Fe-30Cr-xC alloys in thermal expansion, and in thermal contraction, are then closer to the oxides’ ones (about 10 x 10⁻⁶ K⁻¹), with therefore less compression stress induced in the external oxide scale. But this had here no real consequences for the decrease in temperature before spallation.

2. The carbide-free binary alloys were subjected to spallation in all cases, contrarily to the carbides-containing alloys which encountered spallation only at the highest or at the two highest temperatures. There are two possible reasons for that: a thermal contraction slightly lower when carbides are present, or a better adherence of the oxide on surface due to a higher tendency for a more internal oxidation in the grain boundaries emerging in surface.

3. The effect of spallation of such elements more easily oxidable than chromium was already studied in previous studies notably concerning iron alloys [15]. Here it was seen that selective oxidation of tantalum led to the presence of another type of oxide in the outer part of the Ta-containing alloys, CrTaO₄, which separated the external oxide from the alloys, with seemingly a worse adherence of the oxide scale responsible to both an earlier spallation’s beginning at cooling and a higher mass loss rate. Obviously, for a same initial mass of oxide on the sample surface, tantalum influenced more the iron alloys than the cobalt alloys.

4. and (5): a high temperature of isothermal oxidation and a bad behavior in oxidation both led to thicker oxide scales before cooling, which are logically more threatened by spallation thereafter: this can result in an earlier spallation (lower decrease in temperature before start of spallation) and in a faster mass loss.
CONCLUSION

Spallation of external oxide scales at {post - high temperature oxidation} cooling is a serious problem for high temperature alloys. This phenomenon is often characterized using specific experiments (cyclic oxidation). However, if thermogravimetry results of isothermal oxidation kinetic are available, the cooling parts of such curves can give preliminary useful quantitative indications (temperature of spallation start, mass loss rate, …) concerning the sequences of spallation which led to the absence, or to the pleating and local detachments, of the external oxide scales as it can be seen around the samples before or after metallographic preparation. By this mean some interesting comparisons were here possible to do between ternary cobalt-based alloys and analogous alloys based on iron, with or without addition of tantalum.
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