Metal-Oxicam Coordination Compounds: Structure, Biological Activity and Strategies for Administration
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Abstract: The crystal structures for metal-oxicam compounds published since the year 2000 are listed and commented in this review. The structural properties are interpreted in order to look for characteristics that might be significant for possible biological activities, for innovative strategies aimed to administering the metal based drugs in vivo. The relevant biological studies are also listed and commented. The number of structural reports on metal-oxicam compounds that were published up to the year 2000 was five, whereas the number of X-ray structures for the same class of compounds published since then is fifteen. The efforts carried out on the field during the past decade increased much and involved several research groups all over the world. The predominant metal was CuII, but interest on SnIV increased too, whereas the interest on PtII continued for compounds derived from Zeise’s salt. Other metals linked to oxicam ligands were investigated. The X-ray structural studies until ca ten years ago involved metal-piroxicam compounds, only; instead, during these past ten years also metal-meloxicam, -tenoxicam, -isoxicam, and -lornoxicam were studied and reported. The reactivity of cin-noxicam with copper(II)-acetate was also studied and reported. The coordination arrangement around CuII showed a variety of modes that include pseudo-octahedral, -square planar, -square pyramidal. The oxicam coordination mode depends on the ligand, the reaction media and experimental conditions. The ligands usually occupy the equatorial positions of the coordination sphere and assume ZZZ conformations in the case they are in the anionic form (HOXI-).
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1. INTRODUCTION

Non-Steroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs (NSAIDs) from the oxicam family (See Scheme I for selected formulas) are still widely used to cure inflammations, and rheumatisms in the humans [1-4]. Several reports claimed that they have beneficial effects in preventing some forms of cancers when administered for prolonged periods [5-7]. Metal-NSAIDs complexes have been investigated for their improved curative effects in humans and animals [7]. Based on this rationale the search for metal-oxicam compounds was continued during recent years with renewed impetus, new data were collected on biological activity and new strategies for administering the new complexes were investigated and reported.

Reviews on metal-anti-inflammatory drugs have recently been published [7,8]. The field “anti-inflammatory compounds as ligands in metal complexes as revealed in X-ray structural studies” was reviewed and reported from this laboratory [9].

In the present review we wish to list and comment on the structures of metal-oxicam compounds that were not published at the time the review in Ref. [9] was written. Some biological properties of metal-oxicam complexes and possible innovative methods aimed to administer the metal based potential drugs in vivo are also commented. The compounds are classified into two parts: (a) organo-metal compounds (that contain at least a metal-carbon bond), and (b) Werner coordination compounds.

2. ORGANO-METAL COMPOUNDS

A list of compounds published after the year 2000, not reported in the review in Ref. [9], as well as of selected major information for their preparation is reported in Table 1.

2.1. Synthetic Procedures

The crystalline organo-SnIV compounds [SnIV(O,N,N’-TEN)(n-Bu)2], 1 [10] and [SnIV(O,N,N’-LOR)(R)2], (R = n-Bu, 3; R = Me, 4) [11] were usually obtained by refluxing a solution of the relevant oxicam ligand and SnIVR2O (Sn:Et2O, 1:1 molar ratio, in benzene). The clear solutions were brought to dryness and the residues were re-dissolved in MeOH (1) or hexane (3) or Et2O (4). On slowly evaporating the solvent the pale yellow crystalline compounds that formed were collected through filtration. In the synthesis of 3 and 4 the yellow solid that formed was dissolved in Et2O and the procedure described above was repeated twice. Finally, single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction (XRD) studies were obtained via re-crystallization from mixtures of solvents (MeOH/CH3CN, 1; Et2O/CH2Cl2, 3; C6H12/C6H6, 4).

Noteworthy, Ref. [10] reports the preparation of [SnIV(HTEN)(n-Bu)2], 2, by following a procedure similar to that for 1 but using a H2TEN:Sn, 2:1 molar ratio in the
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Scheme 1. The drawings show the structural formulas for: (a) H$_2$ISO, (b) H$_2$MEL, (c) H$_2$PIR, (d) H$_2$TEN, (e) H$_2$LOR, (f) HCN, (g) PPA, (h) MBT.

Table 1. Crystalline Metal-Oxicam Compounds Commented in this Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>Preparation Medium</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>CSD Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Organometal compounds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="n-Bu">Sn$^{iv}$($O,N'$-TEN)$</a>$_2$, 1</td>
<td>C$_6$H$_5$/CH$_3$/OH/CH$_2$/CN</td>
<td>[10]</td>
<td>C81V7A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="n-Me">Sn$^{iv}$($O,N'$-LOR)$</a>$_2$, 3</td>
<td>C$_6$H$_5$/C$_6$H$_5$/O/CH$_2$/CH$_2$/Cl$_2$</td>
<td>[11]</td>
<td>C81V8A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="n-Bu">Sn$^{iv}$($O,N'$-LOR)$</a>$_2$, 4</td>
<td>C$_6$H$_5$/C$_6$H$_5$/O/CH$_2$/CH$_2$/H$_2$</td>
<td>[11]</td>
<td>C81V9A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trans-<a href="%CE%B7$_2$-C$_6$H$_6$">Pr$^{iv}$($O'$-H$_2$TEN)$_2$Cl$_2$</a>$_2$, 5</td>
<td>C$_6$H$_5$/OH/CH$_2$/H$_2$</td>
<td>[12]</td>
<td>C81VA0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trans-<a href="%CE%B7$_2$-C$_6$H$_6$">Pr$^{iv}$($O'$-H$_2$MEL)$_2$Cl$_2$</a>$_2$, 6</td>
<td>C$_6$H$_5$/OH/CHCl</td>
<td>[12]</td>
<td>C81VA1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cis-<a href="%CE%B7$_2$-C$_6$H$_6$">Sn$^{iv}$($O',O'$-PIR)$_2$</a>$_2$, 7</td>
<td>H$_2$/O/CH$_2$/OH/CH$_2$/CN</td>
<td>[13]</td>
<td>C81VA2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Werner complexes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trans-<a href="O-DMSO">Co$^{iv}$($O,N'$-HMEL)$_2$</a>$_2$, 8</td>
<td>C$_6$H$_5$/OH/(CH$_2$/SO</td>
<td>[14]</td>
<td>C81VA3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trans-<a href="O-DMSO">Zn$^{iv}$($O,N'$-HMEL)$_2$</a>$_2$, 9</td>
<td>C$_6$H$_5$/OH/(CH$_2$/SO</td>
<td>[14]</td>
<td>C81VA4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trans-<a href="O-DMSO">Cd$^{iv}$($O,N'$-HMEL)$_2$</a>$_2$, 10</td>
<td>C$_6$H$_5$/OH/(CH$_2$/SO</td>
<td>[14]</td>
<td>C81VA5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trans-[Cd$^{iv}$($O,N'$-HTE$_2$)(O-DMSO)$_2$, 11</td>
<td>C$_6$H$_5$/OH/(CH$_2$/SO</td>
<td>[12]</td>
<td>C81VA6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trans-<a href="PY">Cu$^{iv}$($O,N'$-HTE)$_2$</a>$_2$, 12</td>
<td>C$_6$H$_5$/OH/(CH$_2$/SO</td>
<td>[15]</td>
<td>C81VA7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="O-DMSO">Cu$^{iv}$($O,N'$-HISO)$_2$</a>$_2$, 13</td>
<td>C$_6$H$_5$/OH/(CH$_2$/SO</td>
<td>[16]</td>
<td>C81VA8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="O-DMSO">Cu$^{iv}$($O,N'$-HMEL)$_2$</a>$_2$, 14</td>
<td>C$_6$H$_5$/OH/(CH$_2$/SO</td>
<td>[16]</td>
<td>C81VA9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trans-[Cu$^{iv}$($O,N'$-MB$_2$)(AS-PPA)$_2$, 15</td>
<td>C$_6$H$_5$/OH/(CH$_2$/SO</td>
<td>[16]</td>
<td>C81VA10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trans-[Cu$^{iv}$($O,N'$-HP$_2$)(O-DMSO)$_2$, 16</td>
<td>C$_6$H$_5$/OH/(CH$_2$/SO</td>
<td>[17]</td>
<td>C81VA11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trans-<a href="O-THF">Cu$^{iv}$($O,N'$-HISO)$_2$</a>$_2$, 17</td>
<td>C$_6$H$_5$/OH/(CH$_2$/SO</td>
<td>[17]</td>
<td>C81VA12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Ref. [18].
starting mixture (benzene). The paper in Ref. [10] states that the slow evaporation of a solution of 2 in MeOH/CH$_3$CN yielded 1 as pale yellow crystals and H$_2$TEN (powder).

The crystalline organo-Pt$^{IV}$ compounds, trans-[Pt$^{IV}$(N$_2$H$_2$O)(H$_2$O)(Sn$_2$Cl$_6$)$_2$] (H$_2$O$_2$H$_2$ = H$_2$TEN, 5; H$_2$O$_2$H$_2$ = H$_2$MEL, 6) [12] were obtained via a general procedure that consisted of mixing the two freshly prepared solutions of the oxicam ligand (in EtOH under stirring at 60°C) and of K[PtCl$_6$](η$_5$-C$_5$H$_5$)$_2$; H$_2$O (Zeise’s salt, in EtOH at room temperature) and on stirring the final mixture at room temperature. A pale yellow micro-crystalline solid precipitates that was collected and finally re-crystallized from benzene (5) and from chloroform (6).

The crystalline organo-Sn$^{IV}$ compound, cis-[Sn$^{IV}$($O$O$'$-PIR)$_2$(η$_5$-C$_5$H$_5$)$_2$] (7) [13] was prepared by adding a suspension of H$_2$PIR in water to a solution of KOH (1:1 molar ratio), and the resulting solution was mixed with Sn$^{IV}$Cl$_2$H$_2$ in MeOH under stirring (Sn:HPIR, molar ratio 1:2). The crude solid product was re-crystallized from MeOH/CH$_3$CN that produced single crystals of the acetonitrile solvate of 7.

To summarize, the number of organo-metal compounds that contain any NSAIDs from the oxicam family so far reported is small; notwithstanding, the synthetic strategies just listed are easy to perform, and produce crystalline materials in high yields. It is reasonable to expect that attempts to prepare other complexes from the oxicam ligands with other metal cations will be successful. Forthcoming studies should also be devoted to search for metal compounds significantly soluble in aqueous media in order to allow the determination of biological activity and of reactivity with bio-molecules.

2.2. Structures of the Anti-Inflammatory Drug – Organometal Compounds

2.2.1. The Sn$^{IV}$ Derivatives

The structures of 1 [10], 3 and 4 [11] have a pattern similar to that reported previously for [Sn$^{IV}$($O$O$'$-$LOR$)(n$_2$Bu)$_2$] [19]. The OXI$^-$ anions for the three compounds show a $O$O$'$-$N$-$N'$ coordination mode. Instead, the structure for 7 shows a $O$O$'$ chelating scheme.

2.2.1.1. The Coordination Sphere

The TEN$^{2-}$ ligand for 1 is coordinated to the Sn$^{IV}$ center via enolate oxygen, amidato nitrogen and pyridyl nitrogen atoms (Fig. 1). The two carbon atoms from n-Bu groups complete the five sites of square-pyramidal coordination arrangement around the tin atom. The strong affinity of Sn$^{IV}$ centers for oxygen donors is in agreement with the short Sn-O(enolato) bond distance (2.094(3) Å), that has to be compared to the significantly longer Sn-N(amide) (2.159(3) Å) and Sn-N(pyridyl) (2.426(3) Å) bond distances. A weak Sn…O(amide) interaction (Sn-O, 2.650(4) Å) links a complex molecule to another one, so that chains of (amide)O…Sn-N(amide)-C-O(amide)…Sn bonds exist at the solid state. The Sn-C bond distances (2.117(4) Å, average) are in agreement with other organo-tin bonds and with the corresponding structure for the homologous PIR$^{2-}$ derivative [8,19].

The structure of 4 has Sn-O(enolato), Sn-N(amide), Sn-N(pyridyl) and Sn-C bond distances 2.110(8), 2.137(8), 2.399(8), 2.111(4) Å (average), respectively, that compare well with the values for the analogous species 1 commented above. The Sn…O(amide) contact distance is 2.96(1) Å, much higher than that found for the TEN$^{2-}$ derivative. Therefore, it has to be noted that the formation of aggregates through this type of Sn…O(amide) bond is typical in this class of compound but the strength of the interaction depends on the type of ligand and spans a wide range of contact distances.

In the case of the structure for 3 (Fig. 2) the main coordination arrangement around the tin center is maintained unaltered with respect to 1 and 4. The Sn-O(enolato), Sn-N(amide), Sn-N(pyridyl) and Sn-C bond distances are 2.094(4), 2.119(4), 2.400(4), and 2.107(5) (average) Å, respectively. In this case the associations of complex molecules via Sn…O interaction is very weak; intra-molecular
The bond distances for the atoms of the enolato group are
shorter than those to amide oxygen atoms (2.194(3) Å, average) are significantly
longer than those to amide oxygen atoms (2.105(3) Å, average). The O-Sn-O
bond angles from different ligand molecules are narrow and average 79.7(6)°. Even
the O-Sn-O chelating angles are narrow and average 80.8(6)°. The C-Sn-C and
C-Sn-O(enolato) bond angles are in the range 96.7(6)° – 107.4(6)°.

The structure of 7 (Fig. 3) has the Sn IV metal ion at the
center of an octahedral arrangement that consists of two
HPIR anion chelating via the enolato and amide oxygen
atoms. The amide nitrogen does not act as a donor to the
metal and retains its hydrogen; this is in contrast with what
found for the analogous di-n-butyl derivative. The
coordination sphere is completed by two η 1-phenylate ligands that
occupy cis positions. The coordination linkages to the
enolato oxygen atoms (2.105(3) Å, average) are significantly
shorter than those to amide oxygen atoms (2.194(3) Å, average).
The (enolato)-Sn-O(amide) bond angles from different
ligand molecules are narrow and average 79.7(6)°. Even
the O-Sn-O chelating angles are narrow and average 80.8(6)°. The C-Sn-C and C-Sn-O(enolato) bond angles are in the range 96.7(6)° – 107.4(6)°.

2.2.1.2. The Oxicam Ligand

The OXi I ligands for 1, 3 and 4 have ZZE conformations.
The selected structural parameter for the complexes are listed in Table 2.

The bond distances for the atoms of the enolato-amide-
pyridyl system do not change significantly in the three
complexes, even though the Sn-N(amide) bond distance is
different for 1 and 3, and the Sn-O(amide) inter-molecular
contact distance undergoes significant changes for the three
compounds: 2.650(4) Å, 2.420(1) Å, 2.96(1) Å. Similarly,
the bond angles for the O(amide) bond angles span a narrow range
of 107.8(5) – 108.6(4)° and in agreement with formation of
the four member chelate ring Sn-N(amide)-C2'-N1 and with
the corresponding value found for [Sn IV(Q, O', N-PIR)(n-Bu)]
(108.4(5)°) [19]. The analysis of the torsion angles shows that 3 has an almost planar enolato-amidopyridyl system
(O17-C4-C3-C14, ω17, β0, ω16, β1, β2, 1.5(4)°); whereas, 1 and 4 have a larger deviation from
planarity (ω17, β-7.4(7)° 1 and β-7.0(6)° 4; ω16, -7.2(4)° 1 and
0(6)° 4). The deviation from planarity could be in part due
to the steric hindrance from the bulky n-Bu ligands (1 and 4)
when compared to the smaller Me ligand (3). The torsion
angles at the thieno-thiazine systems are close to each other
for 1, 3, 4. For example angle C9-C8-S1-N2, τ6, is -34.1(4)°
-31.8(5)° 3 and -32.2(7)° 4. The corresponding value for the
benzo-thiazine system C10-C9-S1-N2, τ16, is -36.8(4)°
for [Sn IV(Q, O', N-PIR)(n-Bu)] [19].

The conformation of the HOXI ligands for 7 is the somewhat unusual EZE when compared to the preferred
ZZZ one. It has to be recalled that the preparation was
performed in aqueous solution by mixing H2PIR, Ph3SnCl2 and
KOH in a 2:1:2 molar ratio. It seems that the high affinity of
tin for oxygen atoms when compared to nitrogen donors
plays a significant role in this coordination mode. The bond
distances at the enolato-amidopyridyl system for 7 are close
to those for 1, 3, 4 (once the estimated standard deviations are taken into account). Instead, the bond angles for 7 have significant
differences with respect to the corresponding values
for 1, 3, 4. In fact, C4-C3-C14 undergoes a narrowing from
127.7(4)-129.0(4)° (1, 3, 4) to 123.4(7)° (7); C14-N16-C2'
and N16-C2'-N1” undergo a widening from 122.6(6)-
123.1(5)° (1, 3, 4, 108.6(5)°) to 129.8(7)° (7) and 107.8(6)° - 108.6(5)°
(1, 3, 4); and 113.3(7)° (7). Deviations from planarity
of enolato-amidopyridyl system as measured by the ω6, ω7,
ω15, ω16, τ6 torsion angles are similar to that for the 1, 3, 4 species
and hence higher than those for 3. The ω16 torsion angle has
even close values for 1, 3, 4 and 7.

2.2.1.3. Intra- and Inter-Molecular Weak Interactions

Compounds 1, 3 and 4 show a weak intra-molecular
(pyridyl)C-H...O(amide) H-bond type interaction with C...O contact distances and H distances in the range 2.83(1) –
3.07(1) Å and 116(1) -117(1)°. Short contact distances
between certain H-atoms from the n-Bu chains and the C4-C3-
C14-N16 (plane πs) atoms were detected for 1 and 4. The
orientation of n-Bu chains and several short (C)H...π
linkages occur. It has to be noted that H...π distances
in the range 2.46(1) – 2.76(1) Å could be detected in
organorhodium molecules in both solid solution phases
[20].

It has to be noted that for 7 the commonly found
(seem Werner complexes, below) and stabilizing O(enamele),...H-
N(amide) hydrogen bond is not present. Weak N-
H...N(CHs) hydrogen bonds exist (N...N, 2.70(1) Å; H, 110(2)° average). Noteworthy, the two mean oxicam planes
(that have a head-to-tail arrangement) are tilted towards each
other with respect to the Sn-O lines. The narrow dihedral
angle between the mean planes defined by the non-hydrogen
atoms of enolate-amide-pyridyl functions (54.4(8)°) 7 can be
tentatively related to several intra-molecular effects and possi-
ble even to inter-molecular forces.
The Selected Bond Distances (Å) and Bond Angles (°) within the OXI Compounds. Estimated Standard Deviations are in the Ranges: 1 and 3, 0.004-0.005 Å and 0.4-0.5°; 4 and 7, 0.006-0.007 Å and 0.6-0.7°. The CSD [18] Code is also Reported.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>1, QAJTEA</th>
<th>3, EHIGUX</th>
<th>4, EHIGOR (Average)</th>
<th>7, RAFRIA (Average)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Distances</td>
<td></td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O17-C4</td>
<td>1.309</td>
<td>1.315</td>
<td>1.328</td>
<td>1.301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4-C3</td>
<td>1.371</td>
<td>1.358</td>
<td>1.374</td>
<td>1.379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3-C14</td>
<td>1.474</td>
<td>1.474</td>
<td>1.475</td>
<td>1.445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C14-O15</td>
<td>1.232</td>
<td>1.221</td>
<td>1.248</td>
<td>1.256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C14-N16</td>
<td>1.359</td>
<td>1.365</td>
<td>1.380</td>
<td>1.354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N16-C2'</td>
<td>1.395</td>
<td>1.395</td>
<td>1.400</td>
<td>1.421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angles</td>
<td></td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O17-C4-C3</td>
<td>128.0</td>
<td>127.9</td>
<td>127.0</td>
<td>126.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4-C3-C14</td>
<td>127.7</td>
<td>129.0</td>
<td>128.2</td>
<td>123.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3-C14-O15</td>
<td>120.9</td>
<td>121.4</td>
<td>119.5</td>
<td>123.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3-C14-N16</td>
<td>115.8</td>
<td>115.8</td>
<td>115.0</td>
<td>117.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O15-C14-N16</td>
<td>123.3</td>
<td>122.9</td>
<td>125.3</td>
<td>119.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C14-N16-C2'</td>
<td>123.1</td>
<td>124.1</td>
<td>122.6</td>
<td>129.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N16-C2'-N1'</td>
<td>108.0</td>
<td>108.6</td>
<td>107.8</td>
<td>113.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torsion angles</td>
<td></td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O17-C4-C3-C14, ω17</td>
<td>-6.7</td>
<td>-6.6</td>
<td>-6.7/-7.3</td>
<td>-4.0/-0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O15-C14-N16-C2', ω13</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>112/7.8</td>
<td>10.1/1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C14-N16-C2'-N1’, ω9</td>
<td>-175.2</td>
<td>172.8</td>
<td>173.6/175.7</td>
<td>178.3/168.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4-C3-C14-N16, ω14</td>
<td>-7.2</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>-7.2/-8.5</td>
<td>-169.3/174.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3-C14-N16-C2’, ω8</td>
<td>-171.3</td>
<td>-170.9</td>
<td>-171.1/175.7</td>
<td>-169.7/178.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Attractive C–H⋯π intra-molecular interactions between (N)CH₃ and pyridyl functions are detected, shortest (C–H⋯π 2.72(1) Å. It has to be noted that even repulsive interatomic interactions between Ph atoms and piroxicam atoms as well as other attractive forces between the two HPIR ligands can contribute to the tilting of the two HPIR π mean planes towards each other.

Selected inter-molecular interactions (excluding the Sn…O ones above commented) for 1 are (pyridyl)C-H⋯O(SO₂) interactions (C…O 3.58(1) Å, H 141(2)°). Hydrogen bond type interactions (pyridyl)C-H⋯O(SO₂) contribute to link two complex molecules in 4 (C…O 3.32(1) Å, H 134(2)°). The chlorine atom from LOR in 4 is surrounded by several H-atoms from several groups. The selected H-bonds being (n-Bu)C1-H⋯Cl (C…Cl 3.84(1) Å, H 153(2)°), and (NCH₃)C-H⋯Cl (C…Cl 3.85(1) Å, H 156(2)°). Methyl ligands for 3 are also involved in C-H⋯O(SO₂) H-bond inter-molecular interactions (C…O 3.32(1) Å, H 142(2)°). The sulfur dioxide group is also acceptor from (pyridyl)C-H functions (C…O 3.07(1) Å, H 130(2)°). Weak intra-molecular stacking interactions involve the thieno and pyridyl systems (shortest contact, C…C 3.51(1) Å with a poor overlap of planes for the structure of 1. The structure for 3 has extensive thieno-pyridyl stacking interaction with significant overlap and several short C⋯C contacts (3.54(1) Å). The structure for 7 shows small overlap but short contact (3.45(1) Å) stacking interactions between pyridyl systems.

2.2.2. The PtII Derivatives

The structures for 5 and 6 are similar to those previously reported for the analogous ethene and DMSO [9,21,22] derivatives that contain H₂PIR.

2.2.2.1. The Coordination Sphere

The structure of 5 has the square-planar PtII center linked to a H₂TEN molecule through the pyridyl nitrogen atom and to the π*-ethene molecule (trans to each other). The coordination sphere is completed by the two chlorido donors. The Pt-N1*, Pt-Cl and Pt-C bond distances are 2.078(5), 2.267(2) (average) and 2.151(7) Å (average), respectively.

The structure for 6 is similar to that for the H₂TEN derivative as regards the main features. The coordination sphere includes thiazolyl nitrogen atom, the π*-ethene mole-
cule \((trans)\) to each other) as well as the two chlorido donors. The Pt-N1’, Pt-Cl and Pt-C bond distances are 2.072(3), 2.300(6) (average) and 2.135(7) Å (average), respectively.

2.2.2.2. The Oxicam Ligand

The selected structural parameter for the molecules 5 and 6 are listed in Table 3. The oxicam ligand mean plane is tilted with respect to the coordination plane. In fact, the narrowest Cl-Pt-N1’-C2’ torsion angle is 72.9(3)° for the H₂TEN derivative and 59.6(7)° for the H₂MEL one. The dihedral angle between the N16-pyridyl/N16-thiazolyl mean planes and coordination plane defined by N1’ and Cl donors is 74.4(4)° for 5 and 56.1(8)° for 6 (67.2(4)°, [Pt(N₁’-H₂PILICl₂](η²-C₂H₄)) [21] and 78.5(4)/80.5(4)° for [Pt(N₁’-H₂PILICl₂](DMSO)] [22]).

Table 3. The Selected Bond Distances (Å) and Bond Angles (°) within the H₂OXI Ligands for 5 and 6. Estimated Standard Deviations are in the Ranges: 0.006-0.020 Å and 0.4-1.0°. The CSD [18] Code is also Reported

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>5, UHIMIH</th>
<th>6, UHIMON</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Distances</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O17-C4</td>
<td>1.340</td>
<td>1.359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4-C3</td>
<td>1.363</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3-C14</td>
<td>1.452</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C14-O15</td>
<td>1.226</td>
<td>1.226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C14-N16</td>
<td>1.368</td>
<td>1.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N16-C2’</td>
<td>1.381</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Angles</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O17-C4-C3</td>
<td>123.9</td>
<td>122.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4-C3-C14</td>
<td>121.6</td>
<td>122.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3-C14-O15</td>
<td>122.1</td>
<td>121.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3-C14-N16</td>
<td>113.9</td>
<td>114.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O15-C14-N16</td>
<td>124.0</td>
<td>123.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C14-N16-C2’</td>
<td>129.7</td>
<td>125.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N16-C2’-N1’</td>
<td>114.6</td>
<td>120.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Torsion angles</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O17-C4-C3-C14, th₁</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O15-C14-N16-C2’, th₂</td>
<td>-3.3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C14-N16-C2’-N1’, th₃</td>
<td>-166.7</td>
<td>-159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4-C3-C14-N16, th₄</td>
<td>172.7</td>
<td>-163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3-C14-N16-C2’, th₅</td>
<td>177.8</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl-Pt-N1’-C2’</td>
<td>-72.9</td>
<td>-60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The conformation at the enol-amide-pyridyl chain is EZE for 5 and 6. Corresponding bond lengths and bond angles for the H₂TEN and H₂MEL ligands are equal within the esds. Instead, the torsion angles for the two molecules show significant differences (see Table 3). H₂MEL ligand in 6 is less planar than H₂TEN in 5. Torsion angle \(\omega_3\) (C14-N16-C2’-N1’) deviates significantly from 180° for both compounds; 6 has higher deviation (21(1)°) than for 5 (13.3(5)°). Noteworthy, the deviation for H₂PILICl₂ in [Pt(N₁’-H₂PILICl₂](η²-C₂H₄)] is also high (\(\omega_3\) 28.2(4)° [21]; whereas for H₂PILICl₂ in [Pt(N₁’-H₂PILICl₂](DMSO)] the situation is similar to that for 5. In conclusion the flexibility of the enol-amide-pyridyl/thiazolyl system does not depend much on the nature of H₂OXI ligand but from nature of other ligands and packing forces.

2.2.2.3. Intra- and Inter-Molecular Weak Interactions

The ligand molecule is stabilized via a strong intramolecular O(enol)-H…O(amide) hydrogen bond (O…O 2.633(8) Å and 2.62(2) Å). The Pt...H-N(amide) contact distance is 2.54(1) Å in the complex 5, suggesting a significant electrostatic (possibly analogistic) interaction that involves the N-H function and the metal center. Similar facts were found and reported previously for [Pt(N₁’-H₂PILICl₂](η²-C₂H₄)] [21] and [Pt(N₁’-H₂PILICl₂](DMSO)] [22]. In the case of 6 the interaction is much weaker (Pt...HN, 2.93(2) Å) whereas an intra-molecular N-H...Cl interaction is significant N...Cl 3.25(2) Å, H 144(2)°.

Inter-molecular H-bond interactions involve SO₂ groups as acceptors from C-H functions from thieno rings (shortest C...O 3.25(1) Å, H 164(2)° for 5. Chlorido donors in 5 are involved in weak C-H...Cl H-bonds, and accepting from pyridyl CH and NCH₂ functions. Sulfur dioxide accepts hydrogens from (N)CH₃ and (thiazolyl)CH₁ groups in the structure of 6. Chlorido donors accept hydrogen from ethene ligands.

Stacking π...π interactions exist between amide function and pyridyl system in the structure for 5 for which short contacts of the type (pyridyl)...C...O, ...N, and ...O were detected (3.39(1), 3.37(1) and 3.69(1) Å). Stacking π...π interactions exist also in the structure for 6 between thiazolyl-amide system and benzo-enol system of the H₂MEL ligands. The overlap of π systems is limited but contact distances are small: C...C...C...S 3.55(3) Å and 3.59(2) Å, and this suggests that attractive interactions between planes play a role.

3. WERNER COMPOUNDS

The list of Werner compounds appeared after the year 2000, not commented in Ref. [9], and the selected major information for their preparation is reported in Table 1.

3.1. Synthetic Procedures

The preparation methodology was mainly the same for the complexes: \(trans-[Cu^{II}(\text{O}-\text{N}’\text{-HME}))_2(\text{O-(DMSO)})_2\); 8; \(trans-[\text{Zn}^{II}(\text{O}-\text{N}’\text{-HME}))_2(\text{O-(DMSO)})_2\); 9; \(trans-[\text{Cd}^{II}(\text{O}-\text{N}’\text{-HME}))_2(\text{O-(DMSO)})_2\); 10 [14]; \(trans-[\text{Cd}^{II}(\text{O}-\text{N}’\text{-HTEN}))_2(\text{O-(DMSO)})_2\]; 11 [12]; \[\text{Cu}^{II}(\text{O}_{(4)}\text{-HISO})(\text{O}_{(4)}\text{-HISO})_{0.5}\text{DMF}\]; 13; \[\text{Cu}^{II}(\text{O}_{(4)}\text{-HME}))_2(\text{O-(DMF)})_{0.25}\text{H}_2\text{O}\]; 14 [16]; \(trans-[\text{Cu}^{II}(\text{O}-\text{N}’\text{-PIR))}_2(\text{O-(DMSO)})_2\]; 16; \(trans-[\text{Cu}^{II}(\text{O}-\text{N}’\text{-HISO}))_2(\text{O-(THF)})_2\]; 17 [17]. A clear alcoholic solution of the metal acetate was added to a warm alcoholic (usually MeOH) solution of the oxicam ligand (metal:ligand, 1:2 molar ratio). The resulting mixture was refluxed under stirring and the micro-crystalline product was collected. Finally, single crystals suitable for XRD studies were
obtained through re-crystallization (8-11, and 16 from DMSO; 13 and 14 from DMF; and 17 from THF).

The complex trans-[CuII(\(\text{O}_2\text{N}^-\text{HTEN})_2\text{(N\text{Py})}_2\)], 12 was prepared through a slightly different procedure. A solution of H\text{TEN} dissolved in pyridine was added to a solution of Cu(OAc)$_2$$\cdot$H$_2$O in pyridine. A green micro-crystalline precipitate formed that was filtered off and re-crystallized from PY/EtOH to obtain crystals suitable for XRD study.

The complex trans-[CuII(\(\text{O}_2\text{N}^-\text{MBT})_2\text{(N\text{PPA})}_2\)], 15 was obtained through a procedure similar to that above reported for 8 by using HCIN and copper acetate in refluxing MeOH. The crude solid product that formed was collected and then re-crystallized from DMF to produce single crystals suitable for XRD studies. Unexpectedly, those crystals contained complex molecules that have not any HCIN coordinated to the metal; instead, new ligand molecules namely MBT and PPA were found in the coordination sphere (see below comments on the structure).

On summarizing, the general procedure from alcohol solutions of the ligand and alcohol solutions of metal acetate guaranteed high yield for pure compounds suitable for most purposes. In case ultra-pure compounds or single crystals for XRD were needed re-crystallization steps from solvents like DMF, DMSO, PY, gave excellent results.

3.2. Structures of the Anti-Inflammatory Drug – Metal Complexes

3.2.1. The Coordination Sphere

Complexes of Co(II), Zn(II) and Cd(II) complexes with HMEL anion, 8-10, have the usual ZZZ conformation for the ligand that chelates via N-thiazolyl and O-amide atoms (see Fig. 4 for the structure of 9). The oxamic anions occupy the equatorial position and have a trans arrangement. The solvent molecules (DMSO) are weakly coordinated via the oxygen atoms at the apical positions. In the case of CoII derivative (8) the Co-O and Co-N bond distances at equatorial positions are almost the same: 2.083(5) and 2.088(5) Å, respectively. A similar observation can be drawn for the ZnII (9) and CdII (10) derivatives, even though M-N (2.060(6) and 2.254(3) Å) bond lengths seem to be smaller than the M-O (2.081(6) and 2.269(3) Å) ones. For all the three complexes the M-O apical linkages (to DMSO) are significantly weaker than those to the HMEL ligands. Noteworthy, the homologous trans-[CuII(\(\text{O}_2\text{N}^-\text{HPIR})\text{(DMSO)})_2] (16) [17] has much stronger Cu-O(amide) linkages (1.942(1) Å) than Cu-N(pyridyl) bonds (2.049(2) Å). As expected the apical linkages to DMSO in the case of the copper complex are much more elongated than for the other structures in the series.

Compound 11 has the usual pseudo-octahedral arrangement around the metal (CdII) without the typical significant axial elongations found for the copper derivatives: Cd-O(amide), Cd-N(pyridyl), Cd-O(DMSO) being 2.214(4), 2.302(4), 2.310(4) Å. It has to be noted that in the case of [CdII(\(\text{O}_2\text{N}^-\text{HPIR})\text{(DMF)})_2] [23] the corresponding distances Cd-O(amide), Cd-N(pyridyl), Cd-O(DMF) are: 2.195(6), 2.276(6) and 2.386(7) Å showing that axial chelation via HPIR is stronger than by HTEN, whereas apical coordination by DMF is weaker than by DMSO when the same center is considered (CdII). Chelation angles (amide)O-Cd-N(pyridyl) for 11 measure 81.5(1)° that are close to those for [CdII(\(\text{O}_2\text{N}^-\text{HPIR})\text{(DMF)})_2] (82.8(2)°).

The structure for 12 has a pseudo-octahedral arrangement at metal center with a common disposition of the HTEN anions as chelating through amide oxygen and pyridyl nitrogen atoms in the equatorial positions. The two pyridine molecules occupy the apical sites. The Cu-O(amide) (1.934(5) Å) and Cu-N(pyridyl) (2.038(7) Å) bond lengths reveals a preference of metal center for oxygen donor. Even though pyridine is in general quite a good donor for CuII ion, the Cu-N(pyridine) bond is long (2.411(7) Å). This is in agreement with a strong chelating effect by HTEN that stabilizes much the equatorial positions. Noteworthy, the complex was prepared from pyridine and recrystallized from pyridine-ethanol. This suggests that even in the presence of several other strong monodentate ligands, Cu(HOXI)$_2$ entities are preferably formed.

Just two structures for metal-isoxicam species were reported at our knowledge, namely 13 [16] (Fig. 5) and 17 [17] (Fig. 6). They reveal that the HISO ligand can behave in different manner towards CuII ions. In fact, once the complex obtained from reaction of H$_2$ISO and CuII-acetate (in ethanol) is re-crystallized from DMF (13), the bis-chelate molecule shows ambident-dentating ways for HISO, i.e. one anion chelates via enolate oxygen and amide oxygen atoms, and the second anion chelates via enolate oxygen and isoxazolyl nitrogen atoms. The complex molecule is tetra-coordinated square-planar and the DMF molecules are just co-crystallized. In the case of the THF derivative (17), both the HISO anions chelate through the amide oxygen and isoxazolyl nitrogen atoms. Going back to the structure of 13 and in particular for the \(\text{O}_2\text{N}\)-chelating molecule the linkage at enolate oxygen is somewhat stronger than that at amide oxygen. In fact, the Cu-O bond distances are 1.884(3) and 1.904(3) Å, respectively. Instead, for the \(\text{O}_2\text{N}\)-chelating molecule, the Cu-O/N bond distances are almost the same 1.915(3) and 1.918(3) Å. Interestingly, in the case of the
octahedral complex 17 the two $Q_{N}$-chelating HISO$^{-}$ anions gives Cu-N and Cu-O bond distances equal to each other (1.950(4) and 1.959(3) Å) but significantly longer than for the DMF derivative. The apical Cu-O(THF) bond distances are much elongated.

This observations suggest that Cu(HISO)$_{2}$ entities can be considered mostly planar molecules with the ability to give very weak apical interactions to the metal. The tendency of apical linkages to dissociate is presumably much higher than that for the equatorial chelating linkages. Furthermore, the nature of the apical ligands as well as the interactions with the bulk modulates the way of interaction with enolato and amide oxygen atoms as well as with amide oxygen and isoxazolyl nitrogen atoms.

The structure for 14 [16] (Fig. 7) is in agreement with most of the previous structures of compounds prepared from alcohol and re-crystallized from weakly coordinating ligands. The metal is bis-chelate by HMEL$^{-}$ anions that donates via amide oxygen and thiazolyl nitrogen atoms. Interestingly, the metal center has a square-pyramidal arrangement whose apical position is occupied by the oxygen atom from a DMF molecule. The Cu-O(amide) and Cu-N(thiazolyl) bond distances average 1.935(5) and 1.962(5) Å, respectively. The Cu-O apical distance is significantly elongated (2.280(5) Å).

The structure of 15 [16] (Fig. 8) reveals that HCIN as such or its anionic form CIN-H$_{16}$ is not present as ligand in
the complex molecule. It underwent hydrolysis at the ester function, breakage of the amide bond through the nucleophilic attack of methoxy group and the subsequent formation in situ of two ligands, namely MBT and PPA that chelate the metal at equatorial positions in a $O,O'$-mode and coordinate at apical sites via the N-pyridyl atom respectively in a 1:2:2 Cu:MBT:PPA molar ratio. Nevertheless, other mechanistic explanations cannot be ruled out. The Cu-O(enolato), Cu-O(ester, MBT) and Cu-N bond distances are 1.941(1), 1.975(1) and 2.443(2) Å, respectively. The bond angle at metal center are close to idealized values of 90 and 180°.

Fig. (8). (a) Drawing for the molecular structure of trans-[Cu(III)$O,O'$-MBT)$_2$(N-PPA)$_2$, 15 (CSD code, CIHZUP); (b) the diagram shows the stacking interactions that involve the benzo-thiazine systems from two MBT ligand molecules: left, $x,y,z$; right, $-x+1,-y,-z$ (shortest inter-atom contact distance: C8...C6, 3.28(1) Å); (c) the diagram shows the stacking interactions that involve two PPA ligand molecules (fragments) (i.e., short inter-atom contact distance: C23...C27, 3.43(1) Å).

3.2.2. The Oxicam Ligand

The HOXIII ligand for 8-14, 16, 17 have a ZZZ conformation. The selected structural parameter for the complexes are listed in Table 4.

Bond distances within the enol/enolato-amide-pyridyl/thiazolyl/oxazolyl chain do not change significantly in the full series of complexes (8-17). Bond angles are more sensitive and on increasing the size of metal ions from first row transition metal ions to Cd$^{II}$, the magnitude for C14-N16-C2' angle increases by ca 6°, as well as the N16-C2'-N1' angle decreases by ca the same amount. Deviations from planarity of the atoms along the chain are not large; the magnitudes for $\theta_{1,7}$, $\theta_{1,5}$, $\theta_{6}$, $\theta_{8}$ torsion angles have deviations from idealized values of 0° or 180° not larger than ca 21°.

3.2.3. Intra- and Inter-Molecular Weak Interactions

Intra-molecular H-bonds are detectable between O(enolate) and H(N-amide) functions: O...N and $\ddot{H}$ distances and angles range 2.51(1)-2.62(1) Å and 138(2)-149(2)° for 8-14, 16, 17.

As regards inter-molecular H-bond interactions, SO$_2$ functions for 8-10 are H-acceptors from CH groups (benzo moieties, (DMSO)CH$_3$). In the structure of 13, SO$_2$ functions are H-acceptors from NCH$_3$, (benzo)CH and (isoxazolyl)CH$_3$ groups. The isoxazolyl oxygen atom is H-acceptor from NCH$_3$. The structure of 14 shows inter-molecular H-bond between SO$_2$ and (benzo)CH, SO$_2$ and (thiazolyl)CH$_3$. Similar interactions occur for the structure of 16 where SO$_2$ functions accept hydrogen atoms from (pyridyl)CH and (benzo)CH. Finally the structure of 17 has inter-molecular SO$_2$...H-C(benzo) interactions.

Amide oxygen atom from PPA (15) is H-acceptor from (N)CH$_3$ groups of MBT. Sulfur dioxide function from MBT is H-acceptor from benzo rings (C...O 3.32(1) Å, $\ddot{H}$ 174(2)°).

No appreciable stacking interactions were detected in the series 8-11. Instead, stacking $\pi...\pi$ interactions were detected for 13 [16] (Fig. 5). The almost planar complex molecules are piled along the a crystallographic axis. Some interactions involve the benzo system, the enolate-amide chain and isoxazolyl ring. Short contact distances such as (amide)O...N(isoxazolyl) (3.28(1) Å) suggest that stacking forces might be significant. Stacking interactions for 14 were detected and extensively commented in Ref. [16]. Two square-pyramidal complex molecules are set up-down in the crystal and face through the basal plane that consists of two HMEL' chelators. Short contacts were detected between thiazolyl amide functions: C...O 3.45(1) Å. The arrangement causes the (thiazolyl)S atom from a molecule to be located at 3.61(1) Å from Cu$^{II}$ in a vacant apical site and to DMF oxygen atom (S...Cu$^{II}$ 172.1(5)°). Stacking $\pi...\pi$ interactions involve the PPA ligands (15) that are piled almost along the a axis and involve mostly the styryl and pyridyl functions. The overlap is large, planes are close to be parallel, interatomic contact distances are as short as 3.43(1) Å. Benzo-rings from MBT ligands are involved in pi...pi stacking interactions characterized by short contacts (i.e. 3.28(1) Å), by a small overlap between planes that are parallel each other. No significant stacking interaction occur in the structure for 16. Stacking interactions with poor overlap but significant inter-atomic contact distances (as short as 3.41(1) Å) exist in the structure of 17 and involve isoxazolyl and amide systems. Extensive overlap and short contacts characterize the stacking interaction between benzo systems from symmetry related molecules in 17.

In conclusion the XRD studies for the Werner complexes that contain oxicam ligands clearly shows that the bis-chelating behavior is preferred, no matter what solvent is used for crystal growth. The bis-chelation occurs at the equatorial positions, whereas the apical ligands are weakly bound and can be easily removed. Complex molecules have reasonably high hydrophobicity; in fact, they are neutral and their external surface is rich of hydrophobic functions. Just weak intermolecular C-H...O and $\pi...\pi$ forces hold complex molecules together. Thus, it is reasonable to assume that the
M(HOXI)_2 entities are significantly inert to incoming ligands from aqueous media. On another side, the apical positions can be ideal to form reversible linkages with carrier systems such as those found in vivo or those used as drug delivery materials.

4. BIOLOGICAL ACTIVITY

4.1. Oxygen Radical Scavenger (ORS) Activity

[Cu(OH)_{2}^{+}-HPIR]_2(DMF)_2 [9,23 and references cited therein] was tested for its ORS activity via chemiluminescence methods [24,25]. Noteworthy, the complex was more active than inorganic Cu(I) and than free H$_2$PIR. Human neutrophils from healthy subjects and the complex were mixed in DMSO/glycerol/phosphate buffer saline (2:1:2 v/v). The integrated chemiluminescence signals (as a measure of the concentration of residual oxygen radicals) showed that a mixture of the copper complex and H$_2$PIR (overall Cu:H$_2$PIR, 1:10 molar ratio) reduces by a factor of ca 8 the concentration of oxygen radicals when compared to the effect caused by H$_2$PIR alone. The high ORS activity for Cu(HPIR)$_2$ suggests a possible stronger anti-inflammatory activity (via ORS) by the metal complex when compared to free H$_2$PIR and CuCl$_2$ [24]. This possibility demands that in vivo tests against inflammation for all the known metal-oxocam complexes are carried out in the near future. A better membrane permeability for M(HOXI)$_2$ species when compared to H$_2$OXI and CuCl$_2$ is reasonably expected, and might explain the higher ORS ability by the metal complexes.

4.2. Cytotoxic Activity Against Human Cell Cancer Lines and Antibacterial Activity

[Cu(OH)_{2}^{+}-HPIR]_2(DMF)_2 [9, 23 and references cited therein] was tested for its cytotoxic activity against a panel of human cancer cells at National Cancer Institute, NCI, National Institute of Health NIH, USA, [26], compound code

### Table 4. The Selected Bond Distances (Å) and Bond Angles (°) within the HOXI Ligands for Metal Complexes 1-14, 16, 17. Corresponding Data for Complex 15 are also Reported for Comparative Purposes. Estimated Standard Deviations are in the Ranges: 0.004-0.008 Å and 0.2-0.5°; 0.010-0.011 Å and 0.7-0.8°; 0.005-0.009 and 0.3-0.6°; 0.003-0.004 Å and 0.2-0.3°. The CSD [18] Code is also Reported

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>8 CACLOQ</th>
<th>9 CAKLUW</th>
<th>10 CAKMAD</th>
<th>11 HUIMED</th>
<th>12 nd</th>
<th>13° CHIZID</th>
<th>14 CHIZOJ</th>
<th>15 CHIZUP</th>
<th>16 CSD 684972</th>
<th>17 CSD 684973</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Distances</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4-C3</td>
<td>1.395</td>
<td>1.394</td>
<td>1.399</td>
<td>1.406</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.398/1.387</td>
<td>1.380</td>
<td>1.383</td>
<td>1.397</td>
<td>1.365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3-C14</td>
<td>1.440</td>
<td>1.443</td>
<td>1.431</td>
<td>1.433</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>1.426/1.431</td>
<td>1.428</td>
<td>1.439</td>
<td>1.435</td>
<td>1.453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C14-O15</td>
<td>1.248</td>
<td>1.234</td>
<td>1.244</td>
<td>1.252</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.279/1.272</td>
<td>1.266</td>
<td>1.243</td>
<td>1.256</td>
<td>1.268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N16-C2'</td>
<td>1.370</td>
<td>1.379</td>
<td>1.371</td>
<td>1.392</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.361/1.399</td>
<td>1.353</td>
<td>1.380</td>
<td>1.380</td>
<td>1.354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O17-C4-C3</td>
<td>124.6</td>
<td>124.2</td>
<td>123.8</td>
<td>125.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>122.7/125.4</td>
<td>121.9</td>
<td>125.1</td>
<td>124.4</td>
<td>123.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4-C3-C14</td>
<td>123.6</td>
<td>124.3</td>
<td>124.9</td>
<td>124.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>123.1/122.9</td>
<td>123.9</td>
<td>123.3</td>
<td>124.0</td>
<td>123.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3-C14-O15</td>
<td>122.5</td>
<td>123.0</td>
<td>122.5</td>
<td>120.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>120.6/123.6</td>
<td>119.7</td>
<td>122.2</td>
<td>118.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3-C14-N16</td>
<td>114.4</td>
<td>113.5</td>
<td>113.8</td>
<td>115.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>115.6/118.2</td>
<td>116.9</td>
<td>115.1</td>
<td>114.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O15-C14-N16</td>
<td>123.1</td>
<td>123.5</td>
<td>123.7</td>
<td>123.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>123.8/118.2</td>
<td>123.3</td>
<td>122.7</td>
<td>126.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C14-N16-C2'N1'</td>
<td>127.2</td>
<td>126.1</td>
<td>127.9</td>
<td>133.0</td>
<td>129.4</td>
<td>124.8/124.8</td>
<td>124.9</td>
<td>129.3</td>
<td>120.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torsion angles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O17-C4-C3-C14, O$_{17}$</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>-0.2/-6.9</td>
<td>2.9/-1.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O15-C14-N16-C2', O$_{17}$</td>
<td>-9.8</td>
<td>-11.1</td>
<td>-13.2</td>
<td>-6.6</td>
<td>-0.6/-4.8</td>
<td>-3.8/9.1</td>
<td>-10.6</td>
<td>-6.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C14-N16-C2'-N1', O$_{17}$</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0.5/177.0</td>
<td>7.7/-5.6</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4-C3-C14-N16, O$_{17}$</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
<td>0.2/172.6</td>
<td>1.5/-1.9</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3-C14-N16-C2', O$_{17}$</td>
<td>169.0</td>
<td>168.4</td>
<td>166.3</td>
<td>174.8</td>
<td>-179.2/175.9</td>
<td>176.3/-170.2</td>
<td>167.2</td>
<td>173.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aData relevant to the O$_{17}$-HISO' ligand are typed first. nd, not deposited.
number NSC# 624662. The panel consisted of ca 50 human cancer cell lines, and showed growth inhibition concentration IC_{50} values as low as 20 µM against several cells. The average IC_{50} value for the full panel was 54.4 µM. The activity was found higher for small cell lung, non-small cell lung, central nervous system, melanoma, ovarian, renal lines. Data deposited at NCI data base showed that carboblatin (cis-diammine(1,1-cyclooctandecarboxylato)platinum(II) had average IC_{50} value (101.0 µM), significantly larger than that for [Cu(O\text{SnPh}^3\text{N}=\text{N})\text{C}=\text{O}]\text{PIR}_2\text{(DMF)}_2] [23]. It has to be recalled that carboblatin is currently used worldwide against human ovarian cancers. Therefore, owing to the promising activity showed by [Cu(O\text{SnPh}^3\text{N}=\text{N})\text{C}=\text{O}]\text{PIR}_2\text{(DMF)}_2] [23] it should be of interest testing all the compounds listed in Table I. A reasonable mechanism for cytotoxic activity for \text{M}^\text{II}(\text{HOXI})_2 compounds, based on spectroscopy measurements was recently proposed [27]. An intercalation into the DNA double helix of the \text{M}^\text{II}(\text{HOXI})_2 species after dissociation of apical ligands is in agreement with the experimental data.

Biological activities originating from [SnPh$_3$(PIR)], [SnPh$_3$(LOR)] and [SnPh$_3$(TEN)] complexes have been reported in Ref. [8] and the data have been compared to free ligands and Rifampicin (RMP, as positive drug control). The complexes have been screened as potential drugs against Mycobacterium tuberculosis (MT), the pathogenic agent of tuberculosis, and for cytotoxic activity against a selected cancer cell line. The complexes exhibited 100% of inhibitory activity against MT at minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC, lowest concentration of an antimicrobial that completely inhibits the growth of a microorganism after overnight incubation [28]) of 3.13, 1.56 and 0.78 µg/mL for lornoxicam, piroxicam and tenoxicam derivatives, respectively. The data are very promising when compared to values found for the free ligands H$_2$TEN, H$_2$PIR and H$_2$LOR and RMP that showed inhibitory activity against MT of 21, 11, 2 and 95, respectively, and MIC values > 6.25 µg/mL for the three oxicams and 0.25 µg/mL for RMP.

The complexes have been also tested for cytotoxicity in Vero cells at concentrations equal to and greater than the MIC values, obtaining IC_{50} values of 0.07, 0.08 and 0.19 µg/mL for [SnPh$_3$(PIR)], [SnPh$_3$(TEN)] and [SnPh$_3$(LOR)] complexes, respectively.

On the basis of this reasoning, we stress that more extensive investigations on the biological activities by the metal-compounds as well as on their interactions with bio-molecules and screening in vitro and/or in vivo seem to be of interest.

5. CARRIER SYSTEMS AND METAL-COMPOUND DELIVERIES

The search of innovative ways to administer drugs mostly in order to avoid undesired side effects attracts efforts of many workers since a number of years. As examples of carrier systems can be referred: poly-ethylene glycol (PEG), methoxy-ethylene glycol (MEG) [29], mixture of diethylene glycol monoethyl ether (DGME) and propylene glycol monolaurate (PGML) [30], chitosan-based hydrogels [31], hydrogels containing R-amino acid residues (such as L-phenylalanine, L-histidine) [32, 33], silica hydrogels [33] and many others. A small number of studies have been published at our knowledge about oxicam’s carrier and delivery systems, with the aim to decrease the gastro-intestinal side effects and in some cases to increase their therapeutic efficacy [see for example Refs 34 and 35]. The technique to encapsulate the oxicam in host systems, like β-cyclodextrin is one of the studied systems [36]. It is noteworthy that piroxicam is sold as Feldene® by Pfizer and as Brexin® by Chiesi Farmaceutici SpA. The Brexin® takes advantage of the host-guest technology allowing piroxicam to be solubilized by β-cyclodextrin, obtaining a better tolerance by the gastro-intestinal system.

It is predictable that similar tasks will attract deeper attention for metal based drugs that contain metal-oxicam species. A work devoted to the study of a possible way of administering metal-oxicam complexes via the usage of synthetic hydrogels in principle implantable into the body after being loaded with drugs is reported in Ref. [17]. The study is based on acrylic hydrogels that are easy to prepare, have low toxicity at least against certain healthy human cells (human osteoblasts), their loading and delivery can be modulated by the nature of certain functionalities grafted into the polymeric chain, by pH, ionic strength, electric potential, temperature, degree of reticulation, etc.

The work showed that the swellable hydrogel, poly(N-methacryloyl-L-histidine-co-N-isopropylacrylamide) crosslinked with N,N'-ethylene-bis-acrylamide (EBA, 2%) (named CMH2, Scheme 2), containing histidine residues, isopropyl groups as side chains (1:12 molar ratio), have an acceptable loading ability from DMSO, DMF and THF solutions that contain ca 0.1 M Cu(\text{HOXI})_2L$_2$ species (H$_2$OXI = H$_2$PIR, H$_2$MEL, H$_2$ISO and H$_2$TEN). Once the gel, loaded from DMF or THF, was dried under vacuum in order to remove the solvent and then soaked into aqueous media (H$_2$O, H$_2$O:DMSO (90:10, 95:5 or 97:3 v/v), TRIS buffer, citrate/phosphate buffer), Cu\text{II}(\text{HOXI})_2 species were released as based on UV and AAS measurements. The amount of complexes released reached concentrations comparable to the IC_{50} values found for the human cancer cell lines mentioned.

![CMH2](image-url)

**Scheme 2.** Structural formula of hydrogel CMH2, poly(N-methacryloyl-L-histidine-co-N-isopropylacrylamide) (histidine residues; isopropyl groups as side chains, 1:12 molar ratio), cross-linked with N,N'-ethylene-bis-acrylamide (EBA, 2%).
above. That happened after a few hours of soaking, in the case the solutions were not renewed at 25°C under stirring for H$_2$O:DMF ca 90:10 v/v (pH 5.6) or TRIS buffer (pH 7.4) (see as example Fig. (9) and Ref. [17] for deeper details).

**Fig. (9).** Release measurements as function of time by CMH2 for Cu(HPIR)/Cu(HPIR)$_2$ and Cu(HISO)/Cu(HISO)$_2$ in 90:10 (v/v) water/DMF (pH 5.6).

## 6. CONCLUSION

The review shows that research on new metal-oxicam compounds is quickly expanding as regards synthesis of new species, deep structural and chemical characterization, evaluation of biological properties and investigation on innovative and safe methods for administration in vivo. Many more efforts have to be done on the preparative fields in order to study other metal cations never used before, for instance metals from the platinum group metals like Ru, Rh, Ir etc. The studies should look for species characterized by appreciable water solubility. The evaluations of ORS, anti-inflammatory and anti-cancer activities so far systematically performed for just Cu(HPIR)$_2$ have to be extended to all the chemically characterized compounds, in order to look for structure-activity relationships. More effort towards new strategies for metal-based drug deliveries in physiological media and in vivo aimed to reduce the undesired side effects should be also pursued.
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