Endothelial Progenitor Cells and the Diabetic Paradox: Current Knowledge and Therapeutic Perspectives
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Abstract: The discovery of postnatal vasculogenesis and of the important roles played by bone marrow-derived Endothelial Progenitor Cells was a landmark in vascular biology that forever has changed the concept of neovascularization. Diabetes associated vascular complications involve distinct modifications in neovascular formation, which is reduced in cardiovascular disease and increased in diabetic retinopathy, defining the diabetic paradox. The vasculogenic process seems to play a central dual role in these alterations: by one side dysfunctional EPCs impair endothelial regeneration in peripheral vasculopathy and on the other hand alterations in EPCs are involved in increased retinal vessel growth in diabetic retinopathy. Although still under investigation, these divergent features seem related to a differential response of progenitor cells to ischemic-induced depletion/induction of angiogenic factors. Due to the importance of vasculogenesis, therapeutic interventions targeting EPCs in diabetic vascular complications arose as a potential tool. However, scarce information has been disclosed regarding the safety and harmful side effects of these therapies. Future research is mandatory in order to further elucidate the complex mechanisms governing the diabetic-vasculogenic paradigm, bringing also novel insights into the potential therapeutic usefulness of EPCs.
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ENDOTHELIAL PROGENITOR CELLS (EPCs) AND POSTNATAL VASCULOGENESIS – A FALLEN DOGMA VASCULOGENESIS VS ANGIGENESIS

Blood vessels constitute the first organ in the embryo and form the largest network in our body [1]. Two important processes drive the development of the vascular system, vasculogenesis and angiogenesis. Vasculogenesis occurs during early embryonic development and mediates the de novo vessel formation from Endothelial Progenitor Cells (EPCs) or angioblasts of mesodermal origin, which differentiate into mature endothelial cells (ECs) assembling into a primary capillary plexus [1]. Subsequently, this primitive vascular network expands by angiogenesis, where new blood vessels arise from the proliferation and migration of the pre-existing ECs [2, 3]. During several decades it was thought that in the adult, vascular growth and remodelling was exclusively dependent on the activation of angiogenesis, and that the process of vasculogenesis was restricted to embryonic life. This long-lasting belief has come to an end around ten years ago.

EPCs AND POSTNATAL VASCULOGENESIS: FACT OR FICTION?

The quest for EPCs and vasculogenesis in adulthood took several decades, until in 1997 Asahara and collaborators started unraveling the issue. They have isolated for the first time a population of angioblast-like CD34+ circulating EPCs from adult peripheral blood (PB). When cultured in vitro these cells presented increased proliferation rate and exhibited endothelial morphological and functional properties [4]. However, besides the functional characteristics of these endothelial progenitor-like cells, some questions concerning their true identity brought controversy into the field. For instance, besides exhibiting a high proliferative capacity, this cell population did not display any other specific phenotypic and/or functional characteristics. In fact, the CD34 antigen used for cell isolation is also present in sub-groups of hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells and mature ECs and does not specifically discriminate EPCs. Nevertheless, the pioneering work of Asahara et al. was the first to suggest that the process of vasculogenesis could occur in adult life. Their study marked the beginning of the end of a prevailing dogma denying the existence of postnatal vasculogenesis. The post-Asahara Era was fruitful in essential research presenting evidence for the existence of postnatal EPCs and their role in neovascular processes. Several studies demonstrated that EPCs residing in the bone marrow (BM) could be mobilized to the peripheral circulation, migrate to neangiogenic sites and partake in vivo in the development of vascular networks, by differentiating into functional, mature ECs [5-8]. EPCs mobilization from the BM and homing to neovascular foci occurred in response of progenitor cells to specific angiogenic stimuli. It has been reported that increased levels of chemokines including, the Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor (VEGF) and Stromal Derived Factor (SDF)-1, induce several cellular pathways/mechanisms which promote EPCs release and mobilization from the BM and recruitment to...
neovascular foci [6, 9, 10]. Although all the mechanisms involved are yet to be completely elucidated, it is thought that peripheral tissue secretion of VEGF induces the release of EPCs from the BM microenvironment, through the activation of matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)-9, which by altering EPCs interactions with BM stromal cells allow them to disengage [11]. Additionally, activation of BM endothelial Nitric Oxide Synthase (eNOS) and consequent increased eNO levels stimulates the passage of EPCs through BM sinusoidal endothelium and their entrance into the blood stream, where they are further recruited to neoangiogenic foci [9, 12]. Besides EPCs differentiation into mature ECs upon arrival to neovascular sites, this cell population may additionally contribute to neovascular formation through the paracrine release of pro-angiogenic growth factors, promoting the enhancement of local angiogenesis [13, 14].

CHARACTERIZATION OF ADULT EPCs: PHENOTYPIC AND FUNCTIONAL PROPERTIES

Since the initial proposal that EPCs and postnatal vasculogenesis occurred in adulthood, much controversy has accompanied this field over time. One of the main arguments concerned the phenotypic characterization of EPCs, since their isolation and identification were mostly hampered by the lack of EPCs-specific surface markers. Some consensus has been reached and EPCs were considered to be the cell population characterized by the concomitant expression of: the early hematopoietic stem cell markers CD34 and CD133 (former AC133) and VEGF receptor-2 (VEGFR-2) [13, 15, 16]. Upon differentiating in ECs, EPCs lose the expression of CD133 and start exhibiting a classical EC morphology and characteristics, such as the expression of endothelial markers (von Willebrand factor and vascular endothelial (VE)-cadherin) and the capacity to uptake acetylated low-density lipoprotein (LDL) [5, 15]. Novel evidence has suggested that two distinct CD34⁺CD133⁺VEGFR-2⁺ populations of EPCs may be grown out from adult peripheral blood PB mononuclear cells. Although the biology of these endothelial progenitor-like cells is not fully understood, they seem to present distinct phenotypes and cell surface antigens and display diverse vasculogenic features in vitro [17, 18]. In culture, one EPC population seems to form “Early Outgrowth Colonies” (EOCs), whereas the other gives rise to “Late Outgrowth Colonies” (LOCs). EOCs and LOCs are primarily characterized based on their morphology and chronology of appearance following in vitro culture. EOCs appear in culture within seven days, exhibit spindle-shaped morphology emanating from a central cluster of cells and have a peak growth at two-three weeks after which they cannot be further expanded [17, 18]. LOCs generally appear after three weeks and exhibit a “classic endothelial” phenotype, having an increased expansion potential. LOCs seem more capable of in vitro morphogenesis into capillary tubes, the best approximate true definition of an EPC, a competent progenitor cell whose terminally differentiated progeny are mature ECs [17-19]. This capillary-forming capacity is minimal or nonexistent within EOCs, which is thought to have a paracrine role by supporting LOCs differentiation and capillary formation, through the release of pro-angiogenic molecules and by inducing the activation of MMPs [17, 18, 20]. Phenotypically, EOCs additionally express the monocyte/macrophage marker CD14, which is absent among mature LOCs, and both populations may concomitantly express CD34, CD133 and VEGFR-2 [16, 20]. Nonetheless, most of the reports involving in vitro and in vivo EPCs studies have performed cell isolation based on the classic antigen triad, CD34/CD133/VEGFR-2, and in further cell characterization do not usually make a distinction between EOCs and LOCs, analyzing both populations as a whole. However, novel findings have shaken this field since it was demonstrated the existence of novel subsets of progenitor cells with an involvement in vascular repair. It was recently reported the presence in PB of a novel stem cell population which lack the CD34 antigen and is able to differentiate into the “classic” CD34⁺CD133⁺ EPCs, which then acquire a more mature endothelial phenotype. Although the role of these CD34⁻CD133⁺VEGFR-2⁻ cells is still under investigation, it was suggested that they may preferentially home to ischemic sites and promote more potent endothelial regeneration than the defined “classic” EPCs [21]. Additionally, other populations with endothelial repair capabilities which express additional cell surface markers, including the receptor for SDF-1, the chemokine (C-X-C motif) receptor (CXCR)-4 have also been identified [22]. Further research will most certainly describe additional EPCs subpopulation based on new findings regarding new patterns of antigen expression.

VASCULOGENESIS AND NEOVASCULAR FORMATION IN PATHOPHYSIOLOGICAL PROCESSES

Studies in experimental models, where CD34⁺CD133⁺VEGFR-2⁺ EPCs were evaluated, demonstrated that postnatal vasculogenesis could take place under certain physiological and pathological settings such as, vascular endothelial homeostasis and repair [6, 23], wound healing [7], bone regeneration [24], myocardial and limb ischemia [6, 7, 25], and tumor neovascularisation [6-8]. A single paper has brought some controversy, by suggesting that EPCs did not contribute to tumor-associated neovascular formation, in an experimental tumorigenic model [26]. Nonetheless, research in adult patients has corroborated the evidence for postnatal vasculogenesis provided by most experimental data. It was demonstrated that EPCs recruitment and homing may occur after vascular trauma in burn individuals [27], following acute myocardial infarction [28] and that a percentage of BM-derived EPCs can contribute to human tumour-associated neovascularature [29]. It has also been proposed that other of BM-derived hematopoietic/myeloid progenitor cells, named as accessory cells, could be co-recruited to tumour neangiogenesis foci, and support vascular growth in a paracrine fashion [8, 14, 30, 31]. It was further reported that a subpopulation of CD34⁺AC133⁺ EPCs, home preferentially to sites of coronary lesions responding to ischemia and vascular injury and promoting endothelial regeneration more potently than the CD34⁺CD133⁺ EPC population [21]. Overall, several EPC subsets and lineages of precursor cells may be differentially recruited in diverse pathophysiological conditions, contributing synergistically to vasculogenic neovascularization.

RELEVANCE OF EPCs IN CLINICAL SETTINGS

As an increasing body of evidence has definitely revealed the important role of EPCs on postnatal neovascularization...
in multiple conditions; a growing interest into their potential use in the clinical setting has emerged. Is it then possible to use EPCs as clinical tool? It has been suggested that EPCs could have a potential use as, prognostic factor [32-35], as cell-based therapy [36], as therapeutic outcome predictor and/or as disease biomarker [37-41]. In fact, as EPCs play an important role on tumour neovascularization, it was suggested that evaluating the number of circulating CD34+CD133+VEGFR-2+ EPCs in cancer patients, could be a potential prognostic factor and an indirect assessment of the neovascular potential of a growing tumour [32-34]. In addition and due to its vasculogenic potential, it was proposed that EPCs transplantation into ischemic tissues could be an efficient treatment approach to promote vessel formation and improve blood perfusion [36]. It was also anticipated that measuring EPCs in circulation would constitute an alternative approach to monitor the therapeutic efficacy of anti-angiogenesis treatment schedules [37]. Additionally, cumulative evidence has suggested that the quantification of circulating EPCs could represent a novel non-invasive surrogate biomarker for vascular homeostasis/dysfunction in cardiovascular disease (CVD) associated to diabetes [38-41]. However, diabetes represents a paradoxal puzzle, since patients face simultaneously poor vessel growth in ischemic heart and limbs and increased neovascularization in the retina [42, 43]. Surprisingly, EPCs and the vasculogenic process seem to play a distinct dual role, contributing both to decreased and increased neovascular formation associated to diabetes.

**VASCULOGENESIS AND THE DIABETIC PARADOX – THE LINK GROWS STRONGER**

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a common costly chronic disease and its incidence is rapidly increasing worldwide. Individuals with type 2 DM (T2DM) account for most of this augmentation in the general population. An important part of this rise is attributed to changing living conditions, including overweight and obesity, sedentary behaviour, and unhealthy lifestyle [44]. Diabetes profoundly affects vascular biology and its associated complications are related to impairment of macro- and microvascular beds, causing significant morbidity and mortality [38, 39, 45]. Diabetic vascular alterations in different organs occur by distinct modifications in neovascular formation, which is decreased in systemic CVD and increased in diabetic retinopathy (DR). This diabetic paradox has been attributed to the differential regulation of neovascular mechanisms, which respond differently to ischemia in diabetic conditions [46]. This seems to be the case of the vasculogenic process, which is decreased systemically and increased in the diabetic retina. Although the complex mechanisms governing this diabetic-vasculogenic paradox are still under investigation, novel evidence connecting alterations in EPCs to diabetic vascular complications will be further discussed.

**DIABETIC VASCULOPATHY AND ALTERED VASCULOGENESIS**

Diabetes is characterized by a systemic pro-inflammatory state and generalized endothelial dysfunction (ED). ED ultimately represents the unbalance between endothelium injury and the endogenous capacity for endothelial repair [47]. It was proposed that diabetes, and the underlying hyperglycemia, insulin resistance, hypertension and oxidative stress, simultaneously promote endothelial damage and affect deleteriously EPCs functions, thus preventing efficient vascular repair and favoring the development of atherosclerotic lesions [40]. Amongst all these risk factors, increased glycemic levels and excessive oxidative stress seem to be the major causal factors underlying both endothelial injury and vasculogenic impairment [48]. Nonetheless, the complex interplay between all the aforementioned conditions is thought to sinergistically decrease endothelial regeneration by altering EPCs biological activities, such as: reducing EPCs migration [49, 50]; impairing their mobilization [51-55]; promoting premature senescence [56]; inhibiting integrative and morphogenic capacities (defective adhesion, colony-forming ability and tubule assembly) [39, 57] and inducing EPCs apoptosis [58, 59]. These alterations on EPCs features have been associated with deficient endogenous reendothelialization/neovascularization, being a potential indicator of diabetic CVD severity [55, 60, 61]. In conjunction with deficient EPCs functions, the production of chemotactic/angiogenic factors is inhibited in diabetic peripheral ischemic tissues; contributing both alterations to poor collateral formation and insufficient perfusion. It has been shown that the expression of angiogenic factors such as, VEGF and Hypoxia-Inducible Factor (HIF)-1 are reduced in the hearts of diabetic patients during acute coronary syndromes [62]. Recently, it was also demonstrated that following the onset of acute myocardial infarction in T2DM patients, the numbers of CD133+ progenitor cells are reduced and their chemotactic responsiveness attenuated [63]. Both the defective expression of angiogenic factors and the dysfunction in EPCs account for the delayed post-ischaemic vascular healing and myocardial recovery in patients with DM. Diabetic cardiomyopathy is also characterized by an early and progressive decline in myocardial VEGF expression and reduced circulating EPCs, which contributes to diminished capillary density, decreased myocardial perfusion and impaired contractility [64]. A significant decline in circulating EPCs was also reported in diabetic patients with peripheral arterial disease (PAD), particularly in individuals with ischemic foot lesions. EPCs levels correlated with the ankle-brachial index, the most objective diagnostic and prognostic test for lower extremity arterial disease. It was also demonstrated that decreased EPCs closely correlated with the severity of both carotid and lower limb atherosclerosis. Higher degrees of carotid stenosis, as well as, worse stages of leg claudication and ischemic lesions, were associated with lower levels of EPCs. This suggested that EPCs counts could be considered a valuable marker for atherosclerotic involvement [60]. Additionally, EPCs isolated from diabetic patients with PAD exhibited poor endothelial differentiation capacity, impaired proliferation and deficient adhesion to mature endothelium [39, 65]. Recently, a study has evaluated the circulating levels of the CD34+CD133+VEGFR-2+ EPCs in diabetic patients with CVD, revealing a decrease in this subpopulation caused by diabetes-induced apoptosis [66]. Similarly, it was also proposed that a subset of CXCR-4+ progenitor cells with vascular repair capability, were decreased in the PB of T2DM patients [61]. In ischemic conditions, the reduced levels of CXCR-4+ precursors may
responded poorly to SDF-1, preventing their efficient mobilization and recruitment from the BM niche to ischemic sites. In addition, it seems relevant to mention novel data disclosing the effects of hyperglycemia in EPCs in intrauterine life. Interestingly, the exposure to high glucose levels in a diabetic intrauterine environment was shown to diminish the clonogenic potential of neonatal EPCs, providing a new insight into the long-term cardiovascular complications observed in newborns of diabetic pregnancies [67]. Even though other relevant aspects of EPCs biology in diabetic vasculopathy remain to be defined, future research will most certainly bring novel insights into the potential diagnostic, prognostic and/or therapeutic usefulness for the several EPCs subsets in diabetes.

**EPCs AND DIABETIC RETINOPATHY**

High blood glucose is an extremely detrimental factor for the retina microvasculature. Hyperglycemic damage results in increased permeability, blood and serum leakage to the extracellular space, and progressive decline in retinal blood flow. Retinal ischemia and release of angiogenic factors stimulate the proliferation of microvessels, leading to proliferative DR (PDR). New vessel growth destroys the normal retinal architecture and may hemorrhage, easily causing bleeding into the eye, which ultimately impairs vision [68]. Up until recently, angiogenesis was thought to be the only process governing aberrant diabetic retinal neovascularization. However, retinal ischemia-induced release of specific factors may stimulate both local growth of vessels and the mobilization of BM-derived EPCs, which contribute to the development of PDR. Initial studies in PDR experimental models have shown that EPCs could be recruited to retinal sites of ischemic injury, playing a role in the revascularization of the retina [69, 70]. Although the mechanisms underlying EPCs roles are still under evaluation, it was reported they may be mobilized and recruited to the diabetic retina in response to local secretion of VEGF and SDF-1. Moreover, studies in PDR experimental models and in diabetic patients presenting this complication have shown that SDF-1 seems to be the most important chemokine involved in the mobilization of EPCs to the retina [71, 72]. In addition, the concentration of SDF-1 increases with the severity of DR, as evaluated in vitreous samples of T2DM individuals [72]. It seems however counterintuitive, that diabetic vascular complications, as PDR and PAD, may affect the same patient, and alterations in EPCs exhibit opposing roles. A study has attempted to disclose differential EPCs alterations and features in T2DM patients with DR and with various combinations of PAD and DR [65]. This report has demonstrated that while CD34+KDR+ EPCs and endothelial differentiation of cultured progenitors were selectively reduced in PAD patients, generic CD34+ progenitors were also reduced in DR patients, but presented instead higher clonogenic potential and enhanced endothelial differentiation in culture. Corroborating this observation it was also shown that EPCs cultured from PB of patients with T1DM and PDR displayed increased clonogenic potential [73]. Recently, another report brought more important information on the role of different progenitor cells in various stages of DR in patients with T1DM. Overall, this study has demonstrated that non-proliferative retinopathy, correlated with a reduction of EPCs, however in PDR there was a dramatic increase of mature EPCs [74]. Recent findings in diabetic patients have corroborated the gathered experimental data, demonstrating that BM-derived CD133+ EPCs, as well as, CD14+ monocytes could be mobilized to diabetic epiretinal membranes, contributing to vasculogenesis in PDR [75]. Taken together, these data strengthen the importance of EPCs in the development of human PDR, highlighting the crucial role played by both the local retinal and the systemic environment.

**THERAPEUTIC IMPLICATIONS**

Given the comprehensive role of EPC alterations in diabetes complications, modulation of the levels/function of EPCs may be considered a potential therapeutic strategy. Many vasculoprotective agents provided with beneficial cardiovascular effects, such as statins and thiazolidinediones (peroxisome proliferators-activated receptor-gamma; PPAR-γ agonists), have been shown to improve endothelium-dependent vascular function and prevent atherosclerotic disease progression, by restoring EPCs properties and actions [76, 77]. However one might not neglect that diabetic patients frequently display both peripheral decrease in neovascularization and a retinal increase on vessel growth. This might have a critical impact regarding potential therapies and has raised multiple questions that only future clinical research will answer: What would be the consequences on the therapeutic interventions having as target EPCs? Is it safe to administer ex-vivo an expanded/enriched EPC pool in diabetic peripheral vasculopathy and cardiomyopathy, without exacerbating vessel growth in the retina? Even though diabetics have altered EPCs function, would they endogenously respond to pharmacological agents? What would be the peripheral impact of blocking EPCs functions in the retina? Although there are no conclusive answers on the safety on EPCs therapies and of their potential undesidered side effects, preclinical and clinical studies have hightened that autologous transplantation of several stem and progenitor cell populations ameliorated diabetic peripheral vascular complications [78, 79]. In order to prevent harmful side effects, it is first needed to go deeper into the molecular events accompanying alterations in diabetic vascular complications, unveiling the complex mechanisms governing this diabetic-vasculogenic paradox.

**CONCLUDING REMARKS**

According to the novel paradigms of neovascular events, BM is a reservoir of immature endothelial progenitor cells that, once in the bloodstream, are recruited to partake in the regeneration and repair of injured endothelial beds. Besides the initially identified population of CD34+AC133+VEGFR-2" EPCs, other subsets of EPCs and progenitor cells with vascular repair capability have been described. We have discussed the most recent data demonstrating that dysfunctions of several EPCs subpopulations may have a prominent role in the pathogenesis of all diabetes complications. Both the decrease and increase of neovascular formation in diabetes seem differentially regulated by altered EPCs, which respond selectively to the local depletion/accumulation of growth factors, explaining the reasons why peripheral ischemia cannot stimulate EPCs recruitment, in opposition to what occurs in the retina. Aware that this
picture is far from being complete, since novel parts of this complex puzzle need to be disclosed, both concerning the identification and role of additional EPCs populations and the efficient use of therapeutic approaches using EPCs as major target. Although is crucial to further unveil the diabetic-vasculogenic paradox we may provocingly ask, is it all in the blood? Only the future will answer.
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