Preparation of Carboxymethyl-chitin Nanoparticles by Covalent Crosslinking and Their *In Vitro* Evaluation

Hiraku Onishi^{*}, Kota Kume, Ken-ichi Koyama and Yoshiharu Machida

Department of Drug Delivery Research, Hoshi University, 2-4-41, Ebara, Shinagawa-ku, Tokyo 142-8501, Japan

Abstract: The degree of deacetylation and molecular weight of carboxymethyl-chitin (CM) were manipulated using 10% (w/v) NaOH aqueous solution at 25° C and 5 M HCl aqueous solution at 40° C, respectively. The resultant CMs were characterized by ¹H-NMR spectroscopy and size exclusion chromatography/multi-angle light scattering. The degree of deacetylation of 7 – 35% (mol/mol) and molecular weight of 43,000 – 449,000 were achieved. Nanoparticles were prepared using W/O emulsification and amide coupling. Nanoparticles with the size of less than 400 nm were obtained using CM with a high degree of deacetylation and low molecular weight, CM48-L. When the mixture of CM-mitomycin C conjugate and CM48-L underwent W/O emulsification and amide coupling, nanoparticles with the size of ca. 350 nm were obtained, and released mitomycin C fairly fast but gradually. CM with a high degree of deacetylation and low molecular weight was suggested to be useful for the production of CM nanoparticles.

Keywords: Carboxymethyl-chitin nanoparticles, crosslinking, deacetylation, molecular weight, mitomycin C.

INTRODUCTION

Chitin and chitosan have drawn much attention in many fields including medicine, pharmaceuticals, agriculture because of their biocompatibility, biodegradability and low cost [1-3]. Since they are neither soluble in neutral aqueous solution nor many organic solvents, their handling and processing are not easy. As chitosan is soluble in acidic aqueous solution, micro- or nanoparticles of chitosan have been produced by techniques such as emulsification and precipitation [1, 4, 5]. Chitin is much more difficult to utilize because of its much poorer solubility. One of the methods used to improve the solubility charateristics of chitin and chitosan is chemical modification. Many derivatives of chitin and chitosan have been developed recently [6-8].

N-Succinyl-chitosan (SUC) and carboxymethyl-chitin (CM) are well-known as water-soluble derivatives of chitosan and chitin, respectively [9, 10]. They are soluble in aqueous solutions of acidic, neutral and basic pH, and have very low toxicity [11, 12]. These derivatives have been utilized as drug carriers in drug delivery systems such as macromolecule-drug conjugates or microparticles [13, 14]. Since these polymers have carboxy groups as reactive moieties, drugs with amino groups can be easily combined with them by forming amide bonds. The conjugates of mitomycin C (MMC) with SUC and CM were obtained by coupling with 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-carbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC) [13, 14]. These conjugates acted as macromolecular prodrugs of MMC [13]. In the preparation of these conjugates, they were precipitated, dependent on the degree of deacetylation of the polymers [13, 14]. Since SUC and CM have a few amino groups in addition to carboxy groups, they can undergo crosslinking to one another with amide coupling reagents, resulting in precipitation of the conjugates.

Thus, SUC-MMC conjugate particles and CM-MMC conjugate particles were prepared by coupling with EDC. Both particles were found to have a size of 4.1 µm and 7.1 µm, respectively [14]. In order to obtain soluble conjugates after EDC condensation, it seemed to be needed to derivatize amino groups completely in advance. In addition, microparticules (CM-Fe(III)) could be prepared by complexation of CM and Fe(III) ion [15]. CM-Fe(III) showed the mean size of $1 - 2 \mu m$, though some of them had the size of several hundred nm [15]. Furthermore, the preparation of micro- or nanoparticles of SUC or CM conjugate was attempted by a combination of W/O emulsification and sonication. In this method, SUC-MMC conjugate particles with the size of several hundred nm size could be obtained. CM-MMC conjugate particles were prepared in a similar manner, but they showed a size of approximately 1 µm even using CM with a low molecular weight and high degree of deacetylation [16]. Therefore, the preparative conditions for forming CM-drug conjugate nanoparticles need to be studied in order to achieve the size range of several hundred nm or less. Nanoparticles with a size of a few hundred nm or less are more useful because they tend to accumulate in solid tumors due to enhanced permeability and retention (EPR) effect [17, 18]. In the conjugate particles, the particle size appeared to be dependent on the polymer properties such as degree of deacetylation and molecular weight [14, 16]. Thus, in this study, attempts were made to investigate in more detail the possibility of producing CM nanoparticles via crosslinking using several kinds of CMs with differing degrees of deacetylation and molecular weights. Finally, the method was applied to the preparation of CM nanoparticles loaded with MMC.

MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY

Materials

Original CM was supplied by Ichimaru Pharcos Co. Ltd., and named CM0-H. MMC was extracted by methanol from Mitomycin Kyowa S purchased from Kyowa Hakko Kogyo, Co. (Japan), and used after drying in vacuo. EDC was pur-

^{*}Address correspondence to this author at the Department of Drug Delivery Research, Hoshi University, 2-4-41, Ebara, Shinagawa-ku, Tokyo 142-8501, Japan; Tel.: +81-3-5498-5724; Fax: +81-3-3787-0036; E-mail: onishi@hoshi.ac.jp

chased from Dojindo laboratories (Kumamoto, Japan). Sorbitan sesquioleate (SO-15) was obtained from Nikko Chemicals Co., Ltd. (Tokyo, Japan).

Deacetylation of CM

CM0-H, original CM, (1 g) was dissolved in 100 mL of 10% (w/v) NaOH aqueous solution, and stirred at 25°C for 48 or 72 h [15, 19]. Then, the pH of the solution was adjusted to 8 by addition of 5 M HCl aqueous solution, and poured into 1.6 L of the mixture of acetone and water (8:1, v/v) to precipitate the product. The precipitate was taken by the filtration, and washed with the mixture of acetone and water (8:1, v/v). The precipitate was re-dissolved in 120 mL of water, dialyzed against water using a Seamless Cellulose Tubing (molecular weight cut off limit 14,000; Sanko Junyaku Co., Ltd., Japan), and lyophilized to obtain a powder of CM with a higher degree of deacetylation. The CM obtained by the treatment with NaOH for x (h) was abbreviated to CMx.

Reduction of Molecular Weight of CM

CMx, CM with a different degree of deacetylation, was dissolved in 5 M HCl aqueous solution, and stirred at 40°C for 1 h [20]. Then, the solution pH was adjusted to 8 with 5 M NaOH aqueous solution. The resultant solution was dialyzed as stated above, and lyophilized to obtain a powder of CM with reduced molecular weight. CMxs before and after degradation with HCl were named CMx-H and CMx-L, respectively.

Characterization of CM

¹H-NMR spectrometry was performed using a JEOL JNM-LA500 spectrometer (JEOL, Tokyo, Japan). The sample was dissolved in D₂O and measured at 80°C. The signal of *H*DO in D₂O was used as a standard (4.65 ppm) to read the chemical shift of each proton [21]. Molecular weights were measured by size-exclusion chromatography-multi angle light scattering (SEC-MALS) using a Wyatt Technology DAWN HELEOS (658 nm) and a Wyatt Technology Optilab rEX (658 nm) as a multi-angle light scattering detector and differential refractive index detector, respectively [20, 22]. The Shodex SB-806 M was used as an analytical column. The mobile phase was 0.05 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.0, and was eluted at a rate of 1 mL/min. The refractive index increment (dn/dc) was measured in 0.05 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.0, using a Wyatt Technology Optilab rEX (658 nm).

Preparation of CM Nanoparticles by Simple Crosslinking

CMx-H or CMx-L (30 mg) was dissolved in 5 mL of water, and dripped into 75 mL of n-heptane with 2% (w/v) SO-15, which had been cooled with iced water in advance. After the mixture was emulsified by stirring at 600 rpm for 10 min with sonication set at 28 kHz (100 W), 1 mL of EDC aqueous solution (100 mg/mL) was added, and stirring (600 rpm) with sonication (28 kHz) was performed for 30 min. Furthermore, 1 mL of CH₃COONH₄ aqueous solution (300 mg/mL) was added, and the mixture was stirred at 600 rpm for 10 min with sonication set at 28 kHz (100 W). The mixture was centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 20 min. The precipitate was washed first with methanol and then with water. Finally, the precipitate was suspended in water to obtain the aqueous suspension of CM nanoparticles.

The Open Drug Delivery Journal, 2008, Volume 2 21

Preparation of CM Nanoparticles Loaded with MMC

Thirty milligrams of CM with a molecular weight of 51,000 and deacetylation degree of 17% (CM0-L) was dissolved in 2 mL of water, and 2 mL of MMC aqueous solution (2.5 mg/mL) and 1 mL of EDC solution (100 mg/mL) were added. After the pH of the mixture was adjusted to 6, the mixture was stirred for 2 h, and chromatographed with a Sephadex G50 column using water as an elution solvent to separate the CM-MMC conjugate (CM-MMC). CM-MMC was washed by ultrafiltration (molecular weight cut off limit 10,000), and lyophilized to form a powder.

The CM/CM-MMC mixture nanoparticles, named MMC-NP, were prepared by the carbodiimmide coupling with EDC (Fig. 1). CM with a molecular weight of 76,000 and degree of deacetylation of 33% (CM48-L) and CM-MMC were mixed in 4 mL of water at a ratio of 1/1, 1/2or 1/5 (w/w) with a total weight of 30 mg. Each solution was dripped into 75 mL of n-heptane containing 2% (w/v) SO-15, which had been cooled with iced water in advance. The mixture was emulsified by stirring at 600 rpm for 10 min with sonication at 28 kHz (100 W). After 1 mL of EDC aqueous solution (100 mg/mL) was added, stirring (600 rpm) with sonication (28 kHz) was conducted for 30 min. Then, 1 mL of CH₃COONH₄ aqueous solution (300 mg/mL) was added, and the mixture was stirred at 600 rpm for 10 min with sonication at 28 kHz (100 W). The mixture was centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 20 min. The precipitate was washed first with methanol and then with water. Finally, the precipitate was suspended in water to obtain the aqueous suspension of MMC-NP. MMC-NP obtained with the CM/CM-MMC ratios of 1/1, 1/2 and 1/5 were named MMC-NP(1), MMC-NP(2) and MMC-NP(3), respectively.

In Vitro Analysis of Nanoparticles

The size of the nanoparticles was measured by dynamic light scattering of the aqueous suspension using a laser light-scattering instrument, ELS-800, (Otsuka Electronic Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan), in which photon correlation was adopted as an analytical method. The drug content of MMC-NP was determined as follows. The pH of the aqueous suspension of MMC-NP was adjusted to 9 using a 1/15 M phosphate buffer, and heated at 85°C. At regular time points, the samples were withdrawn, and filtered with an ultrafilter (molecular weight cut off limit 100,000). The amount of MMC in the filtrate was measured by HPLC. The drug content was determined from the maximum amount of MMC filtered out. The release of MMC from MMC-NP was performed as follows. After aqueous suspension of MMC-NP was divided into each glass tube (0.3 mL), 1.2 mL of 1/15 M phosphate of pH 7.4 was added to each tube, mixed, and incubated on the water bath at 37°C. At 1, 4, 12 and 24 h, the tubes were taken and filtered using a ultrafilter (molecular weight cut off limit 100,000). The filtrate was analyzed for MMC by HPLC.

HPLC Assay

High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) was used for the assay of MMC in the samples. A Shimadzu LC-6AD equipped with a Shimadzu SPD-10AV absorbance detector (364 nm) and Shimdazu C-R7A plus chromatopac was used, and a Waters μ -Boundapak C18 (10 μ m) column



Fig. (1). Crosslinking schematic diagram for the formation of CM/CM-MMC mixture nanoparticles.

(3.9 mm in diameter x 300 mm in length) was used at 40° C in a column oven. For a mobile phase, a mixture of 1/15 M phosphate buffer of pH 6.0 and methanol (65:35, v/v) was used, and the flow rate was set at 1.5 mL/min. The absolute calibration curve method was used for the calculation of the concentration [13, 16].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Characteristics of CM

The structural diagram of CM is represented in Fig. (2A). According to the supplier's specifications of the original CM (CM0-H), it is supposed to have the carboxymethylation degree of 72% and molecular weight of 100,000 -1,000,000. CM0-H was treated at 25° C only with 10% (w/v) NaOH in order to raise the degree of deacetylation. When the treatment time was x (h), the obtained CM was named CMx-H. Further, treatment of CMx-H at 40°C with 5 M HCl for 1 h was conducted for the degradation of the molecular weight. The resultant CM was named CMx-L. The ¹H-NMR spectrum of CM72-L is shown in Fig. (2B), where chemical shifts were obtained by setting that of HDO as 4.65. The degree of deacetylation was calculated by comparing the integrated intensity of 2'-H with that of b-CH₃. The integrated intensities of the protons given by the ¹H-NMR spectrum for CM0-H exhibited the carboxymethylation degree of approximately 70%, which was almost equal to the data by the supplier. The degree of deacetylation of each obtained polymer was summarized in Table 1. The carboxymethylation degree did not seem to be lowered by the alkaline or acidic treatment. However, the acidic treatment increased the degree of deacetylation to a small extent.

The dn/dc values of the CMs obtained in the buffer of pH 7.4 were in the range of 1.4 - 1.7 mL/g. In the present SEC-MALS, the log (molecular weight) of the polymer eluted from the column declined almost linearly with the



Fig. (2). Structural diagram of CM (A) and 1 H-NMR spectrum of CM72-L (B).

Polymer	Alkaline treatment time (h)	Acid treatment time (h) Degree of deacetylation (%, mol/mol)		Mw	Mn	Mw/Mn
СМ0-Н	0	0	7	449,000	228,000	1.56
СМ48-Н	48	0	30	210,000	149,000	1.42
СМ72-Н	72	0	34	180,000	122,000	1.48
CM0-L	0	1	17	51,000	41,000	1.25
CM48-L	48	1	33	76,000	59,000	1.29
CM72-L	72	1	35	43,000	34,000	1.26

Table 1. Polymer characteristics of CMs Obtained by Alkaline (10% NaOH, 25°C) and Acidic (5 M HCl, 40°C) Treatment

Each result was obtained by a single experiment.

Mw and Mn represent a weight-average molecular weight and number-average molecular weight, respectively.

increase in the elution volume. This relationship was observed in the molecular weight range of 2,000,000 (elution volume of 6.0 mL) – 20,000 (elution volumeof 9 mL) (data not shown). The polymer elution profiles obtained by the RI detector are shown in Fig. (3). The weight-average molecular weight (Mw) and number-average molecular weight (Mn) were calculated based on the SEC-MALS data as shown in Table 1. The original CM (CM0-H) had the molecular weight of 449,000, and was degraded to some extent by the alkaline treatment as observed in CM48-H and CM72-H. The treatment by the acidic treatment reduced their molecular weight to 40,000 - 80,000. The effect of the acid treatment appeared not to depend on the degree of deacetylation.



Fig. (3). Elution profiles of CMswith different molecular weights and degrees of deacetylation.

Preparation and In Vitro Properties of Nanoparticles

Nanoparticles were prepared by a combination of W/O emulsification and simple crosslinking of the polymers with EDC. The reaction with EDC is considered mainly based on the attack of nucleophiles to a reactive intermediate, O-acylisourea [23]. Generally, reactivity with EDC is known not to be high. O-acylisourea is unstable due to undergoing an attack of water [23]. Thus, a part of carboxy groups were

modified with amino groups, and other carboxy groups seem to remain with no reaction, which were found in the reports of carboxy groups-containing polymers and MMC [24, 25]. Although the reactive groups were presumed to hardly remain in nanoparticles due to its instability, excessive ammonium was added to eliminate possibly remaining activated groups; a part of carboxy groups of the final nanoparticles might be changed to the amide by the reaction of ammonium. In addition, excessive amount of CH₃COONH₄ was considered to be useful to stop the reaction between EDC and CM. The treatment of CH₃COONH₄ alone with EDC did not give any solid product in a similar condition, indicating nanoparticles were composed of CM-related materials. However, amidation might be caused by the additioin of CH₃COONH₄. Therefore, the detailed structure of the nanoparticles will have to be examined in the feature. Particle size features of the resultant particles are shown in Table 2. No particles could be formed in CM0-H and CM0-L. This was considered to be because of the very low content of amino groups in these polymers. When CM48-H and CM72-H were used, the resultant particles had the size of 687 ± 70 nm, and 1092 ± 478 nm (n=3), respectively. On the other hand, the nanoparticles by crosslinking of CM48-L and CM72-L showed the size of 374 ± 159 nm and 426 ± 172 nm (n=3), respectively. These results suggested that when CMs with a high degree of deacetylation were used, particles with the size of one µm or less could be formed, and CMx-L should tend to give smaller particles than CMx-H. Crosslinking was considered to be formed well under the condition of high contents of amino groups. As the CMx-L aqueous solution was less viscous than CMx-H aqueous solution, smaller droplets were formed in the W/O emulsion, resulting in a reduced size of the particles. CM48-L was considered to be the best polymer to give smallest size of nanoparticles. The mean size of CM48-L nanoparticles, being 374 nm, was not optimal but useful for the EPR effect.

Preparation of CM-drug conjugate nanoparticles was conducted in an similar approach. First, CM0-L-MMC conjugate (CM-MMC) was prepared by EDC condensation of

Table 2.	Mean Particle Size of the	Particles Produced	Using CMs with	Different Degrees o	of Deacetylation and	Molecular Weights
					•/	

СМ	СМ0-Н	СМ48-Н	СМ72-Н	CM0-L	CM48-L	CM72-L
Mean size (nm)	Not formed	687 ± 79	1092 ± 478	Not formed	374 ± 159	426 ± 172

Each result represents the mean \pm S.D. (n=3).

MMC-NP	CM (mg)	CM-MMC (mg)	Mean Size (nm)	Size distribution min. – max. (nm)	Drug content (%, w/w)
MMC-NP(1)	15	15	1660	50 - 5340	_ a
MMC-NP(2)	10	20	843	70 - 2080	_ a
MMC-NP(3)	5	25	346	180 - 1220	3.84

Each result was obtained by a single experiment.

^aThese were not checked because their mean size was too big to undergo the EPR effect.



Fig. (4). Particle size distribution of MMC-NP (3). (A) is size distribution in weight, and (B) is size distribution in number.

CM0-L and MMC. CM-MMC was water-soluble. Then, some formulations for the mixture of CM48-L and CM-MMC were tested for the preparation of MMC-loaded nanoparticles (MMC-NP) (Table 3). In each formulation, micro- or nanoparticles could be obtained, indicating that CM48-L acted effectively for the crosslinking reaction during the preparation of nanoparticles. MMC-NP(3) with the smallest size (mean size, 346 nm) were obtained at the CM48-L/CM-MMC ratio of 1:5 (w/w). The particle size distribution is shown in Fig. (4). The particles size features of MMC-NP(3) were considered to be useful for passive targeting based on the EPR effect. As to MMC-NP(1) and MMC-NP(2), the drug content was not checked, because their size were too big to undergo the EPR effect. MMC-NP(3) contained MMC at 3.84% (w/w), which might not be small as drug content because MMC is a highly cytotoxic agent [26, 27]. The release profile of MMC from MMC-NP(3) at pH 7.4 and 37°C is shown in Fig. (5). MMC was released from MMC-NP(3) with 47, 80 and 92% at 1, 4 and 12 h, respectively. Thus, It was proposed that MMC-NP(3) should act as a nanoparticulate system releasing MMC fairly fast but gradually. It was suggested that MMC-NP(3) should be useful for tumor targeting and release MMC effectively in the accumulation tumor sites. The in vivo evaluation for such properties as antitumor effects and tissue distribution will be a feature subject for MMC-NP(3).



Fig. (5). MMC release from MMC-NP (3) in phosphate buffered saline of pH 7.4 at 37° C. Each point represent the mean ±S.D. (n=3).

CONCLUSIONS

The degree of deacetvlation and molecular weight of CM could be controlled by a combination of treatment with 10% (w/w) NaOH and treatment with 5 M HCl at 40°C. CM nanoparticles were prepared by a combination of W/O emulsification and crosslinking with an amide coupling agent (EDC). The formation of nanoparticles was critically affected by deacetvlation degree. The degree of deacetvlation of 30 - 40% (mol/mol) was required for the efficiency of nanoparticle formation. The particle size tended to be smaller and averaged less than 400 nm when CM with a low molecular weight was used. When MMC-loaded nanoparticles with a size of less than 400 nm were also obtained by the crosslinking using the mixture of water-soluble CM-MMC conjugate and CM with a degree of deacetylation of 33% (mol/mol) and a molecular weight of 76,000 (CM48-L). These suggest that CM with a high degree of deacetylation and low molecular weight could be useful to prepare CM-drug conjugate nanoparticles by a combination of W/O emulsification and crosslinking with an amide coupling agent.

REFERENCES

- [1] Amano, K.; Ito, E. Eur. J. Biochem., 1978, 85, 97.
- [2] Pangburn, S.H.; Trescony, P.V.; Heller, J. *Biomaterials*, **1982**, *3*, 105.
- [3] Yoshino, T.; Machida, Y.; Onishi, H.; Nagai, T. STP Pharma Sci., 1996, 6, 122.
- [4] Takishima, J.; Onishi, H.; Machida, Y. Drug Dev. Ind. Pharm., 2001, 27, 567.
- [5] Shu, X.Z.; Zhu, K.J. Int. J. Pharm., 2002, 233, 217.

Received: December 4, 2007

- [6] Kotzé, A.F.; Thanou, M.M.; Luebetaen, H.L.; de Boer, A.G.; Verhoef, J.C.; Junginger, H.E. J. Pharm. Sci. 1999, 88, 253.
- [7] Roldo, M.; Hornof, M.; Caliceti, P.; Bernkop-Schnürch, A. Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm., 2004, 57, 115.
- [8] Li, D.H.; Liu, L.M.; Tian, K.L.; Liu, J.C.; Fan, X.Q. Carbohydrate Polymer, 2007, 67, 40.
- [9] Nishimura, S.; Nishi, N.; Tokura, S.; Nishimura, K.; Azuma, I. Carbohydr. Res., 1986, 146, 251.
- [10] Yan, C.; Chen, D.; Gu, J.; Hu, H.; Zhao, X.; Qiao, M. Yakugaku Zasshi, 2006, 126, 789.
- [11] Song, Y.; Onishi, H.; Nagai, T. Int. J. Pharm., 1993, 98, 121.
- [12] Song, Y.; Onishi, H.; Nagai, T. YAKUZAIGAKU, 1993, 53, 141.
- [13] Song, Y.; Onishi, H.; Nagai, T. Chem. Pharm. Bull., 1992, 40, 2822.
- [14] Song, Y.; Onishi, H.; Machida, Y.; Nagai, T. STP Pharma Sci., 1995, 5, 162.
- [15] Hata, H.; Onishi, H.; Machida, Y. Biomaterials, 2000, 21, 1779.
- [16] Onishi, H.; Takahashi, H.; Yoshiyasu, M.; Machida, Y. Drug Dev. Ind. Pharm., 2001, 27, 659.
- [17] Matsumura, Y.; Maeda, H. Cancer Res., 1986, 46, 6387.
- [18] Unezaki, S.; Maruyama, K.: Ishida, O.; Suginaka, A.; Hosoda, J.; Iwatsuru, M. Int. J. Pharm., 1995, 126, 41.
- [19] Hata, H.; Onishi, H.; Machida, Y. STP Pharma. Sci., 1999, 9, 115.
- [20] Kato, Y.; Onishi, H.; Machida, Y. Carbohydr. Res., 2002, 337, 561.
- [21] Ono, S.; Mitsumori, F.; Arata, Y.; Fujiwara, S. Japan analyst, 1977, 26, 766.
- [22] Beri, R.G.; Walker, J.; Reese, E.T.; Rollings, J.E. Carbohydr. Res, 1993, 238, 11.
- [23] Hoare, D.G., Koshland, D.E. Jr. J. Biol. Chem., 1967, 242, 2447.
- [24] Roos, C.F.; Matsumoto, M.; Takakura, Y.; Hashida, M.; Sezaki, H. Int. J. Pharm., 1984, 22, 75.
- [25] Tanaka, T.; Kaneo, Y.; Iguchi, S. Bioconjug. Chem., 1991, 2, 261.
- [26] Hata, T.; Hossenlopp, C.; Takita, H. Cancer Chemother. Rep., 1961, 13, 67.
- [27] Kato, A.; Takakura, Y.; Hashida, M.; Kimura, T.; Sezaki, H. Chem. Pharm. Bull., 1982, 30, 2951.

Revised: February 18, 2008

Accepted: February 19, 2008