Corticotomy-Assisted Orthodontic Treatment: Review
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Abstract: Corticotomy-assisted orthodontic treatment is an established and efficient orthodontic technique that has recently been studied in a number of publications. It involves selective alveolar decortication in the form of decortication lines and dots performed around the teeth that are to be moved. It is done to induce a state of increased tissue turnover and a transient osteopenia, which is followed by a faster rate of orthodontic tooth movement. This technique has several advantages, including faster tooth movement, shorter treatment time, safer expansion of constricted arches, enhanced post-orthodontic treatment stability and extended envelope of tooth movement. The aim of this article is to present a comprehensive review of the literature, including historical background, contemporary clinical techniques, indications, contraindications, complications and side effects.

Keywords: Corticotomy, decortication, review, orthodontic treatment.

INTRODUCTION

An increasing number of adult patients are seeking orthodontic treatment [1]. There are several psychological, biological and clinical differences between the orthodontic treatment of adults and adolescents. Adults have more specific objectives and concerns related to facial and dental aesthetics, the type of orthodontic appliance and the duration of treatment. Growth is an almost insignificant factor in adults compared to children, and there is increasing chance that hynalization will occur during treatment [2]. In addition, cell mobilization and conversion of collagen fibers is much slower in adults than in children. Finally, adult patients are more prone to periodontal complications since their teeth are confined in non-flexible alveolar bone [2]. These considerations make orthodontic treatment of adults different and challenging as well as necessitate special concepts and procedures, such as the use of invisible appliances, shorter periods of treatment, the use of lighter forces and more precise tooth movements.

The development of corticotomy-assisted orthodontic treatment (CAOT) opened doors and offered solutions to many limitations in the orthodontic treatment of adults. This method claims to have several advantages. These include a reduced treatment time, enhanced expansion, differential tooth movement, increased traction of impacted teeth and, finally, more post-orthodontic stability. The evidence of the success of corticotomy as an adjunct to orthodontic treatment has not been well documented, and few published reports are available. The aim of this article is to present a comprehensive review of the literature, including the historical background, the contemporary clinical techniques, indications, contraindications, complications and side effects.

HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

Corticotomy has roots in orthopedics going back to the early 1900s. In 1892, it was first defined as a linear cutting technique in the cortical plates surrounding the teeth to produce mobilization of the teeth for immediate movement [3]. Köle introduced a surgical procedure involving both osteotomy and corticotomy to accelerate orthodontic tooth movement, based on the concept that teeth move faster when the resistance exerted by the surrounding cortical bone is reduced via a surgical procedure. Köle further explained that the reduced resistance enhances an en bloc movement of the entire alveolar cortical segment, which is connected by softer medullary bone, including the confined teeth, when exposed to orthodontic forces [4]. This theory of en bloc movement to enhance tooth movement prevailed in several subsequent reports [5-8]. Suya specified that most orthodontic treatments should be completed in the first three to four months after corticotomy and before fusion of the tooth-bone units [7]. Generson et al. reported about cases treated using a corticotomy-only technique for accelerated tooth movement, which led to successful results [8].

Frost [9] found a direct correlation between the severity of bone corticotomy and/or osteotomy and the intensity of the healing response, leading to accelerated bone turnover at the surgical site. This was designated “Regional Acceleratory Phenomenon” (RAP). RAP was explained as a temporary stage of localized soft and hard-tissue remodeling that resulted in rebuilding of the injured sites to a normal state through recruitment of osteoclasts and osteoblasts via local intercellular mediator mechanisms involving precursors,
supporting cells, blood capillaries and lymph [10]. This accelerated remodeling is influenced by bone density and the hyalinization of the periodontal ligament (PDL) [11-14].

Contemporary Clinical Techniques

Recently, Wilcko developed a patented technique called Accelerated Osteogenic Orthodontics (AOO) [15] or Periodontally Accelerated Osteogenic Orthodontics (PAOO) [16]. This technique is similar to conventional corticotomy except that selective decortication in the form of lines and points is performed over all of the teeth that are to be moved. In addition, a resorbable bone graft is placed over the surgical sites to augment the confining bone during tooth movement. After a healing period of one or two weeks, orthodontic tooth movement is started and then followed up using a faster rate of activation at two week intervals [15, 16].

Using this technique, Wilcko [15-17] reported rapid tooth movement at a rate of 3 to 4 times greater than conventional orthodontic movement, which was attributed to a state of reduced mineralization (reversible osteopenia) of the alveolar bone surrounding the involved teeth during the orthodontic movement and not to bony block movement, as claimed by Köle [15-17]. Wilcko explained the concept of reversible osteopenia in a study of five patients using computed tomographic imaging [17]. After corticotomy, demineralization occurs in the alveolar bone and the remaining collagenous matrix of the bone is transported with the tooth during its movement. The matrix then remineralizes after the orthodontic movement. This introduced new concepts to the CAOT field, including bone matrix transportation and osteopenia-facilitated rapid tooth movement [17].

The evidence presented in support of CAOT thus far is case report studies, which is considered weak evidence to support the purported advantages and the mechanism of action.

Recent animal studies have added more evidence to the effect of CAOT. Ren et al. [18] evaluated the effects of alveolar interseptal corticotomy and extraction on orthodontic tooth movement in beagles. The tooth on the experimental side moved more rapidly than the tooth on the control side, without any associated root resorption or irreversible pulp injury. In addition, active and extensive bone remodeling without any associated root resorption or irreversible pulp injury. This dramatic increase in bone turnover decreased to a steady state by the eleventh week after surgery. The observed effect of corticotomy was localized to the area immediately adjacent to the decortication cuts.

In the other histological study, Wang et al. [22] explained the sequence of events occurring after corticotomy in rats. CAOT was compared to osteotomy-assisted tooth movement and to controls. Corticotomy was found to produce bone resorption around the moving teeth by day 21 after surgery and the area refilled with bone after 60 days. This confirms the occurrence of reversible osteopenia during CAOT.

The CAOT Technique

Case selection is a very important step; both the orthodontist and the periodontist should agree upon the need for corticotomy, treatment plan and the extent and location of the decortication cuts. The PAOO technique described by Wilcko is as follows [23]: full-thickness flaps are reflected labially and linguually using sulcular releasing incisions. The releasing incision can also be made within the thickness of the gingival attachment or at the base of the gingival attachment (mucogingival junction). Vertical releasing incisions can be used, but they should be positioned at least one tooth away from the “bone activation”. Flaps should be carefully reflected beyond the apices of the teeth to avoid damaging the neurovascular complexes exiting the alveolus and to allow adequate decortication around the apices. Selective alveolar decortication is performed in the form of decortication cuts and at points up to 0.5 mm in depth, combined with selective medullary penetration to enhance bleeding. This poses little threat to tooth vitality and makes PAOO much safer than the osteotomy technique, in which cuts extend into the medullary bone around the teeth that are to be moved. Adequate bio-absorbable grafting material is placed over the injured bone. Flaps are then repositioned and sutured into place. Sutures should be left in place for a minimum of two weeks. Tooth movement should start one or two weeks after surgery. Unlike conventional orthodontics, the orthodontic appliance should be activated every two weeks until the end of treatment after PAOO (Fig. 1a, b, c).

Two recent histological studies were conducted to evaluate tissue response to decortication [21,22]. Sebaoun et al. [21] evaluated the response of alveolar bone to a selective alveolar decortication in a rat model in terms of time and proximity to the site of decortication without attempting any type of tooth movement. This study demonstrated an increased turnover of alveolar spongiosa as a response to alveolar decortication. Three weeks after surgery, the catabolic activity (osteoclast count) and anabolic activity (apposition rate) were three times greater, calcified spongiosa decreased by two-fold and PDL surface increased by two-fold. This dramatic increase in bone turnover decreased to a steady state by the eleventh week after surgery. The observed effect of corticotomy was localized to the area immediately adjacent to the decortication cuts.

Fig. (1a). A full thickness flap is reflected and selective alveolar decortication is performed in the form of decortication cuts and points up to 0.5 mm in depth. 1b) Bio-absorbable grafting material is placed over the injured bone. 1c) Flaps are then repositioned and sutured into place.
Indications and Clinical Applications

Several clinical applications for CAOT have been reported. Corticotomy was used to facilitate orthodontic tooth movement and to overcome some shortcomings of conventional orthodontic treatment, such as the long required duration, limited envelope of tooth movement and difficulty of producing movements in certain directions. These applications include the following:

1. Resolve Crowding and Shorten Treatment Time

Corticotomy and osteotomy were used in orthodontics primarily to resolve crowding in a shorter period of time. Several authors have described cases in which moderate and severe crowding was treated without extraction by corticotomy/osteotomy-assisted orthodontics and in shorter periods of time [15-17, 24]. It has been shown that corticotomy is efficient in reducing the treatment time to as little as one-fourth the time usually required for conventional orthodontics [17]. Wilcko published a report about two adult patients with severe crowding who were treated via AOO in just 6.5 months [17]. Wilcko also reported a case of an adult female who was treated in only 4.5 months [16]. Hajji [24] studied the effects of resolving mandibular anterior dental crowding by comparing non-extraction (n = 30), extraction (n = 34), and corticotomy-facilitated non-extraction (n = 20) orthodontic treatments in a clinical trial. The mean active treatment time for the corticotomy-facilitated group was 6.1 months, versus 18.7 months required for non-extraction orthodontics and 26.6 months for extraction therapy. A reduced chance of root resorption [25, 26], less oral hygiene-related enamel decalcification and better patient cooperation and acceptance are possible advantages when lengthy orthodontic treatment is avoided.

2. Accelerate Canine Retraction after Premolar Extraction

Canine retraction after premolar extraction is a lengthy step during the extraction stage of orthodontic treatment. Canine retraction was accelerated by corticotomy in two animal studies. Both studies demonstrated faster canine retraction when compared to conventional orthodontic retraction of the canines [18-19].

3. Enhance Post-Orthodontic Stability

Stability after orthodontic treatment may not always be achievable. Little has shown that 10 years after orthodontic treatment, only 30% of patients had satisfactory alignment of the mandibular incisors [27]. Stability was reported as one of the advantages of corticotomy-assisted orthodontics [24]. Corticotomy-facilitated orthodontic treatment was found to result in better retention compared to conventional orthodontic treatment [24, 28]. The improved stability was attributed to the increased turnover of tissues adjacent to the surgical site. Unfortunately, there is still no strong evidence for enhanced stability after CAOT in the literature. Definitive conclusions cannot be made unless prospective controlled studies are conducted.

4. Facilitate Eruption of Impacted Teeth

Surgical traction of impacted teeth, especially the canines, is a frustrating and lengthy procedure. A study by Fischer [29] showed that under the same periodontal conditions, the corticotomy-assisted approach produced faster tooth movement during traction of palatally impacted canines compared to conventional canine traction methods at the end of either treatment. In an adult female patient, an impacted second premolar was facilitated to erupt spontaneously using corticotomy after creating enough space as a part of an overall fixed orthodontic treatment, which included extraction of the first premolars and bilateral corticotomy-assisted expansion. Spontaneous eruption was completed in three months without any orthodontic traction (Figs. 2 and 3).

![Fig. (2). Pre-treatment panoramic radiograph of a female patient showing an impacted upper left second premolar.](image)

![Fig. (3). Post treatment panoramic radiograph of the same patient in figure 2, showing the fully erupted second premolar after CAE, extraction of the adjacent first premolar and fixed orthodontic treatment.](image)
pansion in a more controlled way than conventional expa-

Fig. (4 and 5) present a case of severely constricted maxilla that was expanded using a quad-helix appliance as-

sisted by bilateral buccal and palatal decortication. Success-
ful expansion of the upper arch was achieved; the inter-molar distance was increased by 5 mm, and the inter-canine distance was increased by 4 mm.

Fig. (4). Pre-treatment intra-oral photographs of an adult female patient having a severe bilateral cross-bite treated by CAE and fixed orthodontic appliance.

CAE can be a good alternative to conventional orthodon-
tic mechanics in the treatment of unilateral cross-bites in
adults, which are either less efficient, patient-dependent, or accompa-
nied by unnecessary side effects such as over-expansion on the normal side, canting of the occlusal plane and compromised vertical dimension [32, 33]. Performing corticotomy on only the constricted side helps to overcome these unnecessary side effects. The decorticated side is as-
sumed to exhibit reduced resistance to expansion and faster tooth movement, making the effect of any bilateral expansion appliance unilateral. Figs. (6 and 7) show a case with a true unilateral cross-bite treated efficiently in 15 months using a quad-helix appliance assisted by unilateral right buccal and palatal decortications and fixed orthodontic appliance.

6. Molar Intrusion and Open Bite Correction

CAOT has also been used in the treatment of severe ante-
rior open bite in conjunction with skeletal anchorage [34, 35]. Moon et al. achieved sufficient maxillary molar intru-
sion (3.0 mm intrusion in two months) using corticotomy combined with a skeletal anchorage system with no root resorption and with no patient compliance required [35]. Olivieria et al. [36] also reported 4 mm of molar intrusion in 2.5 months using corticotomy in one patient and 3 to 4 mm in 4 months in another patient. Hawang and Lee [37] demon-

strated intrusion of supra-erupted molars using corticotomy, full-time use of magnetic appliances and night-time use of a vertical-pull chin-cup.

Intrusion can also be obtained using other orthodontic treatment approaches without selective corticotomies; how-

Fig. (6). Pre-treatment intra-oral photographs of an adult female patient having a unilateral cross-bite, treated by unilateral CAE and fixed orthodontic treatment.

ever, this requires a longer active treatment time. Yao et al. [38] achieved a 4 mm molar intrusion using skeletal anchor-
age in 7.6 months. Sherwood et al. [39] obtained a 4 mm intrusion in 6.5 months using mini-titanium plates, and Encar et al. [40] registered approximately 4 mm intrusion in 8.5 months.

7. Manipulation of Anchorage

CAOT was used in the treatment of bimaxillary protrusion as an adjunct to manipulate skeletal anchorage without any adverse side effects in only one-third of the regular treatment time [41].

CAOT was also used to achieve molar distalization. After performing segmental corticotomy around the molars, the anchorage value and resistance of the molars to distal movement were effectively reduced without the use of any extra anterior anchorage devices [42].

Contraindications and Limitations

Patients with active periodontal disease or gingival recess-
sion are not good candidates for CAOT. In addition, CAOT should not be considered as an alternative for surgically as-
sisted palatal expansion in the treatment of severe posterior cross-bite. CAOT also should not be used in cases where bimaxillary protrusion is accompanied with a gummy smile, which might benefit more from segmental osteotomy [43].
Complications and Side Effects

Although CAOT may be considered a less-invasive procedure than osteotomy-assisted orthodontics or surgically assisted rapid expansion, there have still been several reports regarding adverse effects to the periodontium after corticotomy, ranging from no problems [8, 41, 44] to slight interradicular bone loss and loss of attached gingiva [45], to periodontal defects observed in some cases with short interdental distance [46]. Subcutaneous hematomas of the face and the neck have been reported after intensive corticotomies [6, 47]. In addition, some post-operative swelling and pain is expected for several days.

No effect on the vitality of the pulps of the teeth in the area of corticotomy was reported [47]. Long-term research on pulpal vitality after rapid movement has not been evaluated in the literature. In an animal study, Liou et al. [48] demonstrated normal pulp vitality after rapid tooth movement at a rate of 1.2 mm per week. However, pulp vitality deserves additional investigation.

It is generally accepted that some root resorption is expected with any orthodontic tooth movement [49]. An association between increased root resorption and duration of the applied force was reported [50-52]. The reduced treatment duration of CAOT may reduce the risk of root resorption. Ren et al. [18] reported rapid tooth movement after corticotomy in beagles without any associated root resorption or irreversible pulp injury. Moon et al. reported safe and sufficient maxillary molar intrusion (3.0 mm intrusion in two months) using corticotomy combined with a skeletal anchorage system with no root resorption [35]. Long-term effect of CAOT on root resorption requires further study.

CONCLUSION

CAOT is a promising technique that has many applications in the orthodontic treatment of adults because it helps to overcome many of the current limitations of this treatment, including lengthy duration, potential for periodontal complications, lack of growth and the limited envelope of tooth movement. The mechanism behind CAOT can be summarized as the induction of bone metabolism via decortication lines and points around the teeth to be moved to enhance bone and periodontal turnover, resulting in a transient stage of osteopenia during treatment. This enhances and accelerates tooth movement if followed by a short period of orthodontic appliance treatment. PAOO effects and mechanism were confirmed by recent well designed histological studies. However, further randomized testing in humans is still needed to confirm the claimed advantages of this technique and to evaluate the long term effects.
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