Pressure Ulcer Associated with Critical Colonization Successfully Treated by Transient Usage of Cadexomer-Iodine: A Case Report
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Abstract: A 56-year-old Japanese man hospitalized for schizophrenia and depression developed pressure ulcer on his greater trochanter due to a long-term bed rest. In spite of applying 0.003% alprostadil alfadex ointment for two months, the ulcer was not improved. Because there was some purulent discharge, we examined semi-quantitative swab bacterial culture from the ulcer and subsequently detected quadrant III of bacteria. Then, 0.9% cadexomer-iodine ointment was applied once a day, resulting in decrease of the discharge and only quadrant I of bacteria culture. Thereafter, by application of polyurethane foams for two months, the wound was completely epithelized. The remarkable acceleration of wound healing after using cadexomer-iodine ointment suggested the initial critical colonization, which might have caused delayed healing.
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INTRODUCTION

Chronic wounds are mostly contaminated with bacteria without harmful effect on healing process. However, when bacteria proliferate up to critical threshold of $10^5$ bacteria per gram of tissue, increased toxins and inflammatory mediators may cause local tissue damage and consequently delay of wound healing [1]. This condition is referred as critical colonization. The prevalence of pressure ulcers among nursing home residents was reported to be 7% to 23% and the incidence of pressure ulcers is estimated to be 14/1000 patient-days among high-risk patients [2]. Further, one prospective study of 16 patients with pressure ulcers who were followed for 2184 days reported that the incidence of infection was 1.4 cases per 1000 patient-ulcer days [3]. However, the prevalence of critical colonization in pressure ulcers has not been reported possibly because the differential diagnosis is difficult between critical colonization and infection. Here, we report a case of pressure ulcer associated with critical colonization successfully treated by transient usage of cadexomer-iodine.

CASE PRESENTATION

A 56-year-old Japanese man hospitalized for schizophrenia and depression developed pressure ulcer on his greater trochanter due to a long-term bed rest. In spite of applying 0.003% alprostadil alfadex ointment (Prostandin® ointment 0.003%, Ono pharmaceutical Co. Ltd., Osaka, Japan) for two months, the ulcer was not improved (Fig. 1A). Because there was some purulent discharge, we examined semi-quantitative swab bacterial culture from the ulcer. Briefly, the bacterial swabs were inoculated onto standard media in a Petri dish and serially diluted and streaked into four quadrants. Five days later, bacterial species isolated from the four quadrants were evaluated as scant (I, first quadrant), light (II, second quadrant), moderate (III, third quadrant), or heavy growth (IV, fourth quadrant). Then we detected quadrant III of Strepotococcus agalactiae and Bacitertoides fragilis, quadrant II of α-Streptococcus, and quadrant I of methicillin-sensitive Staphylococcus aureus (MSSA) and Neisseria species. Although there were no signs of infection but the purulent discharge, 0.9% cadexomer-iodine ointment was applied once a day for a week, resulting in decrease of the discharge (Fig. 1B). Simultaneous semi-quantitative swab bacterial culture showed only quadrant I of MSSA and Strepotococcus agalactiae. Then, the cadexomer-iodine ointment was withdrawn and polyurethane foams (HydroSite®, Smith & Nephew, London, UK) were applied. One month later the wound size gradually decreased (Fig. 1C) and one more month later the wound was completely epithelized (Fig. 1D). The remarkable acceleration of wound healing after using cadexomer-iodine ointment suggested the initial critical colonization, which might have caused delayed healing.

COMMENTS

Very recently, a standardized UPPER and LOWER mnemonic for wound infection checklist was developed to diagnose critical colonization and deep infection in a randomized controlled trial to evaluate an antimicrobial dressing with silver alginate powder [4]. The UPPER, which is associated with critical colonization, refers to the symptoms of unhealthy tissue, pain, poor healing, exudate and reek and at least 2 signs are required for the identification of critical colonization. On the other hand, the LOWER, associated with deep infection, refers to larger in size, osseous tissue, warmth, edema and redness. In our case
the two signs such as poor healing and exudate were detected to indicate critical colonization but none of the signs for deep infection were shown. Therefore, our patient is retrospectively considered as having pressure ulcer complicated with critical colonization but not deep infection, as these criteria were not available when we treated this patient. For diagnosing critical colonization, the $10^5$ bacterial growth guideline [1] is widely appreciated but the patient’s severe mental condition prevented us from performing skin biopsy in our patient. In such instances the UPPER and LOWER criteria were useful to evaluate critical colonization. Because the result of swab cultures decreased from the quadrant III to the quadrant I culture using the cadexomer-iodine ointment in our case, this reduction of the bacterial burden due to critical colonization supposedly exerted preferential effect on the healing process.
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