

Toxic Metals in Urban Reservoirs in the Prague Metropolitan Area (Czech Republic)

Lucie Dolezalova*

CTU in Prague, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Department of Sanitary and Ecological Engineering, Thakurova 7, 166 27 Prague 6, Czech Republic

Abstract: Levels of selected toxic metals (Cd, Cu, Cr, Ni, Pb, Zn, Mn, Fe and Al) were determined in water, sediment and different species of fish in twelve reservoirs of the Prague metropolitan area. These reservoirs are affected by different types of urban drainage systems, which alter the level and fate (properties, availability, accumulation, toxicity) of toxic metals in the aquatic environment. Measurements of toxic metals were complemented by analysis of basic water quality parameters. Environmental quality standards (EQS) were exceeded in more than 50% of the studied reservoirs for the following chemical parameters: total organic carbon (TOC), chemical oxygen demand (COD) and phosphate (PO_4^{3-}). These parameters indicate a significant organic pollution and a high eutrophication level. Copper was identified as the most hazardous pollutant among the selected toxic metals in water. Other metals (Zn, Fe, Mn) exceeded the EQS only exceptionally. High concentrations of copper and zinc resulting in exceeded EQS were also identified in the sediment of most reservoirs. In a few cases increased concentrations of chromium, cadmium and lead were exceeded the EQS. A high variability of metal levels was detected in fish species, according to their age and food habits. The highest levels of toxic metals were found in the Kyjský reservoir (Zn, Cu, Ni, Cd and Pb), Strnad reservoir (Zn, Cu, Cr and Fe) and the retention reservoirs Stodůlecký N3 (Zn, Cu and Cr) and Hájecký RN3 (Zn, Cu, Cr and Pb). These reservoirs are highly affected by different anthropogenic activities, such as printing industry, traffic (Prague's ring road) and wastewater treatment plants.

Keywords: Toxic metals, fish, sediment, water, reservoirs, urban drainage.

INTRODUCTION

The contamination of fresh waters by toxic metals has become a matter of concern over the last few decades, dominantly in heavily industrialized and highly populated cities [1-4]. Toxic metals are typically released into aquatic ecosystems from domestic and industrial waste water and other human activities [5,6], and have devastating effects on the ecological balance of stream and the diversity of aquatic organisms [7-9]. The solubility, bioavailability and toxicity of toxic metals depend on physicochemical parameters such as pH, hardness, and the presence of organic matter [10, 11]. These parameters are influenced by the type of urban drainage such as combined sewer overflow, storm water drain, or waste water treatment plant [12]. Metals dissolve in water and are easily absorbed by fish and other aquatic organisms. The toxicity of metals causes negative biological effects on survival, activity, growth, metabolism, or reproduction of many species [13]. The load of aquatic ecosystems by toxic metals has been often assessed through analysis of fish species, considering their position on the top of the food chain [14, 15]. Numerous studies [16-18] carried out on different fish species have shown that toxic metals can change the physiological activities and biochemical parameters of the

fish body. A number of publications has also compiled the toxic effects of metals and their bioaccumulation in aquatic biota (fish and macrozoobentos) [19-21]. Symptoms of metal poisoning typically include hyperactivity followed by sluggishness before death, swimming at the surface, lethargic and uncoordinated movements, hemorrhaging at gills and base of fins, shed scales, and extensive body and gill mucous [22]. Elevated levels of toxic metals can alter the haematology [23], respiratory and cardiac physiology of fish species, and may also lead to retarded growth and inhibition of spawning [24, 25].

Small and shallow aquatic ecosystems such as urban reservoirs typically have a lower resilience compared to large and deep ones [26]. Particular attention has therefore to be paid to the assessment of the levels of toxic metals and other pollutants in reservoirs located in urban watersheds in agglomerations. This task has been frequently addressed in the past, however most of the previous works were focused separately on toxic metals in water, sediment or fish. This paper presents first complex study of toxic metals occurrence in Prague reservoirs. The main objectives of the study were to quantify the contamination in ecosystems of selected reservoirs with extensive fish farming in the Prague metropolitan area and assess the effect of various pollution sources and urban drainage systems. Three components (water, sediment and fish) of the ecosystem are expected to provide information on the current load, acute risk, long-term load, chronic risk and bioaccumulation (level to which the aquatic biota is loaded from the environment and food) of toxic metals.

*Address correspondence to this author at the CTU in Prague, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Thakurova 7, 166 29, Prague 6, Czech Republic;
Tel: +420 224 354 348; Fax: +420 224 355 474;
E-mail: lucie.dolezalova@fsv.cvut.cz

Fig. (1). Location of monitored reservoirs in the Prague agglomeration with identified main pollution sources.**Table 1. List of Monitored Reservoirs with their Basic Characteristics**

Reservoir	Main inflow to Reservoir	Function of Reservoir	Storage Volume (m ³)	Watershed Area (km ²)
Kyjský reservoir	Rokytká creek	R, L, FF	455 480	115.7
Počernický reservoir	Rokytká creek	R, L, B, FF,	310 000	102.5
Jiviny reservoir	Litovicko-Šárecký creek	R, FF, B	138 000	37.8
Strnad reservoir	Litovicko-Šárecký creek	B, R, L	114 015	34.5
Stodůlky reservoir 3	Prokopský creek	R, L, FF	25 750	4.5
Košíkovský reservoir 3	Košíkovský creek	R, FF, L	13 674	3.5
Košíkovský reservoir 4	Košíkovský creek	R, FF, L	7 843	3.5
Motolský reservoir 1	Motolský creek	FF, L	10 914	2.6
Motolský reservoir 3	Motolský creek	FF, L	5 394	2.6
Hornoměcholupský reservoir	Měcholupský creek	R, L	6 760	2.5
Milíčov reservoir 3	Milíčovský creek	R, FF	29 507	1.9
Hájecký reservoir 3	Hájecký creek	R, L, FF	16 000	0.5

Note: R – retention, FF – fish farming, L – landscaping, B – biological

METHODS

Field Monitoring and Sampling

Accumulation of toxic metals was monitored in fish farming ecosystems of twelve reservoirs in the Prague metropolitan area, which are affected by different types of urban drainage (Fig. 1). These reservoirs have small natural catchments, but much of the inflowing water is discharged from large impervious areas, stormwater channels and pipelines. A list of reservoirs with short characteristics is given in Table 1.

The reservoirs have a small surface area of a few hectares, and they are very shallow, with an average depth of 3-5 m or less. The surrounding urban watersheds therefore exert a strong influence on the water and sediment quality in the reservoirs.

Samples of water were collected 6 times throughout the years 2010 and 2011 to nontransparent 100ml bottles and processed immediately after returning to the laboratory. Conductivity, pH and dissolved oxygen were determined by combined probes (Hach) directly in the field. Samples of sediments were collected from several locations in the reser-

Table 2. Characteristics of the Studied Fish Species

Fish Species	Fish Family	Food Habits	Pieces
<i>Cyprinus carpio</i>	Cyprinidae	aquatic plants, macroinvertebrates, benthic worms	12
<i>Carassius carassius</i>	Cyprinidae	aquatic plants, macroinvertebrates, benthic worms	12
<i>Gobio gobio</i>	Cyprinidae	aquatic plants, macroinvertebrates, benthic worms	5
<i>Alburnoides bipunctatus</i>	Cyprinidae	aquatic plants, macroinvertebrates, benthic worms	30
<i>Perca fluviatilis</i>	Percidae	macroinvertebrates, benthic worms, small fishes	4
<i>Rutilus rutilus</i>	Cyprinidae	plankton, macroinvertebrates, benthic worms,	4
<i>Tinca tinca</i>	Cyprinidae	aquatic plants, macroinvertebrates, benthic worms	4
<i>Blicca bjoerkna</i>	Cyprinidae	aquatic plants, macroinvertebrates, benthic worms	2
<i>Esox lucius</i>	Esocidae	fish, crayfish, frogs, mice, muskrats and young waterfowl	4
<i>Ctenopharyngodon idella</i>	Cyprinidae	aquatic plants, macroinvertebrates, benthic worms	2

voirs in 2009, 2010 and 2011. A set of samples was always collected at the time of the autumn harvesting of fish, and additional samples were also collected 6 times in 2010 and 2011. Sediments were transferred with a plastic scoop into a plastic container to prevent undesirable secondary contamination by metals.

Fish bodies were obtained from fishermen during the autumn harvesting in 2009, 2010 and 2011. Principal characteristics of the studied fish species are summarized in Table 2.

Preparation and Analysis of Samples

All analyzes were carried out in the laboratory of the Department of Sanitary and Ecological Engineering of the Czech Technical University in Prague, unless stated otherwise. Laboratory analysis of water samples included toxic metals and other basic parameters of physical - chemical quality of water (N-NH_4^+ , N-NO_3^- , N-NO_2^- , Cl^- , P-PO_4^{3-} , COD, and TOC). Water samples for determination of toxic metals (Cd, Pb, Zn, Cr, Ni, Cu and Al, Mn and Fe) were fixed by the addition of 1 ml HNO_3 and analyzed by the atomic absorption spectrometer SolaarS with graphite and flame atomization. Other parameters were determined in the laboratory by the cuvette tests (Hach - Lange).

Samples of sediments and fish were frozen and freeze-dried. Fish for analyses were dissected to separate parts and organs (meat, heads, skeletons, fins, scales, gills, air bladders, gall bladders, hearts, livers, kidneys, intestines and gonads – sperm and eggs). Samples of sediments were sieved to separate larger fractions ($>600\ \mu\text{m}$), whereas the fraction $<600\ \mu\text{m}$ was processed as the total sediments. Sediment and fish samples were microwave-digested in a mixture of 9 ml HNO_3 and 1 ml H_2O_2 [27, 28]. After the digestion (by ETHOS, Milestone) the sediment and fish samples were analysed for selected toxic metals (Cd, Pb, Zn, Cr, Ni, Cu and Al, Mn and Fe) by atomic absorption spectrometer (SolaarS, Thermo).

The amount of organic matter in sediment samples was identified as loss on ignition, and the proportion of total organic carbon was analysed by TOC instruments Analytik Jena multi N/C 2100 in the laboratory of the Department of Irrigation, Drainage and Landscape Engineering of the Czech Technical University in Prague. The level of metals in water was evaluated according to the Government regulation

of the Czech Republic No. 23/2011[29], and the level of metals in sediment was evaluated according to the US EPA benchmarks (TEC -Threshold effect concentration, PEC – Probable effect concentration) [30]. The level of metals in fish samples was evaluated according to the European Directive 466/2001 [31], which sets a maximum allowed concentration of various toxic metals in fresh biomass of fish for human consumption.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Water Quality

Values of basic chemical and physical parameters are shown in Table 3. The Environmental quality standards (EQS) [29] on water quality were exceeded in more than 50% of the studied reservoirs for total organic carbon, chemical oxygen demand, phosphate, ammonium nitrogen and dissolved oxygen. These parameters indicate a high level of eutrophication and organic pollution of the respective reservoirs, indicating that organic pollution is a frequent problem in urban reservoirs [26]. Eutrophication contributes to fish mortality, loss of riparian habitat, death of beneficial aquatic insects and taste and odor problems. The concentrations of toxic metals in water (Table 4) occur in the order $\text{Fe} > \text{Al} > \text{Cu} > \text{Mn} > \text{Zn} > \text{Cr} > \text{Ni} > \text{Pb} > \text{Cd}$. The concentrations of copper in most observed reservoirs exceed the EQS and therefore cause in water a toxic stress for the fish population. For example, the sensitive species (rainbow trout) produce a physiological stress response, characterized by hyperactivity, increased blood levels of the stress hormone cortisol and synthesis of the metal detoxifying protein already in very low copper concentrations in water ($1.4\ \mu\text{g/l}$) [33].

Copper also causes reduced sperm and egg production in many fish species, early hatching eggs, smaller fry and increased incidence of abnormalities and reduced survival in the fry [32,33]. The toxicity of copper also depends on the form of occurrence [34].

Sediment Quality

Levels of toxic metals in the sediment samples are presented in Table 5. Metal accumulation in sediment was found in the order $\text{Fe} > \text{Al} > \text{Mn} > \text{Zn} > \text{Cu} > \text{Cr} > \text{Ni} > \text{Pb} > \text{Cd}$.

Table 3. Basic Chemical and Physical Parameters of Water in the Studied Reservoirs

Reservoir		pH	Dissolved O ₂ (mg l ⁻¹)	Cond. (µScm ⁻¹)	N-NH ₄ ⁺ (mg l ⁻¹)	N-NO ₃ ⁻ (mg l ⁻¹)	N-NO ₂ ⁻ (mg l ⁻¹)	Cl ⁻ (mg l ⁻¹)	P-PO ₄ ³⁻ (mg l ⁻¹)	COD (mg l ⁻¹)	TOC (mg l ⁻¹)
Hájecký reservoir 3	MAX	8.76	13.6	781	0.081	0.74	0.040	74.3	0.095	36.3	8.82
	AVE	8.49	10.2	452	0.075	0.61	0.032	61.8	0.061	21.7	7.68
	MIN	8.07	8.4	278	0.061	0.47	0.029	31.0	0.026	13.8	6.47
Miličov reservoir	MAX	7.92	7.9	395	0.189	0.59	0.056	32.8	0.139	46.0	13.5
	AVE	7.75	6.97	328	0.109	0.32	0.031	27.4	0.129	31.4	11.1
	MIN	7.46	5.6	267	0.044	0.19	0.017	18.2	0.120	21.7	10.2
Počernický reservoir	MAX	9.1	17.1	810	0.361	2.3	0.125	84.8	0.309	59.1	18.9
	AVE	8.97	15.7	741	0.210	1.8	0.114	71.2	0.247	43.9	15.6
	MIN	8.81	13.5	666	0.093	1.4	0.108	67.5	0.176	37.0	14.6
Kyjský reservoir	MAX	8.94	17.2	877	0.054	1.89	0.115	96.2	0.276	70.6	13.5
	AVE	8.64	12.8	724	0.051	1.62	0.101	88.3	0.178	42.1	12.7
	MIN	8.42	9.7	680	0.040	1.24	0.094	76.0	0.111	26.6	12.2
Jiviny reservoir	MAX	9.4	18.56	977	0.647	2.27	0.117	118.0	0.464	54.6	15.6
	AVE	8.98	12.9	821	0.321	1.57	0.067	97.4	0.341	50.1	11.6
	MIN	8.77	10.5	685	0.052	0.56	0.039	88.3	0.210	47.2	8.3
Stodůlecký reservoir 3	MAX	8.55	10.11	1563	0.761	2.60	0.086	125.0	0.151	56.3	15.5
	AVE	7.97	9.12	874	0.401	1.68	0.070	108.1	0.68	31.2	12.5
	MIN	7.14	8.25	545	0.165	0.67	0.061	71.1	0.010	2.8	9.28
Motolský reservoir 3	MAX	7.96	7.94	1306	0.158	1.17	0.017	238.0	0.078	27.3	36.2
	AVE	7.91	7.25	1210	0.098	0.84	0.010	201.9	0.060	24.7	14.8
	MIN	7.87	6.18	1140	0.031	0.29	0.008	161.0	0.042	19.1	5.02
Košík reservoir 3	MAX	8.49	7.13	1545	0.334	1.93	0.137	91.5	0.407	37.7	12.8
	AVE	7.88	6.74	845	0.174	1.56	0.107	67.8	0.138	26.6	10.9
	MIN	6.86	5.91	330	0.096	1.14	0.075	44.5	0.021	9.2	8.5
Košík reservoir 4	MAX	8.79	6.14	1633	0.552	1.16	0.108	88.7	0.325	73.2	12.3
	AVE	7.69	5.47	896	0.467	0.99	0.095	69.2	0.142	31.5	10.9
	MIN	6.89	3.61	370	0.399	0.77	0.076	49.8	0.020	5.8	7.28
Strnad reservoir	MAX	8.1	10.93	1037	2.980	2.79	0.236	111.2	0.692	59.7	16.3
	AVE	7.98	10.09	894	1.421	2.51	0.235	109.7	0.512	42.8	15.7
	MIN	7.84	9.4	859	0.807	2.17	0.233	94.4	0.416	38.0	13.1
Hornoměřolská reservoir	MAX	7.81	8.56	598	0.254	1.46	0.116	45.4	0.193	64.3	11.8
	AVE	7.78	6.25	507	0.231	1.08	0.103	40.8	0.162	45.9	10.1
	MIN	7.32	3.06	395	0.211	0.631	0.092	31.8	0.113	35.9	8.5
Motolský reservoir 1	MAX	8.07	7.45	1480	0.118	1.39	0.035	188	0.152	32.6	10.8
	AVE	7.54	6.87	947	0.094	0.94	0.032	168	0.94	17.4	9.2
	MIN	7.29	6.10	826	0.073	0.35	0.030	145	0.011	4.4	8.5
EQS (NV 23/2011) [29]		6-9	>9	-	0.23	5.4	0.14	150	0.15	26	10

Note: Values enhanced in bold and italic exceed the EQS [29]

Table 4. Concentration of Toxic Metals in Water in the Studied Reservoirs

Reservoir		Zn ($\mu\text{g}\text{L}^{-1}$)	Cu ($\mu\text{g}\text{L}^{-1}$)	Ni ($\mu\text{g}\text{L}^{-1}$)	Cd ($\mu\text{g}\text{L}^{-1}$)	Cr ($\mu\text{g}\text{L}^{-1}$)	Pb ($\mu\text{g}\text{L}^{-1}$)	Fe ($\mu\text{g}\text{L}^{-1}$)	Mn ($\mu\text{g}\text{L}^{-1}$)	Al ($\mu\text{g}\text{L}^{-1}$)
Hájecký reservoir 3	MAX	15.7	63.7	2.09	0.041	2.03	0.745	352.0	32.1	107.0
	AVE	13.4	57.2	1.54	0.025	1.84	0.612	274.4	24.1	98.5
	MIN	8.8	51.2	1.15	0.014	1.45	0.411	156.0	12.3	87.0
Miličův reservoir	MAX	15.6	72.9	3.07	0.042	6.12	1.45	1374.0	124.3	712.0
	AVE	13.4	66.4	2.74	0.019	5.32	1.24	1122.5	114.4	521.8
	MIN	9.4	42.1	1.61	PMD	4.72	0.94	1095.0	95.4	321.0
Počernický reservoir	MAX	19.8	65.1	5.212	0.067	5.103	0.704	432.0	354.0	282.0
	AVE	12.4	59.7	4.211	0.047	4.424	0.624	374.0	278.0	192.0
	MIN	6.3	55.1	3.647	0.032	3.653	0.508	314.0	206.0	102.0
Kyjský reservoir	MAX	10.5	63.7	5.367	0.012	5.641	0.727	151.0	150.1	126.0
	AVE	8.2	56.4	4.752	0.010	4.721	0.612	98.0	148.4	102.0
	MIN	4.7	47.4	4.095	0.007	3.452	0.478	74.0	147.1	74.0
Jiviny reservoir	MAX	8.5	67.4	5.241	0.012	1.113	0.314	372.0	68.5	124.0
	AVE	6.7	49.1	4.240	0.009	1.024	0.308	254.0	47.2	101.9
	MIN	4.3	25.8	3.386	0.004	0.941	0.288	124.0	24.9	82.0
Stodůlecký reservoir 3	MAX	12.6	52.6	6.483	0.011	1.782	0.829	422.0	82.3	151.0
	AVE	10.1	38.1	5.120	0.007	1.245	0.621	307.0	61.4	142.0
	MIN	7.4	12.1	2.319	0.003	0.607	0.184	94.0	36.1	134.0
Motolský reservoir 3	MAX	7.5	45.6	4.132	0.151	2.314	0.539	451.0	125.5	163.0
	AVE	5.2	29.7	3.841	0.112	1.945	0.421	320.0	117.0	139.0
	MIN	2.1	13.5	3.215	0.075	1.231	0.194	197.0	108.8	122.0
Košík reservoir 3	MAX	22.9	59.9	2.647	0.011	2.745	2.117	508.0	141.1	350.0
	AVE	17.6	38.4	2.121	0.006	2.245	1.124	345.0	109.3	274.0
	MIN	11.3	11.2	1.777	0.002	1.834	0.529	245.0	69.1	158.0
Košík reservoir 4	MAX	21.3	49.3	2.707	0.038	2.421	3.124	463.0	613.1	456.0
	AVE	17.9	37.2	2.302	0.025	1.948	2.415	297.0	534.1	345.0
	MIN	14.7	14.7	2.025	0.001	1.231	0.973	120.0	507.8	169.0
Strnad reservoir	MAX	28.9	61.6	6.105	0.032	3.124	0.641	1258.0	202.7	664.0
	AVE	19.8	45.1	5.978	0.027	2.994	0.478	1189.0	179.1	498.0
	MIN	13.9	23.4	5.214	0.019	2.856	0.384	1074.0	129.8	343.0
Hornoměřolupská reservoir	MAX	34.6	64.7	4.596	0.045	7.091	6.879	1918.0	229.1	1265.0
	AVE	27.5	49.2	3.784	0.029	6.647	5.684	1647.0	164.2	975.0
	MIN	19.7	31.5	3.014	0.008	6.214	4.215	1241.0	94.5	754.0
Motolský reservoir 1	MAX	21.6	45.6	7.947	0.003	11.723	0.513	463.0	132.9	228.0
	AVE	18.2	29.4	6.512	0.002	10.245	0.410	294.0	109.2	119.0
	MIN	14.5	13.3	5.505	0.001	9.247	0.246	96.0	98.4	81.0
EQS (NV 23/2011) [29]		92.0	14.0	20	0.3	18	7.2	1000.0	300.0	1000.0

Note: Values enhanced in bold and italic exceed the EQS [29]

Table 5. Content of Toxic Metals in Sediments of the Studied Reservoirs

Reservoir		Zn (mgkg ⁻¹)	Cu (mgkg ⁻¹)	Ni (mgkg ⁻¹)	Cd (mgkg ⁻¹)	Cr (mgkg ⁻¹)	Pb (mgkg ⁻¹)	Fe (gkg ⁻¹)	Mn (mgkg ⁻¹)	Al (mgkg ⁻¹)
Hájecký reservoir 3	<i>MAX</i>	754	84	34	0.526	78	64	34	401	11.2
	<i>AVE</i>	671	72	28	0.460	52	56	29	384	7.8
	<i>MIN</i>	502	52	21	0.304	35	32	27	302	6.4
Miličov reservoir	<i>MAX</i>	310	87	27	0.412	54	55	45	420	8.6
	<i>AVE</i>	270	73	23	0.384	49	47	34	381	6.2
	<i>MIN</i>	198	65	18	0.351	43	43	29	256	4.5
Počernický reservoir	<i>MAX</i>	165	77	23	0.514	58	32	22	631	6.6
	<i>AVE</i>	148	63	17	0.455	51	28	19	551	4.7
	<i>MIN</i>	132	51	11	0.412	37	24	18	425	3.8
Kyjský reservoir	<i>MAX</i>	326	60	32	1.542	56	57	23	950	17.1
	<i>AVE</i>	226	55	28	1.084	49	48	17	849	14.2
	<i>MIN</i>	159	32	24	0.621	46	32	12	745	12.4
Jiviny reservoir	<i>MAX</i>	112	27	11	0.141	22	15	15	268	5.8
	<i>AVE</i>	87	24	10	0.131	18	14	12	176	4.2
	<i>MIN</i>	60	18	9.6	0.121	14	13	9	135	3.9
Stodůlecký reservoir 3	<i>MAX</i>	448	76	36	0.335	60	33	26	310	11.2
	<i>AVE</i>	347	52	27	0.264	43	31	24	278	10.1
	<i>MIN</i>	221	36	21	0.201	20	29	21	256	9.4
Motolský reservoir 3	<i>MAX</i>	154	83	34	0.405	39	34	27	611	8.8
	<i>AVE</i>	139	78	31	0.389	36	32	24	564	7.1
	<i>MIN</i>	121	75	29	0.378	31	31	21	485	6.9
Košík reservoir 3	<i>MAX</i>	198	19	16	0.102	20	15	19	148	5.2
	<i>AVE</i>	124	17	14	0.074	17	13	18	121	4.1
	<i>MIN</i>	43	15	10	0.027	15	10	16	98	3.7
Košík reservoir 4	<i>MAX</i>	194	33	17	0.348	25	26	20	233	13.1
	<i>AVE</i>	119	27	15	0.214	24	23	17	220	11.4
	<i>MIN</i>	49	16	14	0.164	23	22	14	201	9.8
Strnad reservoir	<i>MAX</i>	380	67	26	0.248	59	20	17	523	14.4
	<i>AVE</i>	224	59	20	0.194	36	18	15	481	12.8
	<i>MIN</i>	119	47	13	0.15	22	13	11	465	10.7
Hornoměřolská reservoir	<i>MAX</i>	173	61	34	0.372	38	47	10	216	6.9
	<i>AVE</i>	127	47	32	0.245	29	35	8	179	6.1
	<i>MIN</i>	85	24	31	0.097	16	18	6	154	5.2
Motolský reservoir 1	<i>MAX</i>	185	35	28	0.197	34	17	24	363	13.3
	<i>AVE</i>	162	29	26	0.148	28	15	21	325	10.2
	<i>MIN</i>	128	25	25	0.125	25	13	16	301	8.4
TEC (US EPA 1997) [27]		159	28	39.6	0.592	56	34.2	-	-	-
PEC (US EPA 1997) [27]		1532	77.7	38.5	11.7	159	396	-	-	58

Note: Values enhanced in bold and italic exceed the EQS [27]

The average content of organic matter detected as ignition loss in the total bottom sediments was 9%, with sediments mainly composed of mineral substances. The mean total organic carbon content was 4.7%. The highest content of organic matter (OM) and the highest proportion of total organic carbon (TOC) were found in the Strnad reservoir,

whose total sediment has 23% OM and 14% TOC. As shown in Table 5, the levels of Zn and Cu exceed the US EPA benchmarks in the majority of studied reservoirs. Levels of Cr, Cd and Pb exceed the critical values in at least one of the reservoirs. Although Zn, Cu and Ni are not highly toxic to humans, they can be highly toxic to some fish and many

Table 6. The Average Concentration of Toxic Metals in Muscle of *Cyprinus Carpio* and *Carassius Carassius* (Wet Weight Concentration)

Reservoir		Zn (mg-g ⁻¹)	Cu (mg-g ⁻¹)	Ni (mg-g ⁻¹)	Cd (mg-g ⁻¹)	Cr (mg-g ⁻¹)	Pb (mg-g ⁻¹)	Fe (mg-g ⁻¹)	Mn (mg-g ⁻¹)	Al (mg-g ⁻¹)
Košík reservoir 4	<i>Cyprinus</i>	11.85	0.25	30.67	0.29	22.54	3.45	3.22	0.51	3.07
	<i>Carassius</i>	11.04	0.28	25.48	0.29	17.00	2.28	3.47	0.69	2.41
Motolský reservoir 3	<i>Cyprinus</i>	10.61	0.23	17.58	0.69	2.75	1.24	12.48	3.14	2.15
	<i>Carassius</i>	11.77	0.24	22.81	0.65	2.39	2.15	15.42	4.08	2.96
Kyjský reservoir	<i>Cyprinus</i>	25.72	0.83	23.07	0.21	23.81	8.76	4.93	0.20	3.18
	<i>Carassius</i>	19.26	0.21	38.62	0.46	19.23	6.02	2.03	0.90	7.66
Hornoměřolupská reservoir	<i>Cyprinus</i>	12.78	0.40	37.30	0.20	25.32	4.31	0.57	0.41	3.35
	<i>Carassius</i>	17.99	0.22	57.80	0.25	18.42	2.44	1.85	0.79	1.70
Stodůlecký reservoir 3	<i>Cyprinus</i>	8.73	0.22	125.1	0.25	19.62	11.6	2.27	0.74	2.98
	<i>Carassius</i>	8.44	0.75	159.7	0.37	17.42	17.59	2.87	0.85	2.74
Strnad reservoir	<i>Cyprinus</i>	29.90	1.77	50.49	0.21	32.57	35.96	1.82	6.12	1.96
	<i>Carassius</i>	11.98	1.82	71.89	0.51	34.45	30.12	2.68	6.96	3.21
Počernický reservoir	<i>Cyprinus</i>	11.52	0.44	87.71	0.18	19.22	14.63	2.62	2.83	0.91
	<i>Carassius</i>	18.30	0.43	121.3	0.25	23.35	22.69	3.26	6.97	1.12
Hájecký reservoir 3	<i>Cyprinus</i>	15.24	0.58	23.25	0.12	18.08	3.77	2.60	2.95	1.5
	<i>Carassius</i>	11.42	0.47	19.41	0.24	16.71	2.54	1.87	3.12	1.97
European Directive 466/2001 [31]		-	-	-	50	-	200	-	-	-

aquatic animals [34]. Elevated concentrations of Cr, Cd, Cu and Pb in sediment may cause a problem when accompanied by high concentrations of zinc and remobilized into water. Mixtures of zinc with copper, lead, cadmium and chromium are considered to have more than additive toxicity effects to a wide variety of aquatic organisms, including oyster larvae, marine fish, freshwater fish and amphipods [35]. The metals in the sediment of the studied reservoirs are also source of chronic hazard for the aquatic organisms, especially for those living in the bottom sediment.

Quality of Fish

Metal accumulation was found in the order Fe > Al > Zn > Mn > Cu > Ni > Pb > Cr > Cd for the whole fish bodies.

Metal accumulation levels in fish muscle never exceeded the maximum allowed [31] level of Cd and Pb in fresh biomass of fish for human consumption (Table 6).

The lowest levels of metals in fish was found in reservoirs located below other reservoirs which function as a pre-treatment (Košík reservoir 4, Motolský reservoir 3, Stodůlecký reservoir 3) where part of the metals is removed. The highest concentrations of metals in fish were found in Strnad reservoir, Kyjský reservoir and Počernický reservoir.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The study summarized loads of toxic metals and their accumulation in the water, bottom sediments and fish biomass in twelve reservoirs in the Prague metropolitan area. Basic chemical and physical parameters of water and sediment were also measured, because they may influence the behaviour and fate of toxic metals in the aquatic environment.

Measured values of water quality parameters and toxic metals in the monitored reservoirs were often exceeded the EQS, including total organic carbon, chemical oxygen demand, phosphate, ammonium nitrogen, dissolved oxygen and copper. Copper and zinc were evaluated as most hazardous metals in the studied reservoirs. The copper concentrations exceeded the EQS for water and sediment, and increased zinc concentrations were often found in sediment. Both metals are highly toxic to some fish and many aquatic animals. The lead ecological standards were exceeded in four reservoirs and cadmium standards in one reservoir.

The geographical assessment of the loads of metals has concluded that the highest concentrations in sediment were found in the reservoirs Kyjský, Počernický, Miličov and Hájecký. All of these four reservoirs are located near large industrial areas. The high metal load in the Kyjský and Počernický reservoir is probably caused by a significant storm water input from adjacent urbanized areas, including major polluters such as Prague Heating plant, Vltava-Labe Press (printing plant), Penguin CZ and IDEAL (laundry and dry cleaning of textile and fur products). Another significant source of pollution by toxic metals are the surface flow and exhalations from the busy roads E67 and E65 (R1-Prague ring road) near the Kyjský and Počernický reservoirs. The pollution by toxic metals may be present in a significant portion of combined sewer overflows and illegal wastewater pipelines connected to storm water drains or directly to the recipient - Rokyta creek [36, 37]. Hájecký reservoir and Miličov reservoir have the smallest watershed area of all reservoirs. Significantly smaller number of industrial polluters is located in their watersheds compared with watershed of Kyjský and Počernický reservoirs. The major polluters are five branches of Prague Heating plant – South City and ex-

halations from the busy roads E55 and E50 (R1-Prague ring road). Attention should be paid to Strnad reservoir where the EQS for following parameters were exceeded: N-NH_4^+ , N-NO_2^- , Cl^- , P-PO_4^{3-} , COD, TOC, Cu and Fe in water, and Cu, Zn and Cr in sediment. It can be concluded that the Strnad reservoir works like a biological purification pond for the wastewater treatment plant Hostovice. This hypothesis was supported by fishermen at fish harvests and also by significant content of organic matter in sediment.

The measured data in the reservoirs have indicated various types of pollution and eutrophication, and provide a rationale for a further continuous monitoring of the reservoir ecosystems, with particular emphasis on the most polluted reservoirs. It would allow to better evaluate the occurrence and movement of metals between water, sediment and fish. Special attention should be also paid to continuous monitoring and evaluation of the quality of fish, because fish from most of the reservoirs are used for human consumption. Although toxic metals in fish meat meet the valid legislation requirements, it is highly recommended to continue the complex monitoring of the pollutants in the entire system water-sediment-fish.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors confirm that this article content has no conflicts of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the project of Ministry of Education of CR No. MSM 6840770002 and projects n. SGS12/131/OHK1/2T/11 and SGS11/039/OHK1/1T/11.

REFERENCES

- [1] Vutukuru SS. Acute effects of hexavalent chromium on survival, oxygen consumption, haematological parameters and some biochemical profiles of the Indian Major carp. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2005; 3: 456-62
- [2] Dirilgen N. Accumulation of heavy metals in freshwater organisms: Assessment of toxic interactions. *Turk J Chem* 2001; 3: 173-9.
- [3] Voegborlo RB, Methnani AME, Abedin MZ. Mercury, cadmium and lead content of canned Tuna fish. *Food Chem* 1999; 3: 341-5.
- [4] Canli M, Ay O, Kalay M. Levels of heavy metals (Cd, Pb, Cu, and Ni) in tissue of *Cyprinus Carpio*, *Barbus Capito* and *Chondrostoma regium* from the Seyhan river. *Turk J Zool* 1998; 3: 149-57.
- [5] Velez D, Montoro R. Arsenic speciation in manufactured seafood products: a review. *J Food Protect* 1998; 9: 1240-5.
- [6] Conacher HB, Page BD, Ryan JJ. Industrial chemical contamination of foods. *Food Addit Contam* 1993; 1: 129-43.
- [7] Farombi EO, Adelowo OA, Ajimoko YR. Biomarkers of oxidative stress and heavy metal levels as indicators of environmental pollution in African Cat fish (*Clarias gariepinus*) from Nigeria ogun river. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2007; 2: 158-65.
- [8] Vosyliene MZ, Jankaite A. Effect of heavy metal model mixture on rainbow trout biological parameters. *Ekologija* 2006; 4: 12-7.
- [9] Ashraj W. Accumulation of heavy metals in kidney and heart tissues of *Epinephelus microdon* fish from the Arabian Gulf. *Environ Monit Assess* 2005; 311-6.
- [10] Hadjispyrou S, Kungolos A, Anagnostopoulos A. Toxicity, bioaccumulation, and interactive effects of organotin, cadmium, and chromium on *Artemia franciscana*. *Ecotox Environ Safe* 2001; 179-86.
- [11] Landis WG, Yu MH. Introduction to Environmental Toxicology: impacts of chemicals upon ecological systems. Boca Raton, FL: Lewis Publishers 1999; p. 390.
- [12] Ripley EA, Redmann RE. Environmental Impact of Mining in Canada. Centre for Resource Studies, Queens University, Kingston, ON 1978; p. 274
- [13] Wright DA, Welbourn P. Environmental Toxicology. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, 2002; pp. 630
- [14] Farkas A, Salanki J, Specziar A. Relation between growth and the heavy metal concentration in organs of bream *Abramis brama* L. populating lake Balaton. *Arch Environ Con Tox* 2002; 2: 236-43.
- [15] Yousuf MHA, El-Shahawi. Trace metals in *Lethrinus lentjan* fish from Arabian Gulf: Metal accumulation in Kidney and Heart Tissues. *B Environ Contam Tox* 1999; 3: 293-300.
- [16] Basa Siraj P, Usha Rani A. Cadmium induced antioxidant defense mechanism in freshwater teleost *Oreochromis mossambicus* (Tilapia). *Ecotox Environ Saf* 2003; 2: 218-21
- [17] Canli M. Natural occurrence of metallothionein like proteins in the hepatopancreas of the Norway lobster *Nephrops Norvegicus* and effects of Cd, Cu, and Zn exposures on levels of the metal bound on metallothionein. *Turk J Zool* 1995; 19: 313-21.
- [18] Tort L, Torres P. The effects of sub lethal concentration of cadmium on hematological parameters in the dog fish, *Scyliorhinus Canicula*. *J Fish Biol* 1988; 2: 277-82.
- [19] Waqar A. Levels of selected heavy metals in Tuna fish. *Arab J Sci Eng* 2006; 31: 89-92.
- [20] Adami GM, Barbieri P, Fabiani M, Piselli S, Predonzani S, Reisenhofer E. Levels of cadmium and zinc in hepatopancreas of reared *Mytilus galloprovincialis* from the Gulf of Trieste (Italy). *Chemosphere* 2002; 7: 671-7.
- [21] Rasmussen AD, Anderson O. Effects on cadmium exposure on volume regulation in the lugworm. *Arenicola marina*. *Aquat Toxicol* 2000; 48: 151-64.
- [22] Bengeri KV, Patil HS. Respiration, liver glycogen and bioaccumulation in *Labeo rohita* exposed to zinc. *Indian J Comp Anim Phys* 1986 4: 79-84.
- [23] Ames R, Sampath K, Selvamani P. Effect of EDTA on reduction of copper toxicity in *Oreochromis mossambicus* (Peters). *B Environ Contam Tox* 1998; 60: 487-93.
- [24] Sorensen EMB. Metal Poisoning in Fish. Boca Raton. FL: CRC Press 1991; p. 374.
- [25] Benoit DA. Chronic effects of copper on survival, growth, and reproduction of the bluegill (*Lepomis macrochirus*). *Trans Am Fish Soc* 1975; 2: 353-8.
- [26] Naselli-Flores L. Urban Lakes: Ecosystem at risk, worthy of the best care. In: The 12th World Lake Conference. Sengupta M, Dalwani R Ed. Proceedings of Taal 2007; pp. 1333-7.
- [27] US EPA method 3051. Microwave-Assisted Acid Digestion of sediments, Sludges, Soils and Oils, Washington DC, USA [cited 2012 Aug 12]. Available from: <http://www.epa.gov/osw/hazard/testmethods/sw846/pdfs/3051a.pdf>
- [28] Burt A. The Accumulation of Zn, Se, Cd, and Pb and Physical Conditions of Anadara trapezia Transplanted to a Contamination Gradient in Lake Macquarie. New South Wales. Ph.D. thesis, University of Canberra, Australia 2001.
- [29] Nařízení vlády ČR č. 23/2011 Sb. 23 ze dne 22. prosince 2010, kterým se mění nařízení vlády č. 61/2003 Sb. [cited 2012 Aug 16]. Available from: http://eagri.cz/public/web/file/105217/sb0008_2011_23_2011.pdf
- [30] Jones DS, Suter GWII, Hull RN. Toxicological benchmarks for screening contaminants of potential concern for effects on sediment-associated biota. 1997 Revision. ES/ER/TM-95/R4. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 1997; [cited 2012 Aug 13]. Available from: <http://www.esd.ornl.gov/programs/ecorisk/documents/tm95r4.pdf>
- [31] Commission regulation No.466/2001 setting maximum levels of certain contaminants in foodstuffs. *Off J Eur Union* 2001; [cited 2012 Aug 14]. Available from: http://ec.europa.eu/food/fs/sfp/fer/fer02_en.pdf
- [32] Eisler R. Copper hazards to fish, wildlife, and invertebrates: a synoptic review. U.S. Geological Survey, Biological Resources Division, Biological Science Report 1997; p. 98.
- [33] Solomon F. Impacts of copper on aquatic ecosystem and human health. *Mining.com Magazine* 2009. Available from: <http://magazine.mining.com/Issues/0904/ImpactsCopperAquaticEcosystemsHumanHealth.pdf>
- [34] Pitter P. Hydrochemistry. Institute of Chemical Technology Prague 2009; p. 568.

- [35] Eisler R. Zinc hazards to fish, wildlife, and invertebrates: A Synoptic Review. Geological Survey. Biological Resources Division. Biol Rep 10. Contam Haz Reviews. April 1993 Report 26. p. 126.
- [36] Forest of Capital City of Prague. Department of water courses 2012; [cited 2012 Aug 13]. Available from: <http://www.lesypraha.cz>
- [37] Czech hydrometeorological institute. Pollution sources in 2010; 2001; [cited 2012 Sep 2]. Available from: http://portal.chmi.cz/files/portal/docs/uoco/web_generator/plants/praha_CZ.html

Received: October 15, 2012

Revised: November 11, 2012

Accepted: November 25, 2012

© Lucie Dolezalova: Licensee *Bentham Open*.

This is an open access article licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>) which permits unrestricted, non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the work is properly cited.