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Abstract: In this paper, a deterministic security-constrained unit commitment (SCUC) model is deployed in order to optimize generation output and allocation for spinning reserve considering different wind power dispatch modes. In this model, the scheduling of power plants takes into account a simultaneous clearing of power, reserve capacity requirement and CO2 emission and so on. Spinning reserve is modelled as an exogenous parameter which represents load uncertainty and wind power uncertainty. Special attention in the study is given to determine the impact of different dispatch modes with wind power and different levels of spinning reserve requirement on system operation and costs. The proposed model can be formulated as a mixed-integer problem (MIP) and solved in GAMS by using the CPLEX optimizer. The model is applied to a wind-fired intensive power system for three case studies. The results include the optimal spinning reserve and generator output of each generator, CO2 emission cost and cost of wind power for each case study. The results show that taking wind power as a control option can improves system operation and costs if wind generation and traditional sources generation are coordinated properly.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Power system operators have a number of responsibilities that focus on maintaining reliability [1, 2]. The reliable operation of a power system depends on maintaining frequency at or very close to nominal levels (50 Hz in China, Europe and many other areas throughout the world, 60 Hz in North America) [3]. However, many of the properties of the power system, including its generation output, load levels, and transmission equipment availability are both variable and unpredictable. In particular, with the advent of variable renewable generation technologies being introduced to the electric power system, the way in which the system is planned and operated may need significant changes. For instance, the characteristics of wind power technology are quite different from traditional sources of generation technology that has historically met the electricity demand [4]. The inherent variability and uncertainty of wind power generation technology increase the variability and uncertainty of the existing system and have significant effects on operation of system [5]. A variety of studies show that the varying nature of these generation sources affects the scheduling and operation of conventional power plants [6]. Therefore, power system operators often use scheduling techniques throughout the day to match generation and demand. However, the total supply of energy is different from the total demand because of variability and/or uncertainty, thus, power system operators must deploy operating reserves to correct the energy imbalance so that frequency is maintained [7].

Operating reserves are generally classified into spinning reserves and non-spinning reserves according to the North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC) definitions [8]. Spinning reserve is the most important resource to compensate energy imbalance. Over the past decades, much research has been done to evaluate the spinning reserve requirement. Reference [9] has done the earliest work to consider how the spinning reserve could be optimized within unit commitment (UC) problem without considering large-scale wind generation integration. In recent years, a large number of entities have been investigating the way the systems with large penetration of wind generation impact reliability and costs. In [10] and [11] the impact of wind power increasing system imbalances and need for reserve capacity are studied in Nordic countries. Reference [12] builds a low-carbon dispatch model of wind-incorporated power system considering energy-environmental efficiency. Moreover, a hybrid algorithm based on particle swarm optimization (PSO) and simulated annealing is used to obtain better solutions. Reference [13] presents a stochastic method for the hourly scheduling of optimal reserves, which takes the hourly forecast errors of wind energy and load into account. Reference [14] proposes a stochastic programming model for spinning reserve optimization in the power system with high wind power penetration, in which both load shedding cost and wind spillage cost are accounted. A risk-based reserve allocation method that accounts multiple control sub-area coordination is given in [15], and a PSO method is employed to provide a numerical solution for the problem. The trade-off between cost and reserves provision has been emphasized by Reference [16], which determines optimal spinning reserves using an approach based on cost-benefit analysis. The proposed method can be formulated as a mixed-integer linear...
The proposed model is formulated as a cost minimization problem, deploying an optimization approach to scheduling decisions. Although much work has been done on optimal generation output and spinning reserve determination problems, most of these studies are focused on evaluating the spinning reserve capacity requirements, and only a little work pays attention to the spinning Reserve allocation problem, while the effect of wind power on system fuel savings and CO2 emission has not been given full discussion in the UC modeling process. In this paper, the spinning reserve allocation problem is investigated for active power generation dispatch. The objective of power system operators is to minimize the expected operating cost from procurement energy and reserve in the day-ahead market, assuming a certain risk level.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 provides a deterministic security-constrained unit commitment model for optimal generation output and spinning reserve allocation based on cost-benefit analysis. Wind power dispatch modes, generation system and demand profile, and the performance indices used in the model are further discussed in Section 3, which is applied for three case studies of a generation system representing characteristics of China’s typical wind-fired intensive power system, discussed in Section 4. The conclusion drawn from the analysis is provided in Section 5.

2. MATHEMATICAL MODEL DESCRIPTION

In this paper, a deterministic security-constrained unit commitment model is deployed optimizing the scheduling of power plants while meeting the electricity demand and wind power integration. A mathematical description of the optimization model is given in (1). As represented in [19, 20], the proposed model is formulated as a cost minimization problem using mixed integer programming.

2.1. Objective Function

In a restructured power system, it is assumed that the power system operator intends to minimize the total power system operating cost as follows:

\[
TC = \min \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{NG} C_{ij}\left(P_{ij}^G\right) \cdot Z_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^{NG} BR_{ij} \cdot SR_{ij}^{G} \cdot Z_{ij} + \left[1 - Z_{c,ij}\right] \right\}
\]

(1)

Where

\[
C_{ij}\left(P_{ij}^G\right) = BE_{ij} \cdot P_{ij}^G
\]

\[
C_{SR} = \sum_{i=1}^{NG} \sum_{j=1}^{N} BR_{ij} \cdot SR_{ij}^{G} \cdot Z_{ij}
\]

\[
C_{E} = \sum_{i=1}^{NG} \sum_{j=1}^{N} K_{price} \cdot E_{ij} \cdot P_{ij}^G \cdot Z_{ij}
\]

The objective function must be minimized subject to a number of reliability constraints. In this section, the constraints for the problem are given by active power balance of the system, unit capacity constraint, ramp rate constraint for conventional units, spinning reserve requirements constraint, minimum up- and downtimes constraints [21, 22].

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{NG} P_{ij}^G \cdot Z_{ij} + P_{ij}^W = Q_j
\]

(2)

\[
Q_j = q_j - Q_{shad}^{shed}
\]

(3)

\[
P_{ij}^W = W_j - W_{ij}^{curt}
\]

(4)
\[ P_{i}^{\min} \cdot Z_{i,j} \leq P_{i,j}^{\min} \leq P_{i}^{\max} \cdot Z_{i,j} \]  
(5)

\[ 0 \leq P_{i}^{w} \leq W_{i} \]  
(6)

\[ P_{i,j}^{G} - P_{i,j+1}^{G} \leq \Delta PR_{i} \cdot \Delta T \]  
(7)

\[ P_{i,j}^{G} - P_{i,j-1}^{G} \geq -\Delta PR_{i} \cdot \Delta T \]  
(8)

\[ SR_{i}^{G} = \min \left( \Delta PR_{i} \cdot \Delta T, P_{i}^{\max} \cdot Z_{i,j} - P_{i,j}^{\min} \right) \]  
(9)

\[ \sum_{i}^{NG} SR_{i}^{G} = SR_{i}^{C} + SR_{i}^{W} \]  
(10)

\[ Z_{i,j} - Z_{i,j-1} - Z_{i,j+k} \leq 0 \]  
(11)

\[ \forall k \in [1,2,\ldots,mut_{i} - 1] \]

\[ Z_{i,j-1} - Z_{i,j} + Z_{i,j+k} \leq 1 \]  
(12)

\[ \forall k \in [1,2,\ldots,mut_{i} - 1] \]

It can be seen from Equation (1) to Equation (12) that the proposed model is a deterministic security-constrained unit commitment model, including conventional energy production, spinning reserve provision, CO2 emission, wind power injection, wind curtailment, and demand shedding. This model aims to determine the optimal scheduling of power plants and reserve allocation to meet the demand at the lowest costs, and is widely used for the investigation of the impact of wind generation on the power system operation. The proposed model can be formulated as a Mixed Integer Problem (MIP) and solved using CPLEX12.5 under GAMS [23].

3. WIND ENERGY UTILIZATION STUDIES

3.1. Wind Power Dispatch Modes

This section considers wind power dispatch modes in day-ahead scheduling with a focus on the problems proposed by wind power variability to the overall power system operation. Due to the positive role of wind power in emission reduction and fuel saving, policy incentives are used in many countries to promote wind in power systems as a priority [24, 25]. This paper considers two scenarios for wind dispatch priority:

1) Guaranteed wind power dispatch: Wind power is fully dispatched as long as the operational security and controllability can be ensured.

2) Privileged wind power dispatch: Wind power is given priority dispatch, but wind curtailment can be used as a control option of wind generation in day-ahead unit commitment whenever necessary.

Three case studies are presented to study the relationship between generation output and spinning reserve allocate considering wind power dispatch modes.

Case 1: Power plant scheduling without wind power integration.

Case 2: Power plant scheduling with wind power integration and guaranteed wind power dispatch.

Case 3: Power plant scheduling with wind power integration and privileged wind power dispatch.

3.2. Generation System and Demand Profile

The generation model used for these case studies represents a conceptual power system, roughly based on Inner Mongolia Tongliao. However, a few adaptations are done in order to limit complexity and generalize the model. Technical parameters of the different power plants have been obtained from the reference [26, 27] due to a lack of conventional unit data from China, which final values used in the model are given Appendix A. The generation system consists of 21 power plants including six coal-fired steam turbine power plants, four combined-cycle gas turbines, five open-cycle gas turbines and six oil-based internal combustion engine power plants.

In this paper, In order to obtain a correct order of processing, the economic performance of various power plants is investigated and compared. The data of these costs are derived from those published in [28, 29]. The fuel cost is assumed to be 2.63€/GJ, 8.43€/GJ, 8.43€/GJ and 13.21€/GJ, which is respectively represent STEAM, CCGT, GT and ICE power plant. The CO2 content per fuel is derived from [30] and a forward CO2 emission price is set relatively high at 38 €/ton. Accordingly, the variable generation costs are calculated by means of the fuel cost and CO2 emission cost for each power plant. It is assumed that the spinning reserve cost of each generator is 40% of unit generation cost. The hourly spinning reserve for load and wind power uncertainty respectively are assumed to be 10% of hourly load and 20% of hourly wind power.

Simulations are performed with forecast wind power and system demand profiles from Inner Mongolia Power Group. These profiles are rescaled taking into account unavailability of generation assets and demand growth towards 2020. The forecast wind power and average demand profiles are illustrated in Fig. (1) and Fig. (2). The installed wind power capacity represents 26% of the installed capacity. The generation cost of wind power is set to be 80 €/MWh.

3.3. System Performance Indices

The following system performance indices considered in this paper are used to compare different dispatch modes.

1) Unit Operation Cost of the System (€/MWh) \( c_{w} \)

\[ c_{w} = \frac{TC}{E_{sd}} \]  
(13)

Where TC is given in Equations (1) and \( E_{sd} \) is given as \( E_{sd} = \sum_{t=1}^{T} Q_{t}. \)
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2) Total Generation Cost of Conventional Units (€) $C_{PG}$

$$C_{PG} = \sum_{i=1}^{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} C_i \left( P^G_{i,t} \right) \cdot Z_{i,t}$$

(14)

3) Unit Generation Cost of Conventional Units (€/Mwah) $c_{pg}$

$$c_{pg} = \frac{C_{PG}}{E_{PG}}$$

Where $E_{PG}$ is given by

$$E_{PG} = \sum_{i=1}^{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} P^G_{i,t}$$

4) Unit Spinning Reserve Cost of the System (€/MWh) $r_u$

$$r_u = \frac{C_{sr}}{\sum_{t=1}^{T} (SR_c^e + SR_c^w)}$$

(16)

5) Unit CO2 Emission of the System(ton/MWh) $e_u$

$$e_u = \frac{C_{e}}{E_{sd} \cdot K_{co,price}}$$

(17)

6) Wind Energy Average Use Rate (%) $\eta_w$

$$\eta_w = \frac{E_{w,avail} - E_{w,curt}}{E_{w,avail}} \times 100\%$$

(18)

Where $E_{w,avail} = \sum_{t=1}^{T} W_{t,curt}$, $E_{w,curt} = \sum_{t=1}^{T} W_{t,curt}$.

In order to ensure a feasible solution, demand shedding is implemented as a last resort measure, i.e. at an elevated cost. Compensation cost demand shedding is assumed to be 10000 €/MWh. Different compensation cost of wind power curtailment ($\lambda_{curt}$) settings are used as indicators for different wind power dispatch priorities. In reality, this curtailment cost may depend on the specific policies applied in a control zone. For case 2, $\lambda_{curt}$ is a very large number, i.e. 500€/MWh, so as to discourage wind power curtailment and thus ensure guaranteed wind power dispatch. For case 3, $\lambda_{curt}$ is 0, so that wind power is curtailed whenever necessary without having to worry about the compensation.
As elevated costs discourage the use of these options in the model, which are set to keep focus on the flexibility of the conventional energy generation system.

4. SIMULATION RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

In this section, the presented deterministic security-constrained unit commitment model is used to determine the impact of different dispatch modes with wind power and different levels of reserve requirement on system operation and costs. This is an important issue as we have seen that the generation outputs and reserve capacity allocation change when wind power is added with different dispatch modes.

4.1. Simulation Results 1: Impact of the Generation Costs and CO₂ Emissions

The objective functions, the system performance indices of three cases, are summarized in Table 1. In Case 1, all the loads are supplied by only conventional generators with spinning reserves from load uncertainty. In comparing the system indices in Case 1 and Case 2, it can be seen that $C_{pg}$ and $e_u$ following wind power integration in power systems leads to significant reductions and additional indices, i.e. $C_{pg}$, $r_u$, and $c_{tc}$ with increase in unit cost. This is explained that a CCGT and partial peak power plant would be replaced by wind power leading to reduce fuel and emission costs. Furthermore, the increment of unit cost ($C_{pg}$, $r_u$ and $c_{tc}$) in Case 2 compared to Case 1 reflects the impact of two factors. One is the reduced efficiency of the coal-fired and gas-fired units in accommodating the variability of wind power when giving wind power guaranteed dispatch. The other is the extra spinning reserve procurement to meet the variability of wind power.

Comparison of the system indices in Case 2 (guaranteed wind power dispatch) and Case 3 (privileged wind power dispatch) shows that, though the wind energy use rate ($\eta_w$) is smaller in case 3, in which wind curtailment is executed whenever necessary, the system performance indices such as the total cost of the conventional units ($C_{pg}$), the unit generation cost of conventional units ($C_{pg}$), the unit reserve cost of system ($r_u$) and unit operation cost of the system ($c_{tc}$), outperformed those with a slight increase in unit CO₂ emissions costs ($e_u$) in case 2. These indicate that in wind-fired intensive power systems, wind curtailment should be used as a control option to improve total system operation if variable renewable generation and traditional sources generation are coordinated properly rather than only when system security is threatened.

As can be seen, all performance indices of Case 3 are better than those of Case 1. The results of comparison show that variable renewable generation and traditional sources generation are coordinated properly, leading to better system economies and CO₂ emissions reduction in Case 3, even with wind curtailment.

4.2. Simulation Results 2: Impact of Generation and Reserve Capacity Schedule

The generation and reserve capacity schedule of committed units of case studies 1-3 are shown in Figs. (3-5), respectively. We can observe that the coal-fired power plants are operated as base load, the combined-cycle gas turbines as load following. And the GTS and ICES are mainly operated as peak power plant in the models, but it is difficult to see due to the low output level in Figs. (3-5) (Left). Fig. (3), shows the impact of a varying spinning reserve requirement from uncertainty of load forecast on system operation in Case 1 without wind power, spinning reserves are mainly provided from the CCGT and the coal-fired generating units. When spinning reserve requirements exceeding 120MW capacity for higher load and reserve capacities, operational flexibility is no longer sufficient and an additional CCGT power plant is scheduled to assist in delivering the requested amount of reserves from 10h AM. The three CCGTS are now operated at partial load, resulting in efficiency losses. Also, between oh-4h and 8h-9h, additional GT and ICE peak power plants are scheduled at partial load in order to provide additional reserves. Finally, sometimes, the sixth coal-fired power plant participates in the provision of reserves though output reductions.

By comparing Cases 1-2 generations and reserve capacity schedule, in which the wind power participates in UC with wind power forecast. In Case 2, simulation results show that is replace the CCGT which is scheduled to provide generation output with wind power. This is illustrated in Fig. (4) (Left) this represents a simulation with guaranteed wind power dispatching. The total spinning reserves are mainly provided from the coal-fired generating units and the CCGT. Furthermore, two gas-fired peak plants and an ICE peak plants are scheduled to provide the final reserve requirements. Both coal-fired power plants and CCGTS are now operated at partial load, resulting in efficiency losses and increment of unit generation costs ($C_{pg}$) due to extra spinning reserve procurement to meet variability of wind power. We can see that the trend of partial load increases significantly between 10h and 24h due to high wind.
Impact of Wind Power Generation on System Operation and Costs

The Open Electrical & Electronic Engineering Journal, 2014, Volume 8

585

Fig. (3). Generation and reserve capacity schedule for case 1.

Fig. (4). Generation and reserve capacity schedule for case 2.

Fig. (5). Generation and reserve capacity schedule for case 3.

It is illustrated in Fig. (5), for Case 3. Simulation results of Case 3 show that wind power curtailment can be taken as a control option to improve system economics and CO₂ emission reduction when wind power participates in the UC and wind power is given privileged dispatch. Simulation results show that it is better to replace the gas-fired peak power plant to provide reserve capacity with wind power sometimes. The model assesses that it is cheaper to provide this capacity with wind power. In contrast, replacing the second gas-fired peak power plant would however be too expensive as generator provides 25 MW reserve capacity.

CONCLUSION

In this contribution, a unit commitment model is deployed with spinning reserve requirements in order to study the impact of a joint demand for generation and reserve capacity on system operation and costs with special attention to
different wind power dispatch modes. The demand for reserves is modelled as an exogenous parameter which is assumed to be decomposed into two parts, i.e., the traditional spinning reserve associated with uncertainty of load forecast and an additional part of spinning reserve capacity to respond to the wind farm power variability. Optimal wind power dispatch and spinning reserve allocation were obtained. With different wind power dispatch priorities and corresponding UC studies, the impact of wind power and the demand for reserves on system operation and costs are presented with more clarity. The study’s main conclusions are as follows:

1) With wind power being introduced to the conventional electric power system, the way which the system is scheduled and operated may need significant changes. More focus should be given to assessing impact of increasing reserve requirements and variability of wind power on power plant scheduling. Though wind power itself is emission and fuel free, the negative impacts it has on conventional generator fuel consumption and emission if variable renewable generation and traditional sources generation are not coordinated properly. One is the reduced efficiency of the conventional generator in accommodating the variability of wind power when giving wind power guaranteed dispatch. The other is the extra spinning reserve procurement to meet the wind power variability.

2) When wind power becomes a reliable provider of reserve capacity in wind-fired intensive power systems, the use of privileged wind power dispatch, in which wind curtailment can lead to the achievement of better system operation costs than guaranteed wind power dispatch.

3) Although no fundamental technical objections are present to exclude wind power from participating in the reserve market, when the operational flexibility of system is no longer sufficient and wind power should be considered as a spinning reserve provider taking wind power curtailment as a control option. It can improve system economies and CO2 emission reduction.
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APPENDIX A

Table 2. Technical parameters of conventional generating units.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter of generation</th>
<th>STEAM</th>
<th>CCGT</th>
<th>GT</th>
<th>ICE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Units (#)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel Type</td>
<td>coal</td>
<td>natural gas</td>
<td>natural gas</td>
<td>heavy oil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min. Gen. Capacity[MW]</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramp Rate [MW/min]</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min on time [h.]</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min off time [h.]</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Five Minutes Ramp [MW]</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

STEAM: steam power plants, CCGT: combined-cycle gas turbines, GT: open-cycle gas turbines, ICE: internal combustion engine

NOMENCLATURE

\[ C_{i,t} \] = Generation cost of conventional unit \( i \) in period \( t \) (€)

\[ Z_{i,t} \] = Binary variables, commitment of unit \( i \) in period \( t \) (1: on-line; 0: off-line)

\[ P_{i,t}^G \] = Generation output of conventional \( t \) unit \( i \) in period \( t \) (MW)
Impact of Wind Power Generation on System Operation and Costs

The Open Electrical & Electronic Engineering Journal, 2014, Volume 8 587

\[ SUC_i = \text{Start-up cost of conventional unit } i \text{ in period } t \text{ (€)} \]
\[ BE_{i,t} = \text{Unit generation cost of conventional unit } i \text{ in period } t \text{ (€/MWh)} \]
\[ C_{SR} = \text{Total spinning reserve cost (€)} \]
\[ BR_{i,t} = \text{Unit spinning reserve cost of conventional unit } i \text{ in period } t \text{ (€/MWh)} \]
\[ SR^G_{i,t} = \text{Spinning reserve of conventional unit } i \text{ in period } t \text{ (MW)} \]
\[ C_t = \text{Total CO}_2 \text{ emission cost (€)} \]
\[ K_{CO2\text{ Price}} = \text{CO}_2 \text{ emission price (€/ton)} \]
\[ E_{i,t} = \text{Average CO}_2 \text{ emission conventional unit } i \text{ in period } t \text{ (kg/MWh)} \]
\[ C_W = \text{Total cost of wind generation (€)} \]
\[ C_{W\text{,curt}} = \text{Total compensation cost of wind power curtailment during the simulation period } T \text{ (€)} \]
\[ C_{\text{shed}}^t = \text{Total compensation cost of demand shedding during the simulation period } T \text{ (€)} \]
\[ \lambda_w = \text{Unit cost of wind generation (€/MWh)} \]
\[ P_W^t = \text{Average wind power injection in period } t \text{ (MW)} \]
\[ W_i = \text{Average wind power predicted output in period } t \text{ (MW)} \]
\[ \lambda_{\text{curt}} = \text{Compensation cost wind power curtailment (€/MWh)} \]
\[ W_{\text{curt}}^t = \text{Average wind power curtailment in period } t \text{ (MW)} \]
\[ \lambda_{\text{shed}} = \text{Compensation cost demand shedding (€/MWh)} \]
\[ Q_{\text{shed}}^t = \text{Average demand shedding in period } t \text{ (MW)} \]
\[ Q_t = \text{Average off-take in period } t \text{ (MW)} \]
\[ q_t = \text{Average electricity demand in period } t \text{ (MW)} \]
\[ P_{\text{\text{min}}}^i = \text{Minimum output level generating unit } i \text{ (MW)} \]
\[ P_{\text{\text{max}}}^i = \text{Maximum output level generating unit } i \text{ (MW)} \]
\[ \Delta PR_i = \text{Unit ramp rate of conventional unit } i \text{ (MW/min)} \]
\[ \Delta T = \text{Time duration, where } \Delta T \text{ is adjusted to 5 min in this paper according to their response time for frequency restoration reserve} \]

\[ \text{SR}^L_t = \text{Spinning reserve capacity demand due to load uncertainty in period } t \text{ (MW)} \]
\[ \text{SR}^W_t = \text{Spinning reserve capacity demand due to wind power uncertainty in period } t \text{ (MW)} \]
\[ mdt_t = \text{Minimum down time of generating unit } i \text{ (h)} \]
\[ mut_t = \text{Minimum up time of generating unit } i \text{ (h)} \]
\[ E_{sd} = \text{The total off-take electricity demand of the system during the simulation period } T \text{ (MWh)} \]
\[ c_w = \text{Unit operation cost of the system (€/MWh)} \]
\[ C_{PG} = \text{Total generation cost of conventional units during the simulation period } T \text{ (€)} \]
\[ r_w = \text{Unit spinning reserve cost of the system during the simulation period } T \text{ (€/MWh)} \]
\[ e_w = \text{Unit CO}_2 \text{ emission of the system during the simulation period } T \text{ (ton/MWh)} \]
\[ \eta_w = \text{Wind energy average use rate (%)} \]
\[ E_{\text{wind}}^\text{total} = \text{Total available wind energy during the simulation period } T \text{ (MWh)} \]
\[ E_{\text{\text{curt}}}^\text{total} = \text{Total curtailed wind energy during the simulation period } T \text{ (MWh)} \]
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