Salt Tolerance and Screening for Genetic Changes in Rice Mutants after Gamma Irradiation Using RAPD and Microsatellite (RAMP) Markers
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Abstract: Two Vietnamese rice mutants (M2-2 and M2-4 - second generation line 2 and line 4 respectively), induced by gamma irradiation were examined and compared to their parent (TT), and the Australian cultivar Amaroo (Am) for salt tolerance and genomic differences. Seedlings in tissue culture provided high yields and good quality DNA, especially with young shoots. Changes in DNA of the mutants in comparison to TT and Am were successfully assessed using RAPD-PCR (random amplified polymorphic DNA-polymerase chain reaction) and RAMP-PCR (random amplified microsatellite polymorphism-polymerase chain reaction) molecular marker techniques. RAMP-PCR was better than RAPD-PCR at disclosing genetic changes between closely related individuals, and only RAMP-PCR was able to detect polymorphic bands between the two mutants. A measure of heterozygocity and genetic differentiation, the G-statistic demonstrated that comparisons between Am and TT, M2-2 or M2-4 showed moderate genetic differentiation, but comparisons between TT and M2-2 or M2-4 showed low genetic differentiation. Percentage polymorphism between TT, M2-2, M2-4 and Am detected by RAPD-PCR were similar to those detected by RAMP-PCR (about 10%). DNA bands that were polymorphic between the two mutant lines were sequenced, two bands mapped on numerous chromosomes of rice, while two other bands mapped on one or two chromosomes, and these DNA bands on the whole coded for regulatory genes. The results showed that especially RAMP-PCR is a versatile, sensitive and cost effective method for measuring genomic differences between closely related plants.
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INTRODUCTION

Spontaneous and induced mutations are the major source of most of the existing genetic variation in plants, and are commonly used in plant breeding. The occurrence of spontaneous mutations in nature is relatively rare and difficult to identify because they can be recessive, or are deleterious and quickly eliminated [1]. Increasing the rate of mutation (i.e., induced mutations) can provide additional sources of variant genotypes important in plant breeding. Mutagenic agents include alkylating agents, or atomic (X-ray, UV-light) and nuclear (alpha, beta, gamma rays) radiation [2]. Alkylating agents that react with DNA to change nucleotide sequences produce relatively few useful point mutations. However, the absorption of ionising radiation produces more complex DNA and structural chromosomal changes, and are considered the mutagenic agents of choice in plant breeding applications [3].

Mutations can occur in tissue cultured plantlets and the process can be rapid; this process is sometimes called 'somaclonal variation' and these plants have also found value in plant breeding [4]. In recent years the use of tissue culture in combination with radiation induced mutations have resulted in a number of desired genotypes in rice, and a number of these have been used directly or indirectly in breeding programs [5]. However, phenotypic trait selection seldom provide the means for genotypic identification due to environmental and physiological modification of expressed traits, and therefore have limited use for clear genetic identification. Biochemical (e.g., isoenzymes) markers present limitations such as a high bias in genomic sampling, low number of suitable markers, examine minor portions of the genome, and inconsistency between tissue samples cause difficulties in standardisation of methods [6].

Many of the complications of a phenotypic or biochemical based assay can be mitigated through direct identification of genotypes with DNA based assays [7, 8]. One such method is RAPD-PCR (random amplified polymorphic DNA-polymerase chain reaction) which amplifies random genomic DNA sequences using single, short arbitrary primers, and these can be effectively used as genetic markers. The RAPD technique therefore surveys (scans) numerous loci in the genome, which makes this method particularly attractive for analysis of genetic distance and similarity between closely related species [9, 10]. A recent method to compensate for some weakness in RAPD-PCR such as inconsistency between samples and dominancy of loci targeted has been developed by using combinations of RAPD and microsatellite primers, called RAMP (random amplified microsatellite polymorphism)-PCR [11]. RAMP-PCR has...
crosatellite polymorphism)-PCR [11]. RAMP-PCR has been reported to potentially detect and map co-dominant polymorphisms in DNA without cloning and sequencing, and RAMP-PCR bands were more reliable descriptors of relatedness than RAPD or microsatellite methods by themselves [12]. RAMP-PCR has been reported to be more reproducible and better than RAPD-PCR in disclosing genetic relationship in barley cultivars [13]. The method therefore utilizes the ubiquitous and highly polymorphic nature of microsatellites, with the ease of genome scanning supplied by RAPD primers.

We have previously demonstrated that two second generation M2 selected radiation induced mutants of rice had decreased height and a reduced growing season, but in screening for other traits, these mutants also had altered physiological characteristics [14]. An important difference investigated in this study was the increase tolerance to salt of the second generation mutants. Few studies have compared and estimated genetic changes between the original parental genotype and selected gamma irradiated mutants. Therefore another objective of this study was to compare and quantify the mutagenic effect of gamma irradiation on genetic (DNA) changes in rice using RAPD-PCR and RAMP-PCR, and any relationship to salt tolerance.

MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY

Plant Material

Seeds of rice (Oryza sativa L.) varieties Tam Thom (TT) and Amaroo (Am), and selfed progeny of TT, mutants M2-2 and M2-4 were germinated and maintained on Murashige and Skoog (MS) media supplemented with 2 mg/L benzylaminopurine (BA) [15] in a plant growth room with a temperature of 25°C, relative humidity of 85-90% and day/night cycle of 12 hr at 50 µmol.m-².s-¹ light intensity. For growth determination seeds were germinated in the MS+BA media above supplemented with different levels of NaCl (0-200 mM) for 6 weeks. The induced mutants of TT – the second generation mutant line 2 (M2-2) and the second generation mutant line 4 (M2-4) were derived from exposure of seeds to 200 Gy from a 60Co gamma irradiation source, and the mutagenic effect of gamma irradiation on genetic (DNA) changes in rice using RAPD-PCR and RAMP-PCR, and any relationship to salt tolerance.

DNA Extraction and Optimisation of PCR

Leaf slices (6 weeks old) were frozen in liquid nitrogen, crushed in a microfuge tube with CTAB isolation buffer [2% w/v cetyltrimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB), 1.4 M NaCl, 1% v/v β-mercapto ethanol (BME), 1% w/v polyvinyl pyrrolidone (PVP), 20 mM EDTA, 100 mM tris-(hydroxymethyl) aminomethane (Tris)-HCl, pH 8.0] [16], and incubated at 60°C for 30 min [17]. Proteinase K (10 mg/ml)(Sigma) was added, and incubated for 90 min at 37°C. The mixture was extracted with equal volumes of chloroform:isoamylalcohol (24:1), and the nucleic acids precipitated with the addition of 2/3 the volume of isopropanol. The pellet was washed and desalted with chilled 70% ethanol, air dried, DNA dissolve overnight in TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0), and all DNA preparations were treated with RNase A (10 mg/ml)(Sigma) for 60 min at 37°C [18]. Concentrations of DNA were estimated using a Pharmacia GeneQuant DNA/RNA calculator, and confirmed after electrophoresis by comparison with a known standard (Lambda Hind III, Promega). The purity of the DNA was estimated by the ratio of absorbance readings at 260:280nm.

Average yields of DNA from 6 weeks old seedling tissue (2.70.3 mg DNA/g fresh weight) were significantly higher than from tissue as little as 1 week older (1.80.4 mg DNA/g fresh weight). Younger rice tissue DNA was better quality, with an average 260:280 ratio of 1.89, while that of the older tissue was close to and often above 2. The optimum amount of MgCl₂ required in each PCR reaction varied according to particular primer combinations. In our experiments, 2.5 mM MgCl₂ was found suitable and produced strong and consistent banding patterns for all RAPD primers. For microsatellite:RAPD combinations (ratio of 2:1) a concentration of 3.0 mM MgCl₂ was found to be best. 1.0 unit of Taq polymerase was found optimum for the RAPD primers tested, but in contrast, 1.5 units of Taq polymerase was found optimum for RAMP primers. Finally, 50 ng of genomic DNA produced the strongest and most consistent bands in both RAPD-PCR and RAMP-PCR.

RAPD and RAMP Amplification

PCR was carried out on DNA samples using 20 RAPD primers (A2, A4, A6, A7, A8, A9, B1, B2, B4, B6, B7, B8, B10, D1, D2, D3, D5, D7, D8, D10, Operon Technologies, Kits A, B and D). Of the 20 RAPD primers tested, 16 were chosen for further use in RAMP; the 4 discarded primers (A6, B2, B7, D1) failed to produce clear bands. 32 combinations of two anchored (anchored bases underlined) microsatellite (MS) primers (MS1 – 5’CAACACACACACACAC; MS2 – 5’TGACACACACACACAC’) with the 16 RAPD primers (mol ratio of 2:1 MS to RAPD) were used in RAMP-PCR.

Each reaction was performed in a final volume of 25 µL containing 2.5 µL 10x Taq Polymerase Reaction Buffer [67 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.8, 16.6 mM (NH₄)₂SO₄, 0.45% (v/v) Triton X-100, 0.2 mg/ml gelatin] (Biotech), 0.6 M betaine, 2 mM each of dATP, dTTP, dCTP, dGTP (Biotech), varying amounts of genomic DNA, MgCl₂, and Taq DNA polymerase (Biotech), plus 0.5 mM RAPD primer or combinations of one anchored MS and one RAPD primer (1.0 mM MS to 0.5 mM RAPD primer). Amplification reactions were carried out in a Biometra Personal Cycler programmed for: 1 cycle at 94°C for 5 min, then 35°C for 1 min; followed by 40 cycles each consisting of 1 min at 94°C, 1 min at 36°C, and 2 min at 72°C. Amplified DNA fragments were separated at 100 volts in 2% agarose gels with TBE buffer (89 mM Tris, 89 mM boric acid, 2 mM EDTA, pH 8.0), and stained for DNA with ethidium bromide (10 mg/mL). The gels were then viewed on a Uvitec Transilluminator (M020616, Integrated Sciences) and photographed using a Kodak camera (EDAS 290).

Cloning and Sequencing of DNA

Single DNA bands were excised directly from agarose gels [19]. Ligation and transformation were performed using the pGEM-T plasmid vector system and E.coli JM109 competent cells as per instructions by Promega. Plasmid DNA purification was carried out using the Wizard Plus Miniprep purification system (Promega). DNA was sequenced (using dye-terminator sequencing) in the forward and reverse direc-
tions by Macquarie University DNA Sequencing Centre using the Perkin Elmer ABI PRISM 377. Recombinant plasmid DNA was sequenced using the pGEM forward (5’CCC-ACGTCGACTGCTCC3’) and reverse (5’CTCCCATATG-GTCGACCTG3’) primers. Sequences were substituted into a computer databank Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) [20] to search for sequence homology in both the general nucleotide databank, and the rice databank of GenBank (at www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST) [21].

Data Analysis

The results of growth and salt application data were evaluated by analysis of variance (ANOVA). Means that differed significantly were identified using Tukeys HSD test at significance level of P<0.05 using the SPSS statistical package.

Each reproducible PCR band was visually scored ‘1’ for the presence and ‘0’ for the absence of bands, and the binary data used for statistical analysis. The band sizes were determined by comparison with a 100 bp DNA molecular weight ladder (Promega), and faint bands of doubtful reproducibility were ignored. Data was analysed with PopGen Version 1.31 [22] and PRIMER Version 5.2.7 [23]. G-statistic (Gst), multidimensional scaling (MDS) and cluster analysis were used to estimate genetic differences between the cultivars and mutants. The G-statistic estimates genetic differentiation and reduction in the number of heterozygote loci based on Nei’s regular and unbiased genetic measures [22]. MDS was used to understand patterns of variation within and amongst cultivars and mutants by converting a set of variables into a few dimensions so that individual variations are condensed into a set of limited axes. Such graphical analysis helped to identify the individuals and primers which tend to cluster together (or apart). Cluster procedure was an average linking one, and all similarities used were Bray-Curtis to produce dendrograms [23].

RESULTS

Growth and Salinity

In tissue culture the parent rice cultivar (TT) was significantly longer in plant height than the mutant lines (M2-2 and M2-4) and Amaroo (Am). NaCl exposure caused the length of the developing rice shoot to decrease in all cultivars and mutant lines (ANOVA F=13.40, P=0.003). Tukeys HSD demonstrated a slight decrease in plant height in TT at 100 mM NaCl (P=0.041), while in the mutant lines and Am, NaCl significantly decreased shoot length at 150 mM (Tukeys HSD, P=0.012) (Fig. 1a). The number of roots formed was generally a more sensitive indicator of salt exposure than plant height in culture (ANOVA F=19.42, P=0.001). In TT and mutant lines a lowering in number of roots was evident at 100 mM NaCl, and this was significant according to Tukeys HSD (P=0.028,0.037), with the exception of Am (P=0.069) where there was no significant decrease in root number (Fig. 1b).

RAPD-PCR

The total number and number of polymorphic bands generated by RAPD primers in TT, M2-2, M2-4 and Am is given in Table 1. All of the RAPD primers tested in this study produced a very similar pattern of bands in TT, M2-2 and M2-4 as shown with primer D8 in Fig. (2a). However some primers generated more bands in TT, M2-2 and M2-4 than in Am, and vice versa. There were few band differences detected in comparison between TT, M2-2 and M2-4 by RAPD-PCR. In other words, very few polymorphisms were detected between TT and its two mutants. As might be expected polymorphisms between Am and TT, M2-2 or M2-4 were about 10% (Table 1).

MDS showed differences between groups of primers in detecting polymorphic bands between the cultivars and mutants tested (Fig. 3a). Primers A2, B8, D2, and D5 were grouped together as they were able to generate polymorphic bands in Am that were missing in TT, M2-2 and M2-4. Another grouping consisted of primers able to detect polymor-
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Fig. (2). (a) RAPD-PCR and (b) RAMP-PCR profiles of DNA from rice cultivars and mutants using (a) RAPD primer D8 or (b) RAMP primer combination MS2+D5. RAPD-PCR and RAMP-PCR reactions were run over 40 cycles using 50 ng genomic DNA, and separated in 2% agarose. In both gels, lane 1 contained a molecular weight marker (100 bp DNA hyper-ladder I), and lane 2 contained the negative control (all PCR components except genomic DNA). (a) lanes 3–4 = TT; lanes 5–6 = M2-2; lanes 7–8 = M2-4. (b) lanes 3–4 = TT; lanes 5–6 = M2-2; lane 7 = Am; lane 8 = M2-4. Note the polymorphic band of approximately 700 bp missing in M2-4, which was later sequenced (arrowed).

Table 1. DNA Polymorphism and Genetic Differentiation in Pairwise Comparisons Between Am, TT, M2-2, and M2-4 as Detected by RAPD-PCR. Results were from Duplicate PCR Reactions, where Only Consistent Reproducible Bands were Included from 20 RAPD Primers. G-Statistic is Identical to the F-Statistic where Only Two Possible Alleles are Scored at Any Locus, or where Analysis of Presence or Absence of Bands is Conducted. G-Statistic however does not Require Knowledge of Genotype Frequencies, and is a Measure of the Reduction in the Numbers of Heterozygote Loci and Genetic Differentiation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RAPD-PCR</th>
<th>TT / M2-2</th>
<th>TT / M2-4</th>
<th>M2-2 / M2-4</th>
<th>Am / TT</th>
<th>Am / M2-2</th>
<th>Am / M2-4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number bands scored</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of polymorphic bands</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage polymorphism</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>10.20</td>
<td>11.11</td>
<td>11.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G-statistic (Gst)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Combinations of MS2 and 16 RAPD primers produced fewer bands overall than combinations of MS1 and RAPD primers, and not as many low molecular weight bands as did combinations of MS1 and RAPD primers, or RAPD primers alone (Table 2). Nevertheless using MS2 produced more polymorphic bands in comparison of TT with M2-2 and M2-4 than using MS1. MDS analysis showed that MS2+B1, MS2+B10, MS2+D2 and MS2+D5 combinations were the best in terms of detecting bands that were present in the parent (TT) but absent in the mutant M2-2 and/or M2-4 (Fig. 2b). Combinations of MS2+A2, MS2+A8, MS2+B4, and MS2+D3 were able to generate bands that were present in Am but absent in TT, M2-2 and M2-4. Combination of MS2+A4 and MS2+D8 were able to reveal bands that were present in TT, M2-2 and M2-4 but absent in Am (Fig. 3b). The average linkage dendrogram (Fig. 4b) generated by
MS2 and RAPD primers showed a difference in relationship between TT, M2-2 and M2-4, and appeared more useful than the combination of MS1 and RAPD primers in detecting polymorphisms. Interestingly, RAMP–PCR with both microsatellite primers also detected about 10% polymorphism between Am and TT, M2-2 and M2-4 (Table 2), the same as RAPD–PCR.

Combinations of MS2 and RAPD primers were able to detect a number of band differences between the parent and mutants (Table 2), but only four strong bands were polymorphic between the two mutant lines (ie the polymorphic band present in Fig. 2b) using MS2+D5 primers. The molecular weight of these bands ranged between 275 bp and 700 bp, which is only low to moderate in length. Two DNA bands sequenced (275 and 560 bp) apparently did not code for traits or genes in the databanks examined, they were identified as just genomic DNA of rice and this feature was confirmed by only a small fraction of the DNA (max. 39%) mapping on any one rice chromosome (Table 3). Rather, various segments of each of the DNA sequences mapped on at least 4 or 5 rice chromosomes. One DNA band of 500 bp was 84-89% homologous to the mRNA for s-adenosylmethionine decarboxylase from Malus X domestica, Citrofortunella mitis and Lotus corniculatus. This DNA band predominantly mapped on chromosomes 3 and 5 of the rice genome (Table 3). The final DNA band sequenced of 700 bp was more informative in that it was 78-97% homologous with the mRNA for fructose bisphosphate aldolase of Arabidopsis thaliana and Pisum sativum. It was also homologous to the BARE-1 long terminal repeat DNA of Hordeum vulgare (85%). In rice this sequence was 80-84% homologous to the mRNA for aldolase C-1, fructose bisphosphate aldolase and a gene for cytoplasmic aldolase; the DNA fragment mapping predominantly on chromosomes 11 of rice (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

It was evident from the growth test and salt exposure that the parent cultivar TT was the most salt sensitive. The two mutant lines selected and stabilized were found to have more tolerance to salt, however salt tolerance was found to be highest in the Australian cultivar Amaroo; which is considered only moderately tolerant to salt. Molecular analysis showed that the RAPD and RAMP primers tested had different requirement for Mg2+, where the combination of a microsatellite and a RAPD primer required higher amounts of Mg2+. These results appear to agree with observations that the optimum concentration of Mg2+ for PCR is dependent on the specific sequence of primers used [24]; and also supports the suggestion that multiplex PCR reactions (using two or more primers together) require more Mg2+ than single primer reactions [25]. Not surprising then, RAMP-PCR also ap-
DNA Polymorphism and Genetic Differentiation in Pairwise Comparisons Between Am, TT, M2-2, and M2-4 as Detected by RAMP-PCR. Results were from Duplicate PCR Reactions, where Only Consistent Reproducible Bands were Included from Combinations of One of the Two MS Primers with 16 RAPD Primers. G-Statistic is Identical to the F-Statistic where Only Two Possible Alleles are Scored at Any Locus, or where Analysis of Presence or Absence of Bands is Conducted. G-Statistic However does not Require Knowledge of Genotype Frequencies, and is a Measure of the Reduction in the Numbers of Heterozygote Loci and Genetic Differentiation

Table 2. DNA Polymorphism and Genetic Differentiation in Pairwise Comparisons Between Am, TT, M2-2, and M2-4 as Detected by RAMP-PCR. Results were from Duplicate PCR Reactions, where Only Consistent Reproducible Bands were Included from Combinations of One of the Two MS Primers with 16 RAPD Primers. G-Statistic is Identical to the F-Statistic where Only Two Possible Alleles are Scored at Any Locus, or where Analysis of Presence or Absence of Bands is Conducted. G-Statistic However does not Require Knowledge of Genotype Frequencies, and is a Measure of the Reduction in the Numbers of Heterozygote Loci and Genetic Differentiation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RAMP-PCR (with MS1)</th>
<th>TT / M2-2</th>
<th>TT / M2-4</th>
<th>M2-2 / M2-4</th>
<th>Am / TT</th>
<th>Am / M2-2</th>
<th>Am / M2-4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number bands scored</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of polymorphic bands</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage polymorphism</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>11.20</td>
<td>10.79</td>
<td>9.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G statistic (Gst)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RAMP-PCR (with MS2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RAMP-PCR (with MS2)</th>
<th>TT / M2-2</th>
<th>TT / M2-4</th>
<th>M2-2 / M2-4</th>
<th>Am / TT</th>
<th>Am / M2-2</th>
<th>Am / M2-4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number bands scored</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of polymorphic bands</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage polymorphism</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>4.61</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>11.88</td>
<td>11.25</td>
<td>12.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G statistic (Gst)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Results from Sequencing Data of DNA Polymorphic Bands Between M2-2 and M2-4 as Detected by RAMP-PCR with MS2. DNA was Sequenced Using the pGEM Forward and Reverse Primers. Sequences were Substituted into a Computer Databank Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) to Search for Sequence Homology in Both the General Nucleotide Databank, and the Rice Databank of GenBank (at www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Band</th>
<th>MW(bp)</th>
<th>GenBank Accession</th>
<th>Match Genetic Description</th>
<th>E-Value</th>
<th>Match Accession No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B4</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>30619</td>
<td>rice genomic DNA predominantly</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>gi/29335805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>located on chromosomes 7 and 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>31623</td>
<td>mRNA for s-adenosylmethionine decarboxylase from Malus X domestica</td>
<td>2e-09</td>
<td>gi/32879790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>AND also from Citrofortunella</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>gi/21239730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A7</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>47877</td>
<td>rice genomic DNA predominantly</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>gi/20279375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>located on chromosomes 1, 3 and 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D5</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>33485</td>
<td>mRNA for fructose bisphosphate aldolase from Arabidopsis AND repeat DNA BARE-1 from Hordeum</td>
<td>1e-38</td>
<td>gi/25082930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>gi/2598547</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4). A plausible reason for the low degree of polymorphism detected by RAPD is that RAPD markers are usually genetically dominant [11] and the degree of polymorphism detected as a result can be low. It is also possible that the agarose gel electrophoresis and ethidium bromide staining used in this study lacked sufficient sensitivity, and polyclayamide gels coupled with silver staining with higher sensitivity may detect more polymorphisms [17, 29].

**RAMP-PCR Screening**

RAMP-PCR also requires no prior knowledge of the target DNA, and specific microsatellite primers are not needed for species or cultivars tested. Microsatellite markers are co-dominant and very informative, but in RAMP-PCR it is not known if the polymorphic bands generated were dominant or co-dominant. Both microsatellite and RAMP markers have a high degree of stability and reproducibility compared to RAPD markers [30, 31]. Comparison of MS1 and MS2 with slightly different anchored bases demonstrated that each had different abilities to target sites on DNA. It is likely that the ability of a microsatellite to amplify a sequence in target DNA may also depend on how abundant the microsatellite is in that sequence. Differences in the frequency of repetition of dinucleotide microsatellites have been reported in rice [32].

There is evidence in the literature that RAMP, and other multi-locus microsatellite-fingerprinting methods, capture only some of the polymorphisms associated with microsatellites [33]. The results of MDS analysis showed that RAMP was able to generate some polymorphic bands that RAPD primers alone were not. However, RAMP primers also detected polymorphic bands that were detected by RAPD primers only. RAMP-PCR therefore may not just capture polymorphisms associated with microsatellites [34], but may also detect polymorphisms targeted by the RAPD primers. Polymorphic bands may or may not code for structural genes and this was clearly demonstrated by sequencing some of these bands. Two such band differences between the mutant lines, when sequenced appeared to map on numerous rice chromosomes, these had little homology to known genes in available databanks and revealed little information. However, two other polymorphic bands between the mutant lines were more informative and appeared to code for known regulatory enzymes. These latter two bands were mapped on only one or two chromosomes of rice. As a result nucleotide sequence information will enable the development of DNA specific genetic markers by designing custom made longer PCR primers to these fragments of DNA.

**Genetic Variation**

Our results indicated that RAMP-PCR was better than RAPD-PCR at disclosing genetic changes between rice cultivars that were very closely related. The G-statistic demonstrated this best in that with RAPD-PCR, comparisons between Am and TT, M2-2 or M2-4 showed moderate genetic differentiation (value 0.11-0.13), but comparisons between TT and M2-2 or M2-4 showed very low genetic differentiation (value 0.03-0.04). RAMP-PCR with MS2, on the other hand, in comparisons between TT and M2-2 or M2-4 showed a low level of genetic differentiation (value 0.04-0.06), and comparisons between Am and TT, M2-2 or M2-4 still showed moderate genetic differentiation (value 0.13-0.15). Similarities detected between cultivars in this study were high and suggested that differences between the rice cultivars and mutants were at the level of near 'clonal material'. Even the similarity between the Australian cultivar Amaroo and the Vietnamese cultivar and mutants was high, and probably higher than expected for most cultivars [18].

It is also worth point out that molecular screening is going to examine only a portion of the genome. Many deleterious mutations after gamma irradiation, for example, had been eliminated during earlier generation selection in the mutant lines, and this was unrelated to the radiation dose used; which was in the mid threshold value for rice. Therefore it was not surprising to detect low amounts of genetic changes, and most of the differences detected were in regulatory genes. However, the data demonstrated that massive deletions and rearrangement of chromosomes were not present in the selected mutant lines; a successful outcome for mutation breeding. The study also shows that other physiological/biochemical changes can be present in selected mutant lines, even if not specifically selected for. Therefore it is worthwhile screening for additional altered physiological characteristics in chosen mutant lines of plants, provided that they still fulfill the agronomic characteristics desired.
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