# Cultivar and Growing Location Effects on Fatty Acids, Minerals, and Sugars in Green Seeds of White Lupin (*Lupinus albus* L.)

Harbans L. Bhardwaj\* and Anwar A. Hamama

Agricultural Research Station, Virginia State University, P.O. Box 9061, Petersburg, VA 23806 USA

**Abstract:** Evaluation of green immature seeds from ten cultivars of white lupin (*Lupinus albus* L., Fabaceae), grown in two locations in Virginia (USA) during two years, indicated that physiological mature but green white lupin seeds contained 33 percent protein and 7 oil on dry weight basis. Contents of C18:3, saturated, unsaturated, mono-unsaturated, and poly-unsaturated fatty acids in white lupin green seeds were 10, 18, 84, 40, and 42 percent of total oil, respectively. White lupin green seeds contained 0.39, 1.34, 0.20, 0.37, 0.21, and 0.03 percent, dry weight basis, of P, K, S, Ca, Mg, and Na, respectively. White lupin green seeds contained 56, 8, 224, 8, 56, and 22 mg/kg Fe, Al, Mn, Cu, Zn, and B, respectively. Contents of fructose, glucose, sucrose, raffinose, stachyose, verbascose, and total sugars in green white lupin seeds were 0.25, 0.42, 2.71, 1.17, 6.01, 1.32, and 11.98 g/100 g meal, respectively. Growing locations significantly affected composition of green white lupin seeds whereas effects of cultivars were limited. White lupin green seeds compared well with green peas and vegetable soybean seeds. Results indicated that white lupin green seeds may have potential as human food.

Keywords: Lupinus albus L., Legumes, New crops, Nutritional quality.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Mature white lupin (*Lupinus albus* L., Fabaceae) seeds have been used as food for over 3000 years around the Mediterranean and for as much as 6,000 years in the Andean highlands. White lupin, a cool-season legume plant native to the Mediterranean, North Africa, and North and South America, has been evaluated as a grain, forage, and green manure crop in Virginia and the mid-Atlantic region of the United States. Extensive research has resulted in development of winterhardy lines and a production system [1-6]. Additional research has laid the foundation for utilization of white lupin mature seeds as food [7]. Mature white lupin seeds produced in Virginia contain 32 to 43 % protein with a mean of 37 %, 3 to 7 % oil with a mean of 5%, and 5 to 9 % sugar with a mean of 7% [2].

White lupin is planted in September-October and matures in the June-July in the mid-Atlantic region of the USA. However, physiologically mature but green white lupin seeds could be available during April-May for use as a vegetable. This period is important given the lack of similar material for human consumption during this time period. If use of white lupin as a vegetable develops, it could boost the establishment of white lupin as an agronomic crop to provide highprotein grains for food and feed. White lupin green seeds could be an alternate source of green seeds for human consumption similar to the vegetable-type soybean which is receiving increasing attention by health-conscious consumers [8, 9]. However, information about potential yield, chemical composition relative to nutritional quality of

white lupin green seed is not available. Our objective was to characterize composition of white lupin green seeds.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

### **Plant Material**

Ten cultivars of white lupin used in this study were provided by Auburn University (Alabama, USA). The nine lines with "L" prefix included in our studies originated from F8 generation of Auburn University's white lupin breeding Program and involved CH304 line as female parent and "Lunoble" and "XA100" as male parents. "Ludet" is a French cultivar of white lupin. All white lupin lines included in this study are considered "sweet" based on alkaloid contents.

# **Production of Green Seeds**

Three replications of a Randomized Complete Block Design were used in this field study. Field experiments were conducted at two locations (Petersburg and Suffolk) in Virginia (USA) during 2005-06 and 2006-07 crop seasons. The Petersburg experiments were planted on 29 September 2005 and 13 October 2006 whereas Suffolk experiments were planted on 4 October 2005 and 17 October 2006. Each plot consisted of four rows. Approximately 100 seeds were planted in each row with a cone type manual planter at a depth of approximately 4 cm. These plots received no fertilizer applications because the seeds were inoculated with a commercial bradyrhizobial inoculum for N fixation and the field sites were known to have high levels of P and K. The soil types at Petersburg (Approximately 37° 15' N and 077° 30.8'W) and Suffolk ( $36^{\circ} 40'$  N and  $76^{\circ} 46'$  W) were Abel sandy loam and Rains fine sandy loam, respectively. Petersburg location is characterized by sandy loam soils whereas Suffolk location is characterized by sandy soils. Contents (mg.kg<sup>-1</sup>) of P, K, Mg, and Ca in Petersburg soil were 77, 54,

<sup>\*</sup>Address correspondence to this author at the Agricultural Research Station, Virginia State University, P.O. Box 9061, Petersburg, VA 23806 USA; Tel: 804-524-6723; Fax: 804-524-5950; E-mail: hbhardwj@vsu.edu

68, and 395 whereas those in Suffolk soil were 19, 81, 22, and 470, respectively. The pH and organic matter (%) of Petersburg soil was 6.4 and 1.5 whereas that of Suffolk soil were 5.9 and 0.7, respectively. Plots were manually kept free of weeds.

The green pods were harvested over several days during each season when the pods were still green but starting to turn yellow approximately mid May in both years. This stage of white lupin growth corresponds, in general terms, to physiological maturity (R6 stage) in soybean [10]. Green pods were separated from stems and were shelled manually to obtain green immature seeds.

## Analysis of Green Seed Composition

Contents of N and various minerals were determined according to Association of Analytical Chemists (AOAC) methods [11] by A&L Laboratory, Richmond, VA. Protein content was determined by multiplying N content with 6.25. Oil was extracted from 2 g of ground seed at room temperature by homogenization for 2 min in 10 mL hexane/isopropanol (3:2, vol/vol) with a Biospec Model 985-370 Tissue Homogenizer (Biospec Products, Inc., Racine, WI) and centrifuged at 4000  $\times$  g for 5 min, as previously described [12]. The oil extraction procedure was repeated three times for each sample to ensure full oil recovery. The hexane-lipid layer was washed and separated from the combined extract by shaking and centrifugation with 10 mL of 1% CaCl2 and 1% NaCl in 50% methanol. The washing procedure was repeated, and the purified lipid layer was removed by aspiration and dried over anhydrous Na2SO4. The oil percentage (g/100 g dry basis) was determined gravimetrically [3] after drying in a vacuum oven at 40°C and stored under N2 at -10°C until analyzed. FAME (Fatty Acid Methyl Esters) were prepared by acid-catalyzed transestrification method as previously described [12]. The oil samples (5 mg) were vortexed with 2 mL sulfuric acid/methanol (1:99, vol/vol) in 10-mL glass vials containing a Teflon boiling chip. The open vials were placed in a heating block at 90°C until the sample volume was reduced to 0.5 mL. After cooling to room temperature, 1 mL of hexane, followed by 1 mL of distilled water was added. The mixture was vortexed, and the upper hexane layer containing the FAME was then dried over anhydrous Na2SO4. The hexane phase containing FAME was transferred to a suitable vial and kept under N2 at 0°C for GC analysis. Analyses of FAME were carried out as described by Hamama et al. [12]. A 1-µL aliquot of FAME in hexane was injected into a SupelcoWax 10 capillary column (25 m  $\times$  0.25 mm i.d. and 0.25 µm film thickness; Supelco, Inc., Bellefonte, PA) in a Varian model Vista 6000 gas chromatograph equipped with an FID (Varian, Sugar Land, TX). Helium was used as a carrier gas at 25 cm/s, with a split ratio of 1:100. The column temperature was isothermal at 210°C. The injector and detector temperatures were 250 and 260°C, respectively. A Spectra Physics Model 4290 Integrator (San Jose, CA) was used to determine relative concentrations of the detected FA. Peaks were identified by reference to the retention of FAME standards and quantitated by the aid of heptadecanoic acid (17:0) as an internal standard. The concentration of each FA was calculated as the percentage (w/w) of the total FA. Sugars were extracted from ground sample (1 g) and analyzed by HPLC following the methods optimized by Johansen *et al.* [13]. Sugars in the extracts were identified by comparing their retention times with standard sugars. For quantification, tre-halose was used as internal standard and the sugar concentration was expressed as g/ 100 g oil-free meal.

All data were analyzed using version 9.1 of SAS [14] using ANOVA with 5 percent level of significance.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Cultivar effects were significant (Table 1) for contents of most fatty acids, and five micro-nutrients (S, Ca, Mg, Cu, and B) in white lupin green seeds. We attribute these results to inclusion of a limited number of cultivars in our study. We speculate that evaluations over a greater number of cultivars would show significance of cultivar effects for most nutritional quality traits. The content of omega-3 fatty acid (C18:3 - Linolenic acid), higher contents of this fatty acids are considered desirable for human nutrition, were highest in L2423 and Ludet cultivars and lowest in L2405, L2417, L2418, L2420, and L2430 cultivars. L2418 and L2420 cultivars had significantly higher content of unsaturated fatty acids and, thus, produced seeds with better nutritional quality (Table 2). The ratio of C18:2 and C18:3 also provided information indicating cultivar differences relative to nutritional quality of white lupin green seeds. Based on the observation that 1:1 to 4:1 ratio of n-6 and n-3 fatty acids is desirable for human health [15, 16], green seeds of L2423 and Ludet cultivars possessed desirable nutritional quality. B, Ca, Mg, Cu, and S contents of white lupin green seeds varied among the cultivars. Ludet cultivar had significantly higher contents of these micronutrients, except for the Mg content, over most other cultivars. Contents of fructose, glucose, sucrose, raffinose, stachyose, verbascose, and total sugars were not affected by cultivars.

Locations effects were, in many instances, significant for all traits of white lupin green seeds under consideration (Table 1) except for contents of oil, three fatty acids (C18:0, C16:1, C18:3), aluminum, and two long-chain sugars (Stachyose and Verbascose). Seeds produced at Petersburg location had higher contents of 23 traits whereas seed produced at Suffolk location had higher contents of 7 traits over the other location. It was interesting to note that the content of C18:3 (Omega 3 fatty acid), a fatty acid that is considered desirable in human nutrition, was similar (9.6 vs. 9.8 percent) in seed produced at both locations (Table 3). However, the content of C22:1 (Erucic acid), a fatty acid that is considered undesirable for human nutrition, was affected by the growing location and the seed produced at Petersburg location had significantly lower content of erucic acid (Table 3). The seed produced at Petersburg location also had significantly higher content of poly-unsaturated fatty acids as compared to those produced at Suffolk. Higher contents of polyunsaturated fatty acids are considered desirable for human nutrition. Ratio of C18:2 to C18:3 fatty acids is an important consideration in human nutrition. As intake of n-6 fatty acids has increased in developed countries, consumption of foods rich in n-3 fatty acids has steadily declined. Omega-3 fatty acids constitute a minuscule portion (<1%) of the total fatty acids in U.S. food supply whereas the omega-6 fatty acids

Trait	Y <sup>x</sup>	$\mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{x}}$	C <sup>x</sup>	L*C	$\mathbf{R}^2$	CV(%)	Mean
Protein (%)	ns	*	ns	ns	84	6.8	33.0
Oil (%)	-	ns	**	ns	74	10.6	6.7
		Fatty acid	ls (Percentage of	oil) <sup>y</sup>			
C16:0	-	**	ns	ns	85	10.5	10.6
C18:0	-	ns	ns	ns	64	12.7	1.5
C20:0	-	**	*	ns	72	10.7	0.8
C22:0	-	**	ns	ns	72	15.8	3.4
C24:0	-	*	**	ns	75	11.0	1.3
C16:1	-	ns	ns	ns	62	23.4	0.22
C18:1	-	**	**	ns	95	10.2	35.3
C18:2	-	**	**	ns	97	8.8	32.9
C18:3	-	ns	**	ns	77	12.5	9.7
C20:1	-	**	**	ns	96	10.0	3.0
C22:1	-	**	**	ns	96	14.2	1.3
Saturated	-	**	*	ns	79	6.1	17.6
Unsaturated	-	**	*	ns	80	1.3	82.4
Monounsaturated	-	**	**	ns	95	10.0	39.9
Polyunsaturated	-	**	**	ns	95	8.3	42.5
Ratio <sup>z</sup>	-	**	**	*	96	11.0	3.5
	I	1	Minerals (%)	I		1	
Р	**	**	ns	ns	98	10.6	0.39
Κ	**	**	ns	*	98	7.0	1.34
S	**	**	**	**	94	8.4	0.20
Ca	**	**	**	ns	95	8.7	0.37
Mg	**	**	**	*	98	5.3	0.21
Na	**	**	ns	ns	95	28.8	0.03
	I	Min	nerals (mg.kg <sup>-1</sup> )				
Fe	**	**	ns	ns	90	19.1	55.90
Al	**	ns	ns	ns	82	53.1	7.96
Mn	**	**	ns	ns	97	24.0	224
Cu	**	**	*	ns	94	12.9	7.78
Zn	**	**	ns	ns	93	20.8	56.08
В	**	**	**	*	95	14.8	21.71
	1	Suga	rs (g/100g meal) <sup>y</sup>		L	I	L
Fructose	-	**	ns	ns	55	84	0.25
Glucose	-	**	ns	ns	56	64	0.42
Sucrose	-	**	ns	ns	64	28	2.71
Raffinose	-	**	ns	ns	50	23	1.17
Stachyose	_	ns	ns	ns	42	25	6.01
Verbascose	-	ns	ns	ns	25	31	1.32
Total	-	*	ns	ns	41	23	11.87

Table 1.	Partial Analysis of Variance (Mean Squares) for Composition of Green Seeds of Ten White Lupin Lines Grown at Two
	Locations in Virginia

<sup>x</sup>Y = Year; L = Location; C = Cultivar.
<sup>y</sup>Oil, fatty acids and sugars were analyzed only from seed produced during 2005-06 crop season.
<sup>z</sup>Ratio between content of C18:2 and C18:3 fatty acids (Linoleic : Linolenic fatty acids).
<sup>\*</sup> "Significant at 5 and 1 % levels, respectively. ns : non-significant.

Trait	L310	L2405	L2417	L2418	L2420	L2423	L2424	L2425	L2430	LUDET
Protein(%)	32.7a <sup>x</sup>	33.3a	32.8a	33.1a	32.0a	33.0a	33.2a	34.0a	33.6a	32.0a
Oil (%)	6.7abc	7.3ab	6.5bc	6.8abc	7.6a	5.9cd	6.7abc	6.4bc	6.7abc	5.3d
				Fatty acids (I	Percentage of	`oil)				
C!6:0	10.7a	10.0a	10.7a	10.1a	9.8a	10.8a	10.4a	11.6a	10.7a	11.0a
C18:0	1.49a	1.54a	1.46a	1.42a	1.54a	1.55a	1.55a	1.49a	1.45a	1.99a
C20:0	0.78abc	0.88a	0.76abc	0.72bc	0.81ab	0.65cd	0.78abc	0.75abc	0.75abc	0.58d
C22:0	3.60a	3.48a	3.34a	3.23a	3.50a	3.88a	3.53a	3.05a	3.27a	3.92a
C24:0	1.40abc	1.28bc	1.28bc	1.30bc	1.18c	1.51ab	1.19c	1.56a	1.28bc	1.42ab
C16:1	0.24a	0.21a	0.20a	0.21a	0.20a	0.25a	0.21a	0.27a	0.21a	0.15a
C18:1	35.4ab	40.0a	35.4ab	35.2ab	40.4a	31.1B	36.4ab	30.9b	36.2ab	30.4b
C18:2	31.5a	31.6ab	32.9ab	34.8a	29.1b	33.5ab	32.0ab	35.8a	33.1ab	33.3ab
C18:3	10.5bc	8.3d	8.5d	8.8cd	8.9bcd	13.0a	9.3bcd	10.9b	8.9bcd	13.3a
C20:1	3.11abc	3.26a	3.11abc	3.01a-d	3.19ab	2.64cd	3.14abc	2.70bcd	2.92a-d	2.55d
C22:1	1.27ab	1.54a	1.36ab	1.29ab	1.46a	1.12b	1.45a	1.05b	1.25ab	1.31ab
SFA	18.0ab	17.2ab	17.5ab	16.8b	16.8b	18.4ab	17.5ab	18.5ab	17.4ab	19.0a
UFA	82.0ab	82.8ab	82.5ab	83.2a	83.2a	81.6ab	82.5ab	81.5ab	82.6ab	81.0b
MUFA	40.0ab	43.0a	41.0ab	39.7ab	45.2a	35.1b	41.2ab	34.9b	40.6ab	34.4b
PUFA	42.1ab	39.8b	41.4ab	43.6ab	38.0b	46.6a	41.3ab	46.6a	42.0ab	46.6a
Ratio <sup>y</sup>	2.94de	3.89ab	3.94a	4.03a	3.24cd	2.64e	3.46a-d	3.30bcd	3.67abc	2.50e
				Mine	erals (%) <sup>z</sup>					
Р	0.37a	0.39a	0.39a	0.40a	0.40a	0.41a	0.40a	0.40a	0.39a	0.38a
K	1.29a	1.33a	1.36a	1.32a	1.38a	1.34a	1.32a	1.30a	1.31a	1.42a
S	0.20a	0.20a	0.21a	0.20a	0.21a	0.18b	0.19ab	0.20ab	0.19b	0.20ab
Ca	0.35cd	0.35cd	0.37cd	0.35cd	0.40ab	0.38ab	0.35cd	0.35d	0.36cd	0.41a
Mg	0.20d	0.19d	0.20cd	0.20cd	0.21bc	0.23a	0.20cd	0.21bc	0.20cd	0.21b
Na	0.03a	0.03a	0.03a	0.03a	0.03a	0.03a	0.03a	0.03a	0.03a	0.04a
				Mineral	s (mg.kg <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>z</sup>					
Fe	49.2a	53.0a	55.6a	56.9a	57.2a	56.3a	57.4a	57.3a	60.3a	55.9a
Al	7.77a	7.64a	7.66a	9.84a	6.71a	10.3a	6.27a	9.23a	7.97a	6.12a
Mn	209a	191a	218a	215a	252a	243a	222a	204a	219a	265a
Cu	7.68bc	7.40c	7.38c	7.58bc	7.95abc	7.61bc	8.42ab	7.52bc	7.50bc	8.72a
Zn	62.1a	49.6a	51.5a	53.9a	59.9a	61.4a	54.0a	54.8a	53.0a	60.5a
В	19.4c	20.4bc	20.4bc	20.3bc	22.7ab	24.1a	22.8ab	20.5bc	23.8a	22.7at
				Sugars (g	g/100g meal)					
Fructose	0.24a	0.23a	0.43a	0.20a	0.21a	0.18a	0.32a	0.34a	0.18a	0.18a
Glucose	0.40a	0.35a	0.63a	0.39a	0.35a	0.35a	0.49a	0.50a	0.34a	0.36a
Sucrose	2.93a	2.82a	2.66a	3.04a	2.71a	2.83a	2.20a	2.65a	2.80a	2.44a
Raffinose	1.13a	1.12a	1.08a	1.23a	1.20a	1.31a	1.09a	1.22a	1.20a	1.06a
Stachyose	6.16a	6.06a	5.91a	5.93a	5.83a	7.12a	5.52a	5.71a	6.25a	5.65a
Verbascose	1.45a	1.22a	1.22a	1.32a	1.30a	1.53a	1.19a	1.23a	1.40a	1.34a
Total	12.3a	11.8a	11.9a	12.1a	11.6a	13.3a	10.8a	11.7a	12.2a	11.0a

Table 2. Cultivar Effects on Composition of Green Seeds of Ten White Lupin Lines Grown at Two Locations in Virginia

<sup>x</sup>Means followed by similar letters within rows are not different according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test at 5 % level of significance.

<sup>y</sup>Ratio between content of C18:2 and C18:3 fatty acids (Linoleic : Linolenic fatty acids).

<sup>z</sup>Means over two years, two locations, and three replications.

# Table 3. Location Effects on Composition of Green Seeds of Ten White Lupin Lines Grown at Two Locations in Virginia

Trait	Petersburg	Suffolk	
Protein(%)	33.5a <sup>x</sup>	32.5b	
Oil(%)	6.7a	6.7a	
	Fatty acids (Percentage of oil)		
C16:0	12.1a	8.8b	
C18:0	1.46a	1.55a	
C20:0	0.72b	0.81a	
C22:0	2.93b	3.97a	
C24:0	1.38a	1.29b	
C16:1	0.21a	0.23a	
C18:1	25.7b	46.4a	
C18:2	43.0a	21.1b	
C18:3	9.59a	9.76a	
C20:1	2.14b	4.01a	
C22:1	0.71b	2.01a	
SFA	18.6a	16.4b	
UFA	81.4b	83.6a	
MUFA	28.8b	52.7a	
PUFA	52.6a	30.9b	
Ratio <sup>y</sup>	4.62a	2.16b	
	Minerals (%) <sup>z</sup>		
Р	0.48 a	0.31 b	
K	1.41 a	1.26 b	
S	0.22 a	0.18 b	
Са	0.41 a	0.33 b	
Mg	0.21 a	0.20 b	
Na	0.04 a	0.02 b	
	Minerals (mg.kg <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>z</sup>		
Fe	63.7 a	48.1 b	
Al	8.24 a	7.7 a	
Mn	303 a	144 b	
Cu	9.17 a	6.38 b	
Zn	61.9 a	50.2 b	
В	25.7 a	17.8 b	
	Sugars (g/100g meal)		
Fructose	0.35a	0.16b	
Glucose	0.54a	0.29b	
Sucrose	3.23a	2.19b	
Raffinose	1.33a	1.00b	
Stachyose	5.87a	6.15a	
Verbascose	1.37a	1.27a	
Total	12.7	11.1b	

<sup>8</sup>Means followed by similar letters within rows are not different according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test at 5 % level of significance. <sup>9</sup>Ratio between content of C18:2 and C18:3 fatty acids (Linoleic : Linolenic fatty acids). <sup>8</sup>Means over two years, two locations, and three replications.

(Linoleic acid, 18:2) constitute a significant majority [15, 16]. The ratio of n-6 and n-3 fatty acids is important for human health and should be 1:1 to 4:1 whereas in the Western diet it is now estimated to be 10:1 to 30:1 [16, 17]. The white lupin green seeds produced at both locations meet this desirability ratio criterion. On the positive side, seeds produced at Petersburg also had higher contents of fructose, glucose, and sucrose, and total sugars over those produced at Suffolk (Table 3). Seeds produced at Petersburg location contained higher contents of calcium, iron, and zinc, therefore, Petersburg location was identified as a desirable location for production of white lupin green seeds for human consumption.

Even though our results indicate Petersburg location to be a desirable location, we suggest that quality of white lupin green seeds may change based on the specific soil characteristics given that most traits in crops are dependent upon soil characteristics, plant genotype, and an interaction between these two factors in addition to climatic conditions. The specific effect of a given soil on nutritional quality of white lupin green seeds will be hard to characterize, however, our results suggest that presence of higher contents of organic matter (sandy loam soil being better than sandy soil), P, and Mg and lower contents of K and Ca might be conducive for production of white lupin green seeds with higher contents of poly-unsaturated fatty acids, sugars, and minerals. However, this observation is based on limited data and would need to be verified in the future.

We observed that Petersburg location is characterized by sandy loam soils whereas Suffolk location is characterized by sandy soils. Contents (mg.kg<sup>-1</sup>) of P, K, Mg, and Ca in Petersburg soil were 77, 54, 68, and 395 whereas those in Suffolk soil were 19, 81, 22, and 470, respectively. The pH and organic matter (%) of Petersburg soil was 6.4 and 1.5 whereas that of Suffolk soil were 5.9 and 0.7, respectively. Additionally, ambient temperatures at Petersburg location are cooler than those at Suffolk locations. Based on historical data, Petersburg location (Average lowest, average highest, and average mean temperatures of 18, 72, and 46° F, respectively) is cooler than the Suffolk location (Average lowest, average highest, and average mean temperatures of 15, 79, and 47 ° F, respectively). We speculate that these differences contribute towards differential composition of white lupin green seeds. Our results indicated that cultivar effects for several nutritional quality traits were not significant, however, we are not suggesting that only weather and soil conditions affect lupin seed composition. Rather, the composition of white lupin seed is expected to be determined by agroclimatic and host plant genetic factors.

#### White Lupin, Peas, and Soybean Green Seeds

The protein content of white lupin green seeds was higher than that of green peas and vegetable soybean (Table 4) whereas oil content was greater than in green peas and similar to that in soybean. In general, contents of fatty acids in green white lupin seeds were similar or greater than those of green peas and vegetable soybean except for content of erucic acid which was non-existent in peas. A positive observation was related to contents of iron and zinc – white lupin green seeds had considerably higher contents than peas and vegetable soybean (Table 4). White lupin green seeds had higher total sugar content than peas whereas sucrose content was greater in peas. Both fructose and glucose content were higher in white lupin green seeds as compared to those in peas indicating that green white lupin seeds might be sweeter than green peas.

The protein content of white lupin green seeds (Approximately 33 percent) was similar to that in vegetable soybean (Approximately 36 percent [18]; 38 percent: [19]) and higher than green peas (Approximately 5 percent, [20]). Vegetable soybean, also known as Edamame, is the term used to describe physiologically mature but immature green seed of soybean [8]. One advantage of using white lupin immature fresh seeds is that these seeds become available in April-May whereas fresh vegetable soybean (Edamame) becomes available in August-September.

Based on the results from our current studies and considerable yield potential of white lupin green seeds (18Mg/ha – [9] in comparison to approximately 10 Mg/ha for Edamame [18], we suggest that white lupin green seeds may have potential as a human food crop. The real potential of white lupin green seeds as human food would depend upon consumer acceptability based on taste tests and lack of antinutritional traits components. The lines included in our studies were developed by Auburn University and are classified as sweet. We speculate that white lupin can provide a source of green seeds similar to those from green peas and vegetable soybean [18]. Even though use of mature soybean seeds as human food is limited, use of vegetable soybean (Physiologically mature but green soybean seeds) for human consumption has been reported [18].

Several reports [1, 2, 3, and 4] have indicated use of mature white lupin seeds as human food but we were unable to find any reports related to use of immature green white lupin seeds as human food. We believe that white lupin green seeds have potential for human consumption similar to the vegetable soybean (Edamame) and green peas. However, lupin seeds are known to contain anti-nutritional factors such as alkaloids, phytate, and oligosaccharides [21, 22]. Lupin seeds are generally classified as "sweet" or "bitter" depending upon the content of alkaloids which can vary from 0.01 to 4% [23]. The bitter seeds contain guinolizidine alkaloids such as lupanine, lupinine, and sparteine. The presence of these alkaloids limits the use of lupin seeds as food and feed [24]. The Australian standard is 0.02% as the upper alkaloid content limit for sweet lupins [25]. Before the development of sweet lupins, the bitter lupin seeds were debittered by soaking in running water and cooking/toasting [26]. The lupin breeding work of Sengbusch in Germany during 1928-1929 laid the foundation for development of sweet lupin cultivars. Currently sweet cultivars are available in all four lupin species that are being used as agricultural crops in the world [25]. All cultivars used in our studies were classified as sweet. However, information about presence/and concentration of anti-nutritional factors in green seeds is needed before use of white lupin green seeds can be recommended for human consumption. Such studies will be worthwhile given the increasing interest among consumers for novel plant products as evidenced by growing popularity of vegetable soybean.

# Table 4. Composition of Green Raw Seeds of White lupin, Peas, and Soybean

Trait	White lupin <sup>x</sup>	Peas <sup>v</sup>	Soybean <sup>y</sup>		
Protein(%)	33.0	5.42	12.95		
Oil (%)	6.7	0.40	6.80		
	Fatty acids (	Percentage of oil)			
C16:0	10.6	16.00	8.38		
C18:0	1.5	1.75	3.09		
C20:0	0.8	na	na		
C22:0	3.4	na	na		
C24:0	1.3	na	na		
C16:1	0.2	0.00	0.16		
C18:1	35.3	8.75	18.56		
C18:2	32.9	38.00	41.51		
C18:3	9.7	8.75	5.53		
C20:1	3.0	0.00	0.16		
C22:1	1.3	0.00	na		
SFA	17.6	17.75	11.56		
UFA	82.4	82.25	88.44		
MUFA	39.9	8.75	18.88		
PUFA	42.5	46.75	47.06		
Ratio <sup>z</sup>	3.5	4.34	7.51		
	Mir	nerals (%)			
Р	0.4	1.08	1.94		
K	1.3	2.44	6.20		
S	0.2	na	na		
Ca	0.4	0.25	0.20		
Mg	0.2	0.33	0.65		
Na	0.0	0.05	0.15		
	Minera	als (mg.kg <sup>-1</sup> )			
Fe	55.9	14.7	35.5		
Al	8.0	na	na		
Mn	224	4.10	5.47		
Cu	7.8	1.76	1.28		
Zn	56.1	12.4	9.90		
В	21.7	na	na		
	Sugars	(g/100g meal)			
Fructose	0.2	0.39	na		
Glucose	0.4	0.12	na		
Sucrose	2.7	4.99	na		
Raffinose	1.2	na	na		
Stachyose	6.0	na	na		
Verbascose	1.3	na	na		
Total	11.9	5.67	na		

<sup>\*</sup>Values are from current study. <sup>\*</sup>Values are from USDA, 2012. <sup>Z</sup>RATIO BETWEEN CONTENT OF C18:2 AND C18:3 FATTY ACIDS (LINOLEIC : LINOLENIC FATTY ACIDS).

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Contribution of Virginia State University, Agricultural Research Station, Journal Article Series No. 297. Use of any trade names or vendors does not imply approval to the exclusion of other products or vendors that may also be suitable. Funds from USDA-CSREES (Now NIFA) 1890 Institutions Capacity Building Grants Program were used to support this study. Authors thankfully acknowledge assistance of Dr. Edzard van Santen, Auburn University, Auburn, Alabama (USA) for providing seeds of white lupin lines included in this report.

# **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

No conflicts of interest exist for the contents of this manuscript.

#### REFERENCES

- Bhardwaj HL. Evaluation of lupin as a new food/feed crop in the US mid-Atlantic region. In: Janick J, Whipkey A, Eds. Trends in new crops and new uses.USA: ASHS Press 2002; pp.115-9.
- [2] Bhardwaj HL, Hamama AA, Merrick LC. Genotypic and environmental effects on lupin seed composition. Plant Foods Human Nutr 1998; 53:1-13.
- [3] Bhardwaj HL, Hamama AA, van Santen E. Fatty acids and oil content in white lupin seed as affected by production practices. J Am Oil Chem Soc 2004a; 81:1035-8.
- [4] Bhardwaj HL, Hamama AA, van Santen E. White lupin performance and nutritional quality as affected by planting date and row spacing. Agron J 2004b; 96: 580-3.
- [5] Bhardwaj HL, Starner DE, van Santen E. Preliminary evaluation of white lupin (*Lupinus albus* L.) as a forage crop in the mid-Atlantic region of the United States of America. J Agric Sci 2010; 2:13-7.
- [6] Robinson KO, Beyene DA, van Berkum P, Knight-Mason R, Bhardwaj HL. Variability in plant-microbe interaction between *Lupinus* lines and *Bradyrhizobium* strains. Plant Sci 2000; 159(2): 257-64.
- [7] Hamama AA, Bhardwaj HL. Phytosterols, triterpine alcohols, and phospholipids in seed oil from white lupin. J Am Oil Chem Soc 2004; 81:1039-44.
- [8] Brar GS, Carter TF, Jr. Soybean, *Glycine max* (L.) Merrill. In Kalloo G, Bergh BO; Eds. Genetic improvement of vegetable crops. New York: Pergamon Press 1993; pp. 427-63.
- [9] Bhardwaj HL, Hamama AA. Cultivar and growing location effects on white lupin immature green seeds. J Agric Sci 2012; 4:135-8.

Received: October 01, 2012

Revised: November 23, 2012

Accepted: December 04, 2012

© Bhardwaj and Hamama; Licensee Bentham Open.

This is an open access article licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/) which permits unrestricted, non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the work is properly cited.

- [10] Fehr WR, Caviness CE, Burmood DT, Pennington JS. Stage of development descriptions for soybeans, Glycine max (L.) Merrill. Crop Sci 1971; 11: 929-31.
- [11] AOAC. Official methods of analysis. 16<sup>th</sup> ed. Arlington, VA: Association of Analytical Chemists 1995.
- [12] Hamama AA, Bhardwaj HL, Starner DE. Genotype and growing location effects on phytosterols in canola oil. J Am Oil Chem Soc 2005; 80:1121-6.
- [13] Johansen HN, Glitsø V, Knudsen KEN. Influence of extraction solvent and temperature on the quantitative determination of oligosaccharides from plant materials by high-performance liquid chromatography. J Agric Food Chem 1996; 44: 1470-4.
- [14] SAS. SAS System for Windows; SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC 2002.
- [15] Raper NR, Cronin FJ, Exler J. Omega-3 fatty acid content of the US food supply. J Am Coll Nutr 1992; 11(3): 304-8.
- [16] Watkins C. Fundamental fats. Inform 2004; 15(10): 638-40.
- [17] Schmidt MA. Brain-building nutrition, the healing power of fats and oils. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Berkeley, California: North Atlantic Books 2001.
- [18] Rao MSS, Bhagsari AS, Mohamed AI. Fresh green seed yield and seed nutritional traits of vegetable soybean genotypes. Crop Sci 2002; 42: 1950-8.
- [19] Mebrahtu T. Analysis of nutritional contents in vegetable soybeans. J Crop Impror 2008; 21:157-70.
- [20] USDA. U.S department of agriculture, Agricultural Research Service 2012. USDA National Nutrient Database for Standard Reference, Release 24 2012; Nutrient Data Laboratory Home Page [online]. Available at: http://ndb.nal.usda.gov/ [Accessed 2012 4<sup>th</sup> June].
- [21] Dagnia SG, Petterson DS, Flanagan FV. Germination alters the nutritional value of lupin seed. J Sci Food Agric 1992; 60: 419-23.
- [22] Muzquiz M, Cuadrado C, Ayet G, Cuadra C, Burbano C, Osagie A. Variation of alkaloid components of lupin seeds in 49 genotypes of *Lupinus albus* L. from different countries and locations. J Agric Food Chem 1994; 42:1447-50.
- [23] Allen JG. Toxins and lupinosis. In: Gladstones JS, Atkins C, Hamblin J, Eds. Lupins as crop plants: biology, production, and utilization. UK: CAB International 1998; pp. 411-35.
- [24] Muzquiz M, de la Cuadra C, Cuadrado C, Burbano C, Calvo R. Herbicide-like effect of Lupinus alkaloids. Ind Crops Prod 1994; 2: 273-80.
- [25] Cowling WA, Huyghe C, Swiecki W. Lupin Breeding. In: Gladstones JS, Atkins C, Hamblin J, Eds. Lupins as Crop Plants: biology, production, and utilization. UK: CAB International 1998; pp. 437-54.
- [26] Aguilera JM, Trier A. The revival of lupin. Food Technol 1978; 32: 70-6.