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Abstract: The number of people newly infected with HIV is still very high in low and middle-income countries. In these countries, the HIV epidemic is dramatically disrupting both their population structure and economic situation. There is an urgent need to reinforce strategies to prevent HIV transmission. Despite certain successes, HIV prevention strategies have not yet been sufficient to significantly limit HIV propagation. New strategies of HIV prevention have been explored. If certain HIV prevention tools have shown some efficacy, others are still under study. This paper reviews what is known about “traditional” HIV prevention strategies and what has been published about the new strategies for HIV prevention since the early 1990s. For increased efficacy, HIV prevention efforts should be tailored to the socio-cultural and economic contexts, the local type of epidemic and the needs of local population.
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At the global level, the prevalence of HIV infection (proportion of individuals infected with HIV among the general population) appears to have stabilized in recent years. Still, in 2008, it was estimated that 2.7 million new HIV infections occurred worldwide, 90% of which were in low and middle-income countries [1]. The total number of people living with HIV was estimated at 33.4 million in 2008, most of whom were living in developing countries. Low and middle-income countries are not only the most affected by HIV/AIDS, but they are also facing enormous constraints in mitigating the impact of this epidemic.

Over the past decade, the international community has made substantial, though still insufficient, efforts to improve worldwide access to HIV/AIDS treatment, i.e. antiretrovirals. However in 2008, 60% of the population eligible for antiretroviral therapy in resource-limited countries still didn’t access it [2]. The total number of people knowing their HIV status is growing. Thus overall, the number of antiretroviral drugs to be distributed is inexorably increasing worldwide [3]. In low-income countries, the financial resources dedicated to health are limited. This is why even in the era of HIV/AIDS treatment, the prevention of HIV infection remains a worldwide public health priority [4] and is also an economic challenge [5].

More than 20 years after the onset of the HIV/AIDS epidemic, it is estimated that each day, 7400 persons are newly infected by HIV, 96% of them live in resource-limited countries [1]. The high rates of new HIV infections observed today largely result from the failure to use the available and effective HIV prevention strategies and tools, and from the poor coverage of HIV prevention programmes in these countries. According to UNAIDS, HIV prevention services were only reaching 20% of people in need in 2005 [6].

In this paper, we first review the scientific literature documenting the HIV prevention strategies developed and implemented over the past decades, their successes and their limits. We reviewed the published scientific literature documenting past and new HIV prevention strategies, from the early 1990s to december 2009. We focused on the case of low and middle-income countries, the most severely affected by the HIV/AIDS epidemic. We consulted the Medline and Science Direct databases, using the research terms “HIV prevention”, “low and middle income countries” or “strategies of prevention” or “fight against AIDS”. We also consulted the websites of international institutions such as the World Health Organisation, UNAIDS or UNICEF.

We then outline the new approaches to HIV prevention that are currently being explored, those reporting interesting efficacy results, and others still under study.

1. SUCCESSES AND LIMITS OF “TRADITIONAL” HIV PREVENTION STRATEGIES

HIV can be transmitted through sexual intercourse or needle/syringe sharing with a person who is HIV-infected, or through transfusions of infected blood. HIV can also be transmitted from an HIV-infected woman to her infant during pregnancy, labour and delivery, as well as through breastfeeding after birth. HIV epidemics and patterns of HIV transmission vary across regions and countries. In sub-Saharan Africa, the HIV epidemic is largely fuelled by heterosexual transmission and mother-to-child transmission of HIV. In most Asian countries, HIV infection is also predominant among injecting-drug users: for example in China, in 2006, almost 50% of people living with HIV were infected through the use of contaminated injecting equipment [6]. However, in China like in many parts of Asia
the epidemic is steadily expanding into lower-risk populations through transmission to the sexual partners of those most at risk [1]. In Latin America, the primary mode of HIV transmission is currently among men who have sex with men [1]. Thus overall it has now been widely acknowledged that HIV prevention approaches need to be adapted and tailored to the various and country-specific epidemics [7].

1.1. Preventing Sexual Transmission of HIV

Promoting Male Condoms has Contributed to Prevent HIV but is Not Adapted to All Couple Relationships

The male condom, if consistently used, reduces the risk of heterosexual transmission of HIV by 80% [8]. Male condoms also have a low production and distribution cost and thus have been considered as one of the most cost-effective HIV prevention tools [5]. HIV prevention campaigns focused on the prevention of sexual risks have increased the use of male condoms over the past decades [9] and reduced the number of sexual partners [10]. But the coverage of male condom use is still insufficient to stop the progression of the HIV epidemic, especially in low and medium-resource countries. There are indeed several cultural and religious barriers to the wide scale use of male condoms [11]. Moreover condom use within stable relationships has long been shown to be complex [12], as it may be perceived as a sign of infidelity and mistrust [13, 14]. Moreover, consistent condom use is not reconcilable with procreation. Thus within stable relationships, condom use must be selective and therefore remains imperfect. Yet several studies have reported that a large proportion of HIV infections occur in the context of stable relationships [15]. Demographic and Health data from Burkina Faso, Cameroon, Ghana, Kenya and Tanzania has shown that at least two-thirds of HIV-infected heterosexual couples are serodiscordant (one partner is HIV-infected, the other is HIV-negative). But less than 30% of these couples report using a male condom at last sexual intercourse, even when aware of their respective HIV status [16].

The Female Condom: A Very Low Coverage

Female condoms, like male condoms, are a protection against sexually transmitted infections and unwanted pregnancies. As this device can be used and handled by women, it first raised many hopes: promoting the use of female condoms would provide women with the possibility of protecting themselves during sexual intercourse, an opportunity that they don’t always have in the context of male-dominated relationships. The benefits of female condoms have been proved [17]. Studies have shown that the use of female condoms has increased the proportion of protected sexual intercourse without reducing the use of male condoms [18] as women use both condoms interchangeably [19]. However the use of female condoms faces similar challenges to male condoms. It is not 100% efficient: a multisite study showed that probably due to incorrect use of female condoms, several women got pregnant [20]. Female condoms also require the involvement of men: a study conducted in Zambia showed that if women were those initiating dialogue around condoms, these were used after joint decision-making from both couple members [21]. And finally, female condoms are 10 times more costly than male condoms; they are also more conspicuous and more difficult to handle [22]. As a result overall, female condoms are not largely distributed, even in high prevalence countries.

Behaviour Change: The ABC Controversy

The ABC strategy (“Abstinence, Be faithful, Use a Condom”) aims at preventing high-risk behaviours for HIV infection. It promotes abstinence until marriage, mutual fidelity after marriage and the use of condoms for people who would be unable to follow these two recommendations [23]. Several American institutions and African governments adopted this strategy early in the HIV epidemic [24]. In the late 1980s, to fight the high national prevalence of HIV, the Ugandan president Museveni encouraged young people to delay their sexual debut or to return back to abstinence, while promoting the use of condoms in the rest of the population. The prevalence of HIV/AIDS in Uganda declined by 10% from 15% in 1990 to 5% in 2001 [25]. Nevertheless, the role and impact of the ABC programme in this decline can be questioned. And indeed the decrease in the number of HIV/AIDS cases in Uganda may more likely be associated with an increased use of condoms and a lower number of sexual partners [26].

At an international level, this ABC strategy has also been highly controversial. It has been accused of polarising prevention efforts on abstinence and fidelity to the detriment of measures promoting education, woman empowerment or access to antiretroviral therapy [27]. It was also underlined that it was moralising strategy, which could stigmatise sexual intercourse outside of marriage, discourage adolescent women from discussing their sexuality and prevent them from accessing sexual and reproductive health services [28]. Finally, it has been argued that abstinence until marriage and fidelity are no guarantee of an individual’s safety: one always remains dependent on one’s partner’s fidelity, which cannot be controlled. Women thus can be easily exposed to the risk of HIV infection within their couple, in particular in certain socioeconomic and cultural contexts where male infidelity is frequent [25, 29].

During the 2004 Bangkok conference, an alternative to the ABC approach was discussed: the CNN strategy (Condom, Needle exchange, Negotiation skills): this approach takes into account homosexuals, sex workers and drug-users – population groups which had been ignored within the ABC approach - promotes condom use, negotiation within the couple and the safe exchange of needles [29].

1.2. Insufficient Prevention of Blood Transmission of HIV

Worldwide, the use of contaminated injecting equipment accounts for one third of new infections outside Sub-Saharan Africa [30]. Drug injection is particularly prevalent among 15-24 year olds [31;32]. HIV prevention programmes targeted towards injecting drug-users are efficient but they are insufficiently developed [33]. Several studies have shown that the use of injectable drugs was associated with high-risk sexual behaviours [34]. Despite a high number of HIV/AIDS cases among injecting drug-users, few have access to antiretroviral therapy [35;36]. Targeted and
adapted HIV prevention programmes within this population are therefore crucial [37].

The number of HIV/AIDS cases due to blood transfusion is little documented. Yet it is estimated that millions of patients are unable to receive safe blood when in need. Two studies conducted in Côte d’Ivoire and Burkina Faso showed that the risk of HIV infection after a blood transfusion remains substantial [38, 39]. Between 1st January 1998 and 31st December 1999, at the Ouagadougou Hospital, 3.5% of the transfused samples, 80% of which were destined for the paediatric ward, were infected by HIV. In addition, as shown recently in Niger, the national availability of blood was low while at the same time transfusion needs were increasing [40]. Finally, according to UNAIDS, while 91 countries have taken steps to secure the blood donation, 34 have not yet reached the quality goals and 67 countries are unable to provide an indicator for this topic [6].

1.3. Preventing Mother-to-Child Transmission of HIV: Encouraging Progress

Progress and Current Challenges

In 2008, every day an estimated 1200 children under 15 years old were newly infected by HIV, 90% of whom lived in sub-Saharan Africa [1]. Most of these children were infected by mother-to-child transmission of HIV, a form of transmission, which can be prevented but remains a challenge for most resource-limited settings [41, 42]. Over the past 15 years, a certain number of antiretroviral regimens were shown to be safe, efficient, cost-effective and feasible in reducing the risk of mother-to-child transmission of HIV. The implementation of these prophylactic antiretroviral regimens has improved over the past few years. In 2008, in low and middle-income countries, 45% of pregnant women diagnosed with HIV received antiretroviral therapy for the prevention of mother-to-child transmission, compared to 9% in 2004 [6, 43]. However the coverage of this HIV prevention strategy is still far from optimal in most resource-limited countries. The main obstacles to preventing mother-to-child transmission of HIV in these settings have been related to the limited access to prenatal HIV counselling and testing services and to the complexity of preventing HIV transmission through breastfeeding. The latest and simplified recommendations on the use of antiretroviral drugs for treating pregnant women and preventing HIV infection in infants, made available in November 2009, should contribute to improving the coverage and efficacy of prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV interventions.

Improving Access to Prenatal HIV Counselling and Testing

The first step in preventing mother-to-child transmission of HIV is to provide HIV counselling and testing services to pregnant women. Yet in 2008, only 21% of pregnant women were tested for HIV in low and middle income countries [43]. In many settings, prenatal HIV counselling and testing are provided to pregnant women in addition to other prenatal services - the women needing to “opt-in” for this intervention. Pregnant women are offered HIV testing after a pre-test HIV counselling session and are requested to provide an informed and written consent before being tested. Women who accept HIV testing are tested on the same day and advised to return for their test results and a post-test HIV counselling session between a few days to two weeks later. In this context, a large proportion of pregnant women who are offered HIV testing in the end decline the test or do not return to collect their test results. There are several explanations for these drop-outs, such as the women’s reticence at requesting a service which is not part of standard care, the fear of learning their HIV status, the feeling of not being “ready” to receive the test results, or for certain women the need to secure their partner’s approval [44]. For the past few years, in response to the urgent need to increase the coverage of HIV counselling and testing [45], for pregnant women and the overall population, the World Health Organisation has promoted a provider-initiated approach to HIV counselling and testing [46]. Prenatal HIV counselling and testing is now increasingly promoted as a routine part of antenatal care: blood sampling for HIV testing is systematically suggested to a pregnant woman attending prenatal care, and performed unless she explicitly refuses HIV testing, i.e. an opt-out approach [46]. In Zimbabwe, this opt out approach was shown to be acceptable [47] and efficient with prenatal testing rates increasing from 65% to 99% in the first six months where a policy on provider-initiated testing and counselling was implemented [48]. Shifting to this opt-out approach, based on the pregnancy care providers’ initiative, leads to a higher proportion of pregnancies in which HIV testing is offered and actively recommended.

As a safety net, to ensure that the maximum number of pregnant women are informed of their HIV status, several researchers have documented the potential of HIV testing in the labour ward [49]. This strategy is however suboptimal as women in labour, i.e. in pain or in distress, are not likely to be fully receptive to adequate HIV counselling or capable of informed consent and decision-making to HIV testing [50].

Moreover, for many years, research has shown that barriers to the acceptability of prenatal HIV counselling and testing were not only systemic but also related to the social and family context pregnant women live in. Many pregnant women report needing to first consult their partner to obtain his to approval before undertaking HIV testing [51, 52], even in the context of an opt-out approach to prenatal HIV testing [53]. In spite of repeated recommendations within the international community [54] few published studies have explored the interest of a couple approach to prenatal HIV counselling and testing, although the available data is edifying. In Zambia and Kenya, where pregnant women were offered individual or couple HIV counselling, couple HIV counselling improved the uptake of HIV testing, antiretroviral prophylaxis and alternatives to prolonged and mixed breastfeeding, and no increased risk of adverse social events was reported compared to individual counselling [55, 56].

The Challenge of Preventing Postnatal Transmission of HIV

Once pregnant women have been counselled and tested for HIV, identified as HIV-infected, provided with an appropriate antiretroviral prophylaxis for preventing peripartum transmission of HIV, the challenge of preventing HIV through breastfeeding still remains. In the absence of any targeted postnatal intervention, breastfeeding is
responsible for 8.9 HIV infections per 100 child-years of breastfeeding and accounts for 40% of paediatric HIV infections [57, 58]. Three main factors seem to influence the risk of HIV transmission through breastfeeding. First, maternal health: the risk of postnatal HIV transmission is 3 to 10 times higher among women who are more seriously ill, with CD4 count <200 cells/ml compared to women above this threshold [59]. Second, the duration of breastfeeding: the longer the breastfeeding lasts, the higher the resulting risk of postnatal transmission of HIV [60]. And finally, the pattern of breastfeeding: the practice of exclusive breastfeeding during the first months of life is associated with a lower risk of postnatal HIV transmission than the practice of mixing breastfeeding with other fluids or solids [61].

Until recently, most research focused on the last two factors, pattern and duration. Given the necessary support, formula feeding and early breastfeeding cessation (prior six months of life) can reduce and even eliminate the risk of postnatal HIV transmission [62]. However the health risks associated with unsafe artificial feeding or the social risks associated with not breastfeeding are problematic for many women in resource-limited settings [63, 64].

In the context of increasing access to antiretroviral therapy, research has more recently focused on alternative interventions to ensure safer breastfeeding based on antiretroviral treatments. Antiretroviral drugs can be given to breastfed infants as prophylaxis against HIV exposure [65-67], but need to be administered during the whole breastfeeding period to effectively prevent the postnatal risk of HIV transmission [68, 69]. Maternal antiretroviral therapy, which rapidly and continuously reduces the viral load in plasma and breastmilk [70, 71], also has the potential to reduce the risk of HIV transmission during breastfeeding. Preliminary results from the ongoing Kesho Bora study have shown that the risk of postnatal HIV transmission was reduced by 40% among infants whose mothers received antiretroviral therapy from late in pregnancy until six months into breastfeeding, compared to others who were administered a short course of drugs that ended at delivery [72]. They also showed that this antiretroviral therapy strategy was more efficient among women with CD4 counts between 200 and 350cells/ml. Although short to medium-term potential adverse effects and toxicities of exposure to antiretroviral therapy exist for infants, these seem to be largely outweighed by the immense benefits in terms of preventing HIV transmission [73]. As summarised within a recent review paper [42], most recent research results argue in favour of making HAART (highly active antiretroviral therapy) available to all HIV-infected pregnant women in resource-limited settings, irrespective of their CD4 count or clinical stage, both for the prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV and for their own health.

In the recently released WHO guidelines on the use of antiretroviral drugs for treating pregnant women and preventing HIV infection in infants, two options of similar efficacy are now offered for pregnant women with CD4 count above the 350 CD4 treatment eligibility threshold [74]. Option A consists in providing maternal zidovudine prophylaxis from the second trimester of pregnancy until delivery, followed by daily oral nevirapine to the breastfed infant until all breastfeeding has ceased. Option B consists of the provision of maternal triple antiretroviral prophylaxis (as per ART for treatment) starting from the second trimester of pregnancy until all exposure to breast milk has ended.

2. NEW TRACKS FOR HIV PREVENTION


HIV testing is a cornerstone of HIV prevention: the knowledge of one’s HIV status is an important incentive to adopt appropriate behaviours to prevent HIV transmission if HIV-infected [75]. Yet, even more than the coverage of prenatal HIV counselling and testing, the coverage of HIV testing for the general population remains largely insufficient worldwide. The latest data indicates that less than 40% of people living with HIV know their status [1]. This lack of knowledge is a major obstacle to HIV prevention [76]. In order to increase the proportion of people tested for HIV, HIV testing strategies have evolved over the past decade.

Within a provider-initiated HIV counselling and testing approach [77], HIV counselling services are simplified (reduced pre-test HIV counselling and strengthened post-test HIV counselling services) [78]. A study conducted in Uganda evaluated the acceptability and efficacy of this opt-out approach to HIV testing among hospital patients and their family members [79]. It showed that 98% of patients accepted HIV testing, among whom 81% were tested for the first time. In addition, community-based strategies for HIV counselling testing, outside the health centre, such as mobile clinics or door-to-door (home-based) HIV testing have recently been advocated and shown to be effective in Uganda [80]. Regardless of the location of or approach to HIV counselling and testing, ensuring the quality and confidentiality of HIV counselling services remains paramount.

To increase the rate of patients returning to collect their HIV test results, rapid HIV testing has been promoted in resource-limited settings since the early 2000s. Rapid HIV tests take a few minutes and results can be given to the patient the same day. This HIV testing strategy is highly feasible in resource-limited settings, as it only requires basic laboratory equipment [77]. The acceptability of rapid HIV testing is high, although certain studies have shown that some patients prefer to have time before being informed of their test results [81].

Finally, several studies have explored the benefits of offering HIV counselling and testing to couples [82]. A large proportion of patients refuse HIV testing because they fear their partner’s reactions. Counselling both partners together is likely to reduce this fear and the mutual knowledge of their HIV status contributes to facilitate HIV prevention within the couple. Couples who are informed that they are serodiscordant may receive more appropriate support. And it has been shown that the disclosure of HIV tests results within the couple rarely has negative consequences [56, 83].

2.2. Diversifying Strategies to Reduce the Sexual Transmission of HIV

Microbicides: A Wrong Good Idea?

Microbicides are chemical products such as gels, creams, films or suppositories which are inserted in the vagina before
sexual intercourse and may prevent sexually transmitted infections. Microbicides have raised many hopes over the last decade in the sense that, as female condoms, they would be a female-controlled HIV prevention method that women could use without the need for their partner's approval. However the results of the various clinical trials conducted so far are not conclusive. In 2002, a study conducted among 900 sex workers clearly demonstrated that the microbicide tested did not significantly prevent HIV transmission among the populations at risk [84]. More recently, several studies had to be interrupted because of safety or inefficacy issues [85]. The repeated use of microbicides could indeed be toxic and increase the risk of HIV infection. The conclusions of the recently conducted Pro2000 placebo controlled trial, which involved 9385 women in four African countries, indicate that the HIV prevention gel Pro2000 was ineffective in reducing the risk of HIV infection among women [86]. Continuing efforts to develop effective products are now focusing on microbicides which contain antiretroviral drugs.

**Positive Prevention or the Involvement of People Living with HIV/AIDS**

HIV prevention has mainly been focused on HIV-negative individuals [87]. Yet, HIV-infected people are the vectors of HIV transmission and as such should be key actors of HIV prevention programmes. When aware of their HIV status and provided with the appropriate treatment and information, people living with HIV/AIDS can become key actors in the fight against HIV/AIDS [75]. This concept of “positive prevention” is based on the “virtuous” circle that is initiated when access to treatment is facilitated and associated preventive programmes are supported.

**Male Circumcision**

Several randomised trials conducted in South Africa, Uganda and Kenya have shown that male circumcision performed by skilled health professionals reduces the risk of HIV transmission from woman to man by 60% [88-90]. These study results have raised both great hopes and concerns: Bertran Auvert compared male circumcision to a “highly efficient vaccine” [88], but the feasibility, acceptability and effectiveness of large-scale male circumcision programmes is yet to be evaluated. It is unknown whether men will easily accept to be circumcised in a context where condom use is still so complex [91]; or whether circumcised men will feel totally protected against HIV and abandon condom use. The preliminary results of a recent study conducted in Uganda among 922 HIV-infected men aged between 15 and 49, half of whom were circumcised, showed a higher HIV prevalence among the female partners of these circumcised men (18%) compared to the control group (12%) [92]. This can be explained by the fact that circumcised men reported resuming unprotected sexual course early, whereas they had been advised to abstain until complete healing. The study was thus interrupted [92]. Learning from this experience and in order to prevent further adverse events related to the misinterpretation of the effect of male circumcision and to encourage the scaling-up of male circumcision for HIV prevention, WHO recently produced new recommendations [93] i.e. to integrate male circumcision within a comprehensive package of HIV prevention initiatives, involve men’s partners [94], strengthen health care services, train health care workers to practice circumcision, increase access to circumcision services and develop research in that field.

### 2.3. Antiretroviral Therapy for HIV Prevention: A Strategy for the Future?

In 2005, a study conducted in Spain among more than 350 heterosexual serodiscordant couples showed that HAART, by decreasing the viral load, reduced or even suppressed the risk of HIV transmission [95]. More recently, the results of a mathematical model suggested that universal HIV testing and highly active antiretroviral therapy delivered to all HIV-infected individuals immediately after HIV diagnosis, regardless of the stage of their infection, could have a major effect on the evolution of the HIV pandemic, by rapidly reducing HIV transmission to nearly zero [96]. This hypothesis has triggered a rich debate within the international scientific community and several questions have been raised: how realistic and feasible is it to aim for universal HIV testing when currently more than 80% of people in sub-Saharan Africa, the most affected region in the world, have not yet been tested? How can we provide universal access to highly active antiretroviral therapy in resource-limited settings where most people who are eligible for treatment according to current WHO guidelines still do not access it [97]? Research is urgently needed to evaluate the relevance and effectiveness of such an approach to highly active antiretroviral therapy and HIV prevention [98, 99]. Several intervention trials have started or are currently planned in order to evaluate: whether HIV incidence is indeed lower within populations accessing universal HIV testing and highly active antiretroviral therapy for all HIV-infected individuals, whether such an intervention is feasible or whether it is acceptable to provide highly active antiretroviral therapy to people who do not need it for their own health.

### 2.4. Pre and Post-Exposure Prophylaxis

Pre-exposure prophylaxis consists in providing highly active antiretroviral therapy to individuals before potential risk behaviour in order to reduce the risk of infection if exposed to HIV. Post-exposure prophylaxis is the provision of highly active antiretroviral therapy after risk behaviour. Research has shown that both strategies have the potential to reduce the incidence of HIV infection [100]. Within resource-limited countries, pre-exposure prophylaxis among individuals with sexual behaviours at risk does not seem to be an efficient or relevant strategy [101, 102]. Indeed, it could increase sexual risk taking and impact negatively on the incidence of other sexually transmitted infections. The acceptability of this approach is also problematic [103] and currently, most health institutions are not prepared to handle the social, cultural and medical issues raised by pre-exposure prophylaxis.

Post-exposure prophylaxis is mainly addressed to health care professionals having accidentally been in contact with HIV-infected blood. But even for this particular case of workplace injuries, it is still not widely available within resource-limited settings. A study conducted within two hospitals in Morocco showed that 50% of health care
workers ignored the basic procedures to inactivate the virus if exposed to infected blood such as the use of bleach or alcohol [104]. Moreover, although frequent, workplace injuries are largely underreported. Health care workers are insufficiently informed and their knowledge of institutional transmission of HIV is poor. As a result, health care workers face a double burden: they are being increasingly mobilised to respond to the HIV/AIDS epidemic and are also being increasingly infected and affected by HIV. It is therefore crucial to further improve workplace safety conditions for health care workers in order to ensure their capacity to respond to HIV/AIDS care needs [105].

2.5. An HIV Vaccine?

For several years, the most awaited HIV prevention strategy has been a potential vaccine. Under study for more than two decades, the HIV prevention vaccine is no longer an impossible method, but it is still “to come”. Very recently, the first results of the RV144 study provided great hope for demonstrating the efficacy of a candidate vaccine [106]. The RV144 trial tested the combination of two vaccines, ALVAC-HIV (prime) and AIDSVAX (boost) according to the Prime-Boost strategy, among more than 16 000 HIV-negative volunteers in Thailand. According to the first results announced by the trial investigators, the prime-boost combination lowered the rate of HIV infection by 31.2 percent compared to placebo [107]. Although these were the first positive HIV vaccine results ever reported, they have been recently questioned by several scientific groups. First, regarding the choice of announcing a 31.2% efficacy, using a modified intention-to-treat analysis, instead of a 26.4% (P=0.08) and 26.2% (P=0.16) efficacy using intention-to-treat and per-protocol analyses, respectively [108]. Second, regarding the fact that despite its possible effect on the acquisition of HIV-1 infection, the vaccine did not have any effect on the early HIV-1 viral load or CD4+ T-cell counts in vaccinated subjects who eventually became infected. Also regarding the duration of the possible vaccine effect, as most of the effect is likely to have occurred during the first year. And finally, regarding the relative contribution of each of the vaccine components to the observed effects. Thus overall, the possible vaccine efficacy observed was modest and indicates that the vaccine regimen studied is unlikely to be a public health control measure for HIV-1 infection, as the authors themselves acknowledge. Despite these limitations, this HIV vaccine study does offer insight for future research.

CONCLUSION

More than 20 years after the onset of the epidemic, and in spite of an unprecedented scientific, political and community mobilisation, HIV/AIDS is far from being eradicated. New and more efficient highly active antiretroviral therapy regimen are being identified each year and have contributed to decreasing the mortality related to HIV/AIDS, which can now be considered as a chronic disease in many settings. However these treatments remain expensive and access to them in low and middle-income countries is far from satisfying, even in the context of massive international support. HIV prevention thus remains a priority.

HIV prevention strategies considered in the early stages of the epidemic have shown their limits. In the field of the sexual transmission of HIV, the male condom has not been the “magic bullet” so hoped for. Even if the use of male condoms has increased worldwide, it is still largely insufficient to mitigate the epidemic and remains too inconsistent, especially in the context of couple relationships. Female condoms and sexual behaviour changes appear to be difficult to promote at a large scale. Prevention of blood transmission remains insufficiently developed.

The prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV, using ARV prophylaxis administered to the mother and the newborn, has registered undeniable successes since 1996. Research and field efforts are still ongoing in order to reduce further the risk of transmission to the child, and to ensure that prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV services are accessible to more and more pregnant women worldwide.

Some HIV prevention strategies considered in the early stages of the epidemic and which were shown to be highly effective are still not being implemented or, when implemented, on an insufficient scale (for example substitution treatment for intravenous drug users).

In recent years, several new tracks have been explored to improve the prevention of HIV transmission. Among those that showed encouraging results, we can mention new HIV-testing strategies, that will increase the number of people identified as HIV-infected; male circumcision and antiretroviral therapy as tools to reduce sexual transmission; and the vaccine. But it is expected that these different HIV prevention strategies will have only partial efficacy.

So what seems certain today is that there is no single response to HIV/AIDS: the fight against HIV/AIDS will require a comprehensive set of HIV prevention strategies, which needs to be tailored to the socio-cultural and economic contexts, to the local type of epidemic and to the needs of the local population. And we must not forget that all of these prevention strategies will remain ineffective if they take place in a context of HIV related stigma and discrimination.
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