

Molecular Prognostic and Predictive Markers in Lung Cancer

Eleni Karapanagiotou, Nektaria Makrilia, Kalliopi Dilana and Kostas Syrigos*

Oncology Unit, 3rd Department of Medicine, Sotiria General Hospital, Athens School of Medicine, Greece

Abstract: Lung cancer is the most common type of cancer in the Western World and there has been systematic effort to find markers with prognostic and predictive significance. Molecular biology is lately being used in order to find markers that are highly sensitive, specific and associated with survival. This review presents recent data regarding the numerous studies that have been conducted in this field. No marker has yet been proven to offer significant prognostic and predictive information but there is need for further research with meta-analyses and new prospective studies.

Keywords: Biomarkers, prognosis, pulmonary neoplasms.

INTRODUCTION

Lung cancer is the most common cancer in the Western World, with 1200000 new cases per year. Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) constitutes the vast majority of cases as compared to small cell lung cancer (SCLC) : 85% versus 15%. The TNM staging system (Tumour, Node, Metastasis) seems to be the best prognostic system of overall survival in NSCLC patients [1]. Recent advances in molecular biology have led to a systematic effort to identify molecular markers which can help categorize patients in subgroups in order to achieve better therapeutic treatment, overall survival and quality of life.

CHARACTERISTICS OF A LUNG CANCER MOLECULAR MARKER

A tumour marker is defined as a protein or other substance that can be isolated in biological materials such as blood, plasma, sputum or tumour tissue of cancer patients. A tumour marker has prognostic value if it can predict outcome, regardless of the treatment administered. On the other hand, a marker is predictive, if it predicts the outcome of a certain treatment, therefore, it is useful for therapeutic decisions. We will review the literature on studies involving protein molecules which could be used as prognostic and predictive markers in lung cancer.

CELL CYCLE

There are two main stages of cell multiplication : the replication of all chromosomes during the interphase and the cell splitting into two distinct daughter cells during phase M of the cell cycle. The interphase consists of three distinct phases: G1 phase, S phase and G2 phase. Somatic cells have two check points between phases in order to control the process. The first checkpoint, the G1-S point, is the point where the somatic cell must respond to external signals and where it is decided whether the cell will duplicate or enter

the G0 phase. The transitional points between phases are mostly controlled by cyclins and cyclin-dependent kinases (cdks) [2].

A. The following genes and their protein products play an important role in the G1-S phase and the first checkpoint:

1. Tumour Suppressor Genes

The Retinoblastoma (Rb) Tumour Suppressor Gene

Three genes that belong to this family have been isolated: pRb/p105, pRb/p107 and pRb2/p130. They seem to take part in the G1 check point of the cell cycle by inhibiting the transcription of certain genes whose protein products are necessary for DNA synthesis. The non-phosphorylated form of the retinoblastoma gene creates a complex with the E2F/DP1 key-transcriptional factor and hinders the transition from the G1 to the S phase of the cell cycle, therefore, blocks the cell duplication. Studies examining this gene's prognostic value have had contradictory results. Xu *et al.* [3] studied 101 NSCLC cases and found that the protein was expressed in only 24% of patients but these patients exhibited a 32-month overall survival as opposed to the 18-month overall survival in patients who did not exhibit Rb protein expression. Caputi *et al.* [4] came to the conclusion that when the protein is expressed in a lower degree or not expressed, it is correlated with poorer survival. In contrast, the biggest study conducted was not able to show that the Rb gene expression has prognostic value [5].

p53 Tumour Suppressor Gene

The p53 gene is named "guardian of the genome" because it inhibits DNA duplication when this is damaged. Under usual circumstances, the p53 oncogene activates the p21 tumour suppressor gene which hinders the transition from G1 to S phase of the cell cycle by creating protein complexes of the p21 oncoprotein with the D1/Cdk4 and E/Cdk2 cyclin/ cyclin-dependent kinase complexes. Furthermore, the p21 activation leads to the deactivation of the cyclin-dependent kinases Cdk4/6 and therefore, to the activation of the Rb tumour suppressor gene. Sanz-Ortega *et al.* [6] found that 22% of NSCLC smoker patients have LOH (loss of heterozygosity) at the TP53 locus, whereas this LOH is rare in patients without NSCLC. This offers the opportunity

*Address correspondence to this author at the Oncology Unit, 3rd Department of Medicine, Athens University School of Medicine, Building Z, Sotiria General Hospital, Mesogion 152, 115 27 Athens, Greece; Tel: +30 210 7475 034; Fax: +30 210 7781 035; E-mails: knsyrigos@usa.net, ksyrigos@med.uoa.gr

to detect smokers with mutated genotype in normal cells, and therefore, in greater risk of NSCLC. The study involving most patients didn't show a statistically significant difference in the survival of patients overexpressing the p53 protein [7] whereas smaller studies merely showed such a statistically significant difference [8]. A recent study based on 55 stage-I adenocarcinoma patients showed that p53 is an independent predictor of disease-free survival [9]. Studies that used molecular techniques to find mutations showed negative correlation of overall survival with the mutated p53 gene [10] with the exception of the Timotheadou *et al.* [11] study that found no prognostic significance. There was an effort to make sense of these contradictory results with three meta-analyses. The Mitsudomi *et al.* meta-analysis [12] concluded that the altered gene expression is a negative prognostic factor for overall survival of lung adenocarcinoma patients. Steels *et al.* [13] showed that the mutated p53 gene leads to poorer survival in adenocarcinoma as well as in squamous cell carcinoma in all stages of the disease. However, the Huncharek *et al.* [14] meta-analysis showed that the p53 mutation can not be used as a prognostic factor in NSCLC. Yoshida *et al.* [15] showed that p53 can be a useful marker during follow-up of pure ground glass opacity on HRCT as its inactivation seems to be correlated to central consolidation within GGO of lung adenocarcinoma.

ARF Tumour Suppressor Gene

The INK4a oncogene, which encodes the ARF protein, is located on chromosome 9. The role of the protein is to inhibit the connection of the MDM2 protein to the p53 protein so that the cell is not divided. Numerous studies have shown that the ARF protein is the link binding the ras and myc oncogenes to the p53 tumour suppressor gene taking part in the mechanism of natural apoptosis. Inhibition of ARF expression through methylation or loss of the p53 gene or through inhibition of its expression are necessary steps of carcinogenesis. Recent studies have shown that alterations in ARF expression due to gene hypermethylation may have prognostic significance in certain subpopulations of NSCLC patients [16,17].

mdm2 Oncogene

This oncogene seems to take part in the cell cycle and in cell apoptosis, acting as an inhibitor of the p53 gene. It also contributes in the retinoblastoma oncogene expression pathway. Results concerning its use as a prognostic marker are contradictory. Studies have shown that the amplification of the oncogene can be associated with disease-free interval and overall survival, which are prolonged in patients without amplification [18]. However, Hu *et al.* [19] conducted a study based on patients of Chinese decent and came to the conclusion that there is no correlation between the various host genotypes and lung cancer.

FHIT (Fragile Histidine Triad) Tumour Suppressor Gene

This tumour suppressor gene also takes part in the apoptotic cell procedures *via* the p53-independent apoptosis pathway. Data to support its use as a prognostic marker do not suffice. Woenckhaus *et al.* [20] showed that it has prognostic significance in both squamous cell and adenocarcinoma histological types. However, in a study by Geradts *et*

al. [21] there was no correlation between gene expression and overall survival.

2. Oncogenes

The Ras Oncogene

Three members of this family have been isolated in humans: H-ras, K-ras and N-ras. This oncogene takes part in the cyclin-dependent commencement of cell replication. Numerous studies have examined whether k-ras oncogene mutations can be used as NSCLC prognostic markers. Fukuyama *et al.* [22] showed that k-ras mutations are a negative prognostic marker for adenocarcinomas but not for squamous cell carcinomas. Rosell *et al.* [23] reached the conclusion that these mutations are a negative prognostic factor for disease relapse and morbidity for all stages and histological types. Other studies have shown no prognostic value of this molecular marker [24]. Because of these contradictory results, a meta-analysis was recently conducted and results showed that k-ras mutations really constitute a prognostic marker for poor overall survival in NSCLC patients [25]. In the adjuvant trial JBR.10 it was found that patients with a RAS mutation did not benefit from adjuvant chemotherapy but this outcome was not proven statistically significant [26].

The myc Oncogene

It was shown that the C-myc oncogene amplification is related to lymph node metastases although it can not be associated with overall survival [27].

3. Growth Factors

Numerous growth factors and their receptors are expressed abnormally in lung cancer and constitute the initial stimulus for the cells to transcend from phase G0 to phase G1.

Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor (EGFR)

The c-erb-1 oncogene encodes the receptor of the epidermal growth factor (EGFR), which belongs to the family of the tyrosine kinase receptors. EGFR is a member of the family of ErbB receptors, along with HER 2, HER3 and HER4. Studies have shown that EGFR mutations are associated with certain patients' characteristics (women, non-smokers, adenocarcinoma histological type, Asian decent). EGFR mutations were shown to be prognostic for increased survival regardless of treatment and predictive of response to EGFR tyrosine-kinase inhibitors (EGFR TKIs), such as erlotinib and gefitinib. However, the TRIBUTE and INTACT trials showed that these mutations are not predictive of survival benefit owing to EGFR TKI treatment [28,29] and that is why there are ongoing studies examining the predictive value of EGFR gene amplification and EGFR protein expression for the differential effect of EGFR TKIs on survival. The BR21 trial has shown that high gene copy number is associated with 10 times greater response rate to erlotinib and, therefore, it is a better predictor of survival benefit from erlotinib as compared to EGFR mutations [30]. In the Hirsh *et al.* study [31], it was found that EGFR FISH-positive protein expression is a significant predictor of increased survival in patients receiving gefitinib. The Shepherd *et al.* [32] study was the basis for approval of erlotinib as a second- or third-line therapy for patients with metastatic NSCLC, as it was

shown that patients receiving erlotinib had prolonged survival.

Several studies have shown that EGFR and K-ras mutations are mutually exclusive and patients harboring K-ras mutations do not show benefit from EGFR-TKIs [28]. These findings suggest the presence of two different pathways of lung carcinogenesis, in which tobacco leads to K-ras mutations and exposure to a different carcinogen leads to EGFR-mutations.

Proto-Oncogene *c-erb B-2*

Even though it has been proven that this gene plays a significant role in breast carcinogenesis and its targeted therapy, its role in lung carcinogenesis is yet to be determined. Parra *et al.* [33] showed that higher *c-erb B-2* expression was associated with greater metastatic capacity of the tumour. In the Cappuzzo *et al.* [34] study, it was found that patients positive for both HER2 and EGFR gene expression had better clinical outcome.

4. Cyclins and Cyclin-Dependent Kinases

Cyclins D and E are important in the cell cycle. Three different cyclin D types have been isolated (D1, D2, D3), which create complexes with the *cdk4* and *cdk6* cyclin-dependent kinases.

The Cyclin D - cdk4/6 Complex

It leads to the phosphorylation of the retinoblastoma tumour suppressor gene and therefore to cell replication. It was found that loss of D1 cyclins expression is associated with worse prognosis in all stages of squamous cell carcinoma [35]. However, Malusecka *et al.* [36] showed that, immunohistochemically, protein overexpression is not associated with histological type but with the stages.

The Cyclin E/cdk 2 Complex

This complex also leads to the beginning of the DNA replication. It has been proven that cyclins E are an independent prognostic factor and their overexpression is associated with poorer survival. Mishina *et al.* [37] found that cyclin E was overexpressed in smokers, squamous cell carcinomas and advanced tumours and that protein hyperexpression is an independent prognostic factor. Another study showed that in adenocarcinomas, cyclin E expression was inversely proportional to tumour differentiation and protein overexpression was associated with poorer prognosis, whereas, in squamous cell carcinomas, protein expression was correlated to differentiation grade and better prognosis [38].

5. Cdk-Inhibitors

The initial theory was that their role in the cell cycle is to inhibit cyclin-dependent kinases, but they seem to take part in more complicated cell procedures. They are divided in two categories, the CIP/KIP family and the INK4 family.

The *CIP/KIP family* consists of the polypeptide inhibitors $p21^{cip1}$, $p27^{kip1}$, $p57^{kip2}$. The *p21* inhibitor blocks the continuation of the cell cycle by inhibiting the cyclin-D/CDK4 and the cyclin E/CDK2 complexes in the G1-S phase and the cyclin A/CDK2 in the S-G2 phase. Shoji *et al.* [39] showed that *p21* expression is an independent prognostic marker. Komiya *et al.* [40] reached the same conclusion only for

squamous cell carcinoma. However, the Dworakowska *et al.* [41] study had the opposite results. *p27* levels are raised when cells are not multiplying and its levels rapidly decrease during cell replication. Most studies show that the protein product of the *p27* gene can be used as an independent prognostic marker in NSCLC patients of all stages [42] whereas the Esposito *et al.* [43] study did not show the same result. *p57* is a tumour suppressor gene which has an active role in many cancer types.

The *INK4 family* hinders the action of cyclin-dependent kinases D and therefore, the retinoblastoma tumour suppressor gene remains non-phosphorylated and the cell remains in G0 phase. The *p16* inhibitor is the main member of the family. Studies have shown that it is associated with better survival. Kim *et al.* [44] studied the *p16* and the *FHIT* genes and found that hypermethylation of both is correlated to greater relapse risk and shorter disease-free interval. Mohamed *et al.* [45] concluded that preoperative patients with pathologic N2 or even clinical N2 lymph node disease have better prognosis when they exhibit a positive *p21* and *p16* protein expression and are candidates for surgery.

6. Phase S of the Cell Cycle is Controlled by the Cyclin A/*cdk2* Complex

Studies on cyclin A expression in NSCLC show that their overexpression is linked to poorer survival [46].

7. The Second Checkpoint G2-M is the Point Before the Cell Enters the Mitotic Procedure

The *cyclin B1/cdk2 complex* plays an important role here giving the signal for chromosome condensation, nuclear membrane destruction and mitotic spindle formation. Immunohistochemical studies have shown that cyclin B1 overexpression is associated with poorer prognosis [47].

CELL PROLIFERATION MARKERS

Ki-67: This is a nuclear antigen that can offer a quantitative approach of cell multiplication. Recently, a meta-analysis including 37 studies was published and showed that *Ki-67* overexpression is a negative prognostic marker in NSCLC [48].

Proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA): PCNA is a nuclear protein which binds to DNA polymerase δ . Published studies have presented contradictory results on the use of PCNA as a prognostic marker. A number of studies found positive correlation to phase S of the cell cycle and to overall survival, but Esposito *et al.* [49] were not able to prove an association of this nuclear antigen's overexpression with survival.

APOPTOSIS

Apoptosis is a normal cell process that leads the cell to its programmed death. The first apoptotic pathway is the death receptor pathway, which starts with the activation of initiator caspases by the cell surface receptors. The main caspases activators are Fas, Tumour Necrosis Factor Receptor-1 (TNFR-1) and Tumour Necrosis Factor Receptor-2 (TNFR-2). The second pathway is the mitochondrial pathway, consisting mainly of members of the Bcl-2 family, which includes preapoptotic factors (Bax, Bac, Bcl-xs, Bad, Bid) and antiapoptotic factors (Bcl-2, Bcl-X1, Bcl-w). The role of the

Bcl-2 gene was immunohistochemically studied in NSCLC patients of all stages without definite prognostic value being proven. The Yoo *et al.* study [50] showed that *bcl-2* immunohistochemical expression was strongly correlated to better outcome and that it can be used as prognostic factor independent of the TNM stage in NSCLC. *Survivin* is an inhibitor of apoptosis and its increased expression is associated with poorer survival. A study on NSCLC patients that had undergone pneumonectomy or lobectomy showed that *survivin* expression in the cytoplasm is more frequent in squamous cell carcinoma but is not associated with survival, whereas *survivin* expression in the nucleus was proven to be an independent prognostic factor [51].

ANGIOGENESIS

It is generally considered that cancer cell proliferation, tumour growth and distant metastases are based on angiogenesis, which is the formation of new blood vessels and the reorganization of the pre-existing ones, so that the newly formed tumour continues to grow. Overall, angiogenesis is a complex procedure regulated by activating and inhibiting factors released by the tumour itself as by nearby tissues. The *Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor (VEGF)* is a growth factor for endothelial cells. Many studies have concluded that VEGF expression is inversely proportional to survival [46]. A meta-analysis based on 20 studies was published in 2002 and it showed that VEGF expression is a negative prognostic factor in NSCLC [52]. Recently, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved bevacizumab, an anti-VEGF factor, in combination with chemotherapy as first line therapy in non resectable NSCLC lung carcinoma [53]. Phase III trials are being conducted studying small-molecule inhibitors of the VEGF receptor tyrosine kinase, such as sunitinib and sorafenib, with promising results [54]. The *Basic Fibroblast Growth Factor (bFGF)* seems to play an important role in angiogenesis and tumour growth. Kojima *et al.* [55] studied the immunohistochemical expression in 132 stage I NSCLC patients and concluded that in squamous cell carcinoma, there is no relation to overall survival. The *Platelet-derived Growth Factor (PDGF)* takes part in DNA synthesis, endothelial cell migration and tumour growth. Results from studies on the PDGF prognostic value were non-conclusive. Koukourakis *et al.* [56] studied the immunohistochemical expression of PDGF in patients with resectable tumours but did not reach a definitive conclusion apart from the fact that the prognosis was worse in cases with PDGF expression even in absence of lymph node metastases.

OTHER MOLECULAR MARKERS

Telomerase is an enzyme whose primary role is to elongate telomeres, which are shortened after every cell cycle, so that their length remains stable. In a large study based on 146 NSCLC patients of all stages, the hTERT (human telomerase reverse transcriptase) mRNA was studied and it was also shown that hTERT mRNA can be used as an independent survival prognostic marker [57]. On the contrary, no association of the sort was found in either the Hirashima *et al.* study [58] or in the Junker study [59].

GENES AND CHEMOTHERAPY

Two specific genes, the *ERCC1 (Excision Repair Cross-Completion group 1)* and the *RRM1 (Regulatory Subunit of Ribonucleotide Reductase 1)* have been associated with response to chemotherapy. It seems that the ERCC1 gene takes an active part in DNA repair after platinum-derived damage. It has been shown that ERCC1 expression is predictive of platinum-efficacy. It also appears to have prognostic significance but Rossell *et al.* [60] could not prove statistically significant impact on survival. The RRM1 gene takes part in nucleotide metabolism and influences the activity of antimetabolites, such as gemcitabine. Studies have shown that it has prognostic significance and predictive for benefit from gemcitabine chemotherapy [61].

CHROMOSOME ALTERATIONS

There are many chromosome alterations that happen in lung cancer constituting the basis of its pathogenesis. These chromosomal alterations are mainly chromosomal loss, loss of heterozygosity and gene hypermethylation and result in loss of tumour suppressor and of DNA repair genes, therefore in malignancy. The most commonly found alterations are related to loss of chromosome 3p and 9p regions or amplification of 1q and 3q region genes. Gene loss concerning the 3p region seem to be traced in 100% of lung cancer cells and in a large number of precancerous forms, leading researchers to the conclusion that it happens at an early stage of the lung carcinogenesis. In lung cancer, hypermethylation at promoter islands has been detected in numerous genes, such as CDKN2A, EX2, CDX2, and these could probably be used as markers in the future [62].

MOLECULAR PROGNOSIS AND STAGING IN SMALL CELL LUNG CANCER

The number of studies referring to SCLC molecular markers are far less than those in NSCLC.

Telomerase is overexpressed in most SCLC cases and this finding can be used in the development of targeted therapy in this disease [63]. Loss of the *FHIT* immunohistochemical expression has been associated with poorer survival [64].

The *p53* tumour suppressor gene has been extensively studied in SCLC but results do not prove that it can be used as reliable prognostic marker. A meta-analysis on studies concerning *p53* in SCLC was not able to show that it is a reliable prognostic factor [65]. The *c-erbB-2 oncogene* was found to be overexpressed in 10% of newly diagnosed SCLC cases and it could be used as an independent prognostic marker in extensive disease [66]. The *myc oncogene* was studied in advanced disease stage and its overexpression may be related to worse prognosis. In the López-Martin study [67], *c-kit* protein expression had no significant impact on survival. *Growth factors* have been studied in peripheral blood and in the bone marrow of SCLC patients. In newly diagnosed SCLC patients, prepro-gastrin-releasing peptide (prepro GRP) and neuromedin B receptor (NMB-R) growth factor expression was increased only in patients with bone marrow infiltration and bone metastases. This overexpression has

been associated with poorer survival [68]. *Chromogranin A (CgA)* is a protein produced by neuro-endocrine tumours and seemed to correlate with disease stage and prognosis [69]. Studies have shown that angiogenesis is important in SCLC and is related to prognosis. VEGF levels have been measured in the serum of SCLC patients and when they are increased, the disease stage is advanced, response to chemotherapy is worse and overall survival is shorter [70]. On the opposite, a separate study on 54 patients did not show any relation between VEGF expression and overall survival [71].

CONCLUSION

Molecular biology is the leading force for achieving lung cancer molecular staging, prognosis and most of all, targeted therapy. The studies mentioned above concerning molecular markers are subject to a number of limitations owing to the different techniques used, the non homogenous populations studied and the declination in the number of patients in each study. Despite the intense research conducted, no highly sensitive and specific molecular marker has been isolated for lung cancer and the combination of multiple markers doesn't offer significant prognostic information. Furthermore, only few of these markers have undergone further research with meta-analyses or prospective studies. Considerable progress has been made but important steps have yet to be taken.

ABBREVIATIONS

NSCLC	=	Non-small cell lung cancer
SCLC	=	Small cell lung cancer
TNM	=	Tumour-Node-Metastasis
Rb	=	Retinoblastoma
FHIT	=	Fragile histidine triad
EGFR	=	Epidermal growth factor receptor
EGFR TKIs	=	EGFR tyrosine-kinase inhibitors
cdk	=	Cyclin-dependent kinases
PCNA	=	Proliferating cell nuclear antigen
TFNR	=	Tumour Necrosis Factor Receptor
VEGF	=	Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor
bFGF	=	Basic Fibroblast Growth Factor
PDGF	=	Platelet-derived Growth Factor
hTERT	=	human telomerase reverse transcriptase
ERCC1	=	Excision Repair Cross-Completion group 1
RRM1	=	Regulatory Subunit of Ribonucleotide Reductase 1
prepro GRP	=	Prepro-gastrin-releasing peptide
NMB-R	=	Neuromedin B receptor
CgA	=	Chromogranin A

REFERENCES

[1] Parkin DM, Bray F, Ferlay J, Pisani P. Global cancer statistics 2002. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2005; 55(2): 74-108.
 [2] Elledge SJ. Cell cycle checkpoints: preventing an identity crisis. *Science* 1996; 274: 1664-72.

[3] Xu HJ, Quinlan DC, Davidson AG, *et al.* Altered retinoblastoma protein expression and prognosis in early-stage non-small-cell lung carcinoma. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1994; 86(9): 695-9.
 [4] Caputi M, Groeger AM, Esposito V, *et al.* Loss of pRb/p130 expression is associated with unfavourable clinical outcome in lung cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 2002; 8(12): 3850-6.
 [5] D'Amico TA, Massey M, Herndon JE *et al.* A biologic risk model for stage I lung cancer: immunohistochemical analysis of 408 patients with the use of ten molecular markers. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 1999; 117(4): 736-43.
 [6] Sanz-Ortega J, Roig F, Al-Mousa MM, *et al.* 17p13 (p53 locus), 5q21 (APC locus) and 9p21 (p16 locus) allelic deletions are frequently found in oral exfoliative cytology cells from smoker patients with non-small-cell lung cancer. *Histol Histopathol* 2007; 22(5): 541-5.
 [7] Pastorino U, Andreola S, Tagliabue E, *et al.* Immunocytochemical markers in stage I lung cancer: relevance to prognosis. *J Clin Oncol* 1997; 15(8): 2858-65.
 [8] D'Amico TA, Massey M, Herndon JE 2nd, Moore MB, Harpole DH Jr. A biologic risk model for stage I lung cancer: immunohistochemical analysis of 408 patients with the use of ten molecular markers. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 1999; 117(4): 736-43.
 [9] Cho S, Sung SW, Jheon S, Chung JH. Risk of recurrence in surgically resected stage I adenocarcinoma of the lung: histopathologic and immunohistochemical analysis. *Lung* 2008 [Epub ahead of print].
 [10] Ahrendt SA, Hu Y, Buta M, *et al.* p53 mutations and survival in stage I non-small-cell lung cancer: results of a prospective study. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2003; 95(13): 961-70.
 [11] Timotheadou E, Skarlos DV, Samantas E, *et al.* Evaluation of the prognostic role of a panel of biomarkers in stage IB-IIIa non-small cell lung cancer patients. *Anticancer Res* 2007; 27(6c): 4481-9.
 [12] Mitsudomi T, Hamajima N, Ogawa M, Takahashi T. Prognostic significance of p53 alterations in patients with non-small cell lung cancer: a meta-analysis. *Clin Cancer Res* 2000; 6(10): 4055-63.
 [13] Steels E, Paesmans M, Berghmans T, *et al.* Role of p53 as a prognostic factor for survival in lung cancer: a systematic review of the literature with a meta-analysis. *Eur Respir J* 2001; 18(4): 705-19.
 [14] Huncharek M, Kupelnick B, Geschwind JF, Caubet JF. Prognostic significance of p53 mutations in non-small cell lung cancer: a meta-analysis of 829 cases from eight published studies. *Cancer Lett* 2000; 153(1-2): 219-26.
 [15] Volante M, Saviozzi S, Rapa I, *et al.* Epidermal growth factor ligand/receptor loop and downstream signalling activation pattern in completely resected nonsmall cell lung cancer. *Cancer* 2007; 110(6): 1321-8.
 [16] Fischer JR, Ohnmacht U, Riefer N, *et al.* Prognostic significance of RASSF1A promoter methylation on survival of non-small cell lung cancer patients treated with gemcitabine. *Lung Cancer* 2007; 56(1): 115-23.
 [17] Zhao ZH, Wang SF, Yu L, *et al.* Expression of transcription factor Pokemon in non-small cell lung cancer and its clinical significance. *Chin Med J (Engl)* 2008; 121(5): 445-9.
 [18] Dworakowska D, Jassem E, Jassem J, *et al.* MDM2 gene amplification: a new independent factor of adverse prognosis in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). *Lung Cancer* 2004; 43(3): 285-95.
 [19] Hu Z, Ma H, Lu D, *et al.* Genetic variants in the MDM2 promoter and lung cancer risk in a Chinese population. *Int J Cancer* 2006; 118(5): 1275-8.
 [20] Woenckhaus M, Merk J, Stoehr R, *et al.* Prognostic value of FHIT, CTNBNB1, and MUC1 expression in non-small cell lung cancer. *Hum Pathol* 2008; 39(1): 126-36.
 [21] Geradts J, Fong KM, Zimmerman PV, Minna JD. Loss of fhit expression in non-small-cell lung cancer: correlation with molecular genetic abnormalities and clinicopathological features. *Br J Cancer* 2000; 82(6): 1191-7.
 [22] Fukuyama Y, Mitsudomi T, Sugio K, *et al.* K-ras and p53 mutations are an independent unfavourable prognostic indicator in patients with non-small-cell lung cancer. *Br J Cancer* 1997; 75(8): 1125-30.
 [23] Rosell R, Monzo M, Pifarre A, *et al.* Molecular staging of non-small cell lung cancer according to K-ras genotypes. *Clin Cancer Res* 1996; 2(6): 1083-6.
 [24] Camps C, Sirera R, Bremnes R, *et al.* Is there a prognostic role of K-ras point mutations in the serum of patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer? *Lung Cancer* 2005; 50(3): 339-46.

- [25] Mascaux C, Iannino N, Martin B, *et al.* The role of RAS oncogene in survival of patients with lung cancer: a systematic review of the literature with meta-analysis. *Br J Cancer* 2005; 92(1): 131-9.
- [26] Winton T, Livingston R, Johnson D, *et al.* Vinorelbine plus cisplatin vs observation in resected non-small-cell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2005; 352: 2589-97.
- [27] Kubokura H, Tenjin T, Akiyama H, *et al.* Relations of the c-myc gene and chromosome 8 in non-small cell lung cancer: analysis by fluorescence in situ hybridization. *Ann Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2001; 7(4): 197-203.
- [28] Eberhard DA, Johnson BE, Amler LC, *et al.* Mutations in the epidermal growth factor receptor and in KRAS are predictive and prognostic indicators in patients with non-small-cell lung cancer treated with chemotherapy alone and in combination with erlotinib. *J Clin Oncol* 2005; 23: 5900-9.
- [29] Bell DW, Lynch TJ, Haserlat SM, *et al.* Epidermal growth factor receptor mutations and gene amplification in non-small-cell lung cancer: molecular analysis of the IDEAL/INTACT gefitinib trials. *J Clin Oncol* 2005; 23: 8081-92.
- [30] Tsao MS, Sakurada A, Cutz JC, *et al.* Erlotinib in lung cancer – molecular and clinical predictors of outcome. *N Engl J Med* 2005; 353: 133-44.
- [31] Hirsh FR, Varella-Garcia M, Bunn PA Jr, *et al.* Molecular predictors of outcome with gefitinib in a phase III placebo-controlled study in advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2006; 24: 5034-42.
- [32] Shepherd FA, Rodrigues Pereira J, Ciuleanu T, *et al.* Erlotinib in previously treated non-small-cell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2005; 353: 123-32.
- [33] Parra ER, Park NY, Saito DM, *et al.* Prognostic index expression of cyclin-D1, cerb-2 and VEGF: metastases vs corresponding primary cancers and metastatic vs non-metastatic adenocarcinomas. *Histol Histopathol* 2008; 23(8): 987-93.
- [34] Cappuzzo F, Varella-Garcia M, Shigematsu H *et al.* Increased HER2 gene copy number is associated with response to gefitinib therapy in epidermal growth factor receptor-positive non-small-cell lung cancer patients. *J Clin Oncol* 2005; 23: 5007-18.
- [35] Anton RC, Coffey DM, Gondo MM, *et al.* The expression of cyclins D1 and E in predicting short-term survival in squamous cell carcinoma of the lung. *Mod Pathol* 2000; 13(11): 1167-72.
- [36] Malusecka E, Zborek A, Krzyzowska-Gruca S. Changes in expression of pRb, p16 and cyclin D1 in non-small cell lung cancer: an immunohistochemical study. *Folia Histochem Cytobiol* 1999; 37(1): 19-24.
- [37] Mishina T, Dosaka-Akita H, Hommura F, *et al.* Cyclin E expression, a potential prognostic marker for non-small cell lung cancers. *Clin Cancer Res* 2000; 6(1): 11-6.
- [38] Dobashi Y, Jiang SX, Shoji M, *et al.* Diversity in expression and prognostic significance of G1/S cyclins in human primary lung carcinomas. *J Pathol* 2003; 199(2): 208-20.
- [39] Shoji T, Tanaka F, Takata T, *et al.* Clinical significance of p21 expression in non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2002; 20(18): 3865-71.
- [40] Komiya T, Hosono Y, Hirashima T, *et al.* p21 expression as a predictor for favorable prognosis in squamous cell carcinoma of the lung. *Clin Cancer Res* 1997; 3(10): 1831-5.
- [41] Dworakaowska D, Jassem E, Jassem J, *et al.* Absence of prognostic significance of p21 (WAF1/CIP1) protein expression in non-small cell lung cancer. *Acta Oncol* 2005; 44(1): 75-9.
- [42] Hommura F, Dosaka-Akita H, Mishina T, *et al.* Prognostic significance of p27KIP1 protein and ki-67 growth fraction in non-small cell lung cancers. *Clin Cancer Res* 2000; 6(10): 4073-81.
- [43] Esposito V, Baldi A, De Luca A, *et al.* Prognostic role of the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p27 in non-small cell lung cancer. *Cancer Res* 1997; 57(16): 3381-5.
- [44] Kim JS, Kim JW, Han J, *et al.* Cohypermethylation of p16 and FHIT promoters as a prognostic factor of recurrence in surgically resected stage I non-small cell lung cancer. *Cancer Res* 2006; 66(8): 4049-54.
- [45] Mohamed S, Yasufuku K, Hiroshima K, *et al.* Prognostic implications of cell cycle-related proteins in primary respectable pathologic N2 nonsmall cell lung cancer. *Cancer* 2007; 109(12): 2506-14.
- [46] Volm M, Koomagi R, Mattern J, Stammers G. Cyclin A is associated with an unfavourable outcome in patients with non-small-cell lung carcinomas. *Br J Cancer* 1997; 75(12): 1774-8.
- [47] Soria JC, Jang SJ, Khuri FR, *et al.* Overexpression of cyclin B1 in early-stage non-small cell lung cancer and its clinical implication. *Cancer Res* 2000; 60(15): 4000-4.
- [48] Martin B, Paesmans M, Mascaux C, *et al.* Ki-67 expression and patients survival in lung cancer: systematic review of the literature with meta-analysis. *Br J Cancer* 2004; 91(12): 2018-25.
- [49] Esposito V, Baldi A, Tonini G, *et al.* Analysis of cell cycle regulator proteins in non-small cell lung cancer. *J Clin Pathol* 2004; 57(1): 58-63.
- [50] Yoo J, Jung JH, Lee MA, *et al.* Immunohistochemical analysis of non-small cell lung cancer: correlation with clinical parameters and prognosis. *J Korean Med Sci* 2007; 22(2): 318-25.
- [51] Atikcan S, Unsal E, Demirag F, Köksal D, Yilmaz A. Correlation between survivin expression and prognosis in non-small cell lung cancer. *Respir Med* 2006; 100(12): 2220-6.
- [52] Delmotte P, Martin B, Paesmans M, *et al.* VEGF and survival of patients with lung cancer: a systematic literature review and meta-analysis. *Rev Mal Respir* 2002; 19(5 Pt 1): 577-84.
- [53] Sandler A, Gray R, Perry MC, *et al.* Paclitaxel-carboplatin alone or with bevacizumab for non-small-cell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2006; 355(24): 2542-50.
- [54] Gettinger S. Targeted therapy in advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. *Semin Respir Crit Care Med* 2008; 29(3): 291-301.
- [55] Kojima H, Shijubo N, Abe S. Thymidine phosphorylase and vascular endothelial growth factor in patients with Stage I lung adenocarcinoma. *Cancer* 2002; 94(4): 1083-93.
- [56] Koukourakis MI, Giatromanolaki A, O'Byrne KJ, *et al.* Platelet-derived endothelial cell growth factor expression correlates with tumour angiogenesis and prognosis in non-small-cell lung cancer. *Br J Cancer* 1997; 75(4): 477-81.
- [57] Fujita Y, Fujikane T, Fujiuchi S, *et al.* The diagnostic and prognostic relevance of human telomerase reverse transcriptase mRNA expression detected in situ in patients with nonsmall cell lung carcinoma. *Cancer* 2003; 98(5): 1008-13.
- [58] Hirashima T, Yoshitaka O, Nitta T, *et al.* Telomerase activity in endoscopically visible lung cancer. *Anticancer Res* 2001; 21(5): 3685-9.
- [59] Junker K. Prognostic factors in stage I/II non-small cell lung cancer. *Lung Cancer* 2001; 33(Suppl): S17-S24.
- [60] Rosell R, Skrzypski M, Jassem E, *et al.* BRCA1: a novel prognostic factor in resected non-small-cell lung cancer. *PLoS ONE* 2007; 2(11): e1129.
- [61] Bepler G, Kusmartseva I, Sharma S, *et al.* RRM1 modulated *in vitro* and *in vivo* efficacy of gemcitabine and platinum in non-small cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2006; 24: 4731-7.
- [62] Tsou J, Galler JS, Siegmund KD, *et al.* Identification of a panel of sensitive and specific DNA methylation markers for lung adenocarcinoma. *Mol Cancer* 2007; 6: 70.
- [63] Sarveswaran J, Going JJ, Milroy R, Kaye SB, Keith WN. Is small cell lung cancer the perfect target for anti-telomerase treatment? *Carcinogenesis* 1999; 20(8): 1649-51.
- [64] Rohr UP, Rehfeld N, Geddert H, *et al.* Prognostic relevance of fragile histidine triad protein expression in patients with small cell lung cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 2005; 11(1): 180-5.
- [65] Steels E, Paesmans M, Berghmans T, *et al.* Role of p53 as a prognostic factor for survival in lung cancer: a systematic review of the literature with a meta-analysis. *Eur Respir J* 2001; 18(4): 705-19.
- [66] Micke P, Hengstler JG, Ros R, *et al.* c-erbB-2 expression in small-cell lung cancer is associated with poor prognosis. *Int J Cancer* 2001; 92(4): 474-9.
- [67] López-Martin A, Ballestín C, Garcia-Carbonero R, *et al.* Prognostic value of KIT expression in small cell lung cancer. *Lung cancer* 2007; 56(3): 405-13.

- [68] Shingyoji M, Takiguchi Y, Watanabe R, *et al.* Detection of tumor specific gene expression in bone marrow and peripheral blood from patients with small cell lung carcinoma. *Cancer* 2003; 97(4): 1057-62.
- [69] Drivsholm L, Paloheimo LI, Osterlind K, Chromogranin A. A significant prognostic factor in small cell lung cancer. *Br J Cancer* 1999; 81(4): 667-71.
- [70] Mall JW, Schwenk W, Philipp AW, *et al.* Serum vascular endothelial growth factor levels correlate better with tumour stage in small cell lung cancer than albumin, neuron-specific enolase or lactate dehydrogenase. *Respirology* 2002; 7(2): 99-102.
- [71] Dowell JE, Amirkhan RH, Lai WS, Frawley WH, Minna JD. Survival in small cell lung cancer is independent of tumor expression of VEGF and COX-2. *Anticancer Res* 2004; 24(4): 2367-73.

Received: January 13, 2009

Revised: February 5, 2009

Accepted: February 7, 2009

© Karapanagiotou *et al.*; Licensee *Bentham Open*.

This is an open access article licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>) which permits unrestricted, non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the work is properly cited.