Habitat Usage of the Thumbprint Emperor *Lethrinus harak* (Forsskål, 1775) in an Indo-Pacific Coastal Seascape
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**Abstract:** Age dependent habitat usage of the abundant Indo-Pacific Thumbprint Emperor *Lethrinus harak* was assessed using field visual observations throughout the mangrove, seagrass, coral reef continuum. Juveniles were most abundant within seagrass habitats, whilst large adults only utilised the reef environment, indicating a movement towards the reef with increasing age. No evidence was found to suggest *L. harak* spends a specific section of its life-cycle within mangrove but it remains an important habitat, presumably for tidally dependent feeding.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Tropical coastal seascapes commonly comprise seagrass beds, mangroves and coral reefs, which are highly connected by the exchange of water, facilitating the movement of many fish and invertebrates [1, 2]. The conservation management of tropical ecosystems is most successful when based on an understanding of the interconnectivity between different habitat components [3]. Unfortunately, the mechanisms underpinning connectivity processes remain poorly understood throughout most coastal regions, particularly the Indo-Pacific [1, 4]. Changes in habitat use throughout the life cycle of many abundant and economically important Indo-Pacific fish, such as the Thumbprint Emperor *Lethrinus harak* remains poorly documented.

Although mangroves are generally considered important habitat for juvenile reef fish [2, 5] this is not always the case in the Indo-Pacific, where mangroves may only play a very limited nursery role for coral reef fish [6, 7]. Caribbean research has recently documented that the value of seagrass and mangrove as nursery habitats should not be generalised *a priori*, since habitat configuration may interact with the degree of connectivity between seagrasses, mangroves and coral reefs [5].

The food requirements of some fish species change according to their life stage [8], as do their requirements for shelter from predation [9]. As food resources vary between habitats (i.e. seagrass, reef and mangrove), juvenile fish at different life stages may undertake staged inter-habitat migrations driven by resource availability [8]; such dietary induced migrations have been termed ‘ontogenetic dietary shifts’. The requirement for different habitats at different stages of a species growth may also reflect its need for shelter.

Within the Indo-Pacific region, ontogenetic migrations between seagrass and coral reefs have been reported for numerous fish species [10-12], but the role of mangroves in contributing to these habitat movements with respect to fish age has received limited attention. Studies have only been conducted at the assemblage level, with no species-specific studies. We hypothesise, that as tidally available habitats, where fish can not permanently reside due to reduced water level [1], mangroves in an Indo-Pacific setting do not harbour a specific age range of fish. This would be in contrast to those in the Caribbean that often remain flooded at all times of the tide and contain specific age groups of many fish species [8].

**METHODS**

We examined the size frequency distribution of the abundant seagrass, reef and mangrove fish, *Lethrinus harak* [7,11,13] in five habitat types (reef crest, seagrass/bombies, seagrass, seagrass/mangroves edge, inner mangrove) across three sites, Darawa, Sombano, Langeria (for site descriptions, including environmental and habitat characteristics, see Unsworth et al. 2008 [14]) within the Wakatobi Marine National Park, SE Sulawesi, Indonesia (Fig. 1). *Lethrinus harak* is a shallow water fish commonly observed to a maximum water depth of 20m [15].
Habitats surveyed were on a classical fringing reef structure containing a shallow water seagrass lagoon and an upper-shore inter-tidal mangrove [16]. The reef flat habitat was defined as 20m from the reef crest; the seagrass/bombies habitat was the transition zone of sparse coral colonies intermixed with seagrass at the shoreward edge of the reef flat; the seagrass habitat was defined as approximately 50m into the seagrass parallel to the mangroves; the edge of mangrove habitat was defined as 3m from the edge and parallel to the mangroves; and the mangrove habitat was 10m into the mangrove parallel to the shore (Fig. 2).

Six 50m x 5m visual belt transects at each site and within each habitat type were used to sample the size and abundance of *Lethrinus harak* (after English et al. 1997 [17]). Surveys were carried out by snorkelling at high tide during the daytime. Due to the density of mangrove foliage, 50 x 2 m transects were conducted within inner mangrove habitats and data multiplied by 2.5 to allow comparisons with other habitats. Within mangroves it was not always possible to complete the whole 50 m transect due to the high density of the roots, therefore transects were often broken and restarted at the next available location. Prior to the study, training exercises were conducted in order to accurately assess fish size underwater (see English et al. 1997 for details [17]). All surveys were conducted between June and September 2006. Data was analysed using ‘Analysis of variance’ (ANOVA) within the software MiniTab v13.
Although not a commonly used methodology, mangrove visual census have previously been utilised in Rhizophora mangrove of both the Indo-Pacific and the Caribbean [12,14,18-20]. Difficulties associated with the use of UVC within mangroves, such as observer bias and fish behaviour, are extensively discussed by Nagelkerken et al. (2002) [18]. As with any visual observation method in any vegetated environment, it is likely that small and cryptic species would be underestimated [21].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Lethrinus harak was present in all habitat types, but significantly differed in abundance (F3,71 = 2.3, P < 0.05). The greatest abundance was recorded in seagrass habitats, decreasing to the seagrass/mangrove edge and inner mangrove (Fig. 3). Abundance in the seagrass/bombie and reef flat habitats was approximately 50% less than in the other habitats. The mean total length also differed between habitats, with length increasing towards the reef (Fig. 3). This increase in length reflects the proportional reduction in the juvenile to adult ratio from mangrove to reef (Fig. 4).

The abundance of juvenile L. harak (size at maturity defined as ≤20 cm [22]) differed significantly with habitat (F3,66 = 2.79, p < 0.05) and was unaffected by site. Mangrove, edge of mangrove and seagrass habitats had no difference in juvenile abundance, but tuekeys pairwise comparisons determined they contained significantly more juveniles than either seagrass/bombie (P<0.05) or reef habitats (P<0.001).

Fish less than 5 cm were only recorded in seagrass and mangrove habitats, whilst seagrass habitats had at least three fold more fish in the range if 6-10 cm than any other habitat. This indicates a specificity of this age/size group for seagrass. The overall size frequency distribution between the mangrove and the seagrass/mangrove edge differed very little with the greatest abundance category being 30-40 cm (Fig. 5). However the seagrass habitat had consistently higher abundance of most size categories. The seagrass/bombie habitat had a broad range of sizes, but an overall low abundance of fish (Figs. 4 and 5). The seagrass/bombie habitat, along with the reef flat, were the only habitats to contain individuals greater than 40 cm. The reef flat habitat only contained mature adult fish greater than 30 cm.

Our results find that Lethrinus harak utilises seagrass and mangrove habitats as nursery grounds and as a larger adult they begin to utilise reef habitats. Although mangrove and mangrove edge habitats are clearly an important habitat for L. harak, we found that they do not harbour a specific size range of fish (different to seagrass habitats), this is probably due to their inter-tidal distribution creating only a temporary, tidally available habitat. An important finding of this research is that seagrass habitats contained at least three times more individuals in the 6-10cm range than any other habitat, indicating that individuals of this size have a habitat preference for seagrass. At this size/age range seagrass habitat may preferentially benefit L. harak in terms of dietary requirements as well as shelter from predators. Patterns of increasing length of Haemulidae and Lutjanidae were found within different coastal habitats of the Caribbean to be a result of dependency on changing food sources with different ages of maturity [8]. Such patterns within the present study may also reflect the different food resources within different habitats, but may also reflect responses of smaller individuals to greater shelter requirements from predation [11, 23].

Due to the inter-tidal nature of these mangroves, the tidal movement of L. harak is of critical importance in understanding their habitat usage. As the mangrove is dry at low tide the very presence of this species in mangrove suggests a movement with respect to increasing tidal height (2m local tidal range). Even small individuals of <6cm (recorded within the mangrove) have made this movement, probably from seagrass, the even spread of this size/age group across seagrass and mangrove habitat suggests no preference any habitat and suggests that many small individuals may move passively with the tide between these habitats, possibly search for food in amongst the shelter of either seagrass shoots or mangrove prop roots.

Our research therefore supports previous findings that seagrass is an important nursery habitat for L. harak [11, 12, 24]. But in addition to previous studies we found that the nursery function of seagrass habitats in harbouring juvenile L. harak is much greater than that of mangrove. We also found
Adult individuals utilise all habitats, however, only the largest individuals were found on the reef, which is probably because the largest individuals can capture fast moving prey available on the reef and that they can out-swim larger predators only encountered on the reef.

Coastal invertebrate faunal assemblages of East Africa and Japan have been found to vary within the inter-tidal to sub-tidal sediments, with particular species and genera harbouring particular depth niches of the seagrass to reef continuum [25,26]. Assuming the same patterns exist in Indonesia, this indicates that different resource niches maybe available for different life stages of *L. harak* to utilise. The present study suggests that ontogenetic dietary migrations may cause *L. harak* to utilise seagrass and reef habitats at different life stages, although this was inconclusive for mangroves. Our data supports field observations in Tanzania [10] and analysis of fish stomach contents in Japan [12] that indicated the presence of migrations between seagrass and reef habitats.

Finally we conclude that both seagrass and mangrove are important nursery habitats for an abundant commercial fish species. A greater degree of understanding of the life cycle, movements and feeding behaviour of fish such as *L. harak*, that utilise an array of different habitats and are economically important to local fisheries [27] would assist with the development of sustainable fisheries management for these threatened habitats.
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