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## Abstract: <br> Introduction:

New benzopyrone derivatives such as Schiff's like compounds, acetohydrazides or substituted with oxadiazole or pyrazole heterocycles were synthesized from parent acid hydrazide compound $\mathbf{3}$.

## Methods and Materials:

Structures of the synthesized compounds were elucidated using IR, NMR and mass spectroscopy. All the synthesized derivatives were selected by National Cancer Institute (NCI), Bethesda, and evaluated for their in vitro anticancer activity in the full NCI 60 cell lines panel assay.

## Results and Conclusion:

Schiffs like compounds $\mathbf{4} \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{c}$ were found to have good growth inhibition \% against numerous cell lines that belong mainly to leukemia, non-small cell lung, CNS and breast Cancer subpanels.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Cancer can be defined as a disease in which a group of abnormal cells grow uncontrollably disregarding the normal rules of cell division. Normal cells are constantly subjected to signals that dictate whether the cell should divide, differentiate into another cell or die. Cancer cells develop a degree of autonomy from these signals, resulting in uncontrolled growth and proliferation. If this proliferation is allowed to continue and spread, it can be fatal. In fact, almost $90 \%$ of cancer- related deaths are due to tumor spreading or dissemination [1]. Phenomenal advances in cancer research have given us insight into how cancer cells develop this autonomy. Now, cancer is defined as a disease that involves changes or mutations in the cell genom, the somatic mutation theory has been the prevailing paradigm in cancer research and its premise is that cancer is a disease of cell proliferation caused by mutation in genes that control proliferation and the cell cycle [2].

Although advances in the field of chemo-preventive and therapeutic medicine have been made regularly over the last ten years, the search for novel anticancer treatments continues as it became an urgency to develop new anticancer agents with fewer side effects.

[^0]Benzopyran-2-one comprises a group of natural compounds found in a variety of plant sources [3]. Benzopyrones were recognized to possess a broad spectrum of antitumor activity following different mechanisms as 667 -Coumarate (Fig. 1A) that acts as Sulphatase inhibitors [4] and Carbonic anhydrase II enzyme inhibitors [5] while other benzopyrones were reported as Histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitors as Fig. (1B) [6]. Moreover, geiparvarin (Fig. 1C), a naturally occurring coumarin has been shown to possess a significant inhibition for cell lines including sarcoma 180, lewis lung carcinoma, P-388 lymphocytic leukemia and walker 256 carcinosarcoma [7]. Furthermore, literature survey revealed several heterocycles as oxadiazole [8], pyrazole [9], dimethyl pyrazole [10], amino pyrazole [11], and pyrazolone [12] all possessed reported antitumor effect.
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Fig. (1). Antitumor benzopyrones.

These findings have encouraged us to design and synthesize compounds comprised of the benzopyran-2-one scaffold as Schiffs like compounds, acetohydrazides or substituted with oxadiazole, pyrazole heterocycles. The newly synthesized compounds were selected by National Cancer Institute (NCI), Bethesda, MD, U.S.A., for in vitro one dose testing in the full NCI 60 cell lines panel assay.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1. Chemistry

Melting points were determined by open capillary tube method using Stuart SMP10 melting point apparatus and were uncorrected. Microanalyses were carried out at The Regional Center for Mycology and Biotechnology, Al-Azhar University. Infrared Spectra were recorded as potassium bromide discs on Schimadzu FT-IR 8400S spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) and Bruker FT-IR spectrophotometer and expressed in wave number $v_{\max }\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AVANCE III spectrometer at 400 MHz , in dimethylsulphoxide (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ). Chemical Shifts are quoted in $\delta$ as parts per million (ppm) downfield from tetramethylsilane (TMS) as internal standard and $J$ values are reported in Hz . Mass spectra were performed as EI at 70 eV on Hewlett Packard Varian (Varian, Polo, USA) and Shimadzu Gas Chromatograph Mass spectrometer-QP 1000 EX and direct inlet unit of Shimadzu GC/MSQP5050A at 70 eV . TLC were carried out using Macherey-Nagel Alugram Sil G/UV ${ }_{254}$ silica gel plates with fluorescent indicator $\mathrm{UV}_{254}$ and chloroform:methanol (9.5:0.5) as the eluting system and the spots were visualized at $366,254 \mathrm{~nm}$ by UV Vilber Lourmat 77202 (Vilber, Marne La Vallee, France).

### 2.1.1. 3-Benzyl-4,8-dimethyl-7-hydroxy-2H-1-benzopyran-2-one 1 (Scheme 1) was prepared as reported in literature [13].

### 2.1.2. Ethyl 2-(3-benzyl-4,8-dimethyl-2-oxo-2H-benzopyran-7-yl)oxyacetate 2 (Scheme 1).

A mixture of $\mathbf{1}(28 \mathrm{~g}, 0.1 \mathrm{~mol})$, anhydrous potassium carbonate $(27.6 \mathrm{~g}, 0.2 \mathrm{~mol})$ and ethyl chloroacetate $(14.64 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.12 \mathrm{~mol})$ in dry acetone ( 200 mL ) was heated under reflux with stirring for 24 h . It was then made to cool down filtered and washed with acetone. The combined filtrate and washing were concentrated and filtered. The crude product was crystallized from ethanol to yield $36 \%$ of 2. mp 103-105 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR $v_{\text {max }} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3010(\mathrm{CH} \mathrm{Ar}), 2916$ ( CH aliphatic), 1705, $1685(2 \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1602,1577,1492,(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta p p m: 1.22\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.25\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ at C 4$)$, $2.42\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ at C 8$), 3.96\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.18\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.96\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{Ar})$, 7.17 (t, 1H, H-4' Ar), 7.23 (t, 2H, H-3', $5^{\prime} \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.28 (d, 2H, J=7.4 Hz, H-2', $6^{\prime} \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.62 (d, 1H, J=8.9 Hz, H-5 Ar). MS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} \%: 366\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) 100 \% . \mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ (366.41): Anal. Calcd. for Calc. C, 72.12; H, 6.05. Found: C, 72.48; H, 6.17.


Scheme (1). Synthesis of Sciffs like compounds 4a-d,acetohydrazide 5,oxadiazole 6 and methylphenylsulphonyl derivative 7.

### 2.1.3. 2-(3-Benzyl-4,8-dimethyl-2-oxo-2H-benzopyran-7-yl)oxyacetohydrazide 3 (Scheme 1)

A mixture of the ester compound $2(3.66 \mathrm{~g}, 0.01 \mathrm{~mol})$ and hydrazine hydrate $99 \%(1 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.02 \mathrm{~mol})$ in ethanol ( 30 mL ) was heated under reflux for 2 h . The precipitate was filtered, washed with water and dried. The crude product was crystallized from acetic acid to yield $89 \%$ of $\mathbf{3} . \mathrm{mp} 243-245^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR $v_{\text {max }} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3502,3446,3180$ broad $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{NH}\right), 3061$ ( CH Ar ), 2929, 2854 ( CH aliphatic), 1705, $1697\left(2 \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}\right.$ ), 1604, $1495(\mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta$ ppm: 2.26 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ at C 4$), 2.43\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ at C8), $3.96\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.35\left(\mathrm{br}\right.$ 's, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 4.65\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=9.0$ Hz, H-6 Ar), 7.17 (t, 1H, H-4' Ar), 7.23 (t, 2H, H-3', $5^{\prime} \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.28 (d, 2H, J=7.4 Hz, H-2', $6^{\prime}$ Ar), 7.63 (d, 1H, J=8.92 Hz, H-5 Ar), $9.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}) . \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} \%: 352\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) 75.48 \%$. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ (352.38): Calc.: C, 68.17; H, 5.72; N, 7.95. Found: C, 68.34; H, 5.87; N, 8.10.

### 2.1.4. General procedure for synthesis of 2-(3-Benzyl-4,8-dimethyl-2-oxo-2H-benzopyran-7-yl)oxy-N'(Substitutedbenzylidene)acetohydrazide 4a-d (Scheme 1)

A mixture of acid hydrazide $3(0.01 \mathrm{~mol})$, appropriate aromatic aldehyde/acetophenone $(0.01 \mathrm{~mol})$ in ethanol ( 20 mL ) containing a few drops of acetic acid was heated under reflux for $18-24 \mathrm{~h}$. The solvent was distilled under vacuum and the residue crystallized from ethanol.

### 2.1.4.1. 2-(3-Benzyl-4,8-dimethyl-2-oxo-2H-benzopvran-7-yl)oxy-N'-(4-dimethylaminobenzylidene)acetohydrazide 4a

Yield $25 \%$. mp $64-67^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3460(\mathrm{NH}), 3057(\mathrm{CH} \mathrm{Ar}), 2926(\mathrm{CH}$ aliphatic), 1710, 1693, (2C=O), 1600, 1643, 1554, 1494, (C=N, NH, C=C). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ ppm: $2.26\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ at C 4$), 2.42\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ at C 8$), 3.07$ $\left(\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.96\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.28\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 6.72(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{Ar}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}-3^{\prime \prime}, 5^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 7.17$ (t, 1H, H-4' Ar), 7.23 (t, 2H, H-3', $5^{\prime} \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.26 (d, 2H, $\left.J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, H-5 Ar), $7.69\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime \prime}, 6^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 7.89(\mathrm{c}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}), 8.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH})$. MS $m / z^{2} \%: 483\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) 11.33 \%$. Anal. Calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ (483.56): C, 72.03; H, 6.04; N, 8.69 Found: C, 72.19; H, 6.11; N, 8.85.

### 2.1.4.2. 2-(3-Benzyl-4,8-dimethyl-2-oxo-2H-benzopvran-7-yl)oxy-N'-(4-methoxybenzylidene)acetohydrazide 4b

Yield 71\%. mp 221-223 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3432(\mathrm{NH}), 3090(\mathrm{CH} \mathrm{Ar}), 2967,2927$ ( CH aliphatic), 1713 (2C=O), 1614, $1449(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ ppm: $2.27\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ at C 4$), 2.42\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ at C 8$), 3.80$ $\left(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.96\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{Ar}), 6.99\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime \prime}, 5^{\prime \prime}\right.$ Ar), 7.17 (t, 1H, H-4' Ar), 7.23 (t, 2H, H-3', $5^{\prime} \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.26 (d, 2H, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}^{\prime} 2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime} \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.60 (d, 1H, $J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.69 (d, 2H, $\left.J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime \prime}, 6^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 7.96(\mathrm{c}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}), 11.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}) . \mathrm{MS} m / z^{\%} \% 469\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-1\right) 89.14 \%, 470\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$ 29.32\%. Anal. Calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ (470.52): C, 71.47; H, 5.57; N, 5.95. Found: C, 71.80; H, 5.64; N, 6.04.

### 2.1.4.3. 2-(3-Benzyl-4,8-dimethyl-2-oxo-2H-benzopyran-7-yl)oxy- $N^{\prime}$-(3,4,5-trimethoxybenzylidene)acetohydrazide 4c

Yield $86 \%$. mp 140-142 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3203(\mathrm{NH}), 3062(\mathrm{CH} \mathrm{Ar}), 2958,2924$ (CH aliphatic), 1695, 1681 $(2 \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1602,1566,1508,1492(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta p p m: 2.27\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ at C 4$)$, $2.42\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ at C 8$), 3.70\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.82\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{XOCH}_{3}\right), 3.97\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.35\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{J}=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.01 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime \prime}, 6^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.17 (t, 1H, H-4' Ar), 7.23 (t, 2H, H-3', $5^{\prime} \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.26 (d, 2H, J=7.4 Hz, $\left.\mathrm{H}^{\prime} 2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{Ar}), 8.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}=\mathrm{N}), 11.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}) . \mathrm{MS} m / z^{2} \%: 530\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) 34.00 \%$. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ (530.57): C, 67.91; H, 5.70; N, 5.28 Found: C, 68.17; H, 5.79; N, 5.39.

### 2.1.4.4. 2-(3-Benzyl-4,8-dimethyl-2-oxo-2H-benzopyran-7-yl)oxy- $N^{\prime}$-[1- (3,4-dimethoxyphenvl) ethylidene] acetohydrazide 4d

Yield $30 \%$. mp 273-275 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3188(\mathrm{NH}), 3059(\mathrm{CH} \mathrm{Ar}), 2931,2852$ ( CH aliphatic), 1710, 1697 $(2 \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1604,1514,1490,(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta p p m: 2.25\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ at C 4$), 2.42\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ at C 8$)$, $2.53\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 3.81\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.84\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.96\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.96\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{Ar}), 7.06$ (d, 1H, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.17 (t, 1H, H-4' Ar), 7.23 (t, 2H, H-3', $5^{\prime} \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.27 (d, 2H, $J=7.4$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H}^{\prime} 2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 7.44$ (d, 1H, $\left.J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}^{\prime \prime} 2^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{Ar}\right)$, 7.60-7.64 (m, 3H, H-5,5"Ar, NH). MS m/z \%: 514 ( ${ }^{+}$) 1.20\% Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ (514.57): C, 70.02; H, 5.88; N, 5.44. Found: C, 70.38; H, 5.94; N, 5.60.

### 2.1.5. $N^{\prime}$-Acetyl-2-(3-benzyl-4,8-dimethyl-2-oxo-2H-benzopyran-7-yl)oxyacetohydrazide 5 (Scheme 1)

A suspension of acid hydrazide $3(1.83 \mathrm{~g}, 0.005 \mathrm{~mol})$ in glacial acetic acid ( 15 mL ) was stirred at room temperature for 24 h . The solvent was distilled under vacuum and the residue was crystallized from ethyl acetate to yield $98 \%$ of $\mathbf{5}$. $\mathrm{mp} 278-279{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR $v_{\max } \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3446,3238(2 \mathrm{NH}), 3001(\mathrm{CH} \mathrm{Ar}), 2922(\mathrm{CH}$ aliphatic), 1715, $1707(3 \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1653,1602$, 1583, $1487(\mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta p p m: 1.88\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right), 2.24\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ at C 4$), 2.43\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ at C8), 3.96 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 4.76 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}$ ), $7.00\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{Ar}\right.$ ), 7.17 (t, 1H, H-4' Ar), 7.23 (t, 2H, H-3', $5^{\prime}$ Ar), 7.28 (d, 2H, $\left.J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 7.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{Ar}), 9.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 10.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}) . \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ \%:394 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$) 100\%. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ (394.42): Calc.: C, 66.99; H, 5.62; N, 7.10. Found: C, 67.26; H, 5.71; N, 7.23.

### 2.1.6. 3-Benzyl-4,8-dimethyl-7-(5-methyl-1,3,4-oxadiazol-2-yl)methoxy-2H-benzopyran-2-one 6 (Scheme 1)

A mixture of compound $5(1.97 \mathrm{~g}, 0.005 \mathrm{~mol})$ and phosphorus oxychloride $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ in dioxane $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, was heated under reflux for 3 h . The reaction mixture was cooled down, diluted with ice-cold water and neutralized with ammonium hydroxide. The precipitate formed was filtered, dried and crystallized from ethanol to yield $63 \%$ of $\mathbf{6} . \mathrm{mp}$ $>350{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR $v_{\text {max }} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3061(\mathrm{CH} \mathrm{Ar}), 2900,2852\left(\mathrm{CH}\right.$ aliphatic), $1691(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1624,1610,1490(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta p p m: 1.87\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.27\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ at C 4$), 2.43\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ at C 8$), 3.96\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.75(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{OCH}_{2}$ ), 7.02 (d, 1H, J=8.9 Hz, H-6 Ar), 7.17 (t, 1H, H-4' Ar), 7.23 (t, 2H, H-3', $5^{\prime} \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.28 (d, 2H, J=7.4 Hz, H-2', $6^{\prime}$ Ar), 7.63 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{Ar}$ ). MS m/z \%: $376\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) 100 \%$. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ (376.41): Calc.: C, 70.20; H, 5.36; N, 7.44. Found: C, 70.39; H, 5.44; N, 7.68.

### 2.1.7. 2-(3-Benzyl-4,8-dimethyl-2-oxo-2H-benzopyran-7-yl)oxy-N,N'-bis(4-methylphenylsulfonyl)acetohydrazide 7 (Scheme 1)

A mixture of acid hydrazide $3(1.83 \mathrm{~g}, 0.005 \mathrm{~mol})$, tosyl chloride ( $1.06 \mathrm{~g}, 0.01 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in ethanol ( 20 mL ) containing a few drops of acetic acid was heated under reflux for 12 h . The solvent was distilled under vacuum and the residue crystallized from ethanol to yield $35 \%$ of $7 . \mathrm{mp} 200-203{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\mathrm{IR}_{\mathrm{v}_{\text {max, }}} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3446(\mathrm{NH}), 3032(\mathrm{CH} \mathrm{Ar}), 2920,2864(\mathrm{CH}$ aliphatic), 1743, $1705(2 \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1602,1496,(\mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}), 1354,1188\left(2 \mathrm{SO}_{2}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta p p m: 2.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ at C 4$), 2.29\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{xCH}_{3}\right), 2.42\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ at C 8$), 3.96\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.00\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}\right.$, exchanged with $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, $4.86\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{Ar}), 7.12\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{xH}-3^{\prime \prime}, 5^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 7.17\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4^{\prime} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 7.23(\mathrm{t}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime}, 5^{\prime} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 7.27$ (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime} \mathrm{Ar}$ ), $7.50\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{xH}-2^{\prime \prime}, 6^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{Ar})$. MS m/z \%: $660\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) 0.55 \%$. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ (660.65): Calc.: C, 61.80; H, 4.88; N, 4.24. Found: C, 61.48; H, 4.96; N, 4.41.

### 2.1.8. 7-[2-(3-Amino-5-imino-4,5-dihydropyrazol-1-yl)-2-oxoethoxy]-3-benzyl-4,8-dimethyl-2H-benzopyran-2-one 8 (Scheme 2)

A mixture of the hydrazide compound $3(1.83 \mathrm{~g}, 0.005 \mathrm{~mol})$ and malononitrile $(0.66 \mathrm{~g}, 0.01 \mathrm{~mol})$ in ethanol $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated under reflux for 18 h . The formed precipitate was filtered, washed with water, dried and crystallized from acetic acid to yield $89 \%$ of $\mathbf{8} . \mathrm{mp} 253-255^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3504,3448,3404\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{NH}\right), 3022$ (CH Ar), 2933 (CH aliphatic), 1695, $1678(2 \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1627,1514,1452(\mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ ppm: $1.88\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ pyrazoline), $2.27\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ at C 4$), 2.43\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ at C 8$), 3.97\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) 4.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 4.77\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right)$, $7.00(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{Ar}), 7.18\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4^{\prime} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 7.23\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime}, 5^{\prime} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 7.28\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 7.64$ (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{Ar}), 9.93\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right) . \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} \%: 420\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+2\right) 2.88 \%$. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}(418.45)$ : C, 66.02; H, 5.30; N, 13.39. Found: C, 66.34; H, 5.35; N, 13.64.


Scheme (2). Synthesis of substituted pyrazoles 8-11.

### 2.1.9. 1-[2-(3-Benzyl-4,8-dimethyl-2-oxo-2H-benzopyran-7-yl)oxyacetyl]-5-iminopyrazolidin-3-one 9 (Scheme 2)

A mixture of the acid hydrazide $3(1.83 \mathrm{~g}, 0.005 \mathrm{~mol})$ and ethyl cyanoacetate $(1.13 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.01 \mathrm{~mol})$ in ethanol $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated under reflux for 6 h . The precipitated solid was filtered, washed, dried and crystallized from ethanol to yield $65 \%$ of 9. mp 215-217 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR $v_{\text {max, }} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3446,3176(2 \mathrm{NH}), 3059(\mathrm{CH} \mathrm{Ar}), 2920,2840(\mathrm{CH}$ aliphatic), 1710, 1697 $(3 \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1620,1606,1492(\mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}_{6}\right) \delta p p m: 1.87\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ pyrazolone), $2.26\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ at C4), $2.43\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ at C 8$), 3.96\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.76\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{Ar}), 7.17\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4^{\prime}\right.$ Ar), 7.23 (t, 2H, H-3', $5^{\prime} \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.28 (d, 2H, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime} \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.63 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 9.67 (s, 2H, 2xNH). MS m/z \%:419 ( $\left.\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) 1.18 \%$. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ (419.43): Calc.: C, 65.86; H, 5.05; N, 10.02. Found: C, 66.14; H, 5.19; N, 10.31.

### 2.1.10. 1-[2-(3-benzyl-4,8-dimethyl-2-oxo-2H-benzopyran-7-yl)oxyacetyl]-5-imino-2,5-dihydro-1H-pyrazole-4carbonitrile 10 (Scheme 2)

A mixture of the acid hydrazide $3(1.83 \mathrm{~g}, 0.005 \mathrm{~mol})$ and ethoxy methylene malononitrile $(1.22 \mathrm{~g}, 0.01 \mathrm{~mol})$ in ethanol ( 15 mL ) was heated under reflux for 24 h . The solution was concentrated and the precipitated solid was filtered, washed, dried and crystallized from DMF to yield $45 \%$ of $\mathbf{1 0} . \mathrm{mp} 200-205^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{IR} \mathrm{v}_{\text {max }}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3421,3367$ (2NH), 2929, $2856\left(\mathrm{CH}\right.$ aliphatic), $2196(\mathrm{CN}), 1700,1680(2 \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1622,1602,1544,1492(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right)$ $\delta$ ppm: $2.25\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ at C 4$), 2.42\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ at C8), $3.96\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.65\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 6.95(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, H-6 Ar), 7.15-7.28 (m, 6H, H-Ar, CH pyrazole), 7.62 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 8.62 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ exchanged with $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), 9.34 (s, 1 H , NH exchanged with $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ). MS m/z \%:427 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}-1$ ) 6.04\%. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ (428.44): Calc.: C, 67.28; H, 4.71; N, 13.08. Found: C, 67.51; H, 4.80; N, 13.25.

### 2.1.11. 3-Benzyl-7-[2-(3,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrazol-1-yl)-2-oxoethoxy]-4,8-dimethyl-2H-benzopyran-2-one 11 (Scheme 2)

A mixture of the acid hydrazide $3(1.83 \mathrm{~g}, 0.005 \mathrm{~mol})$ and acetyl acetone ( 1 mL ) in ethanol containing a few drops of triethylamine was heated under reflux for 24 h . The solution was concentrated and the precipitated solid was filtered, washed, dried and crystallized from DMF to yield $45 \%$ of $7 . \mathrm{mp} 100-101^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR $v_{\text {max }} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3030(\mathrm{CH} \mathrm{Ar}), 2926,2858$ ( CH aliphatic), 1743, $1705\left(2 \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}\right.$ ), 1602, $1498(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta$ ppm: $2.26\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ at C 4$), 2.40(\mathrm{~s}$, $6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{XCH}_{3}$ ), $2.46\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ at C8), $3.96\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.08\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 5.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ pyrazole), $6.87(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{Ar}), 7.17$ (t, 1H, H-4' Ar), 7.23 (t, 2H, H-3', $5^{\prime} \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.27 (d, 2H, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}^{\prime} 2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime} \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.57 (d, 1H, $J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{Ar})$. MS m/z \%: 416 (M ${ }^{+}$) 1.91\%. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ (416.47): Calc.: C, 72.10; H, 5.81; N, 6.73. Found: C, 71.89; H, 5.89; N, 7.02.

### 2.2. Biological Activity

### 2.2.1. Antitumor Screening

The synthesized compounds were subjected to the NCI's disease-oriented human cell lines screening assay to be evaluated for their in-vitro antitumor activity. The anticancer assays were performed in accordance with the protocol of the Drug Evaluation Branch, NCI, Bethesda [14-18].

Under a sterile condition, the human tumor cell lines of the cancer screening panel were grown in RPMI 1640 medium containing $5 \%$ fetal bovine serum and 2 mM L-glutamine. For a typical screening experiment, the cells were inoculated into 96 well microtiter plates in $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ at plating densities ranging from 5,000 to 40,000 cells/well depending on the doubling time of individual cell lines. After cell inoculation, the microtiter plates were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}, 95 \%$ air and $100 \%$ relative humidity for 24 h prior to the addition of experimental drugs.

After 24 h , two plates of each cell line were fixed in situ with trichloroacetic acid (TCA), to represent a measurement of the cell population for each cell line at the time of drug addition (Tz). Experimental drugs were solubilized in dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) at 400-fold achieving the desired final maximum test concentration and stored frozen prior to use. At the time of drug addition, an aliquot of frozen concentrate was thawed and diluted to twice the desired final test concentration $\left(10^{-5} \mathrm{M}\right)$ with complete medium containing $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ gentamicin. Aliquots of 100 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of these drug dilutions were added to the appropriate microtiter wells already containing $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of medium, resulting in the required final drug concentrations.

Following drug addition, the plates were incubated for an additional 48 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}, 95 \%$ air, and $100 \%$ relative humidity. For adherent cells, the assay was terminated by the addition of cold TCA. Cells were fixed in situ by gently adding $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of cold $50 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}) \mathrm{TCA}$ (final concentration, $10 \% \mathrm{TCA}$ ) and incubated for 60 min . at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The supernatant was discarded, and the plates were washed five times with tap water and air dried. Sulforhodamine B (SRB) solution $(100 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ at $0.4 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ in $1 \%$ acetic acid was added to each well, and plates were incubated for 10 min at room temperature. After staining, unbound dye was removed by washing five times with $1 \%$ acetic acid and the plates were air dried. Bound stain was subsequently solubilized with 10 mM trizma base, and the absorbance was read on an automated plate reader at a wavelength of 515 nm [19].

Using the seven absorbance measurements [time zero, $(T z)$, control growth, $(C)$, and test growth in the presence of drug at the $10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ concentration level (Ti)], the percentage growth was calculated at each of the drug concentrations levels. Percentage growth inhibition was calculated as:
$[(T i-T z) /(C-T z)] \times 100$ for concentrations for which $\mathrm{Ti} \geq \mathrm{Tz}$
$[(T i-T z) / T z] \times 100$ for concentrations for which $\mathrm{Ti}<\mathrm{Tz}$.

### 2.2.1.1. The Mean Graph

Mean graph is the mean presenting the in vitro test results to emphasize differential effects of test compounds on various human tumor cell lines. It plots the growth relative to no drug control and relative to time zero number of cells. The mean is the average of growth across the tested cell lines, while delta is the maximum difference from the mean.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1. Chemistry

The intermediates 2, $\mathbf{3}$ and the target compounds $\mathbf{4 ( a - d ) - 1 1}$ were synthesized as depicted in (Scheme $\mathbf{1}$ and 2).
The starting compound $\mathbf{1}$ was prepared as reported in literature [13]. Benzylidene acetohydrazides derivatives were prepared by refluxing acid hydrazide with appropriate aromatic aldehyde or acetophenone in ethanol/ glacial acetic acid, and this method was adopted for the synthesis of compounds $\mathbf{4 a - d}$. The structures of $\mathbf{4 a - d}$ were confirmed with elemental analyses and spectral data. IR spectra elicited a band at $3460-3188 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ corresponding to NH group. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of 4a-c displayed a singlet at 7.89-8.22 ppm corresponding to azo methane proton and another singlet at 8.50-11.57 ppm assigned to NH . While compound $\mathbf{4 d}$ revealed a singlet signal at 2.53 ppm corresponding to the $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{CCH}_{3}$ protons and two singlet signals at 3.81 and 3.84 ppm corresponding to the added two $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ protons. Finally, MS spectra revealed their molecular ion peaks. Compound 5 was obtained by stirring a mixture of acid hydrazide $\mathbf{3}$ with glacial acetic acid at room temperature for 24 h . The structure of 5 was elucidated by the elemental analysis and spectral data. IR spectrum showed bands at $3446,3238 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ corresponding to 2 NH groups. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum revealed a singlet signal at $\delta=1.88 \mathrm{ppm}$ corresponding to $\mathrm{COCH}_{3}$ proton and 2 singlet signals at $\delta=9.89$ and 10.04 ppm corresponding to 2 NH groups. The MS spectrum revealed its molecular ion peak at 394 . Synthesis of substituted oxadiazoles can be achieved through one pot reaction of three components, phosphorus oxychloride, acid hydrazide and acid derivatives [20]. Another method involves cyclization of acetohydrazide derivatives using phosphorus oxychloride in dioxane [21]. In the present work, the later method was followed to prepare $\mathbf{6}$ in good yield. Compound $\mathbf{6}$ was confirmed through the elemental analysis and spectral data. IR spectrum showed disappearance of bands corresponding to 2 NH groups and only one band at $1691 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ corresponding to benzopyrone $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ group. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum revealed disappearance of any signals corresponding to the 2 NH protons. MS spectrum showed its molecular ion peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 367$. Reaction of acid hydrazide derivatives with acid chloride as tosyl chloride led to the formation of benzene sulfone hydrazide derivatives, this reaction was reported to be performed in glacial acetic acid at room temperature for 24 h [22]. The structure of 7 was deduced as disubstituted derivative by the elemental analysis and spectral data. IR spectrum showed a band at $3446 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ corresponding to NH group and bands at $1354,1188 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ corresponding to $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ groups. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed a singlet signal at $\delta=2.29 \mathrm{ppm}$ corresponding to two $p-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ protons, a double of doublet at $\delta=7.12$ and 7.50 ppm corresponding to $3^{\prime \prime}, 5^{\prime \prime}$ and $2^{\prime \prime}, 6^{\prime \prime}$ protons of the two $p$-methylbenzenesulphone moieties, respectively. The MS spectrum of 7 revealed the molecular ion peak at 660 .

Refluxing acid hydrazide $\mathbf{3}$ with many substituted carbonitrile derivatives as malononitrile, ethyl cyanoacetate and ethoxy methylene malononitrile was reported to yield the corresponding amino pyrazoles $\mathbf{8}$, pyrazolone $\mathbf{9}$ and pyrazole carbonitriles $\mathbf{1 0}$, respectively. The target compounds $\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{9}$, and $\mathbf{1 0}$ were synthesized through refluxing acid hydrazide derivative $\mathbf{3}$ with appropriate carbonitrile compound in ethanol (Scheme 2).

The IR spectrum of $\mathbf{8}$ showed bands at 3504,3448 and $3404 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ assigned to $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ and NH groups. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{8}$ displayed three singlet signals at 1.88 and 4.65 and 9.93 ppm corresponding to $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ pyrazoline, NH and $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$, respectively. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of 9 revealed two singlet signals at $\delta=1.87$ and 9.67 ppm corresponding to $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ pyrazolone and two NH protons, respectively. IR spectrum of 10 revealed a characteristic band at $2196 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ corresponding to the added cyano group. Finally, MS spectra revealed the molecular ion peaks of the titled compounds. Condensation of acid hydrazide with acetyl acetone in ethanol containing triethylamine afforded the corresponding dimethyl pyrazoles 11 (Scheme 2). The structure of $\mathbf{1 1}$ was deduced from microanalytical and spectral data. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra showed new two singlet signals at 2.40 ppm assigned to six protons of the two $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ substituting the pyrazole ring and at 5.66 ppm corresponding to the CH of pyrazole, finally $\mathbf{1 1}$ revealed the molecular ion peak at 416 .

### 3.2. Antitumor Screening

### 3.2.1. Preliminary In Vitro Antitumor Screening

Newly synthesized compounds (4a-d, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11) were selected by National Cancer Institute (NCI) Developmental Therapeutic Program (www.dtp.nci.nih.gov), Bethesda, MD, U.S.A. The synthesized compounds were subjected to the NCI's disease-oriented human cell lines screening assay to be evaluated for their in vitro antitumor activity. The anticancer assays were performed in accordance with the protocol of the Drug Evaluation Branch, NCI, Bethesda [14-18]. A single dose ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) of the test compounds was used in the full NCI 60 cell line panel assay. A 48
$h$ drug exposure protocol was used and sulforhodamine $B$ (SRB) protein assay was applied to estimate the cell viability and growth [19]. The results were reported as mean graph of the percent growth of the treated cells and presented as percentage growth inhibition (GI \%). The obtained results of the tested benzopyrone analogues showed distinctive potential pattern of selectivity, as well as broad-spectrum antitumor activity (Table 1).

Table 1. Growth inhibition percent of tested compounds against $\mathbf{6 0}$ different cell lines.

| Panel/Cell Line | - | Test compounds and growth inhibition percent of cell line |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4a | 4b | 4c | 4d | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| Leukemia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CCRF-CEM | 19.49 | 15.78 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| HL-60 (TB) | 30.93 | 23.39 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| K-562 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| MOLT-4 | - | - | 14.21 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| RPMI-8226 | - | 13.84 | 24.64 | - | - | - | - | 11.51 | - | - | - |
| SR | 25.42 | 20.78 | 29.69 | - | 15.42 | 12.64 | 11.32 | 17.70 | - | - | - |
| Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A549/ATCC | - | 20.29 | 17.33 | - | - | - | - | 10.33 | - | - | - |
| EKVX | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| HOP-92 | 46.05 | 17.44 | 11.58 | - | - | - | - | 14.05 | 15.45 | 10.92 | - |
| NCI-H226 | 15.35 | 14.88 | 17.63 | - | - | - | - | - | 12.25 | - | - |
| NCI-H23 | - | 12.03 | 10.10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| NCI-H322M | 22.25 | 13.99 | 12.49 | - | - | - | - | 20.33 | 20.19 | - | - |
| NCI-H460 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| NCI-H522 | 19.71 | 22.18 | 31.83 | - | 13.08 | 10.75 | 15.72 | 22.46 | 21.43 | 20.63 | 12.22 |

Colon Cancer

| COLO 205 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCC-2998 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| HCT-116 | - | 13.60 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| HCT-15 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| HT29 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| KM12 | - | 10.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SW-620 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

CNS Cancer

| SF-268 | 15.28 | 11.01 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SF-295 | - | 13.86 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SF-539 | - | 12.74 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SNB-19 | 11.02 | - | 10.74 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SNB-75 | - | $\mathbf{4 4 . 6 1}$ | 13.03 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 19.00 | - |
| U251 | 10.69 | 10.79 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Melanoma

| LOX IMVI | 21.11 | - | 11.55 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MALME-3M | - | 16.27 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| M14 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| MDA-MB-435 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SK-MEL-2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SK-MEL-28 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SK-MEL-5 | - | - | 12.50 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| UACC-257 | 12.95 | 21.41 | 12.10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| UACC-62 | - | - | 23.91 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| Ovarian cancer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IGROV1 | - | - | 10.63 | - | - | - | - | - | 16.75 | - | - |
| OVCAR-3 | - | 10.81 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| OVCAR-4 | - | 15.15 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| OVCAR-5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| Panel/Cell Line | - | Test compounds and growth inhibition percent of cell line |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4a | 4b | 4c | 4d | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| OVCAR-8 | - | 15.93 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| NCI/ADR-RES | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SK-OV-3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Renal cancer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 786-0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| A498 | 15.27 | 14.27 | 10.90 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| ACHN | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| RXF 393 | - | 18.22 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SN12C | 10.23 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| TK-10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| UO-31 | 28.13 | - | 34.48 | 15.52 | 21.08 | 11.03 | 21.24 | 29.22 | 35.81 | 24.45 | 15.14 |
| Prostate cancer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PC-3 | 11.26 | 18.85 | 18.92 | - | - | - | - | - | 14.89 | 11.04 | - |
| DU-145 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Breast cancer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MCF7 | 10.65 | 10.50 | 14.72 | - | - | - | - | 10.44 | - | 10.28 | - |
| MDA-MB-231/ATCC | 13.56 | 21.06 | 17.25 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| HS 578T | - | 11.68 | 10.07 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| BT-549 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 13.86 | - | - | - |
| T-47D | - | 19.15 | 30.04 | - | - | - | - | 29.36 | - | 18.11 | - |
| MDA-MB-468 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mean GI\% | 6.17 | 10.84 | 7.99 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.66 | 2.08 | 0.95 | 0.00 |

(-, GI <10\%)
Regarding the activity towards individual cell lines, Schiffs like compounds $\mathbf{4 a - d}$ showed overall moderate activity with $\mathbf{4 a - c}$ having a better activity with mean GI values of $6.17,10.84$ and $7.99 \%$ for $\mathbf{4 a}, \mathbf{4 b}$ and $\mathbf{4 c}$, respectively compared to $\mathbf{4 d}$. Regarding the activity of each compound, the benzylidene derivative $\mathbf{4 a}$ achieved only a moderate effect upon leukemia HL-60 (TB) with GI value of $30.93 \%$ and a weak activity over the leukemia subpanels CCRFCEM and SR with GI values of 19.49 and $25.42 \%$, respectively. A noticeable effect was achieved upon many non-small cell lung cancer subpanels with cell line NCI-H226 having the greatest effect of GI value of $46.05 \%$ while NCI-H23, NCI-H332M and NCI-H522 was inhibited by values of $15.35,22.25$ and $19.71 \%$, respectively. An overall weak activity was revealed over the other subpanels tested with GI values of $15.28,11.02,10.69,21.11,12.95,15.27,10.23,28.13$, 11.26, 10.65 and 13.56 for CNS cancer subpanels SF-268, SNB-19, U251, melanoma subpanels LOXIMVI-MALME-3M, UACC-62, ovarian cancer OVCAR-8, renal cancer A498, SN12C, UO-31, prostate cancer PC-3 and finally breast cancer MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231/ATCC, respectively. The 4-methoxybenzylidene derivative 4b revealed similar activity to that of the $N, N$-dimethylaminobenzylidene derivative $\mathbf{4 a}$ with the highest effect achieved over the CNS cancer subpanel SNB-75 with GI value of $44.61 \%$. The other cell lines tested were inhibited with weak to moderate effect with GI values of $15.78,23.39,13.84$ and $20.78 \%$ for leukemia cell lines CCRF-CEM, HL-60 (TB), MOLT-4 and RPMI-8226, respectively. While the non-small cell lung cancer subpanels A549/ATCC, HOP-92, NCIH226, NCI-H23, NCI-H322M and NCI-H522 with GI values of 17.44, 14.88, 12.03, 13.99 and $22.18 \%$, respectively. Colon cancer subpanels HCT-116 and KM12 were inhibited by GI values of 13.60 and $10.00 \%$, respectively. A moderate effect was achieved upon melanoma subpanel UACC- 257 with GI value of $21.41 \%$, the renal cancer RXF393 with GI value of $18.22 \%$ and prostate cancer cell line PC-3 was inhibited by $21.06 \%$. Finally, GI values of 21.06 and $19.15 \%$ were achieved over breast cancer subpanels MDA-MB-231/ATCC and MDA-MB-468, respectively. The trimethoxybenzylidene $\mathbf{4 c}$ inhibited leukemia subpanels RPMI-8226 and SR with GI values of 24.64 and $29.69 \%$. A good effect was shown upon the non-small cell lung cancer subpanel NCI-H522 with GI value of $31.83 \%$. GI values of 34.48 and $30.04 \%$ were achieved over renal cancer UO-31 and breast subpanel MDA-MB-468, respectively. The dimethoxyphenylethylidene derivative $\mathbf{4 d}$ showed a weak activity over a single cell line, the renal cancer UO-31 with GI value of $15.52 \%$.

The acetohydrazide derivative 5, oxadiazole 6 and bis-methylphenylsulphonyl derivative 7 showed a similar inhibitory pattern. The three compounds revealed only a weak effect upon leukemia subpanel RPMI-8226 with GI values of $15.42,12.64$ and $11.32 \%$ for $\mathbf{5 , 6}$ and $\mathbf{7}$, respectively. Also the non-small cell lung cancer cell line NCI-H522
was inhibited by $13.08,10.75$ and $15.72 \%$ for $\mathbf{5}, \mathbf{6}$ and $\mathbf{7}$, respectively. Finally, 5, $\mathbf{6}$ and $\mathbf{7}$ exhibited a weak activity over renal cancer UO-31 with GI values of $21.08,11.03$ and $21.24 \%$, respectively.

The 3-amino-5-imino-4,5-dihydropyrazol $\mathbf{8}$ derivative, 5-iminopyrazolidin-3-one derivative 9 and 5-imino-2,5-dihydropyrazole-4-carbonitrile $\mathbf{1 0}$ revealed no noticable effect, all three derivatives shared activity towards non-small cell lung cancer subpanel NCI-H522 with GI values 22.46 , 21.43 and $20.63 \%$, respectively, they also shared activity towards renal cancer UO-31 with GI values of $29.22,35.81$ and $24.45 \%$, respectively. $\mathbf{8}$ also possessed weak activity towards breast cancer T-47D with GI value of $29.36 \%$ where it showed the highest mean GI among the three derivatives of value $3.66 \%$ compared to mean GI value of 2.08 and $0.95 \%$ corresponding to 9 and $\mathbf{1 0}$, respectively. Compound $\mathbf{1 1}$ did not exhibit considerable activity as GI values were 12.22 and $15.14 \%$ against non-small cell lung cancer NCI-H522 and renal cancer UO-31, respectively.

## CONCLUSION

New benzopyrone derivatives were prepared in this study. All compounds were selected by National Cancer Institute ( NCI ), Bethesda, and evaluated for their in vitro anticancer activity in the full NCI 60 cell lines panel assay by a single dose test $(10 \mu \mathrm{M})$. Substituted benzylidene derivatives $\mathbf{4 a}, \mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{c}$ had the best activity with mean GI values of $6.17,10.84$ and $7.99 \%$, respectively. Results revealed that, Schiff's like compounds of benzopyrone scaffold with substituted benzylidene derivatives 4a-c had overall good effect. However, Schiff's like compounds comprised of disubstituted phenylethylidene derivative $\mathbf{4 d}$ had no significant effect. In addition, aetohydrazide derivatives $\mathbf{5}$ and $\mathbf{7}$ or hybrids with oxadiazole 6 or substituted pyrazoles 8-11 had a weak or no significant effect.
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