Chronic Experimental Diabetes Accelerates Urinary Elimination of Deprenyl and its Metabolites

Ernest Adeghate^{*,1}, Péter Sótonyi Jr.² and Huba Kalász^{3,§}

¹Department of Anatomy, Faculty of Medicine & Health Sciences, United Arab Emirates University, Al Ain, P.O. Box 17666, United Arab Emirates

²Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, Semmelwies University, Budapest, Hungary

³Department of Pharmacology and Pharmacotherapy, Semmelweis University, Budapest, P.O. Box 370, Hungary 1445

[§]Present Address: Department of Pharmacology & Therapeutics, Faculty of Medicine & Health Sciences, United Arab Emirates University, Al Ain, P. O. Box 17666, United Arab Emirates

Abstract: Many diabetic patients take several medications to treat diabetes-associated complications and other ailments. The mode of elimination of these drugs and their metabolites are poorly understood. The elimination of deprenyl, a MAO-B inhibitor, used for the treatment of the early stage of Parkinson's disease and senile dementia was investigated using thin layer chromatography.

Male Wistar rats (180-200 g) were rendered diabetic by streptozotocin (STZ) treatment (60 mg/kg, i.v.). Rats having at least three times higher plasma glucose level than the normal were considered diabetic. Rats were treated with a single oral dose of 5 mg/kg ¹⁴C-(methyl)-labeled (-)-deprenyl, 98 μ Ci/mg. Diabetic rats excreted the majority of urinary radioactivity in 8 hours, while control rats did it in 16 hours. The approximate ratio of major metabolites as determined using thin-layer chromatography did not change. In conclusion, diabetic rats excreted radiolabelled-deprenyl more rapidly compared to control animals. Increased elimination of deprenyl should be taken into account in the management of patients suffering from diabetes.

Keywords: Deprenyl, diabetes, pharmacokinetics, urinary elimination.

INTRODUCTION

The route and mode of elimination of some drugs such as paracetamol has been studied in the saliva of type 1 and type 2 diabetic patients [1] and diflunisal in animal model of diabetes [2]. In most of the reports on drug elimination, the controversial issues are the kinetics, route of elimination, metabolite formation and the mechanism by which they are eliminated.

Oxidative N-demethylation is one of the basic metabolic reactions of both xenobiotics and endogenous compounds of vertebrates [3-5]. Removal of the methyl group decreases the lipophilicity of the compounds, thereby facilitating their excretion. A classical model of metabolic demethylation is methylamine which is generated endogenously [5]. The sources of methylamine include adrenaline, creatinine and sarcosine [5].

Metabolic studies on drugs and food components have dealt mainly with the identification and quantitative determination of the N-demethylated compounds [3-6]. Much less, if any attention has been focused on the fate of the molecular fragment derived from the methyl group. However, it is well known that formaldehyde is produced by N-demethylation and has been widely cited by many textbooks of pharmacology. The generated formaldehyde was easily identified when the N-methyl group was ¹⁴C radiolabelled; and certain Ndemethylation reactions occurred through the homocysteinmethionine cycle resulting in methylation of an endogenous compound [5].

Recent publications stated that formaldehyde, formed in situ, can directly take part in methylation reactions [5, 7-9]. Tyihák E, et al. [7] and Trezli et al. [8] reported a spontaneous methylation of N-amino group of lysine by formaldehyde. The application of planar chromatography, especially two-dimensional thin-layer chromatography has given an easy and reliable tool to locate the formaldehyde after prechromatography reaction with dimedone [10-13]. Although, formaldehyde was eliminated in urine, further reaction also took place in which a basic amino acid (lysine) is the major target. The methylation of lysine results in N^ε-monomethyllysine, which was identified using thin-layer chromatography and high-performance liquid chromatography combined with mass spectrometry detection (HPLC-MS) [14-17]. Direct verification of the N-methyl transfer from the drug [(-)deprenyl] to lysine was also done using ¹⁴C-methyl labeled deprenyl, and one part of the radioactivity was detected on the N-monomethyllysine.

Studies by Yu *et al.* [18,19] detailed the significance of semicarbazide-sensitive amine oxidase (SSAO) in the generation of formaldehyde from exogenous and endogenous compounds as well as the level of SSAO in Type 2 diabetes mellitus. Other investigators reported the association of serum monoamine oxidase level and retinopathy in diabetes mellitus [20].

^{*}Address correspondence to this author at the Department of Anatomy, Faculty of Medicine & Health Sciences, United Arab Emirates University, Al Ain, P.O. Box 17666, United Arab Emirates; Tel: +971-3-7672033; Fax: +971-3-7137496; E-mail: eadeghate@uaeu.ac.ae

The present report examined the effect of diabetes mellitus on the urinary excretion of radiolabelled deprenyl [(R)-(-)-¹⁴C-N-methyl-2-methyl-N-propynyl-phenylethylamine hydrochloride].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Solvents and Chemicals

All solvents were of HPLC grade of purity, purchased from E. Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). HPLC grade water was used.

Methods

Treatments

Male Wistar rats (180-200 g) were treated per os with 5 mg/kg radiolabelled L-deprenyl. Urine was collected at 2, 4, 6, 8, 16 and 24 hours after the administration of radiolabelled L-deprenyl.

Male Wistar rats (180-200 g) were rendered diabetic by streptozotocin (STZ) treatment (60 mg/kg, i.v.). Blood glucose level and body weight were monitored weekly. Rats having at least three times higher plasma glucose than the normal ones were considered diabetic. Control rats did not receive STZ. Both diabetic and control rats were administered with a single oral dose of 5 mg/kg ¹⁴C-(methyl)-labeled (-)-deprenyl, 98 µCi/mg. Drinking water supply to rats was ad libitum. Animal treatment was conducted under protocols in accordance with the Guide for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (Semmelweis University, Budapest, Hungary). After the protocol was supervised by the Ethical Committee of Animal Research, the permission was granted by Budapest Animal Health and Food Control Station, Budapest, Hungary (No. 1810/003/2004),

Metabolites were identified and quantified using thinlayer chromatography (TLC) on TLC silica plates F254, 20 x 20 cm (E. Merck), developed with chloroform-methanolwater (7:5:1, 1st dimension) and (triethanolamine— chloroform (5:95, 2nd dimension, displacement development) mobile phases. The spots on the TLC plates were evaluated using digital autoradiography (DAR of Berthold, Germany).

Pre-Column Derivatization with Fmoc Chloride

To every 2 mL urine sample, 1 mL potassium borate buffer (0.8 M, pH 10) was added, and the mixture was vigorously shaken for 1 min using a mixer. Fmoc chloride solution in acetonitrile (2 mL, 10 mM) was then added, and vortexed immediately for 1 min. Subsequently 2 mL n-hexane was added, the mixture shaken for 1 min, and the upper and lower phases were separated by centrifugation. The upper (organic) phase containing the excess of Fmoc reagent was discarded. The n-hexane extraction was repeated twice. Finally, 200 μ L of acetic acid (10%, v/v) was added to the samples, mixed, and 100 µL sample was subjected to HPLC separation. The solution of standard N^{ϵ} -Methyllysine HCl was also carried out through an identical derivatization process.

HPLC

30



AS-2057 plus automatic sample injector, and UV-1575 detector at 265 nm were used. Chromatographic data were stored and evaluated using the SRI Model 202 Peak Simple Chromatographic Data System (SRI Instruments, Torrance, CA, USA). The standard N^ε-Monomethyl- lysine HCl and urine samples were separated after derivatization with Fmoc hydrochloride. HPLC was carried out using a 25 cm x 4.6 mm I.D. column packed with 6 µm Kovasil C18 endcapped particles (Chemie Uetikon, Uetikon, Switzerland) to separate the radioactive (¹⁴C-labelled monomethyllysine) peak of the urine using acetonitrile-water (2:1), which also contain 0.1% formic acid as the mobile phase. The HPLC column was kept at 28 °C. The mobile phase flow rate was 1.5 mL min⁻¹, and each fraction was collected for 1 min.

RESULTS

Rat urine was collected following oral administration of ¹⁴C-(methyl)-labeled (-)-deprenyl, and the cumulative excretion of radioactivity was determined. Urinary elimination of radiolabelled-deprenyl was significantly (p < 0.05) faster in the first 8 hours after oral administration of ¹⁴C-(methyl)labeled (-)-deprenyl, when compared to controls (Fig. 1). STZ-induced diabetes facilitated urinary elimination of the radioactivity. The rat urine (containing radiolabelled-deprenyl and its metabolites) was subjected to two-dimensional thin-layer chromatography after reacting it with dimedon, and radioactive spots were located using an X-ray film (Fig. 2). The spots were scarped, and their ratios were compared. Fig. (3) showed the major metabolites of deprenyl. The ratio of methamphetamine, p-hydroxy-metham-phetamine, deprenyl and formaldehyde were 20:3:1:1 in the urine collected for 8 hours (Table 1). Urine eliminated radioactivity in Nmonomethyllysine was determined using HPLC, its amount was about 10% of the free urinary formaldehyde.



Fig. (1). The time course of urinary excretion of radioactivity when the N-methyl group of (-)-deprenyl was ¹⁴C-labelled.

DISCUSSION

There are two major metabolites of (-)-deprenyl. The detection of these metabolites depends on the analytical

A JASCO system (JASCO Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) consisting of a DG-208054 degasser, PU-1580 pump,



Fig. (2). Thin-layer chromatography of radiolabelled deprenyl metabolites.

method applied. Reynolds et al. [21] detected methamphetamine about 30 years ago, as the major deprenyl metabolite in man. They used GC-MS (gas chromatography combined with mass spectrometry), so their method did not make it possible to find the other major deprenvl metabolite. deprenyl-N-oxide that was later identified by Katagi et al. [22]. Unfortunately, deprenyl-N-oxide cannot be detected using thin-layer chromatography either. Other deprenyl metabolites include para-hydroxy-methamphetamine, nordeprenyl (also called desmethyldeprenyl), amphetamine, and phenylacetone. However, the radiolabelled N-methyl group is present only in para-hydroxy-methamphetamine. Moreover, Szatmári described 25 deprenyl metabolites with aromatic ring, as well as two more fragments containing the propargyl (N-methyl-propargylamine and propargylamine) as well as propiolaldehyde [23]. If we also calculate the conjugates of several deprenyl metabolites (mainly that of phydroxy-metabolites), the gross number of metabolic products can approach 40.

It is peculiar that previous studies on deprenyl metabolism neglected an evident metabolite of deprenyl, the formaldehyde. In fact, most of the basic textbooks on pharmacology show that formaldehyde is a byproduct of $R-X-CH_3$ splitting, where the $R-X-CH_3$ $R-X-H + CH_2O$ reaction can be either N-demethylation, or O-demethylation or S-demethylation [3, 4, 6].

Our earlier papers have shown that ¹⁴C-labelled deprenyl is generated from ¹⁴C-labelled (-)-deprenyl which is eliminated in urine. Moreover, one of the radiolabelled peaks of the same rat urine was ¹⁴C-labelled-N^ε-monomethyl- lysine. Identification of N^ε-monomethyllysine in the urine as well as in isolated homogenous peak with radioactivity was done by the use of two different HPLC-MS techniques that used electrospray (ES) ionization [24] as well as atmospheric pressure chemical ionization (APCI) modes [17]. It is also worth noting that the generated compounds were present in the urine only in traces (formaldehyde, about 1 to 2% of radioactivity administered, and N^ε-monomethyllysine, about 0.1%).

The responsible enzyme of oxidative demethylation (or one of the responsible enzymes) is semicarbazide-sensitive amine oxidase (SSAO; EC.1.4.3.6), which shows an elevated level in the blood of diabetic patients [25, 26].

In contrast, hepatic cytochrome P450 activity of aminopyrine N-demethylase and ethoxyresorufin O-deethylase enzymes showed changes in opposite directions after the onset of STZ-induced diabetes. Aminopyrine N-demethylase activity significantly decreased [2.88 ± 0.16 (control), 2.19 ± 0.46 (after treatment with 50 mg/kg STZ, p \leq 0.001) and 1.53 ± 0.22 (after treatment with 70 mg/kg STZ, p \leq 0.001)], while ethoxyresorufin O-deethylase activity increased [49.6 ± 5.0 (control), 73.3 ± 12.6 (after treatment with 50 mg/kg STZ, p \leq 0.01) and 82.6 ± 14.9 (after treatment with 70 mg/kg STZ, p \leq 0.01)].



Fig. (3). Major metabolic routes and metabolites of (-)-deprenyl. (The number in bracket corresponds to those in Table 1)

	Compound	Structure	Radioactivity	logP
1	Deprenyl		+	3.31
2	Deprenyl-N-oxide		+	2.77
3	Nordeprenyl		-	2.66
4	Methamphetamine	NH V	+	2.03
5	Amphetamine	NH ₂	-	1.57
6	Formaldehyde	° _{≈CH2}	+	0.07
7	Monomethyllysine	O HO NH2 N H	+	-2.36
8	Lysine	O OH NH2 NH2 NH2	-	-2.92

Table 1. The Name, Chemical Structure, Presence of Radioactivity and logP Value of Deprenyl and its Major Metabolites

Watanabe *et al.* [27] reported that the change in pharmacokinetics after STZ-induced diabetes t_{max} became shorter, however, C_{max} and the area under the time versus concentration curve (AUC) became higher in the case of diabetic rats compared to control rats. Watanabe *et al.* [27] also compared the parameters of pharmacokinetics in the spontaneously diabetic (GK-rats, NIDDM model) and Zucker rats (almost normal glycemic but abnormally glucose tolerant, hyperinsulinemic, increasingly obese, insulin-resistant rats). These rats showed even shorter t_{z_2} , but higher C_{max} and AUC parameters.

CONCLUSION

Urinary elimination of (-)-deprenyl and its metabolites was faster in STZ-induced diabetic rats compared to control. As the metabolites and their ration was not changed, more frequent treatment with lower dose, or the use of sustained release preparations can counterbalance the faster elimination of deprenyl and possibly other drugs used in diabetes.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was financially supported by the grant of OTKA T049492 of Hungary; and Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, United Arab Emirates University. Advices

of Drs. L.S. Ettre, K. Tekes, L. Lengyel, G. Moravjan and T. Szarvas are appreciated.

REFERENCES

- Adithan, C.; Danda, D.; Swaminathan, R.P.; Indhiresan, J.; Shashidran, C.H.; Bapna, J.S.; Chandrasekar, S. *Clin. Exp. Pharmacol. Physiol.*, **1988**, *15*, 465.
- [2] Lin, J.H.; DeLuna, F.A.; Tocco, D.J.; Ulm, E.H. Effect of experimental diabetes on elimination kinetics of diffunisal in rat. *Drug Metab.*, **1989**, *17*, 147.
- [3] Goodman & Gilman's The Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics (Goodman and Gilman's the Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics), Brunton, L.; Lazo, J.; Parker, K., Mc-Graw – Hill Companies, New York, 2006.
- [4] Katzung, B. (Ed.), Basic and Clinical Pharmacology, 10th Ed., Mc-Graw Hill, Medical Publ., New York, 2007, p.53.
- [5] Kalász, H. Mini Rev. Med. Chem., 2003, 3, 175.
- [6] Kalász, H.; Boór, K.; Szilágyi, Á. Chem. Anal. (Warsaw), 2003, 48, 521.
- [7] Tyihák, E.; Trézl, L.; Rusznák, I. *Pharmazie* **1980**, *35*,18.
- [8] Trézl, L.; Rusznák, I.; Tyihák, E.; Szarvas, T.; Szende, B. Biochem J., 1983, 214, 289.
- [9] Trezl, L.; Pipek, J. J. Mol. Struct., 1988, 170, 213.
- [10] Kalász, H.; Szarvas, T.; Szarkáné-Bolehovszky, A.; Lengyel, J. J. Liquid Chromatogr. Rel. Techn., 2002, 25, 1589.
- [11] Kalász, H.; Lengyel, J.; Szarvas, T.; Morovján, G.; Klebovich, I. J. Planar Chromatogr., 2003, 18, 383.
- [12] Kalász, H. J. Planar Chromatogr., 2004, 17, 464.

- [13] Kalász, H.; Hunyadi, A.; Báthori, M. J. Liquid Chromatogr. Rel. Techn., 2005, 28, 2489.
- [14] Lengyel, J.; Kalász, H.; Szarvas, T.; Peltz, Cs.; Szarkáné-Bolehovszky, A. J. Chrom. Sci., 2003, 41, 177.
- [15] Kalász, H.; Benkő, A.; Szűcs, Z.; Szilágyi, Á.; Szarvas, T.; Lengyel, J. J. Chromatogr. Sci., 2005, 43, 165.
- [16] Kalász, H.; Klebovich, I.; Balogh-Nemes, K.; Szilágyi, Á.; Tihanyi, M.; Szarvas, T.; Lengyel J. Anal. Bioanal. Chem., 2005, 382, 760.
- [17] Kalász, H.; Szücs, Z.,; Tihanyi, M.; Szilágyi, Á.; Lengyel, J. J. Chromatogr. A, 2005, 1079, 208.
- [18] Yu, P.H.; Wright, S.; Fan, E.H.; Lun, Z.-R.; Gubisne-Haberle, D. Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 2003, 1647, 193.
- [19] Yu, P.H.; Zuo, D.M. Diabetologia, 1977, 363, 1243.
- [20] Garpenstrand, H.; Ekblom, J.; Backlund, L.B.; Oreland, L.; Resenqvist, U. Diabet. Med., 1999, 16, 514.
- [21] Reynolds, G.P.; Elsworth, J.D.; Blau, K.; Sandler, M.; Lees, A.J.; Stern, G.M. Br. J. Clin. Pharm., 1978, 6, 542.

Received: December 3, 2007

Revised: December 26, 2007

Accepted: December 27, 2007

- [22] Katagi M.; Tatsuno, M.; Tatsuno, H.; Miki, A.; Kamata, T.; Nakajima, K.; Nishikawa, M.; Tsuchihasi, H. Xenobiotica, 2002, 9, 823.
- [23] Szatmári, I., Pharmacokinetic and Metabolic Characteristics of Deprenyl, in *Milestones in monoamine oxidase research, discovery* of (-)-deprenyl, Magyar, K. and Vizi, E.S., Eds. Medicina, Budapest, 2000, p. 61.
- [24] Kalász, H.; Klebovich, I.; Balogh-Nemes, K.; Szilágyi, Á.; Tihanyi, M.; Szarvas, T.; Lengyel, J. Anal. Bioanal. Chem., 2005, 382, 760.
- [25] Nilsson, S.; Tryding, N.; Tufvesson, G. Acta Med. Scand., 1967, 184, 29.
- [26] Grönvall, J.L.E.; Garpenstrand, H.; Oreland, L.; Ekblom, J. Life Sci, 1998, 63, 759.
- [27] Watanabe, K.; Terada, K.; Sato, J., *Eur. J. Pharmaceut. Sci.*, **2003**, *19*, 91.