Detection of Looping During Colonoscopy Using Bending Sensors
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Abstract: During colonoscopy, looping of the colonoscope shaft is considered one of the biggest challenges of the procedure. It hinders the advancement of the distal tip of the colonoscope requiring time to retract and straighten the shaft. Consequently, anesthesia exposure and operative time, and associated risk and cost are all increased. Many active and passive auxiliary devices have been introduced to overcome looping problems but only select devices were utilized due to safety, complexity, or cost issues. In this study, a low cost looping detection system embedded in the shaft of the colonoscope and the corresponding software algorithm have been evaluated. Thirty bending sensors were inserted inside the shaft of the colonoscope, which sent voltage signals to the analog-digital converter. Digital signals were transmitted to the computer for software analysis of the looping status of the colonoscope shaft. A colonoscopist can often detect the beginning of the looping process and can initiate maneuvers to correct and avoid the looping, which frequently are successful. A standard colonoscopy training model was utilized to test the looping detection system, which effectively demonstrated loop formation, providing data to the endoscopist that is helpful for initiation of appropriate loop avoidance techniques. Maintenance of the bending sensors and a learning curve of the system can be potential limitations.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Colonoscopy is the most common and effective diagnostic procedure to evaluate colorectal diseases in the lower GI (GastroIntestinal) tract. During colonoscopy, looping of the colonoscope shaft is considered one of the biggest challenges of the procedure, at times hindering visualization of the entire colon [1, 2]. In one study, looping occurred in 91 of 100 patients; the N type loop configuration was most commonly encountered, present in 79% [3]. Looping increases the discomfort of the procedure for the patient, requiring higher levels of anesthesia, and prolongs the duration of the procedure, increasing the exposure time to anesthesia and its associated risks. Looping causes pain by stretching the mesentery, the tethering structure of the colon [1, 4]. To escape the loop, the colonoscopist exerts force by pushing, pulling, and twisting the shaft of the colonoscope, risking damage to the mucosa, or inner lining, of the colon [5-7]. Splenic injury is rare, but has been reported with colonoscopy [8, 9], associated with blunt and mucosal trauma to the colon [10]. Serosal, or outer lining of the colon, tears and mechanical trauma were also reported during colonoscopy secondary to excessive force exerted by the distal tip of the colonoscope, and corresponding stretch of the colon wall [11, 12]. Looping can be minimized by introducing some form of external equipment and an active or passive looping prevention system. Looping prevention implies that the colonoscopist can avoid or escape looping using a device which can be attached to or installed within the colonoscope. Looping prevention devices already exist, such as a) a double balloon sheath near the distal tip, b) a variable stiffness tube, c) ShapeLock Technology, and d) a general overtube for the guidance of the shaft of the colonoscope. Visualization devices such as CT (Computerized Tomographic) colonography, fluoroscopy, and magnetic endoscope imaging can also be utilized as an active looping prevention system. Passive looping prevention methods can be employed to escape or minimize looping when encountered during the intubation. Pre-education for understanding the colonic anatomy and mechanism of looping via colonoscopy simulators, can be helpful in teaching passive loop escape techniques, such as water injection, external abdomen pressure, and patient positioning.

Simple devices can be directly installed to the conventional colonoscope or used as an auxiliary device to overcome the looping. A three component sliding tube, double balloon methods, and sigmoid stiffener are the example of these devices [13-16]. ShapeLock technology was developed for the rapid reinsertion of the colonoscope after full withdrawal [17-20]. External devices aid visualization of the configuration of the inserted colonoscope. CT colonography can reveal important anatomic factors that contribute to looping [4, 21]. Fluoroscopy is also a useful option to visualize colonoscope configuration during difficult procedures [4, 22, 23]. Major pain episodes during colonoscopy were studied using real-time magnetic endoscope imaging (MEI). A magnetic imaging probe was inserted through the biopsy channel. Colonoscope position, configuration and their relationship to pain were evaluated [24, 25]. MEI was especially helpful during initial training of the colonoscopist, as well as during the process of learning advanced colonoscopic techniques. [26].
Various colonoscopy simulators have been utilized to achieve competency and proficiency, decreasing the learning curve more safely and rapidly. From a simple flat-board model, a computer-based force feedback model has been developed. A variety of scenarios and variations of colonic anatomy were provided for the novice colonoscopist [34]. A good colonoscopy simulator should be able to discriminate between the novice, intermediate, and expert colonoscopists. An HT Immersion Medical Colonoscopy Simulator provided different levels of complexity for the different levels of the users [35]. NeoGuide Endoscopy System is a computer-controlled simulator, designed to minimize looping and the need of sedation [36]. Realistic GI endoscopy training methodologies increased the efficiency of the endoscopist to perform therapeutic procedures, such as control of nonvariceal upper GI bleeding, polypectomy, stricture dilation, and percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy tube insertion [37]. The Olympus colonoscopy simulator provides a high-fidelity training platform compared to the standard patient-based colonoscopy training for the novice colonoscopists. Post training assessment with feedback demonstrated improvement in the general skill level of conventional colonoscopy [38].

Literature reviews clearly demonstrate that looping is a major contributor to prolonged colonoscopy procedure time and decrease success rate. Techniques and devices that avoid or limit looping improve time and success of colonoscopy. In this study, a training model for colonoscopy and a looping detection system consisting of a series of bend sensors inside the shaft of the colonoscope, was implemented to study the intubation time of colonoscopy with the device compared to that without the device.

2. METHOD

Uni-directional bend sensors are used to detect the amount of bending. Based on the degree of bending, the bending sensor changes the resistance values which can be converted to voltage. The uni-directional bend sensors can predict the radius of curvature of the shaft in one axis. A total of 30 bend sensors were used to detect the loop formation of the colonoscope. Two sets of fifteen bend sensors were placed between the continuous substrate to identify both sides of bending. Fig. (1) shows parts of bending sensor sets with connections. Fig. (2) shows the end of the shaft and inserted series of bend sensors. In order to install the bend sensor sets inside the shaft of the colonoscope, all the internal components of the shaft of the colonoscope were detached from the base of the colonoscope. After the bending sensor sets were inserted, all the components such as biopsy channel, four tendons to control the distal tip of the colonoscope, image sensor lines, and fiber optic lines were reassembled.

Each bend sensor has two terminals: one connected to the excitation voltage and another to the bend sensor output. A simple buffer circuit using an operational amplifier is used per channel to match the impedance with the data acquisition system and increase stability of the output signal. Each sensor output was adjusted and fine tuned by the individual potentiometer at the buffer circuits to generate the equal outputs. A thirty channel analog-to-digital converter was used to connect all the outputs from the thirty bend sensors.
In Fig. (3), the thick line depicts the shaft of the colonoscope and variable $r$ and $d$ stand for the bend radius of the loop and three-dimensional loop parameter. When $d$ is closed to zero, the loop is ideally on a two-dimensional plane. Due to the diameter of the shaft (12mm), $d$ cannot be zero but the loop can be treated on the two dimensional plane for the large radius of curvature of the shaft. When the variable $d$ increases, torsion is applied to the bend sensors attached on the substrate and output values are increased.

Fig. (4) shows the calibration results of the single bend sensor without torsion effect ($d=0$). As bending radius increases, the output voltage is decreasing. The possible minimum bending radius starts from one inch, but it rarely happens under normal configuration of a colon. The maximum bending radius will not exceed ten inches due to the limitation of the shaft length.

Fig. (5) shows the relation of the output voltage between a two and three-dimensional loop. When the variable $d$ increases, the loop opens with a small change of bending radius, and the output voltage from the bend sensor is augmented. Since the maximum value of the variable $d$ will not exceed more than four inches, a three-dimensional loop can be projected and assumed to be a simple two-dimensional loop. An N loop can be detected when the shaft of the colonoscope forms two different (or the same) magnitude of semi-circles. The variable $d$ will be applied to the cases of N or reversed N loop, and the detection logic is the same as an alpha or reversed alpha loop.

The system uses the simple loop detection algorithm which projects a three dimensional loop to a two dimensional plane. The thirty channel sensor outputs are connected to the operational amplifiers for impedance matching and signal stability purposes and they are linked to an analog-to-digital converter. LabVIEW is used to collect and analyze all the data for the loop detection. The bending sensors are grouped (three to eight) and the program monitors continuous bending status of each bending sensor. If the summation of the consecutive bend sensor outputs exceeds the designated threshold values, the software detects the loop. If more than one group of sensors is detected, the smaller bending sensor group will be selected over the other group to detect a smaller loop. The Active Colonoscopy Training Model (ACTM) was used to test the bending detection system. The ACTM can measure the forces exerted from the colonoscope to each part of the colon and is capable of localizing the distal tip of the colonoscope. In this experiment, the configuration of the shaft is recorded for validation of the loop detection system.

3. RESULTS

Fig. (6) shows the intubation process in the ACTM. The distal tip is advanced in the sigmoid colon in Fig. (6a), ascending colon in Fig. (6b), descending colon in Fig. (6c), and cecum in Fig. (6d). During the intubation process, no significant loop occurred, and the summation of bending sensor groups was under the threshold values. The corresponding values of bending sensors are shown in Fig. (7). No continuous high voltages (around 4 volts) among the consecutive sensor sets were found in the graph, and sparse pick voltages were presented.
Fig. (6). Intubation process in the Active Colonoscopy Training Model without significant looping. Anus, descending colon, transverse colon, ascending colon are at nine, twelve, three, and six o’clock position.

Fig. (7). Bending sensor values during the intubation process without significant looping.

Before the intubation process, the shaft of the colonoscope was originally bent and laid on an auxiliary table or the patient’s bed. When the bending sensors inside the shaft of the colonoscope passed through the anus of the ACTM, they were activated and the output signals were processed for loop detection. In Fig. (7), roughly the right side of the x-y plane which presents the bending sensors versus the intubation time remained at zero output voltages, and the fifteenth bending sensor set was activated from the intubation time of 105 seconds.

Fig. (8) shows the intubation process with an N-loop. In Fig. (8a), the distal tip of the colonoscope is located in the descending colon and the N-loop began to form from the anus to sigmoid colon. Because of the N-loop at the sigmoid colon, the advancement of the distal tip was a bit slow and more pressure was exerted on the rectum and sigmoid colon. Fig. (8b) illustrates a continuous N-loop at the sigmoid colon and the distal tip passed Hepatic flexure. At this point, the distal tip cannot be advanced without external help, such as abdomen pressure from an assistant. The advancement trial increased the stress at the rectum and sigmoid colon. Fig. (9) demonstrates the corresponding bending sensor values through the intubation process with the N-loop. The group of consecutive high voltages mean the bending of the shaft and two high voltage groups show the N loop in the Fig. (9). The group of bending sensors were activated and lasted until the shaft of the colonoscope was straightened. Sensor values were not recorded for the retraction process.
4. DISCUSSION

The results show that an N-loop is detected during the second intubation process when the distal tip passes the descending colon. No loop was found in the first trial. Generally, the shape of looping depends on the configuration of the colon [39]. The sigmoid colon is the first part to form an alpha or N-loop. There was no loop for the short length and straight configuration of the sigmoid colon. The intubation time with looping was extended because the colonoscopist consumed time frequently checking for the presence of looping.

For the relatively large bending radius (above 2 inches), the projection of the three-dimensional spiral bending curve reflects well for a two dimensional bending curve. The N-loop was detected throughout the intubation process compared to the small bending radius of alpha loop. If the bending radius of the shaft becomes less than one inch and the distance between the beginning and end points of the loop have a large distance, the bending sensors inside the shaft of the colonoscope are exerted to both torsion and the bending moment. In spite of the pre-calibration of the bending sensors, excessive torsion will distort the output values of the bending sensors, and the loop detection signals will be activated prior, to reaching the designated threshold values. Sometimes, a significant amount of retraction is necessary to escape looping, especially for the lower part of the colon, regardless of the loop detection [40]. In that case, the colonoscopist needs to maintain the straight configuration of the shaft, especially at the lower colon (rectum and sigmoid colon) to overcome looping at the transverse colon [41].

The display system could show the rough configuration of the shaft on the two-dimensional plane by using the position information. However, too much visual information can distract the colonoscopist causing him to lose focus and potentially miss colorectal disease on the main monitor. Minimal visual and sound warnings will minimize the distraction and maximize the efficiency of the colonoscopy procedure. Colonoscopists with different levels of proficiency before utilizing the system require different levels of experience with the model to work through the learning curve. When evidence of looping is detected, the colonoscopist has to perform various maneuvers, such as retracting, twisting, or advancing the shaft of the colonoscope, to eliminate the looping. A more effective way to shorten the intubation time is to prevent the loop before it happens. In many cases, once the looping forms, it is hard to escape without significant amount of shaft retraction and reinsertion, without guarantee looping will not recur. A key function of the looping detec-
tion system is to provide early warning of impending loop formation. More case studies with various configurations of the colon are needed to quantify the post looping scenarios for colonoscopists with different levels of expertise.

5. CONCLUSION

Looping is one of the major contributors to prolonged intubation during colonoscopy. Various loop configurations were detected by using bending sensors in the shaft of the colonoscope, a data acquisition system and software. By using the bending detection system, the colonoscopist need not know the colonoscope configuration to detect and prevent looping. By understanding the factors that contribute to looping and the pre-warning signs, looping can be avoided or minimized during the colonoscopy. The prototype of this looping detection system showed potentials and limitations. Based on the database of the bending sensors, a more precise pre-looping warning algorithm can be developed, which will be very helpful to minimize looping. The looping detection system showed the coverage limitation of two-dimensional sensors. Maintenance of thirty bending sensors can be an issue due to the durability of the sensors. More parametric interpolation and compensation will be needed to overcome the structural simplification of bending sensors.
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