### 1874-2858/21

#### 26



# **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

# Viral Molecular Testing of Cerebrospinal Fluid in Adults with Suspected Central Nervous System Infection in an Italian University Hospital Laboratory: A Retrospective Study on 1462 Consecutive Patients

Monica Basso<sup>1</sup>, Daniela Zago<sup>1</sup>, Irene Pozzetto<sup>1</sup>, Claudia Del Vecchio<sup>1</sup>, Elisa Franchin<sup>1</sup>, Federico Dal Bello<sup>1</sup>, Silvana Pagni<sup>1</sup>, Maria, Angela Biasolo<sup>1</sup>, Riccardo Manganelli<sup>1</sup>, Giorgio Palù<sup>1</sup> and Saverio Giuseppe Parisi<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Molecular Medicine, University of Padova, Padova, Italy

# Abstract:

# Background:

Generally, about half of the patients with central nervous system infections cases remain unexplained. Therefore, we aimed to describe which viruses were detected in unselected patients with a suspected central nervous system infection and the first diagnostic workflow in a university hospital laboratory.

# Methods:

A comprehensive virus testing in cerebrospinal fluid with an in-house real-time PCR method was employed. Determining how many and which viruses to test was at the full discretion of the treating physician.

# Results:

1462 patients were evaluated from 2011 to 2017 and 9 898 viral PCRs were made: 176 subjects (12%) had a positive result. There was great heterogeneity in the frequency of patients tested for each virus, ranging from 97.9% (1431 out of 1462) for herpes simplex virus (HSV) to 1.9% (28 out of 1462) for Parvovirus B19, positive in 1 patient. Enterovirus (EV) was the leading virus detected: the frequency was higher with respect to HSV (5.2% vs 2.4%, p=0.0004), varicella-zoster virus (VZV)(5.2% vs 2.9%, p=0.0052), human herpesvirus-6 (5.2% vs 1.7%, p=0.0014) and human herpesvirus-7 (HHV-7)(5.2% vs 2.5%, p=0.0406). Both VZV (83.5%) and HSV (97.9%) were tested significantly more than EV (68.7%, p<0.0001) and HHV-7 (24.1%, p<0.0001): the latter had a positivity comparable to HSV and it was detected in younger patients (median age 29 years), as for EV (median age 35 years). There was no difference found in the age of positive subjects with respect to negative ones for the other viruses tested.

#### Conclusion:

EV was the fifth virus frequently included in the diagnostic workflow but the most frequently detected, mostly in subjects aged less 40, as HHV-7 was. Testing these two viruses in all younger patients could reduce the number of unknown etiology.

Keywords: Suspected central nervous system Infection, Real-time PCR, Viral etiology, Age, Human herpesvirus-7, Enterovirus.

	Article History	Received: August 12, 2020	Revised: December 07, 2020	Accepted: December 20, 2020
--	-----------------	---------------------------	----------------------------	-----------------------------

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

Acute meningitis and encephalitis are Central Nervous System (CNS) diseases whose correct diagnosis is challenging because of non-specific clinical characteristics and the wide spectrum of possible etiologies, both non-infectious and infectious. The latter frequently have a viral etiology when the cause is identified. However, it is estimated that about half of patients with central nervous system infections cases remain untreated [1 - 3].

First-line virologic test panel for clinically suspected acute central nervous system infections in adult patients includes Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) for herpes simplex virus (HSV)-DNA and varicella-zoster virus (VZV)-DNA because ruling out these two herpesviruses' positivity could allow the discontinuation of acyclovir treatment and PCR for enterovirus (EV)-RNA: EV is the leading cause of viral meningitis worldwide, and in case of confirmed EV etiology, unnecessary

<sup>\*</sup> Address correspondence to this author at Department of Molecular Medicine, University of Padova, Italy; Tel: +(39)0498272344; E-mail: saverio.parisi@unipd.it

antibiotic treatment is avoided and hospitalization duration is reduced [4, 5]. Molecular testing of cerebrospinal fluid for HSV infection is extremely sensitive and specific (approaching to 100% for both) [6] and this diagnostic approach is sensitive, also for the detection of VZV (sensitivity and specificity values about 80% and 98%, respectively) [7] but it is slightly less reliable to identify EV because the viral load observed in the CSF is relatively low [8].

Mc Gill et al. [4], carried out a multicenter prospective observational cohort study enrolling 638 adult patients with symptoms consistent with meningitis across England from 2011 to 2014: viral etiology was identified in 38% of patients and a bacterial etiology in 16% but in 42% of subjects, no pathogen was found. The most frequent viruses detected were EV (127 patients, 20%), followed by HSV and VZV. A higher percentage of patients with no causative virus identified (46.1%) was reported by Kaminski et al. [5], in a study on aseptic infections of the central nervous system etiology including 191 adult subjects diagnosed in Germany from 2007 to 2014: the highest incidence of EV infections (36%) was found in meningitis and, conversely, HSV and VZV were the main causes of encephalitis and meningoencephalitis. Other viruses found positive with routinely PCR methods in the two studies were Epstein-Barr Virus (EBV) [4], cytomegalovirus (CMV) [4], measles virus (MEAV) [4], Mumps Virus (MUMV) [4, 5], human herpes virus-6 (HHV-6) [5] and Toscana virus [5].

Almost all published data on molecular epidemiology of suspected central nervous system infections in adult patients reported the frequency of positive detection in cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) for each specific virus and included the testing of the most important causes of neurological disease. Viruses as human herpesvirus-7 (HHV-7), which may cause CNS disease both in case of primary infection [9] and of reactivation [10], are rarely looked for; moreover, a few data on the influence of the diagnostic approach (how many and which viral PCRs were requested for each patient) in clinical practice are available.

The aim of this retrospective study was to give an integrated report of the detection of a wide spectrum of viruses such as EV, parvovirus B19 (PvB19), EBV, VZV, HHV-7, HSV, JC virus (JCV), HHV-6,MEAV, human adenovirus (HAdV), CMV, West Nile Virus (WNV), human parechoviruses (HPeVs), Tick-Borne Encephalitis Virus (TBEV), human herpesvirus-8 (HHV-8),MUMV, and human T-cell leukaemia virus type 1 (HTLV-1) identified through molecular techniques and to show the diagnostic workflow in an unselected cohort of adult patients with a clinically suspected central nervous system infection.

# 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 2.1. Study Design

This is a retrospective study to investigate the prevalence of detection of viruses included in the CSF analysis requests of adult patients (age 18-64 years) with a suspected CNS acute infection. All samples selected for EV and/or HSV testing, sent to the Microbiology and Virology Unit of the University of Padova from January, 1 2011 to October, 31 2017 from hospitals of the Veneto region, were included. The requested molecular tests were at the full discretion of the treating physician and they were included in the routine diagnostic approach to the disease. When a patient had more than one sample available, only the first one was included in the statistical analysis, regardless of the time interval between the first and the subsequent samples. All samples were tested in duplicate. Samples obtained from patients with a known diagnosis of HIV infection and/or with a positive CSF culture result for bacteria, fungi or mycobacteria were excluded from the analysis. Only viruses that were tested in at least 10% of the study population and/or with a positive result were included in the study.

#### 2.2. Laboratory Methods

The CSF samples were stored at 4 °C and processed within 24 h of arrival. The tests were performed using the protocols currently applied at the Microbiology and Virology Unit of the Padova Hospital, as previously described [11 - 14]. During all DNA extractions and purifications, precautions were taken to reduce the risk of false-positive results. Sample adequacy was tested by real-time PCR amplification of the  $\beta$ -globin gene when DNA viruses were tested, and by real-time PCR amplification of a sequence of the RNase P gene in cases of RNA viruses. EBV-DNA and CMV-DNA viral loads were expressed in copies/mL with a threshold level of 1000 copies/mL. HSV typing was performed in positive samples, as previously reported [15].

### 2.3. Statistical Analysis

Data collected included the subject's age (evaluated as a continuous variable and as a binary variable: 18-39 years vs 40-64 years), the number of PCRs requested (continuous variable) and the result of the molecular tests (as a categorical variable and as quantitative data for EBV-DNA and CMV-DNA). The continuous data were presented as the median and interquartile range. The Mann-Whitney U test was used to test the significance of the difference of the median between the groups of patients, while the Chi-squared test and Fisher's exact test were used to compare categorical variables as appropriate (according to the frequencies). All statistical analyses were performed with MedCalc Statistical Software version 19.0.3 (MedCalc Software bvba, Ostend, Belgium; http://www.medcalc.org; 2019).

# **3. RESULTS**

# 3.1. Virus Tested and Virus Detected

The patients who had CSF tested during the study period were 1462 (764 males and 698 females): overall, 9 898 viral PCRs were made and 191 PCRs (1.9% of all PCRs) had a positive result and EV was the more frequently isolated, followed by EBV. A complete description of the relative frequency of detection of positive PCRs is reported in Fig. (1). A negligible number of tests, (n = 45, 14 patients involved) were requested but not performed because no sufficient sample was available. A total of 285 tests, all negative, were performed to detect rubella virus, Toscana virus, influenza virus, choriomeningitis virus, chikungunya virus and Zika virus: they are not discussed in the work.



**Fig. (1).** Relative frequency of detection of positive PCRs. Data are expressed as percentages with respect to the number of all positive PCRs. PCR: real-time polymerase chain reaction EV: enterovirus; EBV: Epstein–Barr virus; HSV: herpes simplex virus; VZV: varicella zoster virus; HHV-7: human herpes virus-7; HHV-6: human herpes virus-6; CMV: cytomegalovirus; JCV: JC virus; HAdV: human adenovirus; HPeVs: human parechoviruses; WNV: West Nile Virus; MEA: measles virus; PvB19: parvovirus B19. HHV-7 tested from January 2013. HAdV tested from February 2012. HPeVs tested from May 2012.

There was heterogeneity in the overall frequency of patients tested for each specific virus, with values ranging from 97.9% (1431 out of 1462) for HSV to 1.9% (28 out of 1462) for PvB19. A positive result was reported in 176 subjects (12%). The description of the number of patients tested, of the percentage of patients tested with respect to the 1462 patients included, the number of positive PCRs and the percentage of positive PCRs with respect to those performed for the specific virus are reported in Table 1. EV was the leading virus detected: the frequency was significantly higher respect to HSV (5.2% vs 2.4%, p = 0.0004), VZV (5.2% vs 2.9%, p = 0.0052), HHV-6 (5.2% vs 1.7%, p = 0.0014), HHV-7 (5.2% vs

2.5%, p = 0.0406) and JCV (5.2% vs 1.8%, p = 0.0139). VZV and HSV were identified in 2.9% (35 out of 1221) and in 2.4% (35 out of 1431) of samples, respectively: however, these two herpesviruses were included in the diagnostic workflow of a higher number of patients with respect to those tested for EV (68.7%, p < 0.0001). HHV-7 was tested in a small percentage of samples (353 out of 1462, 24.1%), lower than that of HSV (97.9%, 1431 out of 1462), VZV (83.5%, 1221 out of 1462), EBV (71%, 1038 out 1462) and EV (68.7%, 1005 out of 1462) (p < 0.0001) but the frequency of detection (2.5%, 9 out of 353) was comparable to that of HSV (2.4%, 35 out of 1431, but tested in 97.9% of subjects). The detection of PvB19 was confirmed in a second CSF sample tested 22 days later.

Table 1. Description of number of PCRs made and of positive PCRs on CSF for EV, PvB19, EBV, VZV, HHV-7, HSV, JCV, HHV-6, MEAV, HAdV, CMV, WNV, HPeVs, TBEV, HHV-8, MUMV and HTLV-1. The viruses are listed according to the percentage of positive PCRs found. The PCR positivity was described as percentage with respect to the number of tests performed for the specific virus.

-	Patients Tested (n)	Percentage of patients tested respect to the 1462 patients included	Positive PCRs (n)	Percentage of positive PCRs respect to the PCRs performed for the specific virus
EV	1005	68.7	52	5.2
PvB19	28	1.9	1	3.6
EBV	1038	71	36	3.5
VZV	1221	83.5	35	2.9
HHV-7 <sup>a</sup>	353	24.1	9	2.5
HSV	1431	97.9	35	2.4
JCV	283	19.4	5	1.8
HHV-6	477	32.6	8	1.7
MEAV	159	10.9	1	0.6
HAdV <sup>b</sup>	377	25.8	2	0.5
CMV	1078	73.7	5	0.5
WNV	542	37.1	1	0.2
HPeVs <sup>c</sup>	819	56	1	0.1
TBEV	443	30.3	0	0
HHV-8	349	23.9	0	0
MUMV	148	10.1	0	0
HTLV-1	147	10.1	0	0

All CMV-DNA values and 32 out of 36 EBV-DNA values were below 1000 copies/mL: the other EBV-DNA values were 1813 copies/mL, 2040 copies/mL, 38 583 copies/mL and 790 000 copies/mL.

Twenty-three HSV positive samples were HSV-1 (65.7% of HSV-DNA positive samples), 5 samples were HSV-2 (14.3%) and 7 (20%) CSFs were not typed due to the low viral load.

Almost all patients (161 of 176) reported the detection of a single viral agent: two positive PCRs were identified in 15 subjects (8.5% of positive patients). The more frequently involved was EBV (6 patients: 3 EBV-HSV, 2 EBV-VZV, 1 EBV-JCV). A complete description is reported in Table **2**. The

samples of patients admitted to the Padova Hospital were 532 (36.4% of all samples) and negative CSF culture was found in 256 (48.1%) out of 532 samples. The choice of not including the culture in the diagnostic workflow was at full discretion of the treating doctor, who may have decided not to perform on the basis of CSF findings. Twenty-eight patients (M/F 20/8, median age 52 years) were excluded from the study because of positive culture. We applied a strict criteria and also the 7 samples with a diagnosis of contaminating bacteria were excluded: one of these 7 samples was found positive for HSV. The viruses detected in the other 21 patients were HSV (1 patients) and EBV (2 patients). Overall, the more frequent non contaminating isolates belonged to the Staphylococcus spp. A complete description is reported in Table **3**.

 Table 2. Patients with two positive viral PCRs on the CSF sample. POS: positive PCRs, NEG: negative PCRs, empty cells:

 virus not tested. The definition "Total PCRs" includes both positive and negative results.

Pt	Gender	Age (years)	Total PCRs	EV	PvB19	EBV	VZV	HHV-7 <sup>ª</sup>	HSV	JCV	HHV-6	MEAV	HAdV <sup>b</sup>	CMV	WNV	HPeVs <sup>c</sup>	TBEV	HHV-8	MUMV	HTLV-1
			(n)																	
1	М	20	7	NEG		NEG	POS		NEG		POS			NEG		NEG				
2	М	24	10	NEG		POS	POS	NEG	NEG		NEG			NEG		NEG		NEG	NEG	
3	F	26	15	NEG		NEG	POS	POS	NEG	NEG	NEG	NEG	NEG	NEG	NEG	NEG	NEG	NEG	NEG	
4	М	27	4			POS	NEG		POS (d)				NEG							
5	F	28	11	POS		NEG	NEG	POS	NEG		NEG			NEG	NEG	NEG		NEG	NEG	
6	F	36	14	POS		NEG	NEG	POS	NEG		NEG	NEG	NEG	NEG	NEG	NEG	NEG	NEG		NEG
7	F	40	12	NEG		NEG	POS	NEG	NEG		POS		NEG	NEG		NEG		NEG	NEG	NEG
8	F	46	3	NEG			POS		POS (e)											
9	F	50	16	POS		NEG	NEG	NEG	NEG	NEG	NEG	NEG	POS	NEG	NEG	NEG	NEG	NEG	NEG	NEG
10	F	51	11	POS	NEG	NEG	NEG		NEG	NEG				NEG	NEG	POS	NEG	NEG		
11	М	53	6	NEG		POS	NEG		NEG	POS						NEG				
12	F	54	6	NEG		POS	NEG		POS (e)					NEG	NEG					
13	М	55	6			POS	NEG		POS (e)	NEG	NEG			NEG						
14	М	61	10	NEG			NEG	POS	NEG		POS				NEG	NEG	NEG	NEG		NEG
15	М	64	6	NEG		POS	POS		NEG					NEG		NEG				

M: male; F: female, pt: patient; pts: patients;(d)HSV-2;(e) HSV-1

EV: enterovirus; PvB19: parvovirus B19; EBV: Epstein-Barr virus; VZV: varicella zoster virus; HHV-7: human herpes virus-7; HSV: herpes simplex virus; JCV: JC virus; HHV-6: human herpes virus-6; MEAV: measles virus; HAdV: human adenovirus; CMV: cytomegalovirus; WNV: West Nile Virus; HPeVs: human parechoviruses; TBEV: tick-borne encephalitis virus; HHV-8: human herpes virus-8; MUMV: mumps virus; HTLV-1: human T-cell leukemia virus type 1. PCR: real-time polymerase chain reaction.

<sup>a</sup>HHV-7 tested from January 2013; <sup>b</sup>HAdV tested from February 2012; <sup>c</sup>HPeVs tested from May 2012.

#### Table 3. Description of the 28 patients excluded from the study because of positive CSF culture.

-	Gender	Age	Isolates	Tested viruses	Positive viral PCRs
Pt 1	М	44	Staphylococcus capitis	HSV	none
Pt 2	М	37	Staphylococcus hominis	HSV, VZV, EV, EBV, CMV, JCV	none
Pt 3	М	41	Pseudomonas putida	HSV, VZV, EV, EBV, CMV, HHV-6, HHV-7, HHV-8, JCV, HAdV, WNV, MUMV, HTLV-1	none
Pt4	М	61	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	HSV, VZV, EV, EBV, CMV, HHV-6, HHV-7, HHV-8, JCV, TBEV, HAdV, MEAV, WNV, MUMV, HTLV-1	none
Pt 5	М	49	Haemophilus influenzae	HSV, VZV, EV, HAdV	none
Pt 6	М	53	Bacillus licheniformis	HSV, VZV, CMV	none
Pt 7	М	27	Staphylococcus aureus	HSV, EV, HPeVs, EBV, CMV, HHV-6, TBEV	EBV

(Table 3) contd.....

-	Gender	Age	Isolates	Tested viruses	Positive viral PCRs
Pt 8	F	50	Streptococcus agalactiae	HSV, VZV, EV, HPeVs, HAdV, MEAV	none
Pt 9	М	30	Streptococcus agalactiae	HSV	none
Pt 10	F	60	Staphylococcus epidermidis	HSV, EBV, CMV	none
Pt 11	F	23	Staphylococcus haemolyticus	HSV, VZV	none
Pt 12	F	44	Staphylococcus hominis	HSV, VZV, EV, HPeVs, EBV, CMV, HHV-6, HHV-7, HHV-8, JCV, TBEV, HAdV, MEAV, WNV, MUMV	none
Pt 13	М	59	Mycobacterium tuberculosis – complex	HSV, EV, HPeVs, EBV, CMV, WNV	none
Pt 14	М	32	Staphylococcus aureus	HSV, VZV, EV, HPeVs, HHV-6, HHV-7, HHV-8, JCV, TBEV	none
Pt 15	М	58	Staphylococcus haemolyticus	HSV, EV, HPeVs, EBV, CMV	none
Pt 16	М	60	Listeria monocytogenes	HSV, EV	none
Pt 17	М	64	Staphylococcus hominis	HSV, VZV, EBV, CMV, HHV-8, JCV	none
Pt 18	М	37	Staphilococcus hominis, Staphilococcus epidermidis	HSV, VZV, EV, HPeVs, EBV, CMV, HHV-6, HHV-7, HHV-8, HAdV	none
Pt 19	М	60	Staphylococcus epidermidis	HSV, VZV, EV, HPeVs, HHV-6, HHV-7, MEAV	HSV
Pt 20	F	57	Staphylococcus epidermidis	HSV, VZV, EBV, CMV, HHV-6, HHV-7, HHV-8, HTLV-1	none
Pt 21	F	63	Rothia dentocariosa	HSV, VZV, EV, HPeVs, EBV, CMV	HSV
Pt 22	М	64	Streptococcus pneumoniae	HSV, VZV	none
Pt 23	F	48	Mycobacterium tuberculosis – complex	HSV, VZV, EV, HPeVs, EBV, CMV, HHV-6, HHV-7, HHV-8, HAdV, MEAV, MUMV, PvB19	EBV
Pt 24	М	52	Staphylococcus epidermidis	HSV, VZV, EV, HPeVs, HHV-6, HHV-7, HHV-8	none
Pt 25	F	58	Corynebacterium sp	HSV, VZV, EV, HPeVs, EBV, CMV, MEAV	none
Pt 26	М	58	Cryptococcus neoformans	HSV, VZV, EBV, CMV, JCV	none
Pt 27	М	40	Staphylococcus haemolyticus	HSV, VZV, EBV, CMV, TBEV, WNV	none
Pt 28	М	57	Cryptococcus neoformans	HSV, VZV, EBV, CMV, HHV-8, JCV	none

M: male; F: female, pt: patient; pts: patients; d HSV-2; e HSV-1

EV: enterovirus; PvB19: parvovirus B19; EBV: Epstein-Barr virus; VZV: varicella zoster virus; HHV-7: human herpes virus-7; HSV: herpes simplex virus; JCV: JC virus; HHV-6: human herpes virus-6; MEAV: measles virus; HAdV: human adenovirus; CMV: cytomegalovirus; WNV: West Nile Virus; HPeVs: human parechoviruses; TBEV: tick-borne encephalitis virus; HHV-8: human herpes virus-8; MUMV: mumps virus; HTLV-1: human T-cell leukemia virus type 1. PCR: real-time polymerase chain reaction

aHHV-7 tested from January 2013; bHAdV tested from February 2012; cHPeVs tested from May 2012



Fig. (2). Median age of patients with isolated EV, HHV-7. EBV, VZV, HSV, HHV-6, CMV and JCV detection. Columns in black: the difference in median age between samples with positive or negative result was significant.

\* 35 years (IQR 27 years-44 years) versus 44 years (IQR 34 years-54 years), p =  $0.0001 \Delta 29$  years (IQR 26 years-38 years) vs 44 years (IQR 34 years-54 years), p = 0.0285

# 3.2. The Role of Age

The median age of patients with a positive result was not

significantly different from that of subjects with a negative result (43 years, IQR 31 years-53 years versus 45 years, IQR

34 years-54 years), but the difference in the median age between samples with the positive or negative result was significant for EV (35 years, IQR 27 years-44 years *versus* 44 years, IQR 34 years-54 years, p = 0.0001) and HHV-7 (29 years, IQR 26 years-38 years *versus* 44 years, IQR 34 years-54 years, p = 0.0285). The difference was confirmed also when the analysis focused on isolated EV positivity (p = 0.0002) and isolated HHV-7 positivity (p = 0.0307). The median age of patients evaluated and of those not evaluated was comparable both for EV testing (44 years, IQR 33 years-54 years *versus* 46 years, IQR 34 years-55 years) and HHV-7 testing (44 years, IQR 33 years-54 years *versus* 44 years, IQR 34 years-54 years).

Patients with isolated HHV-7 detection had the lowest median age and the gap was significant compared to subjects positive for EBV (p = 0.0083), for VZV (p = 0.0141) and HSV (p = 0.0135); subjects with an isolated positive PCR for EV had a lower median age compared to samples with positive PCR for EBV (p = 0.0008), VZV (p = 0.0022) and HSV (p = 0.0011) (Fig. 2).

Patients aged <40 years old had a higher overall percentage of positivity of 14.2%, while those aged 40-64 years had a percentage of 10.8%: the difference approached the significance (p = 0.0557).

The distribution by the age of viral PCRs found positive in more than two samples is described in Fig. (3).

# 3.3. Number of Tests Performed

The median number of tests performed in patients who showed a viral detection was significantly higher with respect to the number of tests reported in patients with negative samples (7 tests, IQR 5-9 tests *versus* 6 tests, IQR 4-9 tests, p = 0.0063).

The description of the percentage of patients with a positive PCR according to the number of PCRs included in the diagnostic panel is reported in Fig. (4). The percentage of positivity was higher in the 17 patients who were tested for all viruses but PvB19 (23.5%): EV was detected in all these positive samples (in one subject associated to HAdV).



Fig. (3). Description of the distribution of viral PCRs found positive (in more than two samples) according to patient age. The viruses are listed according to the numerosity of tests requested.

EV: enterovirus; EBV: Epstein-Barr virus; HSV: herpes simplex virus; VZV: varicella zoster virus; HHV-7: human herpes virus-7; HHV-6: human herpes virus-6; CMV: cytomegalovirus; JCV: JC virus HHV-7 tested from January 2013.



**Fig. (4).** Description of the absolute number of patients with a positive PCR according to the number of PCRs included in the diagnostic panel (from 1 to 16) and of the relative percentage of positive PCRs respect to the number of patients tested for the specific virus. The number of PCRs performed is reported on the x-axis (from 1 to 8 PCRs in panel a and from 9 to 16 PCRs in panel b).

HSV and EV were included in all test panels including 2 to 16 PCRs performed, but the percentage of patients tested within each group was similar for HSV (minimum 92%, maximum 100%) while had a great variability for EV (minimum 3.4%, maximum 100%): as a consequence, the median percentage of EV-RNA inclusion was lower than that of HSV-DNA (84.4% *versus* 98.3%, p = 0.002)

About half of patients (n = 810, 55.4%) had CSF tested for 4-7 PCRs: HSV was tested in 793 subjects (overall median percentage of testing 97.9%) and 21 (2.6%) were found positive, VZV in 701 subjects (overall median percentage of testing 87.3%) and it was detected in 18 (2.6%) and EV in 524 individuals (overall median percentage of testing 68.7%), with 23 samples positives (4.4%). In the same cohort, HHV-7 was tested in a total of 43 subjects (overall median percentage of testing 2.5%) and the virus was identified in 2 patients, with a percentage comparable to those of EV (4.6%) but a percentage of testing being significantly lower (p = 0.0209).

Only 2 subjects were tested for HHV-7 when the number of PCRs tested ranged from 1 to 3 (on a total of 178 patients) and the result was negative; conversely 308 (65%) out of the 474 patients who had 8-16 PCRs performed were tested for HHV-7 and it was detected in 7 subjects (2.3%). A complete description of which viral PCRs were prescribed and their positive and negative result for each testing set approach (intended as the number of tests requested on the sample) is reported in Supplementary Table **1**.

# 3.4. Patients with HHV-7 Tested

Fifty patients out of 353 subjects with HHV-7 evaluated had a positive PCR (14.2%) *versus* 11.4% of the patients not tested for HHV-7 and the patients' age was comparable in the two groups (median 44 years in both). In the first subgroup,

HHV-7 became the third most frequently identified virus, after EV and EBV and it was found in 18% of all positive subjects. A description of the viruses tested and the viruses detected in the 353 subjects is reported in supplementary Table **2**. The percentages of positivity for EV, HHV-6 and HSV were comparable with those found in patients who were not tested for HHV-7: conversely, VZV was more frequently positive in patients in whom HHV-7 was not considered as a possible reason for the SNC infection (1.2% vs 3.4%, p = 0.0447).

Clinical data were available for five patients with HHV-7 positivity: a suspected demyelinating disorder (isolated HHV-7 detection), one with a possible cerebellitis (isolated HHV-7), a suspected diagnosis of lymphocytic meningitis (2 patients, one with associated VZV positivity and one with associated EV detection) and one patient had a visual impairment and an occipital alteration at magnetic resonance imaging (HHV-7 and EV detection).

### 4. DISCUSSION

This study describes the molecular epidemiology of the suspected central nervous system viral infections in a large cohort of adult patients in a setting with a tertiary level diagnostic laboratory available where the extent to which PCRs are used is based on clinician's request. We analyzed CSF results of 1462 subjects aged 18-64 years: this number is lower with respect to the work of Kleines *et al.* [16], referring to an 11-year period (2 604 patients), but this latter study had no age limit for inclusion and the range was 18-97 years. The same authors reported that the prevalence of positive viral PCR detection increased with age and that patients older than 80 had the highest detection rates. Moreover, Kleines' study focused on HSV, VZV, EBV, CMV, HHV-6 and EV, while our study included a detailed analysis of the results of 17 viruses which were tested in at least 10% of the study population and/or with

a positive result and reported the negative testing of other six viruses. Of note, the percentage of positive results reported in this work (4.1%) was lower than ours (12% of subjects), which is, in turn, lower than expected [17] despite the availability of many diagnostic tools: the characteristics of patients' enrollment (no CSF alterations required) and the restriction to molecular analysis for diagnosis may explain our result. The clinical presentation of viral infections, especially encephalitis, is often non-specific and requires consideration of non-viral causes also, such as bacteria, fungi, parasites as well as noninfectious causes. However, the aim of the present study was not to describe the virologic epidemiology of confirmed viral meningitis and meningoencephalitis but to describe which viruses were detected in a suggestive clinical setting (definite diagnostic criteria could be present or not) and the first diagnostic workflow. In our study, the more frequently detected virus was EV (relative percentage 27.2%) as in the other real life retrospective studies including patients with more than one diagnosis: EV positivity relative percentage was 33.3% in the work by Calleri et al. [18], including viral meningitis and meningoencephalitis and EV positivity relative percentage was 46.6% in the study by Kaminski et al. [5], focusing on aseptic meningitis or meningoencephalitis. The differences in the percentage of detection may be not only due to our study design but also due to the different molecular methods used and the variation in the viral load of the different EV genotypes [19]. Besides EV, HSV and VZV PCRs are included in the usual initial approach to diagnosis in suspected CNS viral infections [20, 21]: overall, our relative percentage of HSV detection was slightly lower than that reported in the studies by Calleri et al. [18], and Kaminsky et al. [5], (18.4% versus 27.5% and 26.2% respectively) and the relative percentage of VZV positivity was comparable (18.4% versus 17.4% and 20.4% respectively). In our cohort. EBV-DNA detection had a higher relative positivity than HSV and VZV; this herpesvirus testing was not included in the study by Calleri and colleagues [18] while no detection was reported by Kaminski et al. [5]. This different result may be explained by the less number of tests (113 versus 1038) and by the EBV viral load we detected: 88.9% were positive at the lower limit of detection.

Surprisingly, HHV-7 was identified in an absolute percentage of patients (2.5%) higher than that of HSV even if it was requested in a significantly lower number of subjects. Of note, HSV was the only virus included with a comparable frequency both in diagnostic workflow including or excluding HHV-7 testing and this evidence may exclude a bias due to clinician decision. Our HHV-7 positive patients had a median age of 29 years (range 26 years-38 years), significantly lower compared to patients tested and found negative: this value is in accord to the cut-off age for second-line testing in case of acute encephalitis [20] and age reported in case reports describing a central nervous system infection in adult patients (median 30 years, range 26 years-35 years) [22 - 28]. A delayed HHV-7 primary infection was diagnosed in the case reported by Ward et al. [22], a viral reactivation was demonstrated with serology in 3 works [23, 24, 26] and suggested in one study [28] and whether the disease was due to late primary infection or reactivation was not established in two of the studies [25, 27]

as it was not in our study because no serological studies and no antibody avidity were available. More than 90% of children aged less 5 years present with HHV-7 primary infection [29] and so it is possible that almost all our patients experienced a reactivation: however, it has to be underlined that we found no HHV-7 positivity in CSF of 100 patients aged more than 65 [30], despite the age-related decline in the immune function [31].

In our study, 353 patients were tested for HHV-7, a higher number with respect to the study by Corral et al. [10], who globally detected HHV-7 DNA in 5.6% of the 251 patients tested in a Spanish Hospital from 2010 to 2014: one patient had meningitis by HSV-2, 7 patients had a diagnosis of noninfectious neurological diseases but 6 subjects had a clinically compatible CNS infection, CSF changes and no other agent was detected. In Corral's work [10], all the patients underwent the same diagnostic workflow because a multiplex PCR kit was used. Conversely, in our study, PCR selection was at the discretion of the treating doctor. HHV-7 testing was included in a set of 11 PCRs (median value), while a set of 5 PCRs (median value) was used when this herpesvirus was not chosen. The overall positivity rate of HSV, EV and HHV-6 detection (not VZV detection, more frequent in patients not tested for HHV-7) was comparable in the two sets: these data seem to suggest that there is no selection bias in the cohort of patients who were tested for HHV-7 and those who did not. We are aware that increasing the number of PCRs could give more opportunities to identify the etiology of a suspected CNS infection and that fully automated multiplex PCRs are now available [32, 33]. However we observed that the median number of tests in patients with a positive result was 7 and it was 6 in undiagnosed subjects, so the choice of the tests to include has a role as well. PvB19 was tested in 1.9% of subjects: nevertheless, we observed one positive result. Limited data on the real epidemiological scenario of CNS infection were available, but PvB19 was associated with cases of CNS infection in adult patients [34, 35] and could be included in the testing panel also as second-line testing.

In addition, age can be a simple criterion to address clinicians' decisions. We observed that EV and HHV-7 positive patients were significantly younger than negative ones: the inclusion of these two viruses testing in the diagnostic panel of all subjects aged less than 40 could reduce the number of unknown etiologies.

Strengths of the study are the high number of patients enrolled, inclusion limited to adult subjects, the availability of 17 viral PCRs and the descriptive approach, which included both analysis of the frequency of prescribed tests and their positivity. Furthermore, the same molecular methods were applied to all samples and so data obtained throughout the study period (more than 6 years) were comparable.

Our study had two main limitations. First, it is a retrospective study and second, most clinical characteristics of the subjects enrolled and data of CSF examinations were not available, consequently, we could not perform more extensive analysis. However, we analyzed patients who underwent lumbar puncture and CSF PCR for a clinical need and routine chemistry and cellular analyses of CSF assist in CNS infection

diagnosis, but microbiology tests are required to identify the etiology: patients were included in the study if they had HSV-DNA and/or EV-RNA tested and it should be pointed out that HSV-DNA may be detected in CSF specimens with normal white blood cells and protein levels [36] and that about 15% of CSF positive for EV-RNA had normal CSF cell counts [37].

# CONCLUSION

In conclusion, here results are reported of a large analysis of the diagnostic approach of suspected central nervous system infections in adult patients. EV is the fourth fifth virus more frequently included in the diagnostic workflow but the most frequently detected, mostly in subjects aged less 40, was HHV-7. The testing of these two viruses in all younger patients could reduce the number of suspected CNS infections with unknown etiology and HHV-7 serology could help in defining the clinical role of this virus.

# LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CMV	=	Cytomegalovirus
CNS	=	Central Nervous System
CSF	=	Cerebrospinal Fluid
EBV	=	Epstein-Barr virus
EV	=	Enterovirus
HAdV	=	Human Adenovirus
HHV-6	=	Human Herpes Virus-6
HHV-8	=	Human Herpesvirus-8
HPeVs	=	Human Parechoviruses
HSV	=	Herpes Simplex Virus
HTLV-1	=	Human T-Cell Leukaemia Virus Type 1
JCV	=	JC Virus
MEAV	=	Measles Virus
MUMV	=	Mumps Virus
PCR	=	Polymerase Chain Reaction
PvB19	=	Parvovirus B19
TBEV	=	Tick-Borne Encephalitis Virus
VZV	=	Varicella Zoster Virus
WNV	=	West Nile virus

# ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

This study has been approved by Ethical Committee for Clinical Experimentation University of Padova, Italy, with reference number 50443/15.

# HUMAN AND ANIMAL RIGHTS

No animals were used in this research. All human research procedures were followed in accordance with the ethical standards of the committee responsible for human experimentation (institutional and national), and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2013.

# CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Informed consent was obtained from all participants.

# **AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS**

Data supporting the findings of this research are available within the article.

#### FUNDING

None.

# **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this article.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Declared None.

# SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Supplementary material is available on the publisher's website along with the published article.

# REFERENCES

- George BP, Schneider EB, Venkatesan A. Encephalitis hospitalization rates and inpatient mortality in the United States, 2000-2010. PLoS One 2014; 9(9)e104169
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0104169] [PMID: 25192177]
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.15/1/jointa.ipine.1004103/j1/http://dx.doi.org/10.15/1/jointa.ipine.1004103/j1/http://dx.doi.org/10.1212/01.wnl.0000191407.81333.00]
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1212/01.wnl.0000191407.81333.00]
   [PMID: 16401850]
   [Tian L, Zhang Z, Sun ZY. Pathogen Analysis of Central Nervous Souther before in a Chinese Texting Hermitel form 2012 2019. 4
- System Infections in a Chinese Teaching Hospital from 2012-2018: A Laboratory-based Retrospective Study. Curr Med Sci 2019; 39(3): 449-54. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11596-019-2058-7] [PMID: 31209818]
- [4] McGill F, Griffiths MJ, Bonnett LJ, et al. Incidence, aetiology, and sequelae of viral meningitis in UK adults: a multicentre prospective observational cohort study. Lancet Infect Dis 2018; 18(9): 992-1003.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(18)30245-7] [PMID: 30153934]
- [5] Kaminski M, Grummel V, Hoffmann D, Berthele A, Hemmer B. The spectrum of aseptic central nervous system infections in southern Germany - demographic, clinical and laboratory findings. Eur J Neurol 2017; 24(8): 1062-70.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ene.13335] [PMID: 28636287]

- [6] Dien Bard J, Alby K. Point-Counterpoint: Meningitis/Encephalitis Syndromic Testing in the Clinical Laboratory. J Clin Microbiol 2018; 56(4)
- [7] Corral I, Quereda C, Antela A, et al. Neurological complications of varicella-zoster virus in human immunodeficiency virus-infected patients: changes in prevalence and diagnostic utility of polymerase chain reaction in cerebrospinal fluid. J Neurovirol 2003; 9(1): 129-35. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13550280390173300] [PMID: 12587077]
- [8] Harvala H, Griffiths M, Solomon T, Simmonds P. Distinct systemic and central nervous system disease patterns in enterovirus and parechovirus infected children. J Infect 2014; 69(1): 69-74. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2014.02.017] [PMID: 24662038]
- [9] Schwartz KL, Richardson SE, Ward KN, et al. Delayed primary HHV-7 infection and neurologic disease. Pediatrics 2014; 133(6): e1541-7.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1542/peds.2013-3344] [PMID: 24819578]

- [10] Corral Í, Sainz de la Maza S, Rodríguez M, Kawiorski MM, López-Martínez MJ, Galán JC. Molecular detection of human herpesvirus 7 DNA in cerebrospinal fluid from adult patients with neurological disorders. J Neurovirol 2018; 24(3): 333-8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s13365-018-0618-4] [PMID: 29536269]
- [11] Parisi SG, Basso M, Del Vecchio C, et al. Virological testing of cerebrospinal fluid in children aged less than 14 years with a suspected central nervous system infection: A retrospective study on 304 consecutive children from January 2012 to May 2015. Eur J Paediatr

Neurol 2016; 20(4): 588-96.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpn.2016.04.002] [PMID: 27129875]

- [12] Scaggiante R, Andreis S, Basso M, et al. Epstein-Barr and cytomegalovirus DNA salivary shedding correlate with long-term plasma HIV RNA detection in HIV-infected men who have sex with men. J Med Virol 2016; 88(7): 1211-21. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jmv.24441] [PMID: 26636290]
- [13] Parisi SG, Boldrin C, Andreis S, et al. KSHV DNA viremia correlates with low CD4+ cell count in Italian males at the time of diagnosis of HIV infection. J Med Virol 2011; 83(3): 384-90. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jmv.21987] [PMID: 21264857]
- [14] Basso M, Andreis S, Scaggiante R, *et al.* Cytomegalovirus, Epstein-Barr virus and human herpesvirus 8 salivary shedding in HIV positive men who have sex with men with controlled and uncontrolled plasma HIV viremia: a 24-month longitudinal study. BMC Infect Dis 2018; 18(1): 683.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12879-018-3591-x] [PMID: 30567494]

- [15] Namvar L, Olofsson S, Bergström T, Lindh M. Detection and typing of Herpes Simplex virus (HSV) in mucocutaneous samples by TaqMan PCR targeting a gB segment homologous for HSV types 1 and 2. J Clin Microbiol 2005; 43(5): 2058-64.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.43.5.2058-2064.2005] [PMID: 15872222]
- [16] Kleines M, Scheithauer S, Schiefer J, Häusler M. Clinical application of viral cerebrospinal fluid PCR testing for diagnosis of central nervous system disorders: a retrospective 11-year experience. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 2014; 80(3): 207-15. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2014.07.010] [PMID: 25178670]
- de Ory F, Avellón A, Echevarría JE, *et al.* Viral infections of the central nervous system in Spain: a prospective study. J Med Virol 2013; 85(3): 554-62.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jmv.23470] [PMID: 23239485]
- [18] Calleri G, Libanore V, Corcione S, De Rosa FG, Caramello P. A retrospective study of viral central nervous system infections: relationship amongst aetiology, clinical course and outcome. Infection 2017; 45(2): 227-31.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s15010-017-0993-4] [PMID: 28236249]

[19] Volle R, Bailly JL, Mirand A, et al. Variations in cerebrospinal fluid viral loads among enterovirus genotypes in patients hospitalized with laboratory-confirmed meningitis due to enterovirus. J Infect Dis 2014; 210(4): 576-84.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiu178] [PMID: 24652491]

- [20] Tyler KL. Acute Viral Encephalitis. N Engl J Med 2018; 379(6): 557-66.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra1708714] [PMID: 30089069]
   [21] Logan SA, MacMahon E. Viral meningitis. BMJ 2008; 336(7634): 36-40.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.39409.673657.AE] [PMID: 18174598]

- [22] Ward KN, Kalima P, MacLeod KM, Riordan T. Neuroinvasion during delayed primary HHV-7 infection in an immunocompetent adult with encephalitis and flaccid paralysis. J Med Virol 2002; 67(4): 538-41. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jmv.10135] [PMID: 12116001]
- [23] Mihara T, Mutoh T, Yoshikawa T, Yano S, Asano Y, Yamamoto H. Postinfectious myeloradiculoneuropathy with cranial nerve involvements associated with human herpesvirus 7 infection. Arch Neurol 2005; 62(11): 1755-7.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/archneur.62.11.1755] [PMID: 16286551]
   [24] Ginanneschi F, Donati D, Moschettini D, Dominici F, Cermelli C, Rossi A. Encephaloradiculomyelitis associated to HHV-7 and CMV

co-infection in immunocompetent host. Clin Neurol Neurosurg 2007; 109(3): 272-6.

- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.clineuro.2006.04.002] [PMID: 16713072]
- [25] Miranda CM, Torres TJP, Larrañaga LC, Acuña LG. Rev Med Chil 2011; 139(12): 1588-91. [Meningomyelitis associated with infection by human herpes virus 7: report of two cases].
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.4067/S0034-98872011001200008] [PMID: 22446705]
- [26] Aburakawa Y, Katayama T, Saito T, et al. Limbic Encephalitis Associated with Human Herpesvirus-7 (HHV-7) in an Immunocompetent Adult: The First Reported Case in Japan. Intern Med 2017; 56(14): 1919-23.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.2169/internalmedicine.56.8185] [PMID:
- 28717094]
   [27] Parra M, Alcala A, Amoros C, *et al.* Encephalitis associated with human herpesvirus-7 infection in an immunocompetent adult. Virol J

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12985-017-0764-y] [PMID: 28545483]

2017: 14(1): 97.

- [28] Riva N, Franconi I, Meschiari M, et al. Acute human herpes virus 7 (HHV-7) encephalitis in an immunocompetent adult patient: a case report and review of literature. Infection 2017; 45(3): 385-8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s15010-017-1014-3] [PMID: 28386807]
- [29] Tesini BL, Epstein LG, Caserta MT. Clinical impact of primary infection with roseoloviruses. Curr Opin Virol 2014; 9: 91-6. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.coviro.2014.09.013] [PMID: 25462439]
- [30] Parisi SG, Basso M, Del Vecchio C, et al. Viral infections of the central nervous system in elderly patients: a retrospective study. Int J Infect Dis 2016; 44: 8-10. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2016.01.012] [PMID: 26820759]
- [31] Fulop T, Le Page A, Fortin C, Witkowski JM, Dupuis G, Larbi A. Cellular signaling in the aging immune system. Curr Opin Immunol 2014; 29: 105-11.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.coi.2014.05.007] [PMID: 24934647]
- [32] Hanson KE, Couturier MR. Multiplexed Molecular Diagnostics for Respiratory, Gastrointestinal, and Central Nervous System Infections. Clin Infect Dis 2016; 63(10): 1361-7. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciw494] [PMID: 27444411]
- [33] Broadhurst MJ, Dujari S, Budvytiene I, Pinsky BA, Gold CA, Banaei N. Utilization, yield, and accuracy of the FilmArray Meningitis/Encephalitis panel with diagnostic stewardship and testing algorithm [published online ahead of print, 2020 Jun 3]. J Clin Microbiol 2020; JCM.00311-.
- [34] Barah F, Whiteside S, Batista S, Morris J. Neurological aspects of human parvovirus B19 infection: a systematic review. Rev Med Virol 2014; 24(3): 154-68.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/rmv.1782] [PMID: 24459081]

- Jun JS, Moon J, Byun JI, et al. Clinical manifestations and treatment outcomes of parvovirus B19 encephalitis in immunocompetent adults. J Neurovirol 2017; 23(6): 903-7.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s13365-017-0568-2] [PMID: 28895082]
- [36] Albert E, Alberola J, Bosque M, et al. Missing Cases of Herpes Simplex Virus (HSV) Infection of the Central Nervous System When the Reller Criteria Are Applied for HSV PCR Testing: a Multicenter Study. J Clin Microbiol 2019; 57(2): e01719-18. [PMID: 30487303]
- [37] Ahlbrecht J, Hillebrand LK, Schwenkenbecher P, et al. Cerebrospinal fluid features in adults with enteroviral nervous system infection. Int J Infect Dis 2018; 68: 94-101. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2018.01.022] [PMID: 29410201]

#### © 2021 Basso et al.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License (CC-BY 4.0), a copy of which is available at: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode. This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.