# Microbial Community Structure Across a Wastewater-Impacted Riparian Buffer Zone in the Southeastern Coastal Plain

T.F. Ducey\*, P.R. Johnson, A.D. Shriner, T.A. Matheny, and P.G. Hunt

Coastal Plains Soil, Water, and Plant Research Center, Agricultural Research Service, USDA, Florence SC

**Abstract:** Riparian buffer zones are important for both natural and developed ecosystems throughout the world because of their ability to retain nutrients, prevent soil erosion, protect aquatic environments from excessive sedimentation, and filter pollutants. Despite their importance, the microbial community structures of riparian buffer zones remains poorly defined. Our objectives for this study were twofold: first, to characterize the microbial populations found in riparian buffer zone soils; and second, to determine if microbial community structure could be linked to denitrification enzyme activity (DEA). To achieve these objectives, we investigated the microbial populations of a riparian buffer zone located downslope of a pasture irrigated with swine lagoon effluent, utilizing DNA sequencing of the 16S rDNA, DEA, and quantitative PCR (qPCR) of the denitrification genes *nirK*, *nirS*, and *nosZ*. Clone libraries of the 16S rDNA gene were generated from each of twelve sites across the riparian buffer with a total of 986 partial sequences grouped into 654 operational taxonomic units (OTUs). The *Proteobacteria* were the dominant group (49.8% of all OTUs), with the *Acidobacteria* also well represented (19.57% of all OTUs). Analysis of qPCR results identified spatial relationships between soil series, site location, and gene abundance, which could be used to infer both incomplete and total DEA rates.

Keywords: Riparian buffer, microbial communities, denitrification, 16S rRNA gene.

# INTRODUCTION

Riparian buffers are a best management practice utilized extensively in the protection of freshwater ecosystems from agriculturally-generated nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) pollution [1, 2]. While there are several routes for NO3<sup>-</sup> removal from riparian buffer zones, denitrification is thought to serve as the primary mechanism [3, 4]. Denitrification is the biological reduction of  $NO_3^-$  or nitrite ( $NO_2^-$ ) under anoxic conditions to either nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) or dinitrogen (N<sub>2</sub>) gases. This process is achieved through the following series of enzymatic steps:  $NO_3^- \longrightarrow NO_2^- \longrightarrow NO \longrightarrow N_2O \longrightarrow N_2$ [5]; the resultant gases are then permanently removed from the ecosystem. However, despite this vast knowledge of the relationship between riparian buffers and denitrification. there is a paucity of information in regards to riparian buffer microbial community composition. Equally lacking is an understanding of the relationships between these microbial communities and the biological processes - such as denitrification - that occur within the riparian buffer.

Earlier studies suggested a relationship between microbial community composition and denitrification in agricultural soils [6, 7]. Likewise, Martin *et al.* and Rich and Myrold examined microbial community composition and denitrification in riparian buffer soils [8, 9]. However, none of these studies were performed in riparian buffers adjacent to areas heavily  $NO_3^-$  loaded. Riparian buffers located next to fields receiving high  $NO_3^-$  loads are of particular importance because riparian buffers have a higher propensity for nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) emissions [10-12]. Emissions of N<sub>2</sub>O, a potent greenhouse gas, play a critical role in the climate change discussion due to its ability to degrade stratospheric ozone [13]. Therefore, in order to better understand denitrification and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in riparian soils receiving high loads of nitrate, it is important to examine the microbial community composition of these soils. Such studies would provide insight into whether microbial community composition exerts direct control on the denitrification process and emission of N<sub>2</sub>O.

While denitrifiers make up a sizeable portion of soil microbial communities (up to 5%) [5], culturing techniques are rarely able to identify greater than 1% of an environments microbial content [14]. To counter the problems with culturing methods, 16S rDNA gene sequencing is routinely used to provide descriptive overviews of complex microbial communities, something that is currently lacking in the analysis of riparian buffers. Likewise, the use of quantitative Real-Time PCR (qPCR) using primers specific for genes involved in microbial processes allows for the quantification of specific groups of microbial organisms in a variety of ecosystems without the need for culturing.

In this study we examined the microbial communities of a riparian buffer located adjacent to a heavily loaded swine wastewater spray field. Our objectives for this study were to: 1) characterize the microbial populations found in the soils of this riparian buffer zone; and, 2) determine if differences

<sup>\*</sup>Address correspondence to these authors at the 2611 West Lucas Street, Florence, SC, 29501; Tel: 843-669-5203;Fax: 843-669-6970; E-mail: Thomas.Ducey@ARS.USDA.GOV

in microbial community structure could be linked to denitrification enzyme activity (DEA) rates.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Site Description

The riparian zone studied was located on an unnamed tributary within the Herrings Marsh Run (HMR) watershed. The HMR is a 23.6 km<sup>2</sup> watershed located in the coastal plain region of Duplin County, North Carolina. The taxonomic classes of the soils varied throughout the riparian zone and are typical of those found of the Coastal Plain (Table 1).

The riparian zone had a variable width of 5 to 30 meters and a stream span of 200 meters. The riparian landscape was split into two portions: 1) a restored riparian zone (see RRZ, Fig. 1) located closest to the swine wastewater spray field; and 2) a natural riparian zone (see NRZ, Fig. 1) containing native vegetation located on the opposite side of the stream [12]. The site is more fully described in Hunt *et al.* (2004). The restored riparian landscape was used to minimize the effect of surface and ground water outflows from a coastal bermudagrass (*Cynodon dactylon* (L.) Pers.) pasture irrigated with swine lagoon effluent which was located upslope (see SWSF, Fig. 1).

#### Table 1.Soil Characteristics

Transect (T) Site (S)	Taxonomic Class†	Water Table Depth‡	DEA Incom- plete	DEA Total	Carbon (%)	Nitrogen (%)	C:N Ra- tio	pН	EC (µS/cm)
			ng N2O-N/gm Soil/hr						
T1S1	А	137	25.1 ± 17.3	37.6 ± 46.1	2.41	0.11	21.9	3.69	64.9
T1S2	А	75	$0\pm 0$	5.0 ± 8.1	3.79	0.17	22.2	3.85	57.5
T1S3	А	75	22.8 ± 7.3	117.7 ± 22.7	5.79	0.26	22.2	3.99	40.1
T1S4	С	45	$144.8\pm103.1$	289.1 ± 299.5	14.78	0.74	20.0	4.75	73.4
T2S1	А	167	$2.5 \pm 3.0$	23.1 ± 16.0	1.11	0.064	17.3	5.35	34.1
T2S2	С	45	$172.5 \pm 175.4$	$610.4 \pm 389.0$	26.53	1.31	20.2	5.67	82.2
T2S3	В	45	$24.6\pm28.6$	$129.0 \pm 152.7$	8.64	0.51	16.9	5.52	71.8
T2S4	В	90	$73.6\pm19.1$	153.7 ± 42.5	4.62	0.21	22.3	4.17	143.1
T3S1	А	168	$0\pm 0$	5.9 ± 1.0	1.09	0.065	16.8	4.56	20.9
T3S2	А	75	$0\pm 0$	$0.9 \pm 0.8$	1.67	0.090	18.6	4.05	43.5
T3S3	А	75	$0\pm 0$	$5.9\pm0.8$	1.71	0.083	20.6	4.06	63.3
T3S4	С	75	137.6 ± 58.9	488.7 ± 131.2	30.88	1.71	18.0	4.23	220

 $\dot{A}$  = Autryville, loamy, siliceous, subactive, thermic Arenic Paleudults, 0–6% slope

B = Blanton, loamy, siliceous, semiactive, thermic Grossarenic Paleudults, 0-6% slope

C = Torhunta, coarse-loamy, siliceous, active, acid, thermic Typic Humaquepts, 0-2% slope

‡ centimeters below surface



Fig. (1). A schematic of the experimental site including swine wastewater spray field (SWSF), restored riparian zone (RRZ), natural riparian zone (NRZ), stream, and soil sampling sites.

#### Soil Sample Collection and Analysis

Soil samples were collected from four sites on three transects (three sites in the restored riparian zone and one site in the natural riparian zone) in October 2006. Soil surface samples (5 cm diameter, 15 cm depth) were collected in triplicate. Samples were placed in plastic bags, stored on ice, transported to the laboratory, and stored overnight at 4°C. Total carbon and nitrogen were determined by analysis with a Model CN2000 analyzer (LECO, St. Joseph, MI). Soil pH and EC values were determined using a 1:2 ratio of soil to deionized water and measured with an AP50 pH/Ion/Conductivity meter (Denver Instrument Company, Arvada, CO).

### **Denitrification Enzyme Activity**

Denitrification enzyme activity (DEA) was measured by the acetylene blockage method [5] as modified by Hunt [12]. All analyses were performed in triplicate runs, with each run containing two bottles per sample. Bottles measuring incomplete denitrification, received 5 mL of chloramphenicol (1 g  $L^{-1}$ ) to inhibit protein synthesis, whereas bottles measuring total denitrification, received 5 mL of chloramphenicol (1 g  $L^{-1}$ ) as well as 15 mL acetylene (produced from calcium carbide) to block denitrification at the nitrous oxide phase addition. Rates of N<sub>2</sub>O accumulation were expressed on a dry

#### Table 2. Primers and Plasmids Used in this Study

soil weight basis.

# **DNA Extraction**

DNA from each site was extracted from 1.0 g of soil using a SoilMaster DNA Extraction Kit (Epicentre, Madison, WI) according to manufacturer specifications. This extraction was further treated to remove the PCR-inhibiting, humic acid contaminants. To this end, the entire SoilMaster prep was concentrated to a total volume of 50  $\mu$ l, mixed with 6  $\mu$ l DNA loading buffer (20% w/v sucrose, 0.01% w/v Orange G), and run on a 0.5% agarose gel for 45 minutes. The gel was stained with SYBR Safe to visualize the DNA, excised from the gel, and purified using an UltraClean GelSpin DNA Extraction Kit (MO BIO Laboratories, Inc., Carlsbad, CA) according to manufacturer specifications. Final DNA quantity and quality were determined via Biophotometer (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany), and electrophoresis on a 1% agarose gel stained with SYBR Safe (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA).

# 16S rDNA Gene Library Construction, Sequence, and Phylogenetic Analysis

Primers used for 16S rDNA gene library construction are listed in Table 2. DNA sequences were analyzed and edited using Geneious (version 4.5.4, Biomatters Ltd., Auckland, New Zealand, [15]). Chimeric sequences were identified using the programs CHECK\_CHIMERA [16] and Bellerophon [17]. Sequence alignments were performed using

Primers	Sequence (5' to 3')	Target	Tm†	Tm† Reaction Tm	
amoA-1F	GGGGTTTCTACTGGTGGT		54.1 °C	54.90	[47]
amoAr NEW	CCCCTCBGSAAAVCCTTCTTC	amoA	58.8 °C	- 34 C	[48]
1F_nirK	GGMATGGTKCCSTGGCA		58.0 °C	52.00	[49]
nirK5R	GCCTCGATCAGRTTRTGG	nirk	52.8 °C	55 °C	[49]
cd3aF_nirS	GTSAACGTSAAGGARACSGG	· c	57.1 °C	55.00	[50]
R3cd_nirS	GASTTCGGRTGSGTCTTGA	nirs	55.8 °C	55 °C	[50]
nosZF	CGYTGTTCMTCGACAGCCAG	7	58.6 °C	- 55 °C	[51]
nosZ-1622R	CGSACCTTSTTGCCSTYGCG	nosz	63.1 °C		[50]
515F	TGCCAGCAGCCGCGGTAA	168-4-55	63.3 °C	55.90	[52]
927R	CTTGTGCGGGCCCCCGTCAATTC	165 v4-v5 region	65.1 °C	55 °C	[53]
Plasmids	Characteristics	Accession No.			
pCPDamoA1	pCR4.1-TOPO carrying amoA fragment	HQ674785			[25]
pCPDnirS1	pCR4.1-TOPO carrying nirS fragment	HQ674783			[25]
pCPDnirK1	pCR4.1-TOPO carrying nirK fragment	HQ674782			[25]
pCPDnosZ1	pCR4.1-TOPO carrying nosZ fragment	HQ674784			[25]
pCPDv4v5	pCR4.1-TOPO carrying 16S fragment	HQ674781			[25]

†Tm = Melting temperature



Fig. (2). Rarefaction curves from the three riparian buffer zone transects analyzed in this study.

the MUSCLE plugin of Geneious [18], and manually verified. The 16S rDNA gene sequences were compared to sequences in the GenBank database by using BLAST (Basic Local Alignment Sequence Tool) [19]. To determine their approximate taxonomical classifications, 16S rDNA gene sequences were analyzed at the Ribosomal Database Project using the Naïve Bayesian Classifier [20]. Rarefaction curves were calculated using Analytic Rarefaction (version 1.3, [21]). Prior to regression analyses relative abundances were arcsine transformed to reduce skew [22]. Phylogenetic reconstructions were performed in MEGA (version 4.0, [23]) using the neighbor-joining (NJ) algorithm, with bootstrap values calculated from 1,000 replicate runs. Evolutionary distances were computed using the Tajima-Nei method, and positions containing alignment gaps and missing data were eliminated only in pairwise sequence comparisons. For all figures of phylogenetic reconstructions, the frequency (%) with which a given branch was recovered in 1,000 bootstrap replications is shown above branches recovered in more than 65% of bootstrap replicates.

# Quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) Assays

All qPCR assays were performed using the LightCycler 480 Real-Time PCR Detection System (Roche Diagnostics,



Fig. (3). Taxonomic breakdown of classified 16S rDNA gene sequences from riparian buffer zone.

Indianapolis, IN). Abundances were measured using primers synthesized by Integrated DNA Technologies (Coralville, IA). Fragments of 16S, nirS, nirK and nosZ were amplified via qPCR using the primer pairs listed in Table 2. Assays were carried out using SYBR GreenER qPCR SuperMix (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) in a total volume of 25 µL. The final reaction concentration of reagents was as follows: 1X SYBR GreenER qPCR SuperMix; 200 nM each of forward and reverse primers; and 10 ng of DNA template. The qPCR reaction conditions were as follows: (1) an initial denaturation at 95°C for 5 min; (2) 50 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 30 sec, an annealing temperature as indicated in Table 2 for 30 sec, and elongation at 72°C for 30 sec; (3) melting curve analysis to confirm amplification product specificity. Fluorescent measurements were taken during the annealing phase of each cycle. Data was collected and processed using the LightCycler 480 software package. All qPCR assays included control reactions without template. Each assay also contained appropriate standard DNA reactions with concentrations between  $10^1$  and  $10^9$  copies per reaction, and were used to calculate amplification efficiencies according to the equation:  $E = 10^{[-1/slope]}$  [24]. DNA standards consisted of plasmids carrying the appropriate target gene that were previously sequenced to confirm their identity and primer binding sites [25]. Each assay was performed in triplicate, with duplicate measurements for each sample.

# **Statistics**

Prior to statistical analysis, all gene abundances were normalized to the amount of DNA collected per sample and  $\log_{10}$  transformed. Corrections for copies per organisms were

also factored into the final gene abundance rates, with 1 copy per organism for the denitrification genes *nirK*, *nirS*, and *nosZ* [26], and 3.6 copies per organism for the 16S rDNA gene [27]. Linear regressions and other statistical analyses were performed using SAS version 9.2 (SAS Inst., Cary, NC). Nonmetric multidimensional scaling (NMS) analyses were performed using PCORD version 6 (MJM Software, Gleneden Beach, OR). Use of phylogenetic data for NMS analyses were based on presence/absence, as opposed to relative abundance, of each genus.

# **Nucleotide Sequence Accession Numbers**

Nucleotide sequences determined in this study have been deposited in the GenBank database under accession numbers KC786278 - KC786879.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

# Physicochemical Characteristics of the Riparian Buffer Zone

Characteristics of the riparian buffer zone are displayed in Table **1**. Soil taxonomy was typical of coastal plain soils, with three soil series classified: Autryville; Blanton; and Torhunta. Depth to the water table ranged from 168 centimeters at the highest point of the riparian buffer zone (T3S1) to 45 centimeters at the lowest points (T1S4, T2S2, and T2S3). Carbon and nitrogen ranged from 1.09 to 30.88% and 0.065 to 1.71% of total soil weight respectively, and carbon to nitrogen (C:N) ratios were consistent, with a range of 16.9 to 22.3. The soils of all three transects were acidic, ranging from 3.69 to 5.67. Electrical conductivity ranged from 20.9 to 220  $\mu$ S/cm.DEA was variable across the riparian buffer:

Site	Library	Number of Sequences (N)	OTUs <sup>a</sup> (O)
Transect 1 Site 1	T1S1	84	42
Transect 1 Site 2	T1S2	77	44
Transect 1 Site 3	T1S3	68	27
Transect 1 Site 4	T1S4	72	26
Transect 2 Site 1	T2S1	85	69
Transect 2 Site 2	T2S2	76	64
Transect 2 Site 3	T2S3	77	66
Transect 2 Site 4	T2S4	90	65
Transect 3 Site 1	T3S1	91	64
Transect 3 Site 2	T3S2	85	59
Transect 3 Site 3	T3S3	91	75
Transect 3 Site 4	T3S4	90	53

Table 3. Results of 16S rDNA Gene Libraries

<sup>a</sup> – Based on 97% sequence similarity

incomplete DEA ranged from 0 to 172.5 ng N<sub>2</sub>O-N gm soil h<sup>-1</sup>; total DEA ranged from 0.9 to 610.4 N<sub>2</sub>O-N gm soil h<sup>-1</sup>. Previously Hunt *et al.* demonstrated that carbon, depth to water table, and nitrogen were the most highly correlated factors to DEA [12]. Regression analysis of DEA against the riparian buffer zone physicochemical characteristics revealed that carbon was the most important factor for both incomplete ( $r^2 = 0.799$ ; P < 0.0001) and total ( $r^2 = 0.930$ ; P < 0.0001) DEA.

#### **Construction of 16S rDNA gene Libraries**

Soil microbial communities from each of the twelve sites were analyzed by PCR amplification of total DNA extracts with universal bacterial primers. For each of the twelve libraries obtained, a total of 96 clones were selected randomly for sequencing of the V4 and V5 regions of the 16S rDNA gene. A total of 1,152 clones were sequenced, 27 of which were omitted from the study after being identified as putative chimeras by CHIMERA\_CHECK and Bellopheron, with an additional 17 removed as sequencing failures. Removal of redundant sequences (100% identity) from the same site only, identified a total of 986 novel, partial 16S rDNA sequences for use in downstream analysis. Comparison of clone sequences with GenBank using BLAST revealed sequence similarities between the ranges of 88 and 100 percent.

# **Bacterial Community Analysis**

After processing, the partial 16S rDNA gene sequences were binned into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) based on a >97% sequence similarity to other sequences from the same sample site; this resulted in a total of 654 OTUs (Table **3**). We opted for a >97% sequence similarity threshold for two reasons: first, it generally correlates with the 70% DNA-DNA hybridization binding criterion used as the gold standard to identify new bacterial species [28]; and second, it is a frequently used taxonomic threshold, allowing for comparison to other data sets. After generation of the OTUs, the bacterial libraries were analyzed down to the genus level. For all libraries the rarefaction curves failed to plateau, indicating that within these samples the number of sequenced clones failed to exhaust the microbial diversity (Fig. 2). Phylogenetic comparison was also performed on all classifiable OTUs to closely related isolates (Fig. 4 through 13).

# (i) Proteobacteria

The dominant bacterial division represented in the libraries was the Proteobacteria that accounted for 42.8% (280 of 654) of all OTUs (Fig. 3). When the Proteobacteria were categorized by subclass, the  $\alpha$ - and  $\gamma$ - subclasses were the major constituents, accounting for 19.42% (127 OTUs; Fig. 4) and 13.00% (85 OTUs; Fig. 5) of all OTUs respectively. The  $\beta$ - (37 OTUs, 5.66%; Fig. 6) and  $\delta$ - (24 OTUs, 3.67%; Fig. 7) proteobacterial OTUs were represented in lesser proportions. The identification of a large number of OTUs classified as *a*-Proteobacteria has been demonstrated in previous studies of acidic soils. Rheims et al. showed a high proportion of α-Proteobacteria from a peat bog [29], while McCaig et al. demonstrated a predominance of a-Proteobacteria in mildly acidic grasslands [30]. These results are consistent with the riparian buffers acidic soils. Of the 127 OTUs classifiable to the  $\alpha$ - subclass, 41 and 46 OTUs belonged to the orders Rhizobiales and Rhodospirillales respectively. Clones that composed OTUs with these classifications were found in 11 of the 12 sites, with T1S3 as the exception. Species within these orders have a wide range of phenotypic characteristics, from nitrogen fixation, to methanotrophy, to phototrophy [31].



Fig. (4). Neighbor-joining tree of  $\alpha$ -Proteobacteria. The frequency (%) of which a given branch was recovered in 1,000 bootstrap replications is shown above branches recovered in more than 65% of the bootstrap replicates.



Fig. (5). Neighbor-joining tree of  $\gamma$ -Proteobacteria. The frequency (%) of which a given branch was recovered in 1,000 bootstrap replications is shown above branches recovered in more than 65% of the bootstrap replicates.



Fig. (6). Neighbor-joining tree of  $\beta$ -Proteobacteria. The frequency (%) of which a given branch was recovered in 1,000 bootstrap replications is shown above branches recovered in more than 65% of the bootstrap replicates.





Fig. (7). Neighbor-joining tree of  $\delta$ -Proteobacteria. The frequency (%) of which a given branch was recovered in 1,000 bootstrap replications is shown above branches recovered in more than 65% of the bootstrap replicates.

The  $\gamma$ -Proteobacteria were predominantly represented by OTUs classified as belonging to the Enterobacteriaceae (33r OTUs) and the Xanthomonadaceae (21 OTUs). Of particular interest was the identification of Escherichia/Shigella-related OTUs from all sites in this study. It should be noted that the source of these organisms is presently unknown. Previous studies have shown that Escherichia/Shigella sp. can be found naturally, persist, and replicate in the soil [32, 33]. Additionally, Escherichia/Shigella species have also been isolated from a number of feral species highlighting anothe potential reservoir for these microorganisms. As a result, Gp1 (43 OTUs) and Gp2 (36 OTUs) accounted for the majority of the acidobacterial OTUs, followed by Gp3 (17 OTUs) and Gp6 (13 OTUs) (Fig. 8). Utilizing both clone libraries and pyrosequencing Jones et al. demonstrated that Gp1, Gp2, and Gp3 are three of the five most abundant acidobacterial subgroups within soil [36]. Lee et al. likewise demonstrated the predominance of Gp1, Gp2, and Gp3 in pH 5.5, rhizosphere soils [37]. Furthermore, Jones et al. revealed a negative correlation between the relative abundance of acidobacterial subgroups Gp1, Gp2, and Gp3 and soil pH [36]. These results may explain the high rates of these particular subgroups in the acidic soils of this riparian buffer zone. Taxonomic studies aside, the Acidobacteria remain a poorly characterized group, from both an ecological and biochemical perspective. However their abundance indicates that they play a large role within the biogeochemistry of the riparian buffer zone [38].

#### (iii) Planctomycetes, Verrucomicrobia, and Chlamydiae

In all 12 libraries were found a number of OTUs representing the PVC superphylum. The phyla *Planctomycetes* (Fig. 9) and *Verrucomicrobia* (Fig. 10) were each individually represented in a majority of the 12 libraries (Fig. 3),

while OTUs representing Chlamydiae were found in 3 of the sites (Fig. 10). All members of the PVC superphylum have been previously isolated from terrestrial ecosystems [39]. Both Planctomycetes and Verrucomicrobia are considered one of nine major bacterial phyla associated with soil microbial community structure [40]. The anammox bacteria, so called for their ability to anaerobically oxidize ammonia, are a monophyletic group within the Planctomycetes [41]. This study failed to find any OTUs that represented organisms capable of performing the anammox reaction. It should be noted however that these microbes are obligate anaerobes and their absence may be the product of the shallow sampling depths employed in this study; a hypothesis supported by the isolation of anammox isolates from landlocked anaerobic lagoons within the same watershed [42]. It is hypothesized that these anaerobic lagoons provide conditions suitable for the cultivation and activity of these organisms [43].

### (iv) Other Phyla

OTUs classified as *Actinobacteria* (high G+C Grampositive; Fig. 11) were represented in all libraries, with a range of relative abundances between 1.5 (T2S2) and 15.9% (T2S1) (Fig. 3). *Firmicutes* (low G+C Grampositive; Fig. 12) and *Bacteroidetes* (Fig. 13) were represented by OTUs in 10 and 11 of the 12 libraries respectively (Fig. 3). Rarer OTUs that classified to the *Chloroflexi/Thermomicrobia*, *Deferribacteres*, *Gemmatimonadetes*, *Nitrospira*, OP10,



Fig. (8). Neighbor-joining tree of Acidobacteria. The frequency (%) of which a given branch was recovered in 1,000 bootstrap replications is shown above branches recovered in more than 65% of the bootstrap replicates.



**Fig. (9).** Neighbor-joining tree of Planctomycetes. The frequency (%) of which a given branch was recovered in 1,000 bootstrap replications is shown above branches recovered in more than 65% of the bootstrap replicates.



Fig. (10). Neighbor-joining tree of other taxonomic groups. The frequency (%) of which a given branch was recovered in 1,000 bootstrap replications is shown above branches recovered in more than 65% of the bootstrap replicates.



Fig. (11). Neighbor-joining tree of Actinobacteria. The frequency (%) of which a given branch was recovered in 1,000 bootstrap replications is shown above branches recovered in more than 65% of the bootstrap replicates.





Fig. (12). Neighbor-joining tree of Firmicutes. The frequency (%) of which a given branch was recovered in 1,000 bootstrap replications is shown above branches recovered in more than 65% of the bootstrap replicates.



Fig. (13). Neighbor-joining tree of Bacteroidetes. The frequency (%) of which a given branch was recovered in 1,000 bootstrap replications is shown above branches recovered in more than 65% of the bootstrap replicates.

*Spirochaetes*, and TM7 divisions were dispersed throughout the libraries (Fig. **10**). For the *Firmicutes*-associated OTUs, approximately two-thirds (18 out of 29) classified to the order *Clostridiales*. For OTUs classified as *Bacteroidetes*, the majority (19 out of 39) classified as *Sphingobacteriaceae*.

#### Microbial Community Structure and Relationship to Denitrification

When examining the microbial community structure of the riparian buffer zone in the context of denitrification, only the phylum Proteobacteria, taken as a whole, showed a statistically significant, positive relationship with both incomplete ( $r^2 = 0.43$ , P = 0.02) and total denitrification ( $r^2 = 0.62$ , P = 0.002). This was not altogether surprising given that in one study, the  $\alpha$ -,  $\beta$ -, and  $\gamma$ -Proteobacteria represented 88% of the species (out of 344 total) harboring functional denitrification genes [44]. Therefore one could reasonably predict that an increase in the relative abundance of microorganisms commonly identified with denitrification would result in a concomitant increase in denitrification potential. It should be noted however that this observation was dependent upon grouping the proteobacterial subclasses together; when taken individually, no statistically significant relationship could be found. This may relate into what Cao et al. referred to as a

"functionally complementary" link between denitrifier subcommunities within an overall population, each of which become active under different environmental conditions [45]. Since the denitrification enzyme assay produces an ideal environment for denitrification activity, differences in natural behavior (i.e., temporal gene regulation) between these subcommunities may have been masked.

Additionally, spatial analysis using nonmetric multidimensional scaling (NMS) revealed that soil series played a role in the microbial community structure of the studied riparian buffer zone (Fig. 14). Sites composed of the Torhunta soil series clustered separately from sites composed of Autryville and Blanton soils. This mirrored DEA results where the three Torhunta soils exhibited the highest incomplete (iDEA) and total (tDEA) denitrification enzyme activity. Three of the four soils with high DEA rates correspond to sites located in the natural riparian zone (NRZ; Fig. 1) area. These sites are separated from the restored riparian zone (RRZ; Fig. 1) by a stream, and are closer to a field planted with row crops as opposed to the swine wastewater spray field. That eight of the nine remaining plots located in the RRZ – with the exception of T2S2 as a "hot spot" – all have low rates of both iDEA and tDEA appears to indicate that heavy loading rates of ammonia, in the form of swine

manure, onto the spray field is not impacting the surrounding riparian buffer zone and resulting in high potentially high rates of nitrous oxide emissions.

#### **Abundance of Denitrification Genes**

Given this spatial connection between denitrification and microbial community structure, we further examined this relationship by looking at the abundances of genes involved in denitrification. To this aim we focused on *nirK* and *nirS*, genes which encode for separate nitric oxide reductases, and *nosZ* which encodes nitrous oxide reductase. Using qPCR we detected these genes in all the soils tested (Fig. **15**). Relative abundances, when compared to 16S rDNA (Fig. **15**) ranged from 0.02% to 1.46% (mean of 0.50%) for *nosZ*, 0.28% to 7.07% (mean of 2.28%) for *nirS*, and 0.32% to 15.64% (mean of 3.41%) for *nirK*. Additionally, there was a close relationship for both the total (Fig. **15**;  $r^2 = 0.42$ , P = 0.02) and relative ( $r^2 = 0.92$ , P = <0.0001) abundances of



Fig. (14). Nonmetric multidimensional scaling graph.



Fig. (15). qPCR results.



Microbial Communities in a Coastal Riparian Zone

AA

Fig. (16). NMS graph of qPCR.

*nirK* and *nirS* across the twelve sites studied; a pattern demonstrated in other ecosystems [46]. Relationships between environmental variables and gene abundances for *nirK*, *nirS*, and *nosZ* were not identified. However, relationships between total bacterial abundance with C ( $r^2 = 0.58$ , P =0.003), N ( $r^2 = 0.57$ , P = 0.004), and pH ( $r^2 = 0.32$ , P = 0.05) were identified. In regards to DEA, *nirK*, *nirS*, and *nosZ* all failed to demonstrate a statistically significant relationship, whereas total bacterial abundances exhibited a significant positive linear relationship with both incomplete ( $r^2 = 0.57$ , P = 0.004) and total ( $r^2 = 0.60$ , P = 0.003) DEA. Spatial analysis demonstrated a pattern between environmental variables and denitrification gene abundances (Fig. 16) similar to that between environmental variables and microbial community structure (Fig. 14). Once again, samples taken from the Torhunta soils clustered separately from those samples collected from the Autryville and Blanton sites; this clustering of these four sites coincided with the four highest iDEA and tDEA rates found at the studied location. Additionally, the three sites located in the NRZ clustered closely together, and indicates again that, with the exception of one "hot spot" in the RRZ (T2S2), there appears to be minimal impact of heavily loading the spray field with swine manure, at least in terms of potential nitrous oxide emissions. These results indicates that while the individual genes themselves failed to demonstrate relationships with DEA, the gene abundance profiles *in toto* from each site could be used to infer enzymatic activity.

# CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors confirm that this article content has no conflicts of interest.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Our thanks go to Dr. David Dyer, Dr. Allison Gillaspy, and the Laboratory for Genomics and Bioinformatics at the University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center, Oklahoma City, OK, as well as Dr. Brian Scheffler and Fanny Liu of the USDA-ARS MSA Genomics Laboratory, Stoneville, MS, for DNA sequencing. We would like to thank Dr. Jarrod Miller for assistance with soil taxonomy.

The mention of firm names or trade products does not imply that they are endorsed or recommended by the U. S. Department of Agriculture over other firms or similar products not mentioned.

#### REFERENCES

- Broadmeadow S, Nisbet TR. The effects of riparian forest management on the freshwater environment: a literature review of best management practice. Hydrol Earth Syst Sci 2004; 8: 286-305.
- [2] Hill AR. Nitrate removal in stream riparian zones. J Environ Qual 1996; 25: 743-55.
- [3] Lowrance R. Groundwater nitrate and denitrification in a coastal plain riparian forest. J Environ Qual 1992; 21: 401-5.
- [4] Lowrance R, Vellidis G, Hubbard RK. Wetlands and aquatic processes: Denitrification in a restored riparian forest wetland. J Environ Qual 1995; 24: 808-15.
- [5] Tiedje JM. Denitrifier enzyme activity (DEA). In: al. RWWe, editor. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Madison, WI.: ASA and SSSA; 1994; pp. 256-7.
- [6] Cavigelli MA, Robertson GP. The functional significance of denitrifier community composition in a terrestrial ecosystem. Ecology 2000; 81: 1402-14.
- [7] Holtan-Hartwig L, Dörsch P, Bakken LR. Comparison of denitrifying communities in organic soils: Kinetics of NO3/- and N2O reduction. Soil Biol Biochem 2000; 32: 833-43.
- [8] Martin TL, Trevors JT, Kaushik NK. Soil microbial diversity, community structure and denitrification in a temperate riparian zone. Biodivers Conserv 1999; 8: 1057-78.
- [9] Rich JJ, Myrold DD. Community composition and activities of denitrifying bacteria from adjacent agricultural soil, riparian soil, and creek sediment in Oregon, USA. Soil Biol Biochem 2004; 36: 1431-41.
- [10] Hefting MM, Bobbink R, Janssens MP. Spatial variation in denitrification and N2O emission in relation to nitrate removal efficiency in a n-stressed riparian buffer zone. Ecosystems 2006; 9: 550-63.
- [11] Hunt PG, Matheny TA, Ro KS. Nitrous oxide accumulation in soils from riparian buffers of a coastal plain watershed carbon/nitrogen ratio control. J Environ Qual 2007; 36: 1368-76.
- [12] Hunt PG, Matheny TA, Stone KC. Denitrification in a coastal plain riparian zone contiguous to a heavily loaded swine wastewater spray field. J Environ Qual 2004; 33: 2367-74.
- [13] Cicerone RJ. Changes in stratospheric ozone. Science 1987; 237: 35-42.
- [14] Torsvik V, Ovreas L, Thingstad TF. Prokaryotic diversity-magnitude, dynamics, and controlling factors. Science 2002; 296: 1064-6.
- [15] Drummond AJ, Ashton B, Cheung M, et al. Geneious [computer program].version 3.6.2. Auckland (NZ): Biomatters, Ltd. 2007.

- [16] Cole JR, Chai B, Farris RJ, et al. The ribosomal database project (RDP-II): introducing myRDP space and quality controlled public data. Nucleic Acids Res 2007; 35: D169-72.
- [17] Huber T, Faulkner G, Hugenholtz P. Bellerophon: a program to detect chimeric sequences in multiple sequence alignments. Bioinformatics 2004; 20: 2317-9.
- [18] Edgar RC. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. Nucleic Acids Res 2004; 32: 1792-7.
- [19] Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, et al. Basic local alignment search tool. J Mol Biol 1990; 215: 403-10.
- [20] Wang Q, Garrity GM, Tiedje JM, et al. Naive Bayesian classifier for rapid assignment of rRNA sequences into the new bacterial taxonomy. Appl Environ Microbiol 2007; 73: 5261-7.
- [21] Holland SM. Analytical Rarefaction [computer program].version 1.3. Athens (GA): University of Georgia 2003.
- [22] Gomez AK, Gomez AA. Statistical procedures for agricultural research. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons 1984.
- [23] Tamura K, Dudley J, Nei M, et al. MEGA4: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA) software version 4.0. Mol Biol Evol 2007; 24: 1596-9.
- [24] Pfaffl MW. A new mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time RT-PCR. Nucleic Acids Res 2001; 29: e45.
- [25] Ducey TF, Shriner AD, Hunt PG. Nitrification and denitrification gene abundances in swine wastewater anaerobic lagoons. J Environ Qual 2011; 40: 610-9.
- [26] Kandeler E, Deiglmayr K, Tscherko D, et al. Abundance of narG, nirS, nirK, and nosZ genes of denitrifying bacteria during primary successions of a glacier foreland. Appl Environ Microbiol 2006; 72: 5957-62.
- [27] Klappenbach JA, Saxman PR, Cole JR, et al. rrndb: the Ribosomal RNA Operon Copy Number Database. Nucleic Acids Res 2001; 29: 181-4.
- [28] Gevers D, Cohan FM, Lawrence JG, et al. Opinion: Re-evaluating prokaryotic species. Nat Rev Microbiol 2005; 3: 733-9.
- [29] Rheims H, Rainey FA, Stackebrandt E. Molecular biological evidence for the occurrence of uncultured members of the actinomycete line of descent in different environments and geographical locations. J Ind Microbiol 1996; 17: 159-69.
- [30] McCaig AE, Glover LA, Prosser JI. Molecular analysis of bacterial community structure and diversity in unimproved and improved upland grass pastures. Appl Environ Microbiol 1999; 65: 1721-30.
- [31] Dworkin M, Falkow S, Rosenberg H, et al. The Prokaryotes: A handbook on the biology of bacteria. 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. Dworkin M, Ed. New York, NY: Springer, 2006.
- [32] Byappanahalli M, Fowler M, Shively D, et al. Ubiquity and persistence of Escherichia coli in a Midwestern coastal stream. Appl Environ Microbiol 2003; 69: 4549-55.
- [33] Solo-Gabriele HM, Wolfert MA, Desmarais TR, *et al.* Sources of *Escherichia coli* in a coastal subtropical environment. Appl Environ Microbiol 2000; 66: 230-7.
- [34] Jensen BB. Methanogenesis in monogastric animals. Environ Monit Assess 1996; 42: 99-112.
- [35] Itoh M, Ohte N, Koba K, et al. Hydrologic effects on methane dynamics in riparian wetlands in a temperate forest catchment. J Geophys Res G 2007; 112.
- [36] Jones RT, Robeson MS, Lauber CL, et al. A comprehensive survey of soil acidobacterial diversity using pyrosequencing and clone library analyses. ISME J 2009; 3: 442-53.
- [37] Lee SH, Ka JO, Cho JC. Members of the phylum Acidobacteria are dominant and metabolically active in rhizosphere soil. FEMS Microbiol Lett 2008; 285: 263-9.
- [38] Hartman WH, Richardson CJ, Vilgalys R, et al. Environmental and anthropogenic controls over bacterial communities in wetland soils. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2008; 105: 17842-7.
- [39] Wagner M, Horn M. The Planctomycetes, Verrucomicrobia, Chlamydiae and sister phyla comprise a superphylum with biotechnological and medical relevance. Curr Opin Biotechnol 2006; 17: 241-9.
- [40] Youssef NH, Elshahed MS. Diversity rankings among bacterial lineages in soil. ISME J 2009; 3: 305-13.
- [41] Schmid M, Walsh K, Webb R, et al. Candidatus "Scalindua brodae", sp. nov., Candidatus "Scalindua wagneri", sp. nov., two new species of anaerobic ammonium oxidizing bacteria. Syst Appl Microbiol 2003; 26: 529-38.

- [42] Vanotti MB, Szogi AA, Kunz A, et al., Eds. Development of anaerobic ammonium oxidation (Anammox) technology using immobilized biomass from swine manure. 12<sup>th</sup> Ramiran International Conference; Denmark 2006.
- [43] Lloyd Jones M, Liehr SK, Classen JJ, et al. Mechanisms of dinitrogen gas formation in anaerobic lagoons. Adv Environ Res 2000; 4: 133-9.
- [44] Jones CM, Stres B, Rosenquist M, et al. Phylogenetic analysis of nitrite, nitric oxide, and nitrous oxide respiratory enzymes reveal a complex evolutionary history for denitrification. Mol Biol Evol 2008; 25: 1955-66.
- [45] Cao Y, Green PG, Holden PA. Microbial community composition and denitrifying enzyme activities in salt marsh sediments. Appl Environ Microbiol 2008; 74: 7585-95.
- [46] Graham DW, Trippett C, Dodds WK, et al. Correlations between in situ denitrification activity and nir-gene abundances in pristine and impacted prairie streams. Environ Pollut 2010; 158: 3225-9.
- [47] Rotthauwe JH, Witzel KP, Liesack W. The ammonia monooxygenase structural gene *amoA* as a functional marker: molecular fine-scale analysis of natural ammonia-oxidizing populations. Appl Environ Microbiol 1997; 63: 4704-12.

Received: April 03, 2013

Revised: May 07, 2013

Accepted: May 08, 2013

© Ducey et al.; Licensee Bentham Open.

This is an open access article licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/) which permits unrestricted, non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the work is properly cited.

- [48] Hornek R, Pommerening-Roser A, Koops HP, et al. Primers containing universal bases reduce multiple amoA gene specific DGGE band patterns when analysing the diversity of beta-ammonia oxidizers in the environment. J Microbiol Meth 2006; 66: 147-55.
- [49] Braker G, Fesefeldt A, Witzel KP. Development of PCR primer systems for amplification of nitrite reductase genes (*nirK* and *nirS*) to detect denitrifying bacteria in environmental samples. Appl Environ Microbiol 1998; 64: 3769-75.
- [50] Throback IN, Enwall K, Jarvis A, et al. Reassessing PCR primers targeting nirS, nirK and nosZ genes for community surveys of denitrifying bacteria with DGGE. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 2004; 49: 401-17.
- [51] Scala DJ, Kerkhof LJ. Nitrous oxide reductase (nosZ) gene-specific PCR primers for detection of denitrifiers and three nosZ genes from marine sediments. FEMS Microbiol Lett 1998; 162: 61-8
- [52] Weisburg WG, Tully JG, Rose DL, et al. A phylogenetic analysis of the mycoplasmas: basis for their classification. J Bacteriol 1989; 171: 6455-67.
- [53] Rudi K, Skulberg OM, Larsen F, et al. Strain characterization and classification of oxyphotobacteria in clone cultures on the basis of 16S rRNA sequences from the variable regions V6, V7, and V8. Appl Environ Microbiol 1997; 63: 2593-9.