Open Access

Model-based Characterization of the Parameters of Dissimilatory Sulfate Reduction Under the Effect of Different Initial Density of *Desulfovibrio piger* Vib-7 Bacterial Cells

Ivan Kushkevych^{1,3,*}, Marco Bolis² and Milan Bartos³

¹Laboratory of Molecular Biology and Clinical Biochemistry, Institute of Animal Biology of NAAS of Ukraine, Lviv, Ukraine

²Department of Molecular Biochemistry and Pharmacology, Mario Negri Institute for Pharmacological Research, Milan, Italy

³Department of Molecular Biology and Pharmaceutical Biotechnology, University of Veterinary and Pharmaceutical Sciences Brno, Brno, Czech Republic

Abstract: The objective of this study was to design a model of dissimilatory sulfate reduction process using the Verhulst function, with a particular focus on the kinetics of bacterial growth, sulfate and lactate consumption, and accumulation of hydrogen sulfide and acetate. The effect of the initial density $(0.12\pm0.011, 0.25\pm0.024, 0.5\pm0.048$ and 1.0 ± 0.096 mg cells/ml of medium) of the sulfate-reducing bacteria *Desulfovibrio piger* Vib-7 on the growth and dissimilatory sulfate reduction was studied. The exponential growth phase of the *D. piger* Vib-7 was observed for 72 hours of cultivation at the (0.12 and 0.25 mg/ml) initial concentration of bacterial cells. Sulfate and lactate were consumed incompletely during this time. The increase in the initial concentration of cells to 0.5 and 1 mg/ml led to a shortening of the exponential bacterial growth phase of the growth. In the case of 0.5 mg/ml seeding, the stationary growth phase was observed in the 36^{th} hour of cultivation. The increase in the initial concentration of cultivation. Under these conditions, sulfate and lactate were consumed completely in the 48^{th} hour of cultivation. The kinetic analysis of the curves of bacterial growth and the process of dissimilatory sulfate reduction by *D. piger* Vib-7 was carried out.

Keywords: sulfate-reducing bacteria, sulfide, acetate, ulcerative colitis, inflammatory bowel diseases.

INTRODUCTION

Sulfate-reducing bacteria are prokaryotic microorganisms constituting a part intestinal microbial community in the humans and animals [1-3]. They assimilate sulfate as a terminal electron acceptor and organic compounds as the electron donor, accumulating sulfide and acetate in the process of dissimilatory sulfate reduction [4, 5]. These bacteria can also consume some organic substances (e.g. pyruvate, acetate, ethanol, succinate, butyrate, etc.) as the electron donor and carbon source [6, 7].

There are some indications that sulfate-reducing bacteria together with other infections can cause a variety of diseases (cholecystitis, abscesses of the brain and abdomen, ulcerative enterocolitis, etc.) [8-10]. The cause of ulcerative colitis is unknown but it is likely to depend on an interaction between

genetic factors, which may determine the immune response or the expression of enzymes that control intracellular metabolism, and environmental factors, such as diet and the nature of the bacterial flora [11]. The *Desulfovibrio* genus has often been isolated from healthy and sick humans and animals [1, 2]. Perhaps, this bacterial genus can play some role in the pathogenesis of bowel diseases than other genera of sulfate-reducing bacteria.

In 1976 Moore W.E. found sulfate-reducing bacteria for the first time in human feces and identified them as *Desulfomonas pigra* [12]. They were later reclassified to *Desulfovibrio piger* [13]. Loubinoux J. *et al* have also established that 12 out of 100 samples of purulent peritoneal and pleural cavities in humans contained *Desulfovibrio piger*, *D. fairfieldensis* or *D. desulfuricans* [8, 9]. Bacteria *Desulfovibrio fairfieldensis* has been isolated in mono- as well as polymicrobial infections of the gastrointestinal tract. Bacteria *D. desulfuricans* have also been isolated from the colon during bleeding microvilli, causing bacteremia [9]. These studies confirm that the main way of the sulfatereducing bacteria penetration in the blood is through the

^{*}Address correspondence to this author at the Laboratory of Molecular Biology and Clinical Biochemistry, Institute of Animal Biology of NAAS of Ukraine, Vasyl Stus St 38, Lviv 79034, Ukraine; Tel: +380 32 270 25 04; Fax: +380 32 270 23 89; E-mail: ivan.kushkevych@gmail.com

damaged intestinal microvilli, where bacteria can subsequently cause various infections.

To clarify the role of sulfate-reducing bacteria in the development of various human diseases, it is necessary to study the bacterial growth and process of dissimilatory sulfate reduction by the strains obtained from the intestines of healthy individuals as well as from people with various intestinal diseases, and to compare their physiological, biochemical, genetic and morphological properties.

The growth rate of the studied bacteria in the human gut can depend on many factors (including the presence of free sulfate and organic compounds). In previous studies, authors have shown that the *Desulfovibrio piger* Vib-7 bacterial growth depended on the concentration of sulfate and lactate as well as accumulation of sulfide and acetate in the medium [14]. Perhaps, the accumulation of sulfide and acetate can largely depend on conditions of bacterial growth, in particular on physiological and biochemical state of their cells, the total number of bacteria in the gut and on the fact, in which growth phases particular bacterial population are. The growth phases of various microbial populations have been studied and described [15-21].

Different kinetic parameters (specific and absolute rates of D. piger Vib-7 growth, sulfate and lactate consumption, sulfide and acetate accumulation, the average generation time, etc.) can be used to characterize the physiological and biochemical activities of the intestinal sulfate-reducing bacteria in the gut. Currently, methods of mathematical modeling have often been applied in microbiology [15-21]. These methods allow establishing processes of bacterial growth and dissimilatory sulfate reduction as well as determining the influence of various factors on these physiological and biochemical processes. Such approach is of particular interest in studying the dynamics of growth and process of sulfate reduction by the sulfate-reducing bacteria. The influence of different density bacterial cells in the medium on the dissimilatory sulfate reduction by the Desulfovibrio genus has been insufficiently studied. The data on the kinetic parameters of dissimilatory sulfate reduction process in the sulfate-reducing bacteria Desulfovibrio piger has never been well-characterized and has not been studied yet.

The aim of this work was to study the process of dissimilatory sulfate reduction under the effect of different density of *Desulfovibrio piger* Vib-7 bacterial cells in the medium during 72 hours of cultivation, and to design a model of this process using the Verhulst function, with a particular focus on the kinetics of bacterial growth, sulfate and lactate consumption, and accumulation of sulfide and acetate.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The object of the study was the sulfate-reducing bacteria of the *Desulfovibrio piger* strain Vib-7 isolated from the human large intestine and identified by the sequence analysis of the 16S rRNA gene [14, 22]. The strain has been kept in the collection of microorganisms at the Laboratory of Biotechnology, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Veterinary and Pharmaceutical Sciences Brno (Czech Republic).

Bacteria were grown in a nutrition-modified Kravtsov-Sorokin's liquid medium [14]. Before bacteria seeding in the medium, 0.05 ml/l of sterile solution of $Na_2S \times 9H_2O$ (1%) to initiate bacterial growth was added. A sterile 10N solution of NaOH (0.9 ml/l) in the medium (for the final pH 7.2) was used. The medium was heated in boiling water for 30 min in order to obtain an oxygen-free medium, and then cooled to 30°C. The bacteria were grown for 72 hours at 37°C under anaerobic conditions. The tubes (volume 1.5 ml) were brim-filled with medium containing bacteria and closed to provide anaerobic conditions.

To study the growth of *D. piger* Vib-7 and the process of dissimilatory sulfate reduction depending on the density of seeding, the bacterial strain in the Kravtsov-Sorokin's liquid medium was added to provide the initial cell seeding concentration $(0.12\pm0.011, 0.25\pm0.024, 0.5\pm0.048)$ and 1.0 ± 0.096 mg cells/ml of medium) in the medium.

Optical density of sulfate-reducing bacteria *D. piger* Vib-7 in the liquid medium (without Mohr's salt) was determined by the dilute suspension of the bacterial cells using the photometric method [23]. The biomass of the cells was calculated by the formula:

$$C = \frac{E \times n}{K}$$

where *C* – bacterial biomass (mg cells/ml of medium); *E* – extinction at λ nm (λ =340 nm); *n* – dilution factor, times; *K* – coefficient of conversion, obtained gravimetrically (*K*=0.19).

The sulfate ion concentration in the medium was determined by the turbidimetric method after it had been precipitated by barium chloride. To stabilize the suspension, glycerol was used [24].

Sulfide concentration in the culture medium was determined by the spectrophotometric method as was described in paper [25].

Measurements of lactate concentration were carried out through the dehydrogenation reaction using Lactate Assay Kit (Sigma-Aldrich, Catalog Number MAK064)

Accumulation of acetate ions in process of bacterial growth in the medium was determined using Acetate Assay Kit (Colorimetric, Catalog Number KA3764).

To approximate the empirical curves of dissimilatory sulfate reduction parameters, Verhulst function was used [15]:

$$x = \frac{A - C}{1 + 10^{\alpha + \beta \times t}} + C$$
 (Equation 1),

where x – value of bacterial growth, sulfate or lactate consumption, sulfide or acetate accumulation by the *D. piger* Vib-7; *t* – time of the studied strain cultivation (hours), and *A* – the upper asymptote of the function (maximum of the specific parameter); *C* – the lower limit at which to begin the function; α and β – kinetic parameters determining the slope inflection point and form a logistic curve. Indices α and β

$$\lg\left(\frac{A-C}{x-C}-1\right) = \alpha + \beta \times t \quad (\text{Equation 2}).$$

Kinetic and statistical calculations of the results were carried out using Microsoft Excel and Origin computer programs. The research results were treated by the methods of variation statistics using Student t-test. The equation of the straight line that the best approximates the experimental data was calculated by the method of least squares. The absolute value of the correlation coefficient r was from 0.90 to 0.99. The statistical significance of the calculated parameters of line was tested by the Fisher's F-test. The difference was reliable when P>0.95 [26]. The main result of a correlation is called Pearson's correlation coefficient (or $,,r^{2}$). It is best represents the contemporary use of the simple correlation that assesses the linear relationship between two variables. The coefficient indicates the strength of the relationship, with values ranging from 0 to 1 in absolute value. The larger the magnitude of the coefficient, the stronger the relationship between the variables. The sign of the coefficient indicates the direction of the relationship as null, positive, or negative. A null relationship between variables X and Y suggests that an increase in variable X is ccompanied with both an increase and a decrease in variable Y and vice versa [27].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results of our research showed that the studied sulfatereducing bacteria, Desulfovibrio piger Vib-7, actively assimilated sulfate and lactate producing sulfide and acetate. The rates of bacterial growth and process of dissimilatory sulfate reduction depending on the number of seeding cells were significantly different from each other (Fig. 1). The exponential growth phase of the bacteria D. piger Vib-7 was observed until 72 hours of cultivation at the initial $(0.12\pm0.011$ and 0.25 ± 0.024 mg/ml) concentration of the bacterial cells. Sulfate and lactate ions were consumed incompletely during this time. Obviously, the time of 72 hours is not sufficient for the strain D. piger Vib-7 to completely consume the acceptor and electron donor at the applied initial concentration of cells. The increase in the initial concentration of cells to 0.5±0.048 and 1.0±0.096 mg/ml led to a shortening of the exponential bacterial growth phase and an earlier shift to the stationary phase of growth. In the case of seeding of 0.5 ± 0.048 mg/ml, the stationary phase of growth was observed since the 36th hour of cultivation. An increase in the initial concentration of cells up to 1.0±0.096 mg/ml led to the onset of the stationary phase after 24th hours of cultivation. Under these conditions, sulfate and lactate were consumed completely by the 48th hour of cultivation.



Fig. (1). The *Desulfovibrio piger* Vib-7 growth and the dissimilatory sulfate reduction depending on density of the bacterial cells: $-\bullet$ - biomass; $- \forall$ - sulfate; $-\bullet$ - lactate; $- \blacktriangle$ - acetate. Statistical significance of the values are means M±m, n = 5.

58 The Open Microbiology Journal, 2015, Volume 9

The next task of our study was to carry out a kinetic analysis of the curve of growth and to study the process of sulfate reduction depending on time of cultivation at various initial *D. piger* Vib-7 cell densities. The results of these studies showed that curves of the *D. piger* Vib-7 growth, sulfide and acetate accumulation had a characteristic s-shaped (sigmoidal shape) (Fig. 1). Verhulst logistic function is the most convenient of the dynamic models used to describe the sigmoid curves [15-21, 28]. From equation 2,

the relationship between the variables
$$Y = lg\left(\frac{A-C}{x-C}-1\right)$$
 and
t was described by linear regressions (Fig. 2).

The determination coefficient R^2 is the criterion for assessing the communication linearity [26]. The error of regression deviation (S_{xt}) and delimits of the argument $t = t_1$, and a minimum (in point T_2) at a value of the confidence interval for the 5% significance level for a theoretical



Fig. (2). Linear anamorphoses of Verhulst logistic function to determine the kinetic parameters of the α and β curves by the *Desulfovibrio* piger Vib-7. Data points indicate experimental data and solid lines indicate the theoretical regression line $Y = lg\left(\frac{A-C}{x-C}-1\right)$ to t. R^2 is the coefficient of determination. The adequacy of the approximation model corresponds to a 1% significance level Fisher's exact test (F-test). Initial concentration of bacterial cells: $- 0.12 \pm 0.011$, $- 0.25 \pm 0.024$, $- V = 0.5 \pm 0.048$, and $- A = 1.0 \pm 0.096$ mg/ml.

regression line was calculated. The adequacy of the approximation model by using Fisher's exact test was evaluated [18].

A characteristic feature of the sigmoid curve type is the presence of an inflection point, reflecting the moment of transition of the increasing growth rate to decreasing. To determine it, it is necessary to calculate the first and second derivatives of the function (1). The first derivative of Verhulst, representing an absolute rate of specific parameter by the *D. piger* Vib-7 was found by equation:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = -(A-C)\beta \ln 10 \frac{10^{\alpha+\beta\times t}}{(1+10^{\alpha+\beta\times t})^2}$$
 (Equation 3) [15].

The graph of the function $\frac{dx}{dt}t$ has one extreme (maximum) corresponding to the maximum value of the absolute rate of bacterial growth $\frac{dx}{dt_e}$ when the argument t =

$$t_e$$
, where $t_e = -\frac{\alpha}{\beta} = \left| \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \right|$ (if $t \ge 0$ values $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta < 0$).

The parameter characterizing the dynamics of the bacterial growth, sulfate and lactate consumption, sulfide and acetate accumulation by the *D. piger* Vib-7 correspond to the

absolute rate $\frac{dx}{dt}$ and the relative (specific) rate

 $\mu = \frac{dx}{dt} \times x^{-1}$. This value reflects the increase in biomass (or

sulfate and lactate consumption, sulfide and acetate accumulation by *D. piger* Vib-7) dx per unit of time dt and per unit of specific parameter x (h⁻¹) (Fig. 3).

Based on experimental data, values of kinetic parameters of the dissimilatory sulfate reduction depending on the initial *D. piger* Vib-7 cell concentration were calculated (Table 1).

The second derivative of the function (1) that characterizes the acceleration of bacterial growth, sulfate and lactate consumption, sulfide and acetate accumulation by the *D. piger* Vib-7 were determined by the following equation:

$$\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} = -(A-C)\frac{\beta^2 (\ln 10)^2 10^{\alpha+\beta\times t} (1-10^{\alpha+\beta\times t})}{(1+10^{\alpha+\beta\times t})^3}$$
(Equation 4)

The graph of the function (Fig. 4) has two extremes – maximum (in point T_1) where the argument $t = t_2$, and at $t = t_e$ function is equal to zero which is a sufficient condition for the existence of an inflection point on the graph functions.

To determine the argument values t_1 and t_2 that correspond to two points of inflection on the graph of the first derivative function $\frac{dx}{dt}t$, the third derivative of Verhulst function was calculated (under the condition $\frac{d^3x}{dt^3} = 0$), and the following equations were derived:

$$t_1 = \frac{\lg(+\sqrt{3}+2) - \alpha}{\beta};$$

$$t_2 = \frac{\lg(-\sqrt{3}+2) - \alpha}{\beta} \quad (\text{Equation 5}).$$

t

Dynamics of bacterial population growth have isolated several stages corresponding to certain physiological activities of cells. The calculation of coordinates of critical points of $T_1(x_1; t_1)$, $T_2(x_2; t_2)$ and the inflection point of T_e $(x_e; t_e)$ for the logistic curve allows a clear distinction between the time the main phases of D. piger Vib-7 growth and process of dissimilatory sulfate reduction. The onset of the D. piger Vib-7 growth comprising of the lag phase and accelerate growth phase was observed at the time of introduction of bacterial strain into the Kravtsov-Sorokin's liquid medium (at t = 0) and continued until time $t = t_1$ where the acceleration of bacterial growth reached its maximum value. Almost linear growth of D. piger Vib-7 cells (exponential phase) lasted for a period of time interval from $t = t_1$ to the point of inflection of the curve at $t = t_e$; followed by the phase of slower growth (the time from the point $t = t_e$ to time moment $t = t_2$), which corresponded to the maximum value of the negative acceleration (deceleration) of the D. piger Vib-7 growth. Similar results were obtained by Moisa L.N. et al. Authors used kinetics analysis method for growth curves based on the Verhulst logistic function to determine some growth parameters describing physiological activity of the E. coli strain expressing a recombinant β-galactosidase protein controlled by C1857 gene. Moisa L.N. et al. also calculated and described several growth points critical for the development of E. coli microbial population, such as the transition of increasing growth speed to the decreasing one (the inflection point of the curve $-T_e$), the maximal growth acceleration phase (the point T_1), and the negative growth acceleration (slowing) phase (the point T_2) [15]. It is well known that the growth phase and the initial cell concentrations might have a significant influence on the duration of the cultivation cycle [15-21, 28].

The obtained data (presented in the Table 2) showed the growth rate of the *D. piger* Vib-7, sulfate and lactate consumption, accumulation of sulfide and acetate as well as the duration of the exponential growth phase. These processes depend on the initial cell density in the Kravtsov-Sorokin's medium. The increase in the initial *D. piger* Vib-7 cell density to 1 mg/ml in the medium led to a reduction in the duration of the exponential phase of bacterial growth. The duration of the exponential phase of growth (t_e) was 12 hours at 1.0 ± 0.096 mg/ml; while this period was significantly longer (42 hours) at 0.12 ± 0.011 mg/ml initial *D. piger* Vib-7 cell density.

A similar pattern was observed in the process of the dissimilatory sulfate reduction. In this case, the duration of maximal intensity of sulfate and lactate consumption as well as accumulation of sulfide and acetate were observed for a significantly longer period of time (t_e) at the lowest initial *D. piger* Vib-7 cell density (0.12±0.011 mg/ml) after 46, 49, 50 and, 49 hours, respectively. Under the condition of the highest initial bacterial cell density (1 mg/ml), the maximal

Parameters	Initial biomass (mg/ml)	A	С	α	β
th	0.12±0.011	2.291±0.208	0.119±0.011	1.183939±0.107631	-0.02793±0.00254
l grow	0.25±0.024	2.821±0.256	0.249±0.023	0.750815±0.068256	-0.02515±-0.00229
acterial	0.5±0.048	3.701±0.336	0.499±0.045	1.140081±0.103644	-0.06484±0.00589
Bac	1.0±0.096	6.341±0.576	0.999±0.091	0.795138±0.072285	-0.06512±0.00592
otion	0.12±0.011	3.501±0.315	0.329±0.030	-1.37743±0.124093	0.029715±0.002677
dunsu	0.25±0.024	3.501±0.318	0.129±0.012	-1.06932±0.097211	0.032967±0.002997
ate coi	0.5±0.048	3.501±0.313	0.019±0.002	-1.4197±0.126759	0.070392±0.006285
Sulf	1.0±0.096	3.501±0.310	0.009±0.001	-0.50228±0.044450	0.068137±0.006030
tion	0.12±0.011	2.751±0.250	0	1.259196±0.114472	-0.02537±0.002306
sumula	0.25±0.024	2.981±0.271	0	0.962374±0.087489	-0.0311±0.002827
de acc	0.5±0.048	3.181±0.289	0	0.430032±0.039094	-0.02718±0.002471
Sulfic	1.0±0.096	3.201±0.291	0	-0.05352±0.004865	0.02132±0.001938
otion	0.12±0.011	17.301±1.559	2.849±0.257	-1.24506±0.112168	0.024571±0.002214
lunsu	0.25±0.024	17.301±1.573	0.579±0.053	-1.05761±0.096146	0.028336±0.002576
ate co	0.5±0.048	17.301±1.545	0.089 ± 0.008	-0.97715±0.087246	0.046259±0.004130
Lact	1.0±0.096	17.301±1.531	0.099±0.009	-1.22972±0.108825	0.080994±0.007168
ation	0.12±0.011	15.201±1.382	0	1.343622±0.122147	-0.02712±0.002465
Inmul	0.25±0.024	15.103±1.373	0	1.011105±0.091919	-0.04589±0.004172
ate acc	0.5±0.048	15.591±1.417	0	0.808435±0.073494	-0.04012±0.003647
Aceta	1.0±0.096	16.681±1.516	0	0.22151±0.020137	-0.02839±0.002581

Table 1. Values of kinetic parameters of the dissimilatory sulfate reduction

Comments: Statistical significance of the values are means $M \pm m$, n = 5.

intensity (t_e) in time of the sulfate reduction process was significantly shorter.

A pronounced tendency of the kinetics of absolute and specific rates of the growth, sulfate and lactate consumption, and accumulation of sulfide and acetate were determined. Graphs imply that the value of the absolute growth rate reached its maximum value $\frac{dx}{dt_e}$ at the point of the logistic curve inflection (see Fig. 3). The highest value of absolute rates of the *D. piger* Vib-7 growth was (0.2003±0.0182 $\frac{mg}{ml \times hour}$) at 1.0±0.096 mg/ml initial bacterial cell density in the medium; while the maximal intensity (t_e) was achieved after approximately 12 hours.

The lowest value of absolute rates (0.0349 ± 0.0032)

 $\frac{mg}{ml \times hour}$) was observed at 0.12±0.011 mg/ml initial

bacterial cell density (t_e was calculated after 42 hours of growth). The maximal absolute rates of sulfate consumption

(-0.1411±0.0126 mM/hour) and accumulation of sulfide (0.0534±0.0049 mM/hour) were determined respectively at 0.5±0.048 and 0.12±0.011 mg/ml initial bacterial cell density in the medium. The absolute rate of lactate consumption increased from -0.2044±0.0184 to -0.8020±0.0710 mM/hour with the increase in the initial *D. piger* Vib-7 cell density from 0.12±0.011 to 1.0±0.096 mg/ml in the medium, respectively. However, the highest absolute rate of the acetate accumulation (0.3989±0.0363 mM/hour) was determined at 0.25±0.024 mg/ml initial bacterial cell density. The highest value of the specific *D. piger* Vib-7 growth rate and sulfate reduction parameters (μ_{max}) was observed in the area of exponential growth (in particular in the range of critical points of growth from T_1 to T_e).

Thus, the increase in the initial bacterial cell dose (x_0) led to the increase in the absolute rate of the *D. piger* Vib-7 growth and initiated the process of the dissimilatory sulfate reduction. However, the reduction of the duration of these processes (t_e) was observed. The determination of the kinetics in the exponential *D. piger* Vib-7 growth phase and

the dissimilatory sulfate reduction process are of particular interest to characterize the physiological and biochemical state of the sulfate-reducing bacteria in the human intestine.

A correlation analysis is related in the sense that both deal with relationships among variables. The correlation coefficient is a measure of linear association between two variables [27]. Therefore, the next task of the study was to perform the correlation analysis between parameters of dissimilatory sulfate reduction depending on initial density of *Desulfovibrio piger* Vib-7 bacterial cells.

The correlation coefficients (r) between these parameters were defined (Table 3). A strong inversely negative



Fig. (3). Curves of the dissimilatory sulfate reduction process depending on *Desulfovibrio piger* Vib-7 initial cell concentration (blue, red, brown, and orange line indicates the initial concentration of bacterial cells: -0.12 ± 0.011 , -0.25 ± 0.024 , -0.5 ± 0.048 , and -1.0 ± 0.096 mg/ml, respectively). First column (5 graphs) shows the obtained logistic Verhulst function for each parameter of the sulfate reduction. Experimental data are approximated by a logistic Verhulst function $x = \frac{A-C}{1+10^{\alpha+\beta\times t}} + C$ where *C* and *A* are the lower and upper asymptote of the function, and α and β parameters determining the behaviour of the function. Second column (5 graphs) shows the obtained specific rate $\frac{dx}{dt} \left(\frac{mg}{ml \times hour}\right)$. Third column (5 graphs) shows the obtained specific rate $\mu = \frac{dx}{dt} \times x^{-1}$ (hour⁻¹) for each parameter of the sulfate reduction depending on initial bacterial cell concentration.



Fig. (4). The acceleration of parameters of dissimilatory sulfate reduction depending on *Desulfovibrio piger* Vib-7 initial cell concentration in the medium (blue, red, brown, and orange line indicates the initial concentration of bacterial cells: -0.12 ± 0.011 , -0.25 ± 0.024 , -0.5 ± 0.048 , and -1.0 ± 0.096 mg/ml, respectively).

correlation between biomass and sulfate, biomass and lactate, sulfate and sulfide, sulfate and acetate, lactate and acetate, lactate and sulfide was demonstrated. A strong positive correlation between biomass and sulfide, biomass and acetate, lactate and sulfate, acetate and sulfide was showed. The correlation coefficient ranges from -1.0 to +1.0. The closer r is to +1 or -1, the more closely the two variables are related. If r is close to 0, it means there is no relationship between the variables. If r is positive, it means that as one

variable gets larger the other gets larger. If r is negative it means that as one gets larger, the other gets smaller (often called an "inverse" correlation). While correlation coefficients are normally reported as r = (a value between -1 and +1), squaring them makes then easier to understand. Values between 0.7 and 1.0 (-0.7 and -1.0) indicate a strong positive (negative) linear relationship *via* a firm linear rule [27].

Table 2.	Kinetic parameters of the dissimilatory	sulfate reduction b	y Desulfovibrio piger	· Vib-7 calculated fro	om the approximation
	model of the logistic Verhulst functions.				

Parameters	Initial biomass (mg/ml)	<i>x</i> ₀	μο	t ₁	t ₂	t _e	μ_{max}
	0.12±0.011	0.2525±0.0230	0.0319±0.0029	21.9103±1.9918	62.8635±5.7149	42.3869±3.8534	0.0404±0.0037
erial wth	0.25±0.024	0.6367±0.0579	0.0299±0.0027	7.1125±0.6466	52.5988±4.7817	29.8557±2.7142	0.0313±0.0028
Bact	0.5±0.048	0.7152±0.0650	0.0421±0.0038	8.7622±0.7966	26.4043±2.4004	17.5833±1.5985	0.0691±0.0063
	1.0±0.096	1.7369±0.1579	0.0549±0.0050	3.4272±0.3116	20.9925±1.9084	12.2099±1.1100	0.0647±0.0059
tion	0.12±0.011	3.3733±0.3039	-0.0025±0.0002	65.6035±5.9102	27.1074±2.4421	46.3554±4.1762	-0.0025±0.0002
dumsu	0.25±0.024	3.2361±0.2942	-0.0057±0.0005	49.7854±4.5259	15.0871±1.3716	32.4362±2.9487	-0.0057±0.0005
ate coi	0.5±0.048	3.3734±0.3012	-0.0059±0.0005	28.2936±2.5262	12.0432±1.0753	20.1684±1.8008	-0.0059±0.0005
Sulfa	1.0±0.096	2.6653±0.2359	-0.0374±0.0033	15.7656±1.3952	-1.0225±0.0905	7.3715±0.6523	-0.0024±0.0002
ation	0.12±0.011	0.1426±0.0130	0.0558±0.0051	27.0865±2.4624	72.1707±6.5610	49.6286±4.5117	0.0558±0.0051
aumula	0.25±0.024	0.2922±0.0266	0.0648±0.0059	12.5556±1.1414	49.3419±4.4856	30.9488±2.8135	0.0648±0.0059
de acc	0.5±0.048	0.8609±0.0783	0.0457±0.0042	-5.2212±0.4747	36.8641±3.3513	15.8214±1.4383	0.0457±0.0042
Sulfi	1.0±0.096	1.6985±0.1544	0.0231±0.0021	-29.3369±2.6670	24.3161±2.2106	2.5104±0.2282	0.0230±0.0021
otion	0.12±0.011	16.5232±1.4886	-0.0025±0.0002	73.9492±6.6621	27.3945±2.4680	50.6718±4.5650	-0.0025±0.0002
dunsu	0.25±0.024	15.9544±1.4504	-0.0051±0.0005	57.5076±5.2280	17.1392±1.5581	37.3234±3.3930	-0.0051±0.0005
ate coi	0.5±0.048	15.6598±1.3982	-0.0101±0.0009	33.4879±2.9900	8.75958±0.7821	21.1237±1.8860	-0.0101±0.0009
Lact	1.0±0.096	16.3438±1.4464	-0.0103±0.0009	22.2445±1.9685	8.1212±0.7187	15.1829±1.3436	-0.0008±0.0001
ation	0.12±0.011	0.6582±0.0598	0.0598±0.0054	28.4587±2.5872	70.6445±6.4222	49.5516±4.5047	0.0598±0.0054
lumu	0.25±0.024	1.3403±0.1218	0.0964±0.0088	9.5692±0.8699	34.4944±3.1359	22.0318±2.0029	0.0964±0.0088
ate acc	0.5±0.048	2.0966±0.1906	0.0799±0.0073	5.8945±0.5359	34.4062±3.1278	20.1503±1.8318	0.0799±0.0073
Aceta	1.0±0.096	6.2578±0.5689	0.0408±0.0037	-12.3425±1.1220	27.9458±2.5405	7.8016±0.7092	0.0408±0.0037
Parameters	Initial biomass	T1 (maximum gro	acceleration of wth)	<i>T_e</i> (the point of c growth ac	of curve inflection of T_2 (negative acceleration - a acceleration) deceleration of growth)		acceleration - 1 of growth)
	(mg/ml)	dx/dt_1	μ1	dx/dt_e	μ_e	dx/dt_2	μ_2
	0.12±0.011	0.0233±0.0021	0.0403±0.0037	0.0349±0.0032	0.0289±0.0026	0.0233±0.0021	0.0128±0.0012
terial wth	0.25±0.024	0.0248±0.0023	0.0313±0.0028	0.0372±0.0034	0.0242±0.0022	0.0248±0.0023	0.0109±0.0010
Bact	0.5±0.048	0.0797±0.0072	0.0678±0.0062	0.1195±0.0109	0.0569±0.0052	0.0797±0.0072	0.0263±0.0024
	1.0±0.096	0.1335±0.0121	0.0545±0.0050	0.2003±0.0182	0.0546±0.0050	0.1121±0.0102	0.0256±0.0023
ption	0.12±0.011	-0.0362±0.0033	-0.0362±0.0033	-0.0543±0.0049	-0.0283±0.0025	-0.0362±0.0033	-0.0128±0.0012
msu	0.25±0.024	-0.0427±0.0039	-0.0507±0.0046	-0.0639±0.0058	-0.0353±0.0032	-0.0427±0.0039	-0.0153±0.0014
ate co	0.5±0.048	-0.0941±0.0084	-0.1246±0.0111	-0.1411±0.0126	-0.0802 ± 0.0072	-0.0941±0.0084	-0.0340±0.0030
Sulfa	1.0±0.096	-0.0913±0.0081	-0.1222±0.0108	-0.1369±0.0121	-0.0780 ± 0.0069	-0.0913±0.0081	-0.0330±0.0029

Parameters	Initial biomass	T ₁ (maximum gro	<i>T</i> ₁ (maximum acceleration of growth)		urve inflection of celeration)	<i>T</i> ₂ (negative acceleration - deceleration of growth)	
	(mg/ml)	dx/dt_1	μ_1	dx/dt _e	μ_e	dx/dt_2	μ ₂
tion	0.12±0.011	0.0268±0.0024	0.0462±0.0042	0.0402±0.0037	0.0292±0.0027	0.0268±0.0024	0.0123±0.0011
imula	0.25±0.024	0.0356±0.0032	0.0565±0.0051	0.0534±0.0049	0.0358±0.0033	0.0356±0.0032	0.0151±0.0014
eaccı	0.5±0.048	0.0332±0.0030	0.0494±0.0045	0.0498±0.0045	0.0313±0.0028	0.0332±0.0030	0.0132±0.0012
Sulfid	1.0±0.096	0.0262±0.0024	0.0388±0.0035	0.0393±0.0036	0.0246±0.0022	0.0262±0.0024	0.0104±0.0009
ption	0.12±0.011	-0.1363±0.0123	-0.0231±0.0021	-0.2044±0.0184	-0.0203±0.0018	-0.1363±0.0123	-0.0096±0.0009
lunsu	0.25±0.024	-0.1818±0.0165	-0.0442±0.0040	-0.2728±0.0248	-0.0305±0.0028	-0.1818±0.0165	-0.0132±0.0012
ate co.	0.5±0.048	-0.3055±0.0273	-0.0820±0.0073	-0.4583±0.0409	-0.0527±0.0047	-0.3055±0.0273	-0.0224±0.0020
Lacts	1.0±0.096	-0.5347±0.0473	-0.1432±0.0127	-0.8020±0.0710	-0.0922±0.0082	-0.5347±0.0473	-0.0391±0.0035
ation	0.12±0.011	0.1582±0.0144	0.0493±0.0045	0.2373±0.0216	0.0312±0.0028	0.1582±0.0144	0.0132±0.0012
umul	0.25±0.024	0.2659±0.0242	0.0834±0.0076	0.3989±0.0363	0.0528±0.0048	0.2659±0.0242	0.0223±0.0020
ite acc	0.5±0.048	0.2401±0.0218	0.0729±0.0066	0.3601±0.0327	0.0462±0.0042	0.2401±0.0218	0.0195±0.0018
Aceta	1.0±0.096	0.1818±0.0165	0.0516±0.0047	0.2726±0.0248	0.0327±0.0030	0.1818±0.0165	0.0138±0.0013

Table 2. Contd.....

Comments: t_1 , t_e , t_2 are critical points on curves of the dissimilatory sulfate reduction by the strain *Desulfovibrio piger* Vib-7; *x* is the bacterial growth, sulfate and lactate consumption, sulfide and acetate accumulation; x_0 is initial conditions of the strain at time t = 0; *t* is the time of the bacterial cultivation; t_e is the duration of the exponential phase; dx/dt is the absolute rate; μ_0 is the specific rate at time t = 0; μ_{max} is the maximum specific rate. Statistical significance of the values are means M±m, n = 5.

Table 3. Correlation coefficients (r) between parameters of dissimilatory sulfate reduction depending on initial density of Desulfovibrio piger Vib-7 bacterial cells.

	Biomass	Sulfate	Sulfide	Lactate	Acetate			
Parameters	0.12±0.011 mg/ml							
Biomass	1	-0.9822±0.0893	0.9737±0.0875	-0.9743±0.0882	0.972±0.0884			
Sulfate	-0.9822±0.0893	1	-0.9812±0.0892	0.9878±0.0888	-0.9835±0.0874			
Sulfide	0.9737±0.0875	-0.9812±0.0892	1	-0.9981±0.0905	0.9996±0.0909			
Lactate	-0.9743±0.0882	0.9878±0.0888	-0.9981±0.0905	1	-0.9985±0.0908			
Acetate	0.972±0.0884	-0.9835±0.0874	0.9996±0.0909	-0.9985±0.0908	1			
	0.25±0.024 mg/ml							
Biomass	1	-0.9859±0.0896	0.9912±0.0901	-0.9773±0.0888	0.9875±0.0898			
Sulfate	-0.9859±0.0896	1	-0.9988±0.0908	0.9831±0.0894	-0.9890±0.0899			
Sulfide	0.9912±0.0901	-0.9988±0.0908	1	-0.9854±0.0896	0.9920±0.0902			
Lactate	-0.9773±0.0888	0.9831±0.0894	-0.9854±0.0896	1	-0.9979±0.0907			
Acetate	0.9875±0.0898	-0.9890±0.0899	0.9920±0.0902	-0.9979±0.0907	1			
	0.5±0.048 mg/ml							
Biomass	1	-0.9878±0.0898	0.9873±0.0821	-0.9771±0.0835	0.9821±0.0893			
Sulfate	-0.9878±0.0898	1	-0.9968±0.0906	0.9895±0.0900	-0.9951±0.0905			
Sulfide	0.9873±0.0821	-0.9968±0.0906	1	-0.9814±0.0892	0.9925±0.0902			

Table 3. Contd.....

Parameters	Biomass	Sulfate	Sulfide	Lactate	Acetate	
Lactate	-0.9771±0.0835	0.9895±0.0900	-0.9814±0.0892	1	-0.9942±0.0904	
Acetate	0.9821±0.0893	-0.9951±0.0905	0.9925±0.0902	-0.9942±0.0904	1	
	1.0±0.096 mg/ml					
Biomass	1	-0.9988±0.0908	0.9964±0.0906	-0.9931±-0.0903	0.9898±0.0900	
Sulfate	-0.9988±0.0908	1	-0.9961±0.0904	0.9930±0.0903	-0.9883±0.0898	
Sulfide	0.9964±0.0906	-0.9961±0.0904	1	-0.9922±0.0904	0.9930±0.0901	
Lactate	-0.9931±0.0903	0.9930±0.0903	-0.9922±0.0904	1	-0.9980±0.0907	
Acetate	0.9898±0.0900	-0.9883±0.0898	0.9930±0.0901	-0.9980±0.0907	1	

Comments: Statistical significance of the values are means $M\pm m$, n = 5.

Table 4. The systematic statistical analysis of the parameters of dissimilatory sulfate reduction depending on initial density of Desulfovibrio piger Vib-7 bacterial cells.

	0.12±0.011 mg/ml							
Parameters	Average	Variance	Pooled Variance	<i>t</i> -statistics	<i>P</i> (<i>T</i> <= <i>t</i>) one-way	P (T<=t) two-way		
Biomass and Sulfate	1.0554±0.0959	0.6355±0.0578	0.9755+0.0887	2 1020+0 1004	0.0242+0.002200	0.0407+0.004407		
biomass and Sulface	2.2136±0.2012	1.3154±0.1196	0.0700-0.0007	-2.1939-0.1994	0.0243±0.002209	0.0487±0.004427		
Diamaga and Lastata	1.0554±0.0959	0.6355±0.0578	12 9262+1 1660	5 5055 10 5097	0.00005+0.000001	0.0001+0.000000		
Biomass and Lactate	11.7711±1.0701	25.0369±2.2761	12.8302±1.1009	-3.3933±0.3087	0.00003±0.00001	0.0001±0.000009		
Callerts and Called	2.2136±0.2012	1.3154±0.1196	1 1102+0 1017	2.0225+0.1940	0.0220+0.002001	0.0650+0.005001		
Suijate and Suijide	1.0693±0.0972	0.9231±0.0839	1.1192±0.1017	2.0235±0.1840	0.0329±0.002991	0.0659±0.005991		
Sulfate and Acetate	2.2136±0.2012	1.3154±0.1196	15 01 47 1 2 (50	-1.7612±0.1601	0.0518±0.004709	0.1036±0.009418		
	5.8614±0.5329	28.7140±2.6104	15.014/±1.5050					
	11.7711±1.0701	25.0369±2.2761	26.8754±2.4432	2.1326±0.1939	0 0271+0 002464	0.0542+0.004036		
	5.8614±0.5329	28.7140±2.6104			0.0271±0.002404	0.0015=0.001750		
Lactate and Sulfide	11.7711±1.0701	25.0369±2.2761	12.9800±1.1800	-5.5572±0.5052	0.00005±0.000001	0.0001±0.000009		
Laciale and Sulfide	1.0693±0.0972	0.9231±0.0839						
Diomage and Sulfido	1.0554±0.0959	0.6355±0.0578	0.7702+0.0700	-0.0295±0.0027	0.4885±0.044409	0.9769±0.088809		
Biomass and Sulfide	1.0693±0.0972	0.9231±0.0839	0.7793±0.0708					
Riomass and Acotata	1.0554±0.0959	0.6355±0.0578	14 6748+1 3341	2 3471+0 2134	0.0185±0.001682	0.02(0+0.002255		
biomuss und Acetate	5.8614±0.5329	28.7140±2.6104	14.0748±1.3341	-2.34/1±0.2134		0.0309±0.003355		
Lastate and Sulfate	11.7711±1.0701	25.0369±2.2761	12 1761+1 1079	-4.9259±-	0.0002+0.000018	0.0004+0.000036		
Laciale and Sulfale	2.2136±0.2012	1.3154±0.1196	15.1/01±1.19/8	0.4478	0.0002±0.000018	0.0004±0.000030		
Acotate and Sulfide	5.8614±0.5329	28.7140±2.6104	14 0106 + 1 2471	2 2200 + 0 2117	0.0101+0.001726	0.0281+0.002464		
Acetate and Sulfide	1.0693±0.0972	0.9231±0.0839	14.0100±1.34/1	-2.3290±0.2117	0.0191±0.001/36	0.0381±0.003464		
Diomaga and Sulfat	1.6657±0.1514	0.8127±0.0739	1 2140+0 1104	0.1115+0.0101	0 4565+0 041500	0.0120+0.082000		
Biomass and Sulfate	1.7314±0.1574	1.6171±0.1470	1.2149±0.1104	-0.1115±0.0101	0.4565±0.041500	0.9130±0.083000		

Table 4. Contd.....

Demonsterre	0.25±0.024 mg/ml						
rarameters	Average	Variance	Pooled Variance	t-statistics	P (T<=t) one-way	P (T<=t) two-way	
Diamaga and Lastate	1.6657±0.1514	0.8127±0.0739	19 5299+1 6944	2 2047+0 2005	0.0022+0.000201	0.00(4) 0.000582	
biomass and Laciale	9.2464±0.8406	36.2449±3.2950	18.3288±1.0844	-3.2947±0.2993	0.0032±0.000291	0.0004±0.000382	
Sulfate and Sulfide	1.7314±0.1574	1.6171±0.1470	1 4101 + 0 1292	0.1001+0.0100	0 4222 + 0 028472	0.84(2+0.07(02)	
	1.6057±0.1460	1.2031±0.1094	1.4101±0.1282	0.1981±0.0180	0.4232±0.038473	0.8463±0.076936	
Sulfate and Acetate	1.7314±0.1574	1.6171±0.1470	15 7122 1 4295	2 8028 0 2540	0.0080+0.000727	0.0150+0.001445	
	7.6721±0.6975	29.8092±2.7099	15./152±1.4285	-2.8038±0.2349	0.0080±0.000727	0.0139±0.001445	
Lastate and Asstate	9.2464±0.8406	36.2449±3.2950	22 0271 + 2 0025	0.5125+0.0466	0 2088 10 028072	0 6176 0 056145	
Lactate and Acetate	7.6721±0.6975	29.8092±2.7099	33.02/1±3.0025	0.5125±0.0466	0.3088±0.028073	0.0176±0.036145	
Lastate and Sulfide	9.2464±0.8406	36.2449±3.2950	18 7240+1 7022	2 2025 10 2002	0.0022+0.000201	0.0062+0.000572	
Laciale and Suijiae	1.6057±0.1460	1.2031±0.1094	18.7240±1.7022	-3.3033±0.3003	0.0032±0.000291	0.0003±0.000373	
Biomass and Sulfide	1.6657±0.1514	0.8127±0.0739	1.0070+0.0016	0.1118+0.0102	0.45(4) 0.041401	0.9128±0.082982	
	1.6057±0.1460	1.2031±0.1094	1.00/9±0.0916	0.1118±0.0102	0.4364±0.041491		
Biomass and Acetate	1.6657±0.1514	0.8127±0.0739	15 2110 1 2010	-2.8718±0.2611	0.0070±0.000636	0.0140±0.001273	
	7.6721±0.6975	29.8092±2.7099	15.5110±1.5919				
Lastate and Sulfate	9.2464±0.8406	36.2449±3.2950	18.9310±1.7210	3 2313+0 2038	0.0036+0.000327	0 0072+0 000655	
Lactate and Sulfate	1.7314±01574	1.6171±0.1470		-3.2313±0.2938	0.0036±0.000327	0.0072±0.000655	
Acetate and Sulfide	7.6721±0.6975	29.8092±2.7099	15.5061±1.4096	-2.8821±0.2620	0 0069±0 000627	0 0138±0 001255	
	1.6057±0.1460	1.2031±0.1094			0.0007=0.000027	0.0120-0.001200	
Biomass and Sulfate	2.7900±0.2536	1.3764±0.1251	1.5278±0.1389	2.5492±0.2317	0.0128±0.001164	0.0255±0.002318	
	1.1057±0.1005	1.6793±0.1527					
Biomass and Lactate	2.7900±0.2536	1.3764±0.1251	22.0498±2.0045	-1.2971±0.1179	0.1095±0.009955	0.2190±0.019909	
	6.0457±0.5496	42.7233±3.8839					
Sulfate and Sulfide	1.1057±0.1005	1.6793±0.1527	1.4892±0.1354	-1.5615±0.1420	0.0722±0.006564	0.1444±0.013127	
	2.1243±0.1931	1.2991±0.1181					
Sulfate and Acetate	1.1057±0.1005	1.6793±0.1527	18.4672±1.6788	-4.0039±0.3640	0.0009±0.000082	0.0017±0.000155	
	10.3029±0.9366	35.2552±3.2050	10.10/2-1.0/00		0.0009±0.000082	0.0017=0.000100	
Lactate and Acetate	6.0457±0.5496	42.7233±3.8839	38 9892+3 5445	-1 2755+0 1160	0 1131+0 010282	0 2263+0 020573	
	10.3029±0.9366	35.2552±3.2050	50.7072=5.5115	1.2755=0.1100	0.1131=0.010202	0.2203=0.020375	
Lactate and Sulfide	6.0457±0.5496	42.7233±3.8839	22 0112+2 0010	-1 5637+0 1422	0 0719+0 006536	0 1439+0 013082	
	2.1243±0.1931	1.2991±0.1181	22.0112-2.0010	-1.303/±0.1422	0.0719±0.000050	0.1457±0.015002	
Biomass and Sulfide	2.7900±0.2536	1.3764±0.1251	1 3377+0 1216	1 0768+0 0979	0 1514+0 013764	0 3027+0 027518	
nomuss and sujide	2.1243±0.1931	1.2991±0.1181	1.5577±0.1210	1.0700-0.0979	0.1314±0.013/64	0.5027±0.027518	
Riomass and tostate	2.7900±0.2536	1.3764±0.1251	18 2158±1 6651	3 2842+0 2084	0.0033+0.000300	0.0065+0.000501	
Biomass and Acetate	10.3029±0.9366	35.2552±3.2050	18.3158±1.6651	-5.2842±0.2986	0.0035±0.000300	0.0005±0.000591	

Descriptions	0.5±0.048 mg/ml							
rarameters	Average	Variance	Pooled Variance	t-statistics	P (T<=t) one-way	P (T<=t) two-way		
I materia and Sulfate	6.0457±0.5496	42.7233±3.8839	22 2012 12 0182	1.0(14)0.1792	0.02(7+0.00222)	0.0724+0.00((72		
Laciale and Sulfale	1.1057±0.1005	1.6793±0.1527	22.2015±2.0185	-1.9014±0.1785	0.030/±0.003330	0.0734=0.000073		
Acetate and Sulfide	10.3029±0.9366	35.2552±3.2050	18 2771+1 6616	-3 5790+0 3254	0 0019+0 000173	0.0038+0.000345		
	2.1243±0.1931	1.2991±0.1181	18.2771±1.0010	-3.5790±0.5254	0.0017±0.000175	0.0050±0.000545		
Piomage and Sulfate	5.1100±0.4645	3.8045±0.3459	2 7580+0 2508	4 0124+0 4466	0.0002+0.000018	0.0004+0.000036		
Biomass and Suljate	0.7486±0.0681	1.7134±0.1558	2.7389±0.2308	4.9124±0.4400	0.0002±0.000018	0.0004±0.000030		
Piomage and Lastate	5.1100±0.4645	3.8045±0.3459	22 1217+2 1020	0 2106±0 0201	0 2774+0 024200	0.7548±0.068618		
Biomass and Lactate	4.2886±0.3899	42.4390±3.8581	23.121/±2.1020	0.3190±0.0291	0.3774±0.034309			
Sulfate and Sulfide	0.7486±0.0681	1.7134±0.1558	1 4072 + 0 12(1	-2.4769±0.2252	0.0146+0.001207	0.0291±0.002645		
	2.3686±0.2153	1.2810±0.1165	1.49/2±0.1361		0.0146±0.001327			
	0.7486±0.0681	1.7134±0.1558	19.2582±1.7507	-4.8137±0.4376	0.0002+0.000018	0.0004+0.000027		
Sulfate and Acetate	12.0400±1.0945	36.8029±3.3457			0.0002±0.000018	0.0004 ± 0.000036		
Lastate and Asstate	4.2886±0.3899	42.4390±3.8581	39.6209±3.6019	2 2028+0 2004	0 0200 10 001714	0.0200+0.002627		
Laciale and Aceiale	12.0400±1.0945	36.8029±3.3457		-2.5058-0.2074	0.0200±0.001714	0.0377=0.003027		
I gotato and Sulfido	4.2886±0.3899	42.4390±3.8581		-0.7683±0.0698	0.2286±0.020782	0.4572±0.041564		
Laciale and Sulfae	2.3686±0.2153	1.2810±0.1165	21.8000±1.9873					
Piomage and Sulfide	5.1100±0.4645	3.8045±0.3459	2 5427+0 2212	2 21 (2) 0 202 (0.0037±0.000336	0.0074±0.000673		
Biomass and Suijide	2.3686±0.2153	1.2810±0.1165	2.3427=0.2312	5.2105±0.2924				
Riomana and Acatata	5.1100±0.4645	3.8045±0.3459	20 2027 1 8459	2 8772 0 2616	0 0070 10 000626	0.0120+0.001264		
Biomass and Aceidie	12.0400±1.0945	36.8029±3.3457	20.3037±1.8438	-2.8773±0.2010	0.0070±0.000036	0.0139±0.001264		
	4.2886±0.3899	42.4390±3.8581	22.07(2) 2.00(0	1 4005 0 1001	0.0000.00000000	0.1041+0.016726		
Lactate and Sulfate	0.7486±0.0681	1.7134±0.1558	22.0762±2.0069	-1.4095±0.1281	0.0920±0.008364	0.1841±0.016736		
Apotato and Sulf J-	12.0400±1.0945	36.8029±3.3457	10.0420+1.7211	4 1464+0 2760	0 0007+0 000064	0.0014+0.000127		
Acetate and Sulfide	2.3686±0.2153	1.2810±0.1165	19.0420±1.7311	-4.1404±0.3769	0.0007±0.000064	0.0014±0.000127		

Comments: Observation is equal to 7; hypothetical mean difference is equal to 0; df is equal to 12; t critical one-way is equal to 1.7823±0.1620; t critical twoway is equal to 2.1788±0.1981. Statistical significance of the values are means M±m, n = 5.

The systematic statistical analysis of the parameters of dissimilatory sulfate reduction was also performed. The results of the studies showed that the variance, pooled variance, *t*-statistics, P ($T \le t$) one-way, P ($T \le t$) two-way were quite various at the combinations of different parameters (in particular biomass and sulfate, biomass and lactate, sulfate and sulfide, sulfate and acetate, lactate and acetate, lactate and sulfide etc.). These statistical parameters also depended on initial density of *D. piger* Vib-7 bacterial cells (Table 4). However, *t* critical one-way (1.7823±0.1620) and *t* critical two-way (2.1788±0.1981) were similar for each of the parameters.

Taking into consideration all the obtained results, it should be noted that the logistic sigmoid curves are widely used to describe various processes of bacterial growth [15-21, 28], which are supported by the results of these studies. The mathematical model of the *D. piger* Vib-7 intensity growth and the sulfate reduction process, which was described, can help provide a more detailed understanding of the etiological role of sulfate-reducing bacteria in the development of inflammatory human bowel processes and diseases [29].

CONCLUSION

Based on our results, we can claim that the initial bacterial cell dose and the growth phase of the *D. piger* Vib-7 affect absolute and specific rates of sulfate and lactate consumption, accumulation of sulfide and acetate, and the growth of the studied bacteria in the human colon. The universal nature of the logistic function suggests that it can be used as a method to determine the kinetics of the *D. piger* Vib-7 growth and assimilation of sulfate and lactate, as well as accumulation of sulfide and acetate. Strong negative and positive correlations between the parameters were demonstrated. This method might be used to assess the growth of other studied sulfate-reducing bacteria in the human intestine.

Such an approach allows for selection of the optimal conditions for the bacterial assimilation of sulfate and lactate and also the accumulation of sulfide and acetate for the purpose of preventing ulcerative colitis, inflammatory bowel disease and colon cancer.

These studies are also prospective for creation the animal models of the inflammatory bowel diseases and ulcerative colitis using the sulfate-reducing bacteria. The described mathematical model can be applied for calculation rate of the bacterial growth depending on the concentration of substrate (lactate and sulfate) in the organism. Therefore it can help to calculate the concentration of hydrogen sulfide and acetate as well as absolute and specific velocities of accumulation of these toxic compounds in the gut. It is, in turn, very important and useful for disease observation, its etiology and microbiological control of the inflammatory bowel processes and diseases development involving the sulfate-reducing bacteria.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors confirm that this article content has no conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported by University of Veterinary and Pharmaceutical Sciences Brno (project OPVK "Pharmaco-toxicological evaluation of newly synthesized (isolated) compounds as an integration tool for pre-clinical disciplines at VFU Brno" CZ.1.07/2.3.00/30.0053). The authors express their gratitude to Mark Scott from University of Mary Washington (Fredericksburg, Virginia, USA) for his assistance and critical reading of the manuscript. The authors also express their gratitude to Dr. Yurij J. Beno from Biophysics Department, Faculty of Biology, Ivan Franko National University of Lviv (Ukraine) for his assistance in perform the correlation and statistical analysis.

REFERENCES

 Gibson GR, Cummings JH, Macfarlane GT. Growth and activities of sulphate-reducing bacteria in gut contents of health subjects and patients with ulcerative colitis. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 1991; 86: 103-12.

- [2] Gibson GR, Macfarlane S, Macfarlane GT. Metabolic interactions involving sulphate-reducing and methanogenic bacteria in the human large intestine. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 1993; 12: 117-25.
- [3] Rowan FE, Docherty NG, Coffey JC, O'Connell PR. Sulphatereducing bacteria and hydrogen sulphide in the aetiology of ulcerative colitis. British J Surg 2009; 96: 151-58.
- [4] Kushkevych IV. Sulfate-reducing bacteria of the human intestine. I. Dissimilatory sulfate reduction. Studia Biologica 2012; 6:149-80.
- [5] Kushkevych IV, Moroz OM. Growth of various strains of sulfatereducing bacteria of human large intestine. Studia Biologica 2012; 6: 115-24.
- Barton LL, Hamilton WA. Sulphate-reducing bacteria. environmental and engineered systems. UK: Cambridge University Press 2010.
- [7] Kushkevych IV. Sulfate-reducing bacteria of the human intestine.
 II. The role in the diseases development. Studia Biologica 2012; 6: 221-50.
- [8] Loubinoux J, Bronowicji JP, Pereira IA. Sulphate-reducing bacteria in human feces and their association with inflammatory diseases. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 2002; 40:107-12.
- [9] Loubinoux J, Mory F, Pereira IA, Le Faou AE. Bacteremia caused by a strain of *Desulfovibrio* related to the provisionally named *Desulfovibrio fairfieldensis*. J Clin Microbiol 2000; 38: 931-34.
- [10] Pitcher MC, Cummings JH. Hydrogen sulphide: a bacterial toxin in ulcerative colitis? Gut 1996; 39:1-4.
- [11] Cummings JH, Macfarlane GT, Macfarlane S. Intestinal Bacteria and Ulcerative Colitis. Curr Iss Intestinal Microbiol 2003; 4: 9-20.
- [12] Moore WE, Johnson JL, Holdeman LV. Emendation of Bacteroidaceae and Butyrivibrio and descriptions of Desulfomonas gen. nov. and ten new species of the genera Desulfomonas, Butyrivibrio, Eubacterium, Clostridium and Ruminococcus. Int J Syst Bacteriol 1976; 26: 238-52.
- [13] Loubinoux J, Valente FMA, Pereira IAC. Reclassification of the only species of the genus *Desulfomonas*, *Desulfomonas pigra*, as *Desulfovibrio piger* comb. nov. Int J Sys and Evol Microbiol 2002; 52: 1305-08.
- [14] Kushkevych IV. Identification of sulfate-reducing bacteria strains of human large intestine. Studia Biologica 2013; 7: 115-24.
- [15] Moisa LN, Chiljakov VA. The modeling of a growth curve of the *Escherichia coli* strain producing recombinant β-galactosidase protein. Biopolym Cell 2004; 20: 524-29.
- [16] Peleg M. Modeling microbial population with the original and modified version of the continuous and discrete logistic equations. Crit Rev in Food Sci and Nut 1977; 37: 471-90.
- [17] Pinchuk RJ, Brown WA, Hoghes SM, Cooper DG. Modeling of biological processes using self-cycling fermentation and genetic algorithms. Biotechnol Bioeng 2000;67:19-24.
- [18] Robinson JA, Megusar F, Gantar M. Approaches and limits to modeling microbiological processes. Int Symp Microbial Ecol 1986; 1: 20-29.
- [19] Rochet MJ, Flandrois JP. Bacterial strain characterization using mathematical modelling of growth. Zentralbl Bakteriol 1989; 271 2-10.
- [20] Yano Y, Oguma T, Nagata H, Sasaki S. Application of logistic growth model to pharmacodynamic analysis of *in vitro* bactericidal kinetics. J Pharm Sci 1998; 87(10): 1177-83.
- [21] Zwietering M, Jongenburger I, Rombouts FM, Van't Riet K. Modeling of the bacterial growth curve. Appl Environ Microbiol 1990; 56: 1875-81.
- [22] Kushkevych IV, Bartos M, Bartosova L. Sequence analysis of the 16S rRNA gene of sulfate-reducing bacteria isolated from human intestine. Int J Cur Microbiol Appl Sci 2014; 3: 239-48.
- [23] Sutton S. Measurement of microbial cells by optical density. J Valid Technol 2011; 17: 46-9.
- [24] Kolmert A, Wikstrom P, Hallberg KB. A fast and simple turbidimetric method for the determination of sulfate in sulfatereducing bacterial cultures. J Microbiol Met 2000; 41: 179-84.

Mathematical Model of Dissimilatory Sulfate Reduction

- [26] Bailey NTJ. Statistical methods in biology. UK: University Press 1995.
- [27] Chen PY, Popovich PM. Correlation: parametric and nonparametric measures. 1st ed. Sage university papers series on quantitative applications in the social sciences. US: SAGE Publications 2002.

© Kushkevych et al.; Licensee Bentham Open.

- [28] Berkholz R, Rohling D, Guthke R. Data and knowledge based experimental design for fermentation process optimization. Enz and Microb Tech 2000; 27: 784-88.
- [29] Kushkevych IV. Etiological role of sulfate-reducing bacteria in the development of inflammatory bowel diseases and ulcerative colitis. American J Infec Dis Microbiol 2014; 2: 63-73.

Revised: March 02, 2015

Accepted: March 27, 2015

This is an open access article licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/) which permits unrestricted, non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the work is properly cited.

Received: January 20, 2015