Cardiac MRI: Standardized Right and Left Ventricular Quantification by Briefly Coaching Inexperienced Personnel
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Abstract: MRI quantification of the cardiac ventricles is time-consuming, especially for the right ventricle due to its complex geometry. Using a short axis MRI protocol, we tested if briefly coaching persons inexperienced in cardiac MRI provides reliable right and left ventricular quantification.

22 healthy subjects (mean age 26 ± 4.2 years) underwent short-axis breath-hold SSFP sequence cardiac MRI. Two persons inexperienced in cardiac MRI independently traced endocardial and epicardial contours of both ventricles with a predefined contour tracing protocol. Measurements were repeated after visual correction of each two most basal slices of the endocardial contours and epicardial contours in the learning curve. Five random short-axis cines were retraced for intra-observer variability. Measurements were performed blinded within 4 months. Agreement was assessed with the Bland-Altman method.

No systematic bias was observed and measurements were within acceptable limits of agreement after brief coaching. Repeat measurements following visual correction significantly improved inter-observer differences, especially for mass calculations. Maximum interobserver and intraobserver disagreement of the final protocol were respectively ≤ 8% and ≤ 5%.

Brief coaching of persons inexperienced in cardiac MRI, using the short axis MRI protocol, provides reliable volume, function and mass quantification of both ventricles.

INTRODUCTION

Cardiac MRI (CMR) is increasingly requested by clinicians worldwide in the workup of patients with suspicion of or established diagnosis of cardiovascular disease. The accuracy and reproducibility of CMR is well known [1-5].

Quantitative volume, mass and function measurements are often mandatory for making an accurate diagnosis [1]. Left ventricle (LV) quantification is an established clinical tool, but accurate right ventricle (RV) dimensions and function are also increasingly used for the workup and follow-up in congenital heart disease and cardiomyopathies such as arrhythmogenic RV cardiomyopathy (ARVC) [6, 7]. The short-axis multi-slice acquisition is an important part of standardized clinical cardiac MRI protocols and shows good correlation with in vivo standards and radionuclide angiography, making it well suited for the determination of quantitative ventricular parameters [2, 8].

A robust and reproducible contour tracing protocol is needed to measure LV and RV volume, function and mass quantitatively [9, 10, 13, 14]. No clear instructions are available for defining how to quantify volumes and wall mass by contour tracing the LV and RV. Existing RV protocols show high intra- and interobserver variability (up to 60%) for the two most basal endocardial and epicardial contours and RV wall mass is especially difficult to reproduce (R² equalling 0.85) [2, 5, 9-11, 15-17].

In this study we aimed to establish a robust short axis cine-based contour tracing protocol for both LV and RV which can be used to train persons inexperienced in cardiac MRI.

METHODS

Study Population

22 healthy subjects (mean age 26 ± 4.2 years) underwent MRI imaging. No cardiac disease or valvular pathology was visualized during the MRI scan in any of the subjects. The absence of pathology was also confirmed by an elaborate questionnaire, blood pressure measurement, electrocardiography, and echocardiography. This study complies with the Declaration of Helsinki. The research protocol was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee University Medical Center Utrecht, and written informed consent has been obtained from all subjects.
Cardiac MRI images were obtained with a 1.5-T Achieva MRI scanner (Philips, Best, the Netherlands) with a phased-array cardiac coil. An ECG-gated breathhold vertical long axis (2 chamber) LV and horizontal long axis (4 chamber) image were used to identify the cardiac short axis. The whole heart was imaged in the short axis plane, from ventricular apex to base including both atria, using 14 to 20, 10-mm slice steady-state free precession (SSFP) cine sequences without interslice gap, of 50 frames per cardiac cycle, matrix 256x256, and FOV 350-400 [18].

All images were acquired during 10- to 15-second breathholds and stored digitally for offline analysis of cardiac volumes, mass and function. All CMR scans were performed by the same experienced operator.

Image Analysis

Analysis was performed on a clinical workstation with semi-automated contour tracing software (View Forum cardiac package version R5.1V1L1 2006, Philips, Best, the Netherlands). Two persons inexperienced in cardiac MRI (medical students) independently traced endocardial (for volume measurement) and epicardial (for wall mass defined as ventricular wall enclosed by endocardial and epicardial contours) contours of both ventricles with the predefined contour tracing protocol in 22 subjects. Measurements were repeated after visual correction of the endocontours of each two basal ventricular slices and all epicardial contours as a learning curve. For inter-observer variability measurement, all 22 subjects were retraced. Each observer subsequently retraced 5 random short-axis cines for intra-observer variability. All measurements were performed blinded and at random over a period of 4 months. The level of agreement was assessed utilizing the statistical regression model and the Bland-Altman method [1, 2, 19-21].

Contour Tracing Protocols

We adapted a contour tracing protocol to calculate left and right ventricular volumes and wall mass based on one already used in the Radiology department [19, 22]. In this protocol both LV and RV were traced from the most apical short-axis slice to the most basal slice on the ventricular side of respectively the tricuspid valve and mitral valve. The LV and RV end-diastolic (EDV) and end-systolic (ESV) volumes, derived ejection fractions (EF), stroke volumes (SV) cardiac outputs (CO), and end-diastolic masses (EDM) were calculated by adding the areas for each slice per ventricle, multiplied by the slice thickness using the Simpson’s rule [3, 5]. No inter-slice gaps are present in our short-axis protocol.

The quantitative analysis included two steps for both left and right ventricle: 1. tracing the endocardial contour in end-diastolic and end-systolic phase for volume and function; 2. the epicardial border in end-diastolic phase for wall mass.

Left Ventricle

First, the LV endocardial contours were traced, starting with the determination of the end diastolic phase (mitral valve has just closed). The endocardial contours were traced from the most apical to the most basal slice excluding the papillary muscles and trabeculae. The papillary muscles and trabeculae were excluded from the endocardial contour and therefore included in the blood volume. The endocardial contour forms a smooth ellipsoid line between the trabeculae and the endocardial border (Fig. 1). The most basal LV slice had to show at least 50% visible myocardial circumference at mitral valve level to be included (Fig. 2). The LV outflow tract is also included in the endocardial contour, with the lateral border of the aortic valve as a straight line alongside the aortic valve plane (Fig. 1F, 2B).

Second, the epicontours were traced at end diastole, including ventricular septum for LV mass measurement and overlapping the endocontour at valve planes (Fig. 1ABC).

Right Ventricle

The RV endocardial contours were traced with instructions to accommodate for the difficulties encountered in drawing contours for the most basal two slices.

Fig. (1). Basal slices in end-diastole (A, B, C, D) and end-systole (E, F, G, H) in one subject. Because the ventricle shortens during contraction the most basal end-diastolic ventricular slice (C) is not at the same level as the most basal end-systolic slice (F).
Instructions for Tricuspid Valve (TV) Tracing

1. As the TV opens into the RV in diastole, the TV can be visible in more than one basal slice. The TV can only be excluded once on the most basal slice at end-diastole where it is at least 50% visible in the RV (Fig. 3).

2. If the upper TV border is clear, the TV is fully excluded from the most basal slice at end-diastole (Fig. 3A).

3. When the upper half of the TV border is unclear, the lower half is drawn in with a straight cut-off line between lateral border and septum (Fig. 4C).

4. In the most basal end-diastolic slice, a RV contour can only be drawn if visible for at least 3 phases in diastole (Figs. 3, 4).

5. In the most basal end-systolic slice, the visible RV contour is always traced because, at this point in the cardiac cycle, the heart is in maximum longitudinal contraction (Fig. 4E).

Instructions for Tracing the Pulmonary Valve (PV)

The PV can only be left out the 2 most basal slices that are used for drawing the endocardial contour.

The border of the valve (PV plane) forms a straight line. This level is determined as where the muscular ventricle border is no longer visible and a bulge appears from the valve annulus. No contractions are visible above the PV plane (Fig. 3A, 4C).

The papillary muscles, trabeculae and moderator band are excluded from the endocontour and included in the blood volume.

Fig. (2). Determining the amount of visible LV myocardial circumference. A: in this subject’s end-diastolic phase, less than 50% myocardial circumference is visible, therefore no endocontour is drawn here; B: in this subject’s end-diastolic phase, over 50% myocardial circumference is visible, and therefore included in the endocardial contour.

Fig. (3). ABCD: in this subject the first four phases (A: phase 1; B: phase 2; C: phase 3; D: phase 4) starting with the end-diastolic phase (A) are shown. Since the RV trabecularisation around the TV stayed visible for more than the required 3 phases, it was included in the endocardial contour together with the RV outflowtract. Anatomical borders of the TV and PV were clear. EFGH: four phases (E: phase 1; F: phase 2; G: phase 3; H: phase 4) starting in end-diastolic phase (E) of another subject are shown here. Since the RV trabecularisation around the TV stayed visible for less than 3 phases, it was left out the endocardial contour.
The RV epicontours are traced at end-diastole (phase 1). The epicontour overlaps both the septal part of the endocontour, and the endocontour borders at valve planes. Visible RV myocardium should be included in the epicontour. However, the RV wall is usually so thin that the lateral border of the RV consists mostly of an artefact (dashed black-and-grey line) in normal healthy subjects, and the epicontour has to be traced just outside this artefact (Fig. 5).

The above described instructions solved most issues of how to trace the two most basal RV short axis slices. However, in a few cases anatomical borders remained unclear and the “Linking” tool on the View Forum station was used. With this tool the vertical long axis (2 chamber) LV, horizontal long axis (4 chamber), vertical long axis (2 chamber) RV, LVOT, and RVOT cines were phase and slice linked to the short axis cines (Fig. 6). Ventricular “cut-off” lines were drawn between the most lateral and most medial point on each annular ring of each valve in every view. This way the observer determined if a certain point at end-diastole or at end-systole on a short-axis slice fell within one of the “cut-off” lines on one or more of the other linked cine views. If the point was located at the ventricular side of the “cut-off” line, this part was taken inside the endocontour. To illustrate this, four points in space and time are shown on the short axis series (marked A through D). Each crosshair corresponds with the same point in space and time on one or more of the other series (Fig. 6).
Statistical Analysis

All ventricular volumes, functions and masses were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (mean±SD). The levels of agreement in measured values were evaluated with the Bland-Altman analysis by calculating the bias (mean difference) and the 95% limits of agreement (2 SD around the mean difference). The difference between observer values was plotted against their mean to avoid artificial trends. The relationship between the two independent observers was evaluated with linear regression analysis with Pearson’s cor-

Fig. (6). Using the linking tool to link the short axis cine to the LVOT, RVOT, 2 chamber left and right, and 4 chamber cines to visualize the anatomical borders of the ventricles. From left to right upper row: vertical long axis (2 chamber) left ventricle; horizontal long axis (4 chamber); vertical long axis (2 chamber) right ventricle; LVOT; RVOT- cines with predefined delineations in black by using the linking tool. Crosshairs A through D: each crosshair demarcates a point in space on the short axis cine (lower row) which corresponds with the crosshair on one or more of the other views.

Fig. (7). Final protocol inter-observer Bland Altman Analysis.
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relation coefficient. The significance of biases was tested through the use of paired t-tests with a 2-sided alternative. Values of P < 0.05 were considered significant. Measurement of reproducibility was evaluated by calculating the intraobserver and interobserver variability of each technique, defined as the absolute difference between the corresponding repeated measurements expressed in percent of their mean.

RESULTS

No systematic bias was observed and measurements were within acceptable limits of agreement after brief coaching. Interobserver differences improved significantly, especially for mass calculations, after adjustment of the initial protocol (Fig. 7, Table 1). Maximum inter and intraobserver disagreement in all cases of the final protocol was respectively ≤ 8% and ≤ 5%, with R²-Linear regression coefficients equal to or greater than 0.933 for inter observer correlation. LV versus RV stroke volume had a R²-Linear interobserver correlation of 0.908 and R²-Linear intraobserver correlation of 0.933, showing good correlation of LV and RV stroke volumes.

DISCUSSION

No clear instructions are available for defining how to quantify volumes and wall mass by contour tracing the LV and RV. We adapted a widely used short axis contour tracing protocol with a specific set of instructions for the most basal planes to obtain good reproducibility and correlation of LV and RV results that can be used not only for coaching, but also for the standardization of automatic or semi-automatic segmentation, particularly for the RV [23].

Following brief coaching how to perform the measurements, persons inexperienced in cardiac MRI can achieve reliable results.

Our protocol can be especially beneficial in quantification of RV volumes and mass for possible cardiomyopathy with RV involvement, like ARVC, and follow-up of congenital heart disease such as tetralogy of Fallot [24-28].

Steen et al. (2007) studied factors influencing volume and mass measurement and stated that objective criteria for defining the precise blood-pool myocardial tissue interface are needed [29]. Previous studies reported large variability in inter- and intraobserver differences for endocardial and epicardial contours in the two most basal planes [2, 5, 9-11, 15-17]. In addition, exact instructions on what to include in the most basal planes to calculate volumes and wall mass are often unclear [12, 20, 30].

The LVOT is included in the endocardial contour in most reported LV protocols [20, 30]. We also chose to include the LVOT and RVOT as the outflow tract is part of the ventricles and these clear anatomical landmarks increase reproducibility. Including the outflow tracts will increase the ventricular volumes. This will not affect SV (EDV-ESV) but may reduce EF (ESV divided by EDV) [31-33].

The amount of papillary muscle or trabeculae which can be drawn in for mass varies substantially and can make up to 20% difference in wall mass [12, 20, 34-37]. We therefore chose not to include papillary muscles in the wall mass. Instead, we included papillary muscles and trabeculae in the blood volume for efficiency and reproducibility [29].

We used the short axis cine as it is part of the standard MRI scan protocol in most clinical laboratories, and published articles routinely calculate ventricular volumes by applying the method of disks to stacks of short-axis images (Simpson’s rule) [1] Some authors claim that the radial long axis has better reproducibility as the anatomical landmarks are clearer on those views. [9, 15]. In subjects in which we encountered difficulties due to lack of anatomical landmarks, especially for the TV, we established “cut-off” lines that correspond with the valve planes on the RVOT, LVOT, 4 chamber and 2 chamber left and right cines. We used SSFP because it shows better blood-to-myocardium contrast and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Inter-OITP (n = 22)</th>
<th>Inter-OATP (n = 22)</th>
<th>Intra-OATP (n = 10)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RV EDM</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV EF</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV SV</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV EDV</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV ESV</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV EDM</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV ESV</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV EDV</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV SV</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV EF</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OITP = observer in training period; OATP = observer after training period; MD % = maximum disagreement percentage; Mn-D = mean difference; LV = left ventricle; RV = right ventricle; EF = ejection fraction; SV = stroke volume; EDV = end-diastolic volume; ESV = end-systolic volume; EDM = end-diastolic mass.
higher reproducibility of RV in comparison to Turbo Gradient Echo. A limitation of our study is that reproducibility was not tested in a different set of patients after the initial coaching. It remains important that the reporting physician checks results of the persons performing quantification.

CONCLUSIONS

Brief coaching using an adapted short axis cardiac MRI protocol with specific instructions, provides reproducible volume, function and mass quantification of the RV and LV to facilitate cardiac MRI reporting.
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