Usefulness of ¹¹C-Methionine Positron Emission Tomography in Differential Diagnosis between Recurrent Tumours and Radiation Necrosis in Patients with Glioma: An Overview

Maria Vittoria Mattoli¹, Giorgio Treglia^{*,1}, Gianluca Trevisi², Barbara Muoio³ and Ernesto Cason⁴

¹Institute of Nuclear Medicine, Catholic University of the Sacred Heart, Rome, Italy ²Institute of Neurosurgery, Catholic University of the Sacred Heart, Rome, Italy ³School of Medicine, Catholic University of the Sacred Heart, Rome, Italy ⁴Unit of Nuclear Medicine, Maggiore Hospital, Bologna, Italy

Abstract: Differential diagnosis between radiation necrosis and tumour recurrence is important in the clinical management of patients with glioma. We performed an overview of the literature in order to summarize the role of ¹¹C-methionine positron emission tomography (MET-PET) in this setting. This functional imaging method appears to have a high sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy in differentiating between glioma recurrence and radiation necrosis. Nevertheless, possible false negative and false positive results of MET-PET should be well kept in mind in the management of patients with glioma.

Keywords: ¹¹C-Methionine positron, Tomography, Differential diagnosis, Tumoursand radiation, Necrosis, Glioma.

INTRODUCTION

Gliomas are the most common primary intra-axial brain tumours, astrocytomas being the most frequent among these. Currently, surgery followed by adjuvant radiation therapy and chemotherapy is the standard of care in high grade gliomas, while the optimal management of low grade gliomas is still controversial. However, early post-surgical radiation and/or chemotherapy have been advocated for high risk patients with low grade gliomas [1-4]. Unfortunately, even after this multidisciplinary approach, the majority of gliomas tends to recur within 2 cm from the primary tumour site and within the irradiated volume [1-4].

It is crucial to differentiate recurrent tumours from radiation necrosis since the two entities have different treatment approach and different prognosis.

Radiation necrosis is a delayed focal structural lesion at or close to the original tumour site that usually occurs within a 6-months to 2-years period after radiotherapy or stereotactic radiosurgery; nevertheless, there are reports of early onset radiation necrosis, which appears to be facilitated by the concomitant chemotherapy, as well as reports of late onset ones (up to 20 years after the treatment) [5-8]. Clinical presentation of radiation necrosis is unspecific, including seizures, focal neurological deficits, personality changes, memory loss, dementia, and/or recurrence of the initial tumour symptoms. Differential diagnosis between recurrent glioma and radiation necrosis is challenging on conventional imaging, such as computed tomography(CT) and/or magnetic resonance (MR), since radiation necrosis usually presents as a lesion with surrounding oedema and nodular, linear, curvilinear enhancement due to blood-brain barrier breakdown, often resembling residual/recurrent tumour about resection cavity. Moreover, if a radiation-induced lesion is detected at a distant site from the primary tumour site it may be misinterpreted as multifocal glioma [9].

Different imaging techniques have been reported as possible tools to overcome this difficulties: perfusion MR, diffusion MR, MR spectroscopy and nuclear medicine techniques.

Nuclear medicine functional imaging is able to evaluate the metabolic activity of the lesion showed on conventional imaging so providing clues to differentiate tumour recurrence from radiation necrosis [10-12]. In the field of positron emission tomography (PET), fluorine-18 fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) is the most studied radiopharmaceutical. This glucose analogue has some limitations in differentiating glioma recurrence from radiation necrosis such as the high background uptake of FDG in glucose-dependent brain tissue, the possible absence of high FDG uptake in recurrent low-grade glioma and the presence of non-specific increased FDG uptake (as it has also been observed in the inflammatory tissue).These limitations can explain the reported low sensitivity of FDG-PET in differentiating glioma recurrence from radiation necrosis [13-16].

Alternative PET radiopharmaceuticalshave been studied to overcome these limitations. Since many brain tumours over-express a variety of amino acid (AA) transporters and the AA uptake in normal brain is low, AA labelled with ra-

^{*}Address correspondence to this author at the Institute of Nuclear Medicine, Catholic University of the Sacred Heart, Rome, Italy, Largo Gemelli, 8; zip code: 00168; Tel: +390630156200; Fax: +39063013745; E-mail: giorgiomednuc@libero.it

dioactive PET isotopes have been successfully applied for imaging of gliomas [17], such as methionine labelled with carbon-11.

Methionine, a sulfur-containing essential AA, has two main metabolic functions [16]:

a) protein synthesis;

b) conversion to S-adenosylmethionine, required in multiple metabolic pathways as transmethylation reactions, polyamine synthesis, transsulfuration pathway that leads to the synthesis of cysteine and other derivates such as glutathione.

In cancer cells, there is an increase in protein synthesis, transmethylation and transsulfuration, leading to an increased uptake of methionine. *In vitro* methionine dependence has been demonstrated in human glioma cell lines [18, 19]. Moreover, it has been shown that in a human glioblastoma cell line the uptake of radiolabeled methionine is higher in proliferating cells than in resting plateau-phase cells [20].

Currently, carbon-11 methionine (MET) PET is the most common AA-imaging modality for brain tumours, although its use is restricted to PET centres with cyclotron facility because of the short half-life of the isotope. Several studies evaluated the role of MET-PET in the detection of cerebral gliomas, showing high sensitivity and specificity in detecting both low and high grade tumours, the former being sometimes more difficult to diagnose on conventional imaging and with FDG-PET [16,17, 21-30].

Several studies also evaluated the role of MET-PET in differentiating tumour recurrence from radiation necrosis (Table 1).

An early article by Ogawa *et al.* presented a series of 15 patients with suspected recurrent brain tumour after radio-therapy: 10/15 patients underwent a MET-PET that matched in 100% of cases with histopatological results (3 radiation necrosis and 7 tumour recurrences) [31].

Sonoda *et al.* also reported that 5/5 patients with recurrent tumour showed increased MET uptake, while only 1/7 patients with radiation necrosis showed MET uptake [32].

In 2004, Tsuyuguchi et al. reported a series of 11 patients with recurrent malignant glioma or radiation injury after

stereotactic radiosurgery; MET-PET correctly identified 6/6 patients with recurrent tumours and 3/5 cases of radiation necrosis. From this result, the MET-PET sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy in detecting tumour recurrence were determined to be 100%, 60%, and 82% respectively [33].

Van Laere *et al.* have performed a comparison between FDG-PET and MET-PET in suspected recurrence of gliomas; they found an abnormal MET uptake in 28/30 cases, whereas only 17/30 cases showed FDG uptake. The main limit of this study is the empirical classification of patients in radionecrosis group and recurrence group, since the histological results was present only in 3 cases. In fact all cases of death was considered recurrent, and all cases of alive patients at the end of follow-up period was considered as radiation necrosis [17].

More recently, Terakawa et al. reported an interesting case series of 26 gliomas who underwent conventional radiotherapy. Overall, 32 MET-PET scans were performed at a mean interval of 36 months from irradiation. Recurrence was confirmed by tumour resection or biopsy, while radiation necrosis diagnosis was based on pathologic examination or on clinical course. Mean standardised uptake value (SUV_{mean}) and maximum standardised uptake value (SUV_{max}) were generated over the region of interest (ROI) and the lesion-tonormal tissue (L/N) count ratios were generated by dividing the SUV_{mean} of the lesion and theSUV_{mean} of the controlateral frontal lobe gray matter (L/N_{mean}) and by dividing the SU- V_{max} of the lesion and the SUV_{max} of the controlateral frontal lobe gray matter (L/N_{max}). The Authors found a significant difference in all of the indices except for the L/N_{max} between tumour recurrence and radiation necrosis. Receiveroperating-characteristic (ROC) curves analysis of each index indicated that L/Nmeanis the most informative index between tumour recurrence and radiation necrosis and an L/N_{mean}of 1.58 provided the best sensitivity and specificity for gliomas, 75% and 75%, respectively. However in this study some necrotic tissue also had some high level of MET uptake, which can be a factor that reduces the specificity of MET-PET. This is most likely due to the blood-brain barrier disruption that may occur in radiation-induced lesion [34]. Therefore, some Authors suggested to repeat the MET-PET scanning after corticosteroid administration in cases with borderline

Table 1. Studies Evaluating the Role of MET-PET in Differentiating Recurrent Gliomas from Radiation	Necrosis
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------

Authors	PET Scans Performed	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)
Ogawa et al [31]	15	100	100
Sonoda et al [32]	12	100	86
Tsuyuguchi et al [33]	11	100	60
Van Laere et al [17]	30	75	70
Terakawa et al [34]	32	75	75
Kim <i>et al</i> [36]	10	75	100
Nakajima <i>et al</i> [37]	18	86	100
Yamane et al [38]	80	88	80
Okamoto et al [39]	29	86	91

MET uptake; the repeat scansmay serve to distinguish between radiation necrosis and tumour lesions reducing the MET uptake due to blood-brain barrier breakdown in radiation injury while leaving the MET uptake due to intact active transport in gliomas [16].

Other hypotheses which could explain the uptake of MET in radiation necrosis can be an increased methionine metabolism induced by reactive gliosis mediated by astrocytes and microglial cells [35] or a methionine accumulation as a result of proliferative changes in glial cells in the area of radiation necrosis [33]. On the other hand, false negative results with MET-PET are possible, mainly due to the lack of detection of small lesions.

Kim et al. compared perfusion MR, FDG-PET end MET-PET in making the distinction between radiation necrosis and tumour recurrence in 10 patients with high grade glioma who underwent surgical resection followed by radiotherapy with or without chemotherapy and showed newly enhanced lesions on follow-up conventional MRI. After co-registering the PET images with the MR, the maximum uptake values of the lesion and of the contralateral cerebral white matter as reference area were measured to calculate the lesion/reference uptake ratio. There was no difference between radiation necrosis and tumour recurrence groups in terms of lesion/reference uptake ratio as derived from the FDG and MET-PET. The Authors also stated that a perfusion MR might be superior to FDG and MET-PET in order to distinguish a recurrence of high-grade glioma from radiation necrosis [36].

In 2009, Nakajima *et al.* evaluated the usefulness of MET-PET in differential diagnosis between radiation necrosis and tumour recurrence in 18 patients with glioma. The uptake of MET was determined as the ratio of the lesion to the contralateral reference region (L/R). The final diagnoses were determined by histological examination and/or follow-up MR imaging and clinical course. MET-PET demonstrated significant difference in the L/R ratio between patients with tumour recurrence and radiation necrosis (2.18 vs. 1.49, p < 0.01). According to a 2 x 2 factorial table analysis, the borderline values of L/R to differentiate recurrence from necrosis was 2.00 [37].

In their retrospective study, Yamane *et al.* examined the clinical efficacy of MET-PET in patients with brain neoplasm, especially whether the MET-PET changed the clinical management. The Authors demonstrated that MET-PET was useful in differentiating tumour recurrence from radiation necrosis, changing the clinical management in half of the scans [38].

Recently, Okamoto *et al.* evaluated with PET-MET 29 patients (33 lesions) suspected of recurrent brain tumors by MR after radiation therapy. Semi-quantitative analysis was performed using SUV_{max} and L/N ratio. ROC analysis was also assessed about the diagnostic value of MET-PET.Histological analysis or clinical follow-up confirmed the diagnosis of tumour recurrence in 22 lesions, and radiation necrosis in 11 lesions. L/N ratios of recurrence and necrosis for overall lesions were 1.98 and 1.27, respectively (p < 0.01). The areas under the ROC curve were 0.886 for L/N ratio and 0.738 for SUV_{max}. The Authors demonstrated that

semi-quantitative analysis of MET-PET provided high diagnostic value enabling early diagnosis of recurrence of brain tumour in the follow-up after the radiation therapy [39].

In summary, long from being a gold standard for diagnosis in differentiating glioma recurrence from radiation necrosis, MET-PET appears to have a high sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy in this setting.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None

FUNDING

None

REFERENCES

- Carapella CM, Telera S, Oppido PA. Surgery of malignant gliomas: advances and perspectives. Curr Opin Oncol 2011; 23: 624-9.
- [2] Buckner JC, Brown PD, O'Neill BP, Meyer FB, Wetmore CJ, Uhm JH. Centralnervous system tumors. Mayo Clin Proc 2007; 82: 1271-86.
- [3] Pouratian N, Schiff D. Management of low-grade glioma. Curr Neurol Neurosci Rep 2010; 10: 224-31.
- [4] van den Bent MJ, Afra D, de Witte O, et al. EORTC Radiotherapy and Brain Tumor Groups and the UK Medical Research Council. Long-term efficacy of early versus delayed radiotherapy for lowgrade astrocytoma and oligodendroglioma in adults: the EORTC 22845 randomised trial. Lancet 2005; 366: 985-90.
- [5] Brandes AA, Franceschi E, Tosoni A, et al. MGMT promoter methylation status can predict the incidence and outcome of pseudoprogression after concomitant radiochemotherapy in newly diagnosed glioblastoma patients. J Clin Oncol 2008; 26: 2192-7.
- [6] Brandsma D, Stalpers L, Taal W, Sminia P, van den Bent MJ. Clinical features, mechanisms, and management of pseudoprogression in malignant gliomas. Lancet Oncol 2008; 9: 453-61.
- [7] Yaman E, Buyukberber S, Benekli M, et al. Radiation induced early necrosis in patients with malignant gliomas receiving temozolomide. Clin Neurol Neurosurg 2010; 112: 662-7.
- [8] Marks JE, Wong J. The risk of cerebral radionecrosis in relation to dose, time and fractionation. A follow-up study. Prog Exp Tumor Res 1985; 29: 210-8.
- [9] Kumar AJ, Leeds NE, Fuller GN, et al. Malignant gliomas: MR imaging spectrum of radiation therapy- and chemotherapy-induced necrosis of the brain after treatment. Radiology 2000; 217: 377-84.
- [10] Byrne TN. Imaging of gliomas. Semin Oncol 1994; 21: 162-71.
- [11] Chen W. Clinical applications of PET in brain tumors. J Nucl Med 2007; 48: 1468-81.
- [12] Bénard F, Romsa J, Hustinx R. Imaging gliomas with positron emission tomography and single-photon emission computed tomography. Semin Nucl Med 2003; 33: 148-62.
- [13] Ricci PE, Karis JP, Heiserman JE, Fram EK, Bice AN, Drayer BP. Differentiating recurrent tumor from radiation necrosis: time for reevaluation of positron emission tomography? AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 1998; 19: 407-13.
- [14] Kahn D, Follett KA, Bushnell DL, et al. Diagnosis of recurrent brain tumor: value of 201Tl SPECT vs 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose PET. AJR Am J Roentgenol 1994; 163: 1459-65.
- [15] Wong TZ, van der Westhuizen GJ, Coleman RE. Positron emission tomography imaging of brain tumors. Neuroimaging Clin N Am 2002; 12: 615-26.
- [16] Singhal T, Narayanan TK, Jain V, Mukherjee J, Mantil J. 11C-Lmethionine positron emission tomography in the clinical management of cerebral gliomas. Mol Imaging Biol 2008; 10: 1-18.
- [17] Van Laere K, Ceyssens S, Van Calenbergh F, et al. Direct comparison of 18F-FDG and 11C-methionine PET in suspected recurrence of glioma: sensitivity, inter-observer variability and prognostic value. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2005; 32: 39-51.
- [18] Kreis W, Goodenow M. Methionine requirement and replacement by homocysteine in tissue coltures of selected rodent and human malignant and normal cells. Cancer Res 1978; 38: 2259-62.

- [19] Mecham JO, Rowitch D, Wallace CD, Stern PH, Hoffman RM. The metabolic defectof methionine dependence occurs frequently in human tumor cell lines. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 1983; 117: 429-34.
- [20] Langen KJ, Mühlensiepen H, Holschbach M, Hautzel H, Jansen P, Coenen HH. Transport mechanisms of 3-[123I]iodo-alpha-methyl-L-tyrosine in a human gliomacell line: comparison with [3H]methyl]-L-methionine. J Nucl Med 2000; 41: 1250-5.
- [21] Kameyama M, Shirane R, Itoh J, *et al.* The accumulation of 11Cmethionine in cerebral glioma patients studied with PET. Acta Neurochir 1990; 104: 8-12.
- [22] Ogawa T, Hatazawa J, Inugami A, et al. Carbon-11-methionine PET evaluation of intracerebral hematoma: distinguishing neoplastic from non-neoplastic hematoma. J Nucl Med 1995; 36: 2175-9.
- [23] Herholz K, Hölzer T, Bauer B, et al. 11C-methionine PET for differential diagnosis of low-grade gliomas. Neurology 1998; 50: 1316-22.
- [24] Sasaki M, Kuwabara Y, Yoshida T, *et al.* A comparative study of thallium-201 SPET, carbon-11 methionine PET and fluorine-18 fluorodeoxyglucose PET for the differentiation of astrocytic tumours. Eur J Nucl Med 1998; 25: 1261-9.
- [25] Weber WA, Wester HJ, Grosu AL, et al. O-(2-[18F]fluoroethyl)-Ltyrosine and L-[methyl-11C]methionine uptake in brain tumours: initial results of a comparative study. Eur J Nucl Med 2000; 27: 542-9.
- [26] Massager N, David P, Goldman S, et al. Combined magnetic resonance imaging- and positron emission tomography-guided stereotactic biopsy in brainstem mass lesions: diagnostic yield in a series of 30 patients. J Neurosurg 2000; 93: 951-7.
- [27] Chung JK, Kim YK, Kim SK, *et al.* Usefulness of 11C-methionine PET in the evaluation of brain lesions that are hypo- or isometabolic on 18F-FDG PET. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2002; 29: 176-82.
- [28] Braun V, Dempf S, Weller R, Reske SN, Schachenmayr W, Richter HP. Cranial neuronavigation with direct integration of (11)C methionine positron emission tomography (PET) data -- results of a pilot study in 32 surgical cases. Acta Neurochir 2002; 144: 777-82.
- [29] Becherer A, Karanikas G, Szabó M, et al. Brain tumour imaging with PET: a comparison between [18F]fluorodopa and [11C]methionine. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2003; 30: 1561-7.

- [30] Kracht LW, Miletic H, Busch S, *et al.* Delineation of brain tumor extent with [11C]L-methionine positron emission tomography: local comparison with stereotactic histopathology. Clin Cancer Res 2004; 10: 7163-70.
- [31] Ogawa T, Kanno I, Shishido F, *et al.* Clinical value of PET with 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose and L-methyl-11C-methionine for diagnosis of recurrent brain tumor and radiation injury. Acta Radiol 1991; 32: 197-202.
- [32] Sonoda Y, Kumabe T, Takahashi T, Shirane R, Yoshimoto T. Clinical usefulness of 11C-MET PET and 201T1 SPECT for differentiation of recurrent glioma from radiation necrosis. Neurol Med Chir 1998; 38: 342-7.
- [33] Tsuyuguchi N, Takami T, Sunada I, *et al.* Methionine positron emission tomography for differentiation of recurrent brain tumor and radiation necrosis after stereotactic radiosurgery--in malignant glioma. Ann Nucl Med 2004; 18: 291-6.
- [34] Terakawa Y, Tsuyuguchi N, Iwai Y, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of 11C-methionine PET for differentiation of recurrent brain tumors from radiation necrosis after radiotherapy. J Nucl Med 2008; 49: 694-9.
- [35] Chiang CS, McBride WH, Withers HR. Radiation-induced astrocytic and microglial responses in mouse brain. Radiother Oncol 1993; 29: 60-8.
- [36] Kim YH, Oh SW, Lim YJ, et al. Differentiating radiation necrosis from tumor recurrence in high-grade gliomas: assessing the efficacy of 18F-FDG PET, 11C-methionine PET and perfusion MRI. Clin Neurol Neurosurg 2010; 112: 758-65.
- [37] Nakajima T, Kumabe T, Kanamori M, *et al.* Differential diagnosis between radiation necrosis and glioma progression usingsequential proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy and methionine positronemission tomography. Neurol Med Chir 2009; 49: 394-401.
- [38] Yamane T, Sakamoto S, Senda M. Clinical impact of (11)Cmethionine PET on expected management of patients with brain neoplasm. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2010; 37: 685-90.
- [39] Okamoto S, Shiga T, Hattori N, et al. Semiquantitative analysis of C-11 methionine PET may distinguish brain tumor recurrence from radiation necrosis even in small lesions. Ann Nucl Med 2011; 25: 213-20.

Revised: February 26, 2012

Accepted: February 28, 2012

© Mattoli et al.; Licensee Bentham Open.

Received: December 29, 2011

This is an open access article licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/) which permits unrestricted, non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the work is properly cited.