Protective Role of Nitric Oxide Against Cardiac Arrhythmia – An Update
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Abstract: Nitric oxide (NO), a ubiquitous cell signalling molecule, plays an important role in the regulation of cardiovascular function. NO is produced by all cell types in the heart and is widely implicated in vasodilation, inflammation, ischemic preconditioning and cell death. Mounting evidence now suggests that NO also provides protection against cardiac arrhythmia. Pharmacological studies using NO precursors and NO donors have observed an anti-arrhythmic effect, while inhibitors of NO biosynthesis increase arrhythmia, or attenuate anti-arrhythmic actions in a variety of animal models. Additionally, genetic studies from animals deficient in nitric oxide synthase (NOS) enzymes have found increased susceptibility to pharmacological or ischemia-induced arrhythmia while transgenic mice overexpressing certain NOS isoforms may be protected. In the human population polymorphisms in NOS enzymes, or differences in the levels of endogenous inhibitors of NO synthesis may also be important determinants of arrhythmic susceptibility. The precise mechanisms of NO-mediated protection from arrhythmia are still under investigation but may include reductions in calcium overload, regulation of gap junction/connexin expression, reductions in oxidative stress, and regulation of sympathetic activity. This review examines the pharmacological, genetic, and clinical evidence of a role for NO in reducing cardiac arrhythmia and discusses possible mechanisms of NO-mediated protection against arrhythmia.
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1. INTRODUCTION

For almost 30 years nitric oxide (NO) has been known to be a critical determinant of vascular tone [1-5]. Since the early reports, which identified NO as the endothelium-derived relaxation factor, our knowledge has expanded considerably and it is now well-established that NO plays an important role in mammalian biology and regulates the functions of many organs including the brain, kidney, blood, muscle, skeletal, reproductive, gastrointestinal, immune and cardiovascular systems [6-8].

In mammals, NO is produced primarily through the conversion of L-arginine to L-citrulline by one of three nitric oxide synthase isozymes termed neuronal, inducible, or endothelial (nNOS, iNOS, and eNOS, respectively). Conversion of inorganic nitrate and nitrite to NO through nitrogen cycling pathways may be an additional source of NO in certain systems [9, 10]. All components of the cardiovascular system produce NO, with the heart expressing each NOS isoform and containing the necessary reduction pathways for NOS-independent NO production [7, 11, 12].

The development of pharmacological inhibitors of NOS, as well as genetic models of NOS deficiency have led to a much deeper understanding of NO biology and it is now clear that NO is a key component of the cardiovascular system. The cardiovascular effects of NO, which are both isoform- and concentration-dependent, extend well beyond vasodilation and include regulation of cardiomyocyte death, modulation of the inflammatory response, baroreceptor function, pathogenesis of atherosclerosis, and ischemic injury. These effects have been covered extensively in several excellent papers [7, 8, 12-16] and are beyond the scope of this review. In this review we examine the mounting evidence on the protective role of NO in preventing cardiac arrhythmia. Pharmacological, genetic, and clinical evidence will be outlined and possible mechanisms of action will be explored.

2. PHARMACOLOGICAL EVIDENCE

The earliest evidence that NO provides protection against cardiac arrhythmia came from studies using nitrovasodilators. Nitroglycerin has long been known to be of utility for protection against ventricular arrhythmias [17-20]. However, these effects were traditionally attributed to the drug’s capacity to reduce ischemic damage to the heart rather than direct actions by NO on cardiac electrophysiology [20]. Similarly, Mukherjee and colleagues reported in 1976 that sodium nitroprusside reduced ventricular arrhythmia in hypertensive patients with acute myocardial infarction but could not rule out a role for blood pressure reduction in the observed effects [21]. While the anti-arrhythmic effects of these agents were not immediately attributed to direct NO-mediated effects, subsequent studies have confirmed nitroglycerin’s anti-arrhythmic action independent of ischemic protection [22], and sodium nitroprusside has been shown to prevent Ca2+ overload and arrhythmogenesis in isolated cardiomyocytes [23]. Thus the anti-arrhythmic effects of nitrovasodilators appear to be at least partially independent of any ischemic protection or...
blood pressure reduction and likely also involve direct NO-mediated actions.

Beginning in the early-mid 1990s, advances in our knowledge of NO biology, and the development of pharmacological inhibitors targeting NOS isozymes, led to further support for a protective, anti-arrhythmic action of NO. Wainwright and Martorana provided evidence that NO suppresses arrhythmia using a porcine model of ischemia-reperfusion (I/R) injury where they found that the NO donor pirsidomine reduced ventricular arrhythmias as well as the time to onset of ventricular fibrillation [24]. As in the nitrovasodilator studies, these reductions in arrhythmia were attributed to the hemodynamic effects of NO and reductions in ischemic injury. Vegh and colleagues examined the role of NO in mediating the effects of ischemic preconditioning in dogs. Ischemic preconditioning significantly reduced the incidence of ventricular tachycardia and fibrillation following subsequent ischemic injury [25]. Treatment with the NOS inhibitor, L-nitro arginine methyl ester (L-NAME) immediately prior to preconditioning blocked preconditioning-mediated protection, suggesting a role for NOS-derived NO production in preconditioning [25]. Using a similar approach, the authors went on to identify a role for NO in the anti-arrhythmic effects of bradykinin during ischemia [26]. One study, utilizing multiple NO modulators including L-NAME, L-arginine (the NOS substrate) and sodium nitroprusside (an NO donor), reported that inhibition of NO resulted in an increase in ventricular fibrillation in isolated rat hearts subjected to I/R [27]. The effects of L-NAME were attenuated by co-treatment with either L-arginine or sodium nitroprusside further confirming the role of NO in this endogenous protection against arrhythmia. A follow-up study, using a selective inhibitor of nNOS (7-nitro indazole) suggested that nNOS may be responsible for the endogenous NO release in rats, but this effect may be due to other NOS isozymes in rabbits [28]. Thus, the enzymatic source of NO which mediates protection against arrhythmia may be species dependent.

Experiments examining the anti-arrhythmic effects of estrogen have also provided insight into the role of NO in arrhythmia. Estrogen reduces arrhythmogenesis in isolated cardiomyocytes, protects against I/R-induced arrhythmia in dogs, and decreases isoproterenol-induced arrhythmia in rats [29-32]. Additionally, female rats are particularly resistant to myocardial ischemia-induced arrhythmia compared to their male counterpart [33]. NO has been implicated as a potential downstream mediator of the anti-arrhythmic effects of estrogen. In rabbit [34] and canine [35] models of I/R, the anti-arrhythmic effects of estrogen are attenuated by treatment with the NOS inhibitor L-NAME. Furthermore, NO has been implicated in estrogen-mediated preservation of connexin 43 and reductions in arrhythmia following myocardial infarction in rats [36]. Thus, NO may be an important downstream mediator of estrogen-mediated protection from arrhythmia.

While the majority of pharmacological studies point to an anti-arrhythmic effect of NO, a few studies have failed to observe an effect on arrhythmia. Barnes and Coker examined the effects of NO donors on I/R-induced arrhythmias in anesthetized rats and found no change [37]. Additionally one group, who earlier reported anti-arrhythmic effects in rats and rabbits, found that L-NAME had no effect on ventricular arrhythmia following I/R in isolated marmoset hearts [38]. However, as these experiments were performed in isolated hearts and not in whole animals, certain mechanisms by which NO confers protection against arrhythmia, such as sympathetic innervation, may have been excluded. Finally, examination of the effects of resveratrol in a rodent I/R model found reductions in arrhythmia, up-regulation of NOS expression and implicated NOS in resveratrol-mediated reductions in necrosis [39]. Despite this, the anti-arrhythmic effects of resveratrol were found to be NOS-independent as L-NAME could not block resveratrol-mediated reductions in arrhythmia [39]. Thus, under certain conditions, increased NO production may not confer protection against arrhythmia. Nevertheless, the majority of studies have observed an anti-arrhythmic effect by NO. In fact, NO has now been implicated as a downstream mediator of a variety of anti-arrhythmic agents including estrogen [36], erythropoietin [40], bradykinin [26], and sodium ferulate [41]. A complete list of pharmacological agents whose anti-arrhythmic effects have been attributed to NO can be found in Table 1.

3. EVIDENCE FROM GENETIC STUDIES IN ANIMALS

A complimentary approach for determining the role of NO in arrhythmia is to examine the physiology of mice in which NOS isozymes have been altered. Mice deficient in NOS isozymes, as well as mice which have been modified to overexpress NOS isozymes, have dramatically advanced our understanding of NO physiology (for review see [7, 14]). These mice have the benefit of excluding any non-specific effects associated with pharmacological modification of the NOS system. Novel cardiac phenotypes have been observed in these mice which further support a protective role for NO in arrhythmia.

3.1. eNOS

Two studies have reported protection from arrhythmia in eNOS−/− mice. An in vitro examination of ouabain-treated cardiomyocytes from eNOS−/− mice found that the rate of arrhythmic activity was significantly higher than in their wild-type counterparts [42]. The arrhythmic phenotype in eNOS−/− cardiomyocytes could be rescued by treatment with an NO donor. A second study examined the role of eNOS in arrhythmia in intact eNOS−/− mice [43]. While there do not appear to be any basal differences in arrhythmia between eNOS−/− mice and their wild-type counterparts, eNOS−/− mice were significantly more susceptible to digoxin-induced ventricular arrhythmias [43]. Conversely, overexpression of eNOS appears to be beneficial, as isolated cardiomyocytes from mice with cardiac-specific overexpression of eNOS exhibit a lower incidence of spontaneous arrhythmic contraction in culture than cardiomyocytes from wild-type mice [44]. Thus eNOS-derived NO appears to play a beneficial role in conferring protection against arrhythmia.

3.2. nNOS

Studies from our laboratory, and others, have suggested that NO derived from nNOS also plays a protective role against arrhythmia. Using isolated cardiomyocytes from nNOS−/−, eNOS−/−, and eNOS/nNOS double knockout mice,
Gonzalez et al. demonstrated that NO protects against diastolic calcium elevations and spontaneous arrhythmic contractions [45]. Interestingly, arrhythmic contractions were only observed in nNOS-/- and double-knockout cardiomyocytes suggesting that eNOS-derived NO does not confer protection against spontaneous arrhythmia in this model. Recently, we provided additional support for an anti-arrhythmic role of nNOS-derived NO in mice [40, 46]. In our study, global deletion of nNOS was associated with increased susceptibility to ventricular arrhythmia following myocardial infarction, I/R injury, or cesium chloride infusion. Critically, we also provided evidence of increased mortality in nNOS-/- mice following myocardial infarction despite only minor differences in cardiac function [46]. Using telemetry to monitor electrocardiograms we were able to demonstrate that increased arrhythmia was directly responsible for increased mortality in this model. In addition to evidence from nNOS-/- mice, there is also indirect evidence from transgenic mice overexpressing nNOS in the heart. In these mice intracellular calcium was decreased, and adrenergic responsiveness diminished, both of which could potentially confer resistance to arrhythmia [47]. However whether nNOS overexpressing mice are resistant to arrhythmia is unclear at this time. Nevertheless, nNOS-derived NO production appears to play an endogenous protective role in reducing arrhythmia and, critically, may confer protection against arrhythmia-induced mortality.

3.3. iNOS

Mungrue and colleagues examined cardiomyocyte-specific overexpression of iNOS in mice [48]. In contrast to eNOS transgenic mice, which exhibited protection from arrhythmia, iNOS transgenic mice were found to have increased mortality and a high incidence of sudden cardiac death due to bradyarrhythmia. These results would seemingly contradict a protective role for NO in arrhythmia, however, in these mice the authors found no evidence of increased NO levels, and instead found increased O₂⁻, increased peroxynitrite and NOS uncoupling. As oxidative stress has been implicated in cardiac arrhythmia [49-52], it is likely that the increased arrhythmia in these mice is a result of increased oxidative stress rather than increased NO.

3.4. iNOS, nNOS, and eNOS

Recently, mice deficient in all three NOS isozymes have been developed [53]. Given the possibility of compensatory up-regulation of NOS isozymes in single isoform-specific deficient mice, these triple knockout mice are uniquely suited to determining the biological effects of physiological NO production. These mice display marked reductions in survival and reproduction rates, kidney abnormalities and spontaneous myocardial infarction [54, 55]. Interestingly, when these mice are fed a high fat diet they exhibit significant spontaneous cardiac death [56]. While the authors speculate that this sudden death may be a result of arrhythmia this was not investigated and, to date, no arrhythmic phenotype has been reported in these mice.

4. EVIDENCE FROM HUMAN STUDIES

Evidence is emerging that NO may confer protection from arrhythmia in the human population. A number of studies, examining gene polymorphisms, pharmacological donors, and endogenous NOS inhibitors have suggested that NO is an important protectant against arrhythmia in humans. As was seen in animal studies, nitrovasodilators appear to provide protection against arrhythmia. In patients undergoing coronary artery bypass graft, sodium nitroprusside was administered immediately following surgery (during the rewarming period) [57]. Although administered at a dose that had no effect on blood pressure or heart rate, sodium nitroprusside significantly reduced both the incidence, and the duration of atrial fibrillation (AF), which was associated with a reduction in hospital stay.

While exogenous administration of NO may provide protection against arrhythmia, there is also evidence that basal NO production itself provides protection against
arhythmia. Elevation in plasma levels of the endogenous NOS inhibitor asymmetric dimethylarginine (ADMA) is known to be associated with adverse cardiovascular events following cardiac [58] and non-cardiac [59] surgeries, and in patients with peripheral artery disease [60]. Recently, elevated plasma ADMA levels have been associated with increased risk of AF [61, 62]. Xia et al. reported that in patients undergoing electrical cardioversion, an elevation in pre-cardioversion ADMA was a predictor of arrhythmia recurrence [62]. The authors went on to demonstrate that treatment with rosuvastatin significantly reduces both plasma ADMA levels and recurrence of arrhythmia suggesting that enhancement of basal NO production via reductions in ADMA may provide protection against risk of arrhythmia [61]. Thus, inhibition of NOS isoforms by endogenous antagonists may be a determinant of arrhythmic susceptibility and regulation of ADMA expression may be a novel therapeutic strategy for the treatment of arrhythmia.

The gene for eNOS exhibits multiple polymorphisms in the population and the presence of certain variants can profoundly influence NO production [63]. One such polymorphism, the -786T>C in the eNOS gene has been examined in the C allele is associated with a reduction in eNOS promoter activity and reduced NO levels in the heart [64, 65]. This polymorphism also appears to be associated with increased risk of the development of AF [66, 67]. This risk is dramatically increased when the -786T>C variant is seen in combination with an S38G polymorphism in minK (a gene encoding the β subunit of the ICa channel) [67]. In contrast to the above studies, Bedi et al. reported that the -786T>C variant of eNOS was not significantly associated with AF in patients with heart failure, but that a separate eNOS genotype G894T was associated with increased risk of AF [68]. Thus certain eNOS polymorphisms may confer an increased risk of developing AF and arrhythmia. However, further study is needed to clarify whether these increases are a result of changes in endogenous NO production.

A number of reports have also identified the existence of single nucleotide polymorphisms in nNOS within the human population [69, 70]. These polymorphisms are known to be associated with human disease conditions, such as Alzheimer’s disease [71] and Parkinson’s disease [69]. To date, it is unclear whether these polymorphisms are also associated with alterations in cardiac electrophysiology. However, there is indirect evidence that nNOS may play an important role in cardiac electrophysiology in the human population. Polymorphisms in the nNOS adaptor protein (NOS1AP) are associated with increased QT interval and increased risk of ventricular arrhythmia [72-77]. However, whether certain NOS1AP or NOS1 variants are at increased risk of sudden cardiac death (SCD) is not known at this time. Additionally, as NOS1AP may exert effects beyond the regulation of NOS1, it remains unclear whether nNOS-derived NO is protective against arrhythmia in the human population.

Evidences is building that basal NO production plays a critical role in the prevention of ventricular and atrial arrhythmia. Moreover it is possible that modulation of endogenous inhibitors of NO production, or pharmacological treatment with NO donors may confer protection against arrhythmia in the human population. However, the role of NO in human arrhythmia remains unclear and requires further study. Ultimately, knowledge of the relationship between NO and arrhythmia could aid in the identification of individuals who may be at increased risk of developing cardiac arrhythmia and SCD or lead to the development of novel therapeutics for the treatment of arrhythmia.

5. PROPOSED MECHANISMS OF NO-MEDIATED CARDIOPROTECTION

A number of downstream events have been implicated in the anti-arrhythmic effects of NO (see Fig. 1). Regulation of ion channels, gap junctions, and cell death by NO may preserve synchronous beating and conductivity. Additionally, reductions in oxidative stress-mediated arrhythmogenesis and increases in cyclic GMP-mediated signaling may also be responsible. Finally, NO may govern sympathetic nerve activity, and pulmonary vein arrhythmogenesis thereby reducing arrhythmia. Thus the anti-arrhythmic actions of NO appear to be pleiotropic, involving molecular, cellular and systemic effects.

5.1. Ion Channel Regulation

Several laboratories have implicated the regulation of ion channels, and in particular calcium channels, in the beneficial effects of NO [40, 45, 46]. NO can directly modulate ion channel activity through S-nitrosylation [78-81], or through cyclic GMP-mediated ion channel phosphorylation [82, 83]. Sun and colleagues have reported that isolated mouse hearts treated with S-nitrosogluthatine (GSNO) or subjected to ischemic preconditioning increase S-nitrosylation of both the L-type calcium channel, and the sarcoplasmic reticulum (SR) calcium ATPase [79, 84], while Gonzalez et al. have reported S-nitrosylation of the ryanodine receptor is decreased in nNOS−/− mice [45]. Our laboratory also demonstrated decreased S-nitrosylation of the L-type calcium channel, the ryanodine receptor, and the SR calcium ATPase in nNOS−/− mice [46]. These changes were associated with diastolic calcium elevations, ventricular arrhythmia and sudden cardiac death. In addition to the effects on calcium handling proteins, NO has also been reported to play a role in the regulation of sodium, and potassium channels, which may also play a role in protection of arrhythmia [85-87]. The cardiac Na/K-ATPase appears to be activated by NO, and NO has been reported to preserve Na/K-ATPase activity during ischemia [88], which may limit calcium elevations in cardiomyocytes. A brief summary of ion channel regulation by NO is provided in Fig. (2). For a more comprehensive examination of the role of NO in the regulation of cardiac ion channels readers are directed to a recent review by Tamargo and colleagues [89].

5.2. Cyclic GMP

The common downstream effector of NO, cyclic GMP (cGMP) has also been implicated in mediating the effects of NO on arrhythmia. cGMP has been shown to mimic the anti-arrhythmic effects of NO in ouabain-treated mouse cardiomyocytes [42]. Additionally, Chen et al. examined the anti-arrhythmic effects of sodium ferulate on isolated rat cardiomyocytes subjected to anoxia-reoxygenation [41]. Treatment with sodium ferulate was associated with increases in NO and cyclic GMP, and inhibition of either NO or cGMP blocked the effects of sodium ferulate on calcium
overload and arrhythmogenesis induced by anoxia-reoxygenation. While it is conceivable that cGMP mediates these effects through changes in ion channels, one cannot rule out the possibility of cGMP-independent regulation of ion channels. Further study is required to clarify the role of cGMP in mediating the anti-arrhythmic effects of NO.

5.3. Gap Junction Regulation

Connexin 43 is a major component of ventricular gap junctions, and an important determinant of impulse conduction. Loss of connexin 43 is associated with a significant increase in ventricular arrhythmias and risk of sudden cardiac death [90-92]. Two separate laboratories have demonstrated that NO is capable of preserving connexin 43 and gap junction activity. Gonczi and colleagues demonstrated that sodium nitroprusside reduces I/R-induced arrhythmia in dogs and preserves both connexin 43 phosphorylation and gap junction permeability [93]. Chen et al. correlated estrogen-mediated reductions in arrhythmia with preservation of connexin 43 expression and demonstrated that this preservation may be NO-dependent [36]. However, at higher concentrations, such as those seen with iNOS expression, NO may actually contribute to down-regulation of connexin, as we have shown that iNOS-derived NO production resulted in reductions in myocardial connexin 43 expression [94]. Thus NO-mediated regulation of connexin 43 and gap junctions may be isoform- and/or concentration-dependent. Interestingly, NO has been reported to promote de novo formation of endothelial gap junctions [95]. Whether a similar pathway exists in cardiomyocytes, and whether this pathway plays a role in cardiac arrhythmia remains to be elucidated.

5.4. Oxidative Stress

There is growing evidence of a role for oxidative stress in the induction of arrhythmia. While excess activation of NOS, such as that seen in iNOS transgenic mice that were prone to arrhythmia and sudden cardiac death, can lead to NOS uncoupling and oxidative stress, physiological NO production has been shown to inhibit ROS production [96-100]. Given the well established role for oxidative stress in the pathogenesis and maintenance of arrhythmia [101], and the mounting evidence that antioxidants are effective in arrhythmia [102], reductions in oxidative stress represent a potential mechanism by which NO prevents arrhythmia. Recently, NO-mediated reductions in $O_2^·$ have been implicated in preconditioning-mediated protection from arrhythmia in dogs [103]. Additionally, two studies have provided indirect evidence of a role for reductions in oxidative stress in the anti-arrhythmic effects of NO. When examining the anti-arrhythmic effects of sasanquasaponin (the active component of the Chinese herbal remedy Camellia oleifera Abel), Liao et al. found reductions in arrhythmia were associated with both increased NO production and decreased oxidative stress [104]. Additionally, when examining the anti-arrhythmic effects of sodium nitroprusside, Cavolli et al. found reductions in C-reactive protein levels, an index of inflammation which frequently correlates with oxidative stress [105]. Nevertheless, a direct causal link between NO, the reduction in oxidative stress, and protection from arrhythmia has not been established.

5.5. Regulation of Ischemic Injury/Cell Death

Physiological NO production is also known to play a protective role in the prevention of apoptotic cell death and ischemic injury [13, 106, 107]. Reductions in apoptosis and ischemic injury preserve cardiac function and protect against ventricular remodeling, these actions may also preserve conductivity in the heart and prevent arrhythmia [108]. Numerous studies have shown that NO prevents arrhythmogenesis at the single cell level [23, 41, 45, 109], suggesting that reduction of apoptosis/ischemic injury is not the sole mediator of the anti-arrhythmic effects of NO. However given the close relationship between myocardial damage and electrical conductivity, one cannot rule out the possibility that cardioprotection contributes to the anti-arrhythmic effects of NO.

5.6. Regulation of Sympathetic Activity

It has also been proposed that NO may mediate its anti-arrhythmic effects through alteration of sympathetic nerve activity [110]. NO, particularly that derived from nNOS, exerts significant sympatholytic effects [111-113]. As excess sympathetic activity may play a role in the induction of ventricular arrhythmia, alterations in sympathetic activity may be a mechanism by which NO protects against arrhythmia. However, similar to effects on apoptosis/ischemic injury, the observation that NO has anti-arrhythmic effects in isolated myocytes suggests that this is not the sole mediator of NO-mediated protection.

5.7. Pulmonary Vein Arrhythmogenesis

Finally, a role for NO in the control of pulmonary vein arrhythmogenesis has recently been identified. The pulmonary veins are a critical source for the initiation of
atrial arrhythmias as evidenced by the fact that radio frequency ablation of areas adjacent to the pulmonary veins results in a dramatic reduction in arrhythmia and AF [114, 115]. Furthermore, Lin et al. found that exogenous NO (in the form of sodium nitroprusside) reduced spontaneous electrical activity, and inhibited delayed afterdepolarizations in cardiomyocytes isolated from regions adjacent to pulmonary vein [109]. A second study, examining pulmonary vein activity in rabbits found that fluvastatin reduced spontaneous pulmonary vein activity in a NOS-dependent manner [116]. These observations raise the possibility that the pulmonary veins, a critical source of arrhythmogenesis, may be inhibited pharmacologically through modulation of NO.

In summary, there are several mechanisms by which NO may confer protection from arrhythmia, including the regulation of gap junctions, ion channel activity, modulation of ischemic injury or sympathetic outflow and reductions in oxidative stress. Given the diverse range of biological processes that are regulated by NO it is not surprising that such a diverse range of downstream events have been implicated in NO-mediated protection from arrhythmia. Ultimately, it is likely that NO-mediated protection from arrhythmia is mediated through several, complementary mechanisms.

6. PERSPECTIVES AND CONCLUSIONS

Evidence is mounting that NO plays an important endogenous regulatory role in cardiac electrophysiology. Several lines of pharmacological and genetic evidence from both animal and human studies point to NO playing a protective role preventing arrhythmogenesis. Multiple mechanisms have been proposed to mediate the anti-arrhythmic effects of NO and these effects are likely a coordinated action on several systems.

One interesting finding is the observation that eNOS expression decreases during AF [117, 118]. Laminar blood flow and shear stress is a critical determinant of both eNOS expression [119-121] and activity of the NOS co-factor tetrahydrobiopterin [122, 123]. Arrhythmic contractions in the heart can produce a more turbulent blood flow, which could potentially reduce NOS expression [124]. This raises the interesting possibility that reductions in NO production may facilitate the propagation of arrhythmia. An initial arrhythmic insult would thus prime the heart for further arrhythmic activity by decreasing eNOS-derived NO, thereby reducing the body’s endogenous ability to suppress arrhythmia in a feed forward fashion.

Despite the abundance of data suggesting a role for NO in cardiac arrhythmia, clarification is needed relating to the source and amount of NO that confers protection from arrhythmia. As mentioned previously, in certain species nNOS appears to be the major NOS isozyme conferring protection against arrhythmia, while other NOS isozymes may be responsible in other species [28]. Additionally, in other experimental paradigms NO production can be either beneficial or deleterious depending on the isozyme and the amount of NO produced. For example, while high levels of NO produced by iNOS may promote cardiomyocyte apoptosis, low levels of NO produced by eNOS may actually protect against apoptosis [13]. With respect to the effects of NO in arrhythmia, mice overexpressing iNOS are actually more susceptible to SCD due to arrhythmia [48]. While this effect has been attributed to production of O$_2^-$ rather than NO in these mice, it is possible that excess production of NO by iNOS does not provide the same cardioprotection as that conferred by eNOS or nNOS-derived NO. In fact, contrary to eNOS and nNOS, where models of genetic deletion have suggested susceptibility to arrhythmia, there is no direct evidence that NO production by iNOS provides any protection against arrhythmia. A study by Rickover et al. further suggests that the amount of NO produced is critical to its anti-arrhythmic
effects [23]. In this study low doses of sodium nitroprusside (0.1 mM) provided protection against hypoxia-induced arrhythmogenic beating in cultured rat cardiomyocytes. However, high doses (5-10 mM) actually increased intracellular Ca²⁺ levels and accelerated cardiomyocyte beating. Thus the level of NO produced may be a critical determinant of its ability to provide protection against arrhythmia and caution must be exercised in any approach to treat arrhythmia with nitrvasodilators, or NO donors.

There is now abundant evidence that NO is capable of providing protection against atrial and ventricular arrhythmia in several animal models and in humans. Although our understanding of the mechanisms by which NO achieves this protection is still developing, the regulation of ion channel dysfunction, sympathetic activity, gap junction expression, cell death, cGMP, and alterations in pulmonary vein arrhythmogenesis have been implicated (Figs. 1 and 2). The presence of an endogenous protective system involving NO-mediated reductions in arrhythmia is clear, and may partially explain generation and/or propagation of arrhythmia during disease conditions where NO production is altered. Pharmacological modulation of this system appears to protect against arrhythmia. However, further studies on the role of NO in arrhythmia are necessary and safe doses of NO donors need to be established from clinical trials for therapeutic applications in patients with arrhythmia.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Work in Dr. Feng laboratory is currently supported by Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR) and the Heart and Stroke Foundation of Ontario (HSFO). D.B. is supported through a KRESCENT Research fellowship. Q.F. is a HSFO Career Investigator.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None.

REFERENCES


morphism in the endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene reduces se-
rum-nitrate/nitrite levels from the heart due to an intracoronary in-

Sticchi, E.; Gennini, F.; Fattini, C.; Abbate, R.; Fattini, G.F. Role of

[67] Fatini, C.; Sticchi, E.; Genuardi, M.; Sot, F.; Gennini, F.; Gori, A.M.;
Lenti, M.; Michelucci, A.; Abbate, R.; Fattini, G.F. Analysis of


[69] Leveque, C.; Elbaz, A.; Clavel, J.; Richard, F.; Vidal, J.S.;

[70] Venturelli, E.; Villa, C.; Scarpini, E.; Fenoglio, C.; Guidi, I.;
Lovati, C.; Marcone, A.; Cortini, F.; Scalabrini, D.; Clerici, F.;
Bresolin, N.; Mariani, C.; Cappa, S.; Galimberti, D. Neuronal nitric oxide synthase C276T polymorphism with Alz-
regulation of mitochondrial energetics and calcium transport.

**Preconditioning results in S-nitrosylation of proteins involved in**

Sun, J.; Morgan, M.; Shen, R.F.; Steenbergen, C.; Murphy, E.

*Physiol. Circ. Res.*

Sun, J.; Murphy, E. Protein S-nitrosylation and cardioprotection.


Ammend, G.U.; Xu, Y.; Hong Dong; P.; Zhang, Z.; Eiserich, J;

[82] Bai, C.X.; Takahashi, K.; Masumiya, H.; Sawanobori, T.; Furukawa, T. Nitric oxide-dependent modulation of the delayed rectifier K+ current and the L-type Ca2+ current by ginsenoside Re, an ingred-

[83] Gonzalez, D.R.; Fuller, C.; Zoccoli, I.; Ordones, P.P.; Treuer, A.V.;

[84] Sun, J.; Pictch, E.; Ginsburg, K.S.; Bers, D.M.; Steenbergen, C.;
Murphy, E. Hypercontractile female hearts exhibit increased S-
ntrosylation of the L-type Ca2+ channel alpha subunit and re-

[85] Nunez, L.; Vaquero, M.; Gomez, R.; Caballero, R.; Mateos-
Caceres, P.; Macaya, C.; Iriea, I; Galvez, E.; Lopez-Farre, A.;
Tamargo, J.; Delpo. E. Nitric oxide blocks hKv1.5 channels by
S-nitrosylation and by a cyclic GMP-dependent mechanism. *Cardio-
vasc. Res.*, 2006, 72, 80-86.

[86] Amhmed, G.J.; Xu, Y.; Hong Dong; P.; Zhang, Z.; Eiserich, J;

[87] Williams, M.; Hamilton, E.J.; Garcia, A.; Bundgaard, H.; Chia, K.K.; Sigtree, G.A.; Rasmussen, H.H. Natriuretic peptides stimu-


Morley, G.E. Electrical remodeling contributes to complex tachyar-


[93] Ganzoni, M.; Papp, R.; Kovacs, M.; Seprenyi, G.; Vegh, A. Modula-
tion of gap junctions by nitric oxide contributes to the anti-

[94] L. Jackson, P.E.; Feng, Q.P.; Jones, D.L. Nitric oxide depresses con-


[96] Rodenas, J.; Mitjavila, M.T.; Carbonell, T. Nitric oxide inhibits superoxide production by inflammatory polymonomuclear leuko-

[97] Clancy, R.M.; Leszczynska-Piziak, J.; Abramson, S.B. Nitric ox-
ide, an endothelial cell relaxation factor, inhibits neutrophil super-


[99] Pleskova, M.; Beck, K.F.; Behrens, M.H.; Huwiler, A.;
Pohlfscher, B.; Winter, O.; Brandes, R.P.; Mulsch, A.;

[100] Harrison, C.B.; Drummond, G.R.; Sobey, C.G.; Selendis, S. Evi-
Nitric Oxide and Arrhythmia

The Open Nitric Oxide Journal, 2011, Volume 3


Received: November 01, 2010

Accepted: April 25, 2011

© Burger and Feng; Licensee Bentham Open.

This is an open access article licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/) which permits unrestricted, non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the work is properly cited.