Memory Enhancement from Two Weeks’ Exposure to North American Ginseng Extract HT1001 in Young and Middle Aged Healthy Adults
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Abstract: In vivo, in vitro, and animal learning studies have reported neuroactive effects of Rb1 and Rg1 ginsenosides that may be relevant to human learning and memory. The objective of this study was to assess potential learning and memory benefits of HT1001, a standardized proprietary North American ginseng (Panax quinquefolius) extract containing Rb1, Rg1 and other important ginsenosides, in healthy volunteers. Neuropsychological assessments were conducted using the Clinical Memory Scale (CMS), which has two parallel forms for baseline and post-treatment assessments. A young adult sample (YAS, n = 10) and a middle aged sample (MAS, n = 10) completed the CMS at baseline and again after 14 days’ exposure to 200mg HT1001 daily. The CMS Memory Quotient (MQ) showed significant main effects of time, with higher CMS-MQ on the second assessment compared to the first, and of age group, with the YAS performing better than the MAS. There was no interaction between time and age group. Secondary analyses indicated benefits for both groups on free recall of word lists, cued recall of word pairs, and recognition of figures, and benefits in the YAS but not the MAS on free recall of pictures. Taken together, the results suggest that memory, as measured with the CMS-MQ, was significantly improved with open-label HT1001. While practise effects cannot be completely ruled out, the results presented here are exciting and timely given our increasingly ageing population, and provide preliminary support for a prospective placebo-controlled examination of HT1001 on learning and memory.
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INTRODUCTION

A staple of traditional Chinese medicine for thousands of years, the ginseng root has a wide spectrum of presumed health-related benefits recently subjected to contemporary scientific methods of validation [1,2]. The longstanding presumption that ginseng may improve cognitive skills has become particularly relevant as a result of in vitro and in vivo demonstrations of neural growth enhancement and inhibition of neural degeneration [3-5]. Technological improvements in the delineation and quantification of the neuroactive components of the ginseng root have facilitated this investigation by identifying several ginsenosides apparently relevant to the consolidation of new memories, including Rb1, which has been shown to modulate acetylcholine release and re-uptake in rat brain [6] and to induce mRNA expression of choline acetyltransferase and nerve growth factor in rat brain [7], and Rg1, which has been reported to induce proliferation and differentiation of neural progenitor cells in the dentate gyrus of the hippocampus of adult mice [8]. Animal behaviour studies have also implicated Rb1 and Rg1 in memory enhancement [9] and in the prevention of acquired memory deficits [10-13].

The preclinical data were sufficient to stimulate several clinical investigations of learning, but the results have been inconclusive regarding the beneficial effects of sustained exposure to ginseng extracts in humans. Two double-blind placebo-controlled investigations have reported memory enhancement with ginseng [14,15] but a third did not [16]. Also, an assessment of memory among self-proclaimed ginseng users reported no benefit relative to a control sample [17]. The ambiguous results may relate to differences in samples, ginseng extracts, or measurement strategy. For example, beneficial effects were observed in older infirm samples [14,15] and in individuals with Alzheimer’s disease [18], but not in younger healthier samples [16,17]. Benefits were observed with relatively high daily doses of extract [14], but not lower doses [16]. Also, benefits were observed on traditional standardized tests of new verbal learning [14] and on a small standardized battery of tests weighted toward new verbal learning [15], but gains were not observed on experimental measures [17], or in the savings scores of standardized tests of delayed figure or text recall [16].
Although the variability of methods across studies precludes a confident inference regarding memory enhancement with ginseng extracts, these experiments suggest potential value of an examination of a precise formulation of Rb1 and Rg1 ginsenosides using standardized tests of verbal and non-verbal memory with parallel groups of younger and older healthy control subjects. Indeed, the importance of standardizing natural product extracts has been highlighted in works by Dr. Basu and others in recent years [19-21]. HT1001 (REMEMBER-FX®, Afexa Life Sciences Inc., Edmonton, Alberta, Canada) is a proprietary North American ginseng extract developed using patented chemical and biological standardization technology (ChemBioPrint®; Afexa Life Sciences Inc.) containing known levels of active ginsenosides (Rb1, Rb2, Rc, Rd, Re and Rg1) totalling 13-20%. 100 mg HT1001 provides the equivalent of 500 mg of North American ginseng dried root, and one capsule of HT1001 consumed twice daily is consistent with the therapeutic doses described in Traditional Chinese Medication references [22,23]. In the preliminary prospective open-label examination described here, performance on the Clinical Memory Scale (CMS) [24] was examined before and after 2 weeks’ open-label exposure to HT1001 (100 mg twice daily) in a young adult and a middle-aged adult sample of healthy volunteers. The results suggest that a larger-scale placebo-controlled study investigating the memory-enhancing effects of HT1001 is certainly warranted.

MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY

Subjects

The current study was in strict compliance with the regulations of the Capital Medical University in Beijing, which are entirely consistent with the Helsinki Declaration. All participants provided informed consent to participate in this investigation. Ten younger and ten older right-handed participants were recruited primarily from medical students and mixed staff, respectively, at the Capital Medical University in Beijing. The young-adult sample (YAS) was 20 to 24 years of age (mean = 21.30, \(SD = 1.25\)), and the middle-age sample (MAS) was 45 to 65 years of age (mean = 55.20, \(SD = 5.73\)). Years of education were similar in the YAS (mean = 14.20, \(SD = 0.63\)) and MAS (mean = 13.40, \(SD = 1.26\)), but the proportion of men tended to be higher in the YAS (50%) compared to the MAS (20%), \(\chi^2(1) = 1.98, p = 0.160\). A routine examination including laboratory tests was conducted at the beginning of the study to ensure that all participants were in good health.

The Clinical Memory Scale (CMS)

The CMS was completed at baseline and again after consumption of one 100 mg capsule of HT1001 in the morning and a second in the evening, each day for 14 consecutive days. The CMS was developed in Mandarin by the Chinese Academy of Sciences and standardized on a sample of 3310 normal controls between the ages of 20 and 89 years [24]. The CMS consists of five tests of memory including free recall of word lists with distractors, cued recall of word pairs, free recall of pictures, recognition of meaningless figures, and cued recall of facts associated with unfamiliar portraits. Two alternate forms are available with good psychometric properties and inter-test reliability \((r = 0.85)\) [24,25]. The present application followed the test manual recommendation of Form A at baseline and Form B at follow up. The CMS has been applied with success in studies of cerebrovascular disease [26], multiple sclerosis [27], mild cognitive impairment [28], dementia [29], and genetic polymorphisms associated with Alzheimer’s disease [30]. The CMS was also applied with success in at least one prospective examination of treatment effects, demonstrating no improvement through time (i.e. no practise effect) on the alternate forms of the CMS in the absence of treatment, but significant memory-enhancement after 10 days of sustained cognitive training [30].

Statistical Analysis

The primary hypothesis that HT1001 would enhance memory was examined by subjecting the CMS Memory Quotient (MQ) to repeated measures analysis of variance with time as a within subject variable and age group as a between subject variable. A secondary analysis to depict subtest characteristics of the anticipated change was undertaken by subjecting the five scaled subtest scores to a multivariate repeated measures analysis of variance with time as a within subject variable, and age group as between subject variable. All tests were two-tailed with an alpha of 0.05 except under Bonferroni correction as described below.

RESULTS

A substantial improvement in CMS-MQ scores was observed between baseline (mean = 110.60, \(SD = 11.78\)) and follow up (mean = 122.73, \(SD = 14.57\), \(F(1,18) = 30.56, p < 0.001\). The YAS demonstrated higher average (i.e. combined pre- and post-treatment) CMS-MQ scores (mean = 125.40, \(SD = 9.08\)) than the MAS (mean = 107.93, \(SD = 13.61\), \(F(1,18) = 20.17, p < 0.001\) (see Fig. 1). There was no interaction between time and age group, with similar improvement in CMS-MQ score in the YAS (mean improvement = 13.70, \(SD = 5.30\)) and MAS (mean improvement = 10.55, \(SD = 12.82\)). Relative to the standardization sample [24], the YAS CMS-MQ scores were above average to high prior to HT1001 treatment and well above average post-treatment, whereas the MAS CMS-MQ scores were average prior to HT1001 treatment and high average after sustained HT1001 exposure.

The secondary analysis also documented a main effect of age group, \(F(5,14) = 15.11, p = 0.00003\), and time, \(F(5,14) = 10.52, p = 0.0002\), as well as an interaction between age group and time, \(F(5,14) = 0.659, p = 0.002\). The univariate analyses showed a main effect of age group on all variables, with the YAS outperforming the MAS on all five subtests (see Table 1). Main effects of time were observed on free recall of word lists, cued recall of word pairs, and recognition of figures (all \(p’s < 0.0006\) and significant after Bonferroni correction), but not free recall of pictures or cued recall of facts about portraits. There were no interactions between age group and time for cued recall of word pairs or recognition of meaningful figures; both the YAS and the MAS showed significant improvements between baseline and follow up. There were interactions between age group and time for free recall of word lists and free recall of pictures, and a trend towards an interaction on cued recall of facts about portraits. In contrast, free recall of pictures showed a gain
only in the YAS, t(9) = 2.36, p = 0.043, significantly different from the trend towards a reduction apparent in the MAS, t(18) = 2.26, p = 0.037. The trend interaction observed with cued recall of facts about portraits resulted from a trend towards improvement in the YAS, t(9) = 1.86, p = 0.097, that was almost significant relative to the slight reduction observed in the MAS, t(18) = 2.02, p = 0.059.

DISCUSSION

Previous examinations of potential memory enhancing effects from ginseng suggest that sustained high doses of extracts administered to infirm elderly samples and to individuals with Alzheimer’s disease may produce benefits on standardized tests of new learning and memory [14, 15, 18]. The current results suggest that the benefits may also be achieved with 14 days’ exposure to a standardized ginsenoside formulation in healthy young and middle aged adults. Despite high baseline scores, particularly in the young adult sample, significant improvements were observed in the CMS-MQ of both samples. The most robust gains were observed on free recall of word lists, cued recall of word pairs, and recognition memory of meaningless figures. The young adult sample also showed a significant improvement in free recall of pictures and a trend towards gains in cued recall of facts about portraits, though the middle aged sample did not show similar improvements. The more robust gains observed in the young adult sample run contrary to speculation from earlier results that the magnitude of change might directly relate to the severity of infirmity at baseline. Subsequent investigations will be required to address the lack of any gain observed in the middle aged sample on free recall of pictures or free recall of facts about portraits, both of which appear to have additional demands for elaborative processing from visual stimuli to verbal encoding not required in the other three subtests.

The current results suggest that the discrepancies apparent in prior studies are not likely related to degree of baseline infirmity, but rather to characteristics of the examined ginseng extract or to the methods applied for the quantification of changes in learning and memory through time. The extracts in the prior studies were not precisely characterized in relation to potentially relevant ginsenosides, but improvement in word list learning was reported after exposure to 1500 mg per day of Korean Ginseng extract [14], a Panax

![Fig. (1). Clinical Memory Scale Memory Quotients (CMS MQ) for the Young Adult Sample (YAS) and the Middle Aged Sample (MAS) before (black bars) and after 14 days’ of HT1001 (gray bars) (mean ± SD).](image)
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ginseng that would contain Rb1 and Rg1, but in unknown quantities. The positive results obtained in the present study may thus suggest that HT1001, a standardized extract containing measured quantities of Rb1 and Rg1 and other ginsenosides, possesses the necessary amounts of neuroactive ginsenosides to elicit memory enhancement from a ginseng extract whereas other ginseng products may not. Furthermore, with the exception of one report of beneficial effects of ginseng on the Randt Memory Test [15], the cognitive instruments applied in prior studies were not well characterized [14], appear to be study-specific [17], or produced atypical results that did not permit conventional reporting [16]. The positive results obtained in the present study underscore the value of standardized instrumentation in the demonstration of beneficial effects of ginseng extracts. Moreover, the Randt Memory Test, previously applied with success [15], is heavily weighted on verbal learning, and the most notable benefits observed in the present study were obtained on two verbal tasks. The robust improvement observed on a test of recognition of meaningless figures would caution against overly specific inferences about the cognitive domains sensitive to ginseng effects. However, it would be wise in subsequent investigations to maximize the likelihood of positive results by investigation of potential improvements in verbal learning and memory from well standardized extracts.

Although substantial benefits of ginseng on learning and memory were observed in the present study, there were several limitations that should be addressed in future studies. Importantly, a placebo control group was not included in the current study, and should be incorporated into future work to ensure that the improvements in memory function were not the result of a practice effect. Alternative forms of the CMS were used at baseline and follow up in the present study, which would undoubtedly attenuate or eliminate any beneficial effects of prior exposure to the test materials, however an appeal to the CMS manual was unhelpful in this regard; while a slight 2 MQ disadvantage on Form B relative to Form A was reported in a counterbalanced design, the authors did not publish the absolute scores from their test-retest groups [24]. A prospective study of heroin users reported no improvement from Form A to Form B in the absence of 10 days of cognitive training [31], but generalization to healthy control subjects remains tentative. The current preliminary investigation also studied a small number of participants, and a larger sample size would increase the power of the analysis. In addition, although the improvement in verbal list learning, verbal paired associate learning, and figure learning observed here suggests that the benefits may be robust across different age groups with average to above average baseline scores, further investigation will be helpful to generalize the benefits to picture recall and memory for facts about portraits beyond the relatively high functioning young adult medical students.

While the present study is the first to demonstrate a beneficial effect of sustained exposure of Panax Ginseng on memory in healthy adults, acute administration of ginseng extracts has been shown to improve a number of memory and cognitive domains in younger healthy adults, including improvements in working memory and increases in the speed of memory performance and the accuracy of attentional tasks [32-35]. As is observed with longer-term administration, however, results appear to be conflicting, likely resulting from experimental factors (such as the dose of ginseng consumed and the amount of time between ginseng consumption and cognitive testing). Further studies are certainly warranted to investigate the acute effects of a single dose of HT1001 on memory and cognition in healthy adults.

CONCLUSIONS

Ginseng has been used in traditional Chinese medicine for thousands of years, and it is gaining popularity in Western countries for a variety of health-related benefits. Human studies are few in number and their results have been inconclusive, but the benefits to learning and memory of two weeks’ exposure to HT1001 observed in the present study clearly support the value of a larger-sample prospective assessment of HT1001 effects on standardized tests of learning and memory that includes a parallel placebo control arm, preferably within a double-blind cross-over design.
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