The Effect of Metabolism-Boosting Beverages on 24-hr Energy Expenditure
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Abstract: The effect of thermogenic meal replacement beverages (TMRB) containing 90 mg EGCG and 100 mg of caffeine on resting energy expenditure (REE) was tested. Thirty adults (19 women, 11 men) were stratified into 3 groups: lean (n=10, BMI 21.5 ± 2.1); overweight/obese (OW) (n=10, BMI 29.8 ± 2.7); or weight maintainers (WM) (n=10, BMI 28.8 ± 4.0). Following an overnight fast, baseline measurements, including REE via indirect calorimetry, were performed. REE was repeated at 30, 60, 90, and 120 minutes after consuming a TMRB. Appetite was assessed via visual analogue scale at baseline, 30 minutes and 120 minutes after the TMRB. Mean 24-hour REE was increased 5.9 ± 2.5% overall (p=0.000), 5.7 ± 3.1% among lean subjects (p=0.0002), 5.3 ± 1.4% among OW subjects (p=0.000), and 6.8 ± 2.7% among WM (p=0.0007). Appetite was significantly reduced 30 minutes after the TMRB (p=0.0002). TMRB appear to be a promising weight control tool.
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INTRODUCTION

Obesity is a chronic, complex, multifactorial disorder [1] that has reached epidemic proportions in the United States. According to 2002 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey [2] 65.7% of adults are overweight and 30.6% are characterized obese. Obesity is associated with an increased risk of heart disease, diabetes, cancer, asthma, sleep apnea, arthritis, reproductive problems, and psychological disturbances [3]. Despite the widespread prevalence of obesity and its and detrimental ramifications, effective treatment options remain scarce. Finding new weight control tools and strategies to help individuals gain short- and long-term control over their weight and health may help to curb this public health crisis.

One recent promising concept is the use of functional foods that burn extra calories. Calorie-burning, or thermogenic foods, such as green tea and cayenne pepper, when combined with caffeine, have been shown to increase resting energy expenditure by 3 to 8% [4,5].

Total energy expenditure at rest consists of three main components: approximately 60% is derived from basal metabolic rate; 30% is derived from physical activity level, and approximately 10% is derived from the thermic effect of food (TEF) [6]. TEF consists of two parts. One component of TEF is the result of energy required to digest, absorb and assimilate food nutrients, known as obligatory thermogenesis. The other component, facultative thermogenesis, is the result of food ingestion activating the sympathetic nervous system, with its stimulating effect on metabolism [7].

TEF has been shown to be blunted in overweight and obese individuals, compared to lean individuals [8]. Other risk factors for a blunted TEF include abnormal glucose tolerance [9], insulin resistance [10], visceral body fat distribution [11], and female gender [8]. TEF has been shown to be blunted in obese men [12]; however, compared to women, men have been shown to have a 25% greater TEF. In addition, during energy restriction while dieting, energy expenditure decreases, at least in the short-term [13]. Thus, the consumption of thermogenic foods may help to normalize energy metabolism among individuals at risk of having a blunted TEF, or who are actively attempting weight loss through low calorie dieting.

The combination of caffeine and epigallocatechin gallate (EGCG), the active component in green tea, has been shown to stimulate an overall increase in energy metabolism after a period of weight loss and maintenance especially among low caffeine consumers [14]. Other potential weight control benefits of EGCG include: inhibition of the primary triglyceride-making enzyme, fatty acid synthetase [15], and a shift toward fatty acid oxidation [16].

By itself, caffeine appears to be a safe thermogenic agent for weight control that affects thermogenesis by inhibiting the phosphodiesterase-induced degradation of intracellular cyclic AMP [17]. Further, reduced food intake following caffeine has been demonstrated [18], suggesting that caffeine can affect both energy intake and energy expenditure. According to Rumpler et al. [19], the maximal increase in 24-hour energy expenditure with caffeine, 3-7.2%, occurs at doses of 200-300 mg per day. More than 400 mg of caffeine begins to increase systolic and diastolic blood pressure and is associated with palpitations, anxiety, and dizziness compared to placebo [20]. Though acute caffeine consumption may alter some cardiovascular variables, chronic ingestion of caffeine has been shown to have little or no adverse health consequences [21].
Green tea has been widely consumed in China and Japan for centuries and is likely quite safe [21]. Further, green tea has potent antioxidant effects that are protective against oxidative stress, a condition that is increasingly recognized as a key mediator of many chronic diseases, including cancer and cardiovascular disease [22]. Green tea contains high quantities of several polyphenols, such as epicatechin, epicatechin gallate, epigallocatechin, and the most pharmacologically potent, EGCG. Catechins in green tea may stimulate thermogenesis and fat oxidation through inhibition of catechol-O-methyl transferase (COMT), the enzyme that degrades norepinephrine [23].

Green tea and caffeine work synergistically to stimulate thermogenesis via COMT and phosphodiesterase, respectively [24]. The synergistic relationship between EGCG and caffeine may be related, in part, to the overlapping timing of their peak concentrations and half-lives. Peak concentrations for caffeine occur at 30-60 minutes after consumption with doses ranging from 50 to 200 mg [25], and at 1.5 to 2 hours for decaffeinated green tea solids containing EGCG. The half-lives of caffeine and EGCG, 5.7 hours [26] and 5 to 5.5 hours [27], respectively, are very similar as well.

Given the potential synergistic effect of EGCG and caffeine on energy metabolism, we sought to evaluate the effectiveness of thermogenic meal replacement beverages (TMRB) containing EGCG and caffeine on resting energy expenditure. The secondary hypothesis was to evaluate the effect of the TMRB on fat oxidation and appetite.

**MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY**

**Subjects**

30 healthy adults (19 women, 11 men) between the ages of 18 and 65 years were recruited through flyers posted at local businesses and gyms. They were stratified into 3 groups: lean (n=10, BMI <25); overweight/obese (OW) (n=10, BMI ≥25); or weight maintainers (WM) (n=10). Baseline characteristics are contained in Table 1. Weight maintainers were subjects who had maintained a weight loss of ≥5% for at least a 3-month period prior to the start of the study. Exclusion criteria included consumption of >1 cup of coffee (or equivalent) per day, current cigarette smoking, consuming ≥14 alcoholic beverages per week (or any the day prior to study days), chronic uncontrolled health problems (not including obesity or diabetes); drug or alcohol dependence, mental illness (schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, current major depressive disorder), taking medications that would affect appetite or metabolism (e.g. steroids, Ritalin); active dieting; pregnancy or lactation; and allergy to wheat, gluten, soy or nuts.

**Methods**

Written, informed consent was obtained from all subjects under the auspices of the Western Institutional Review Board. After an overnight fast, subjects had the following baseline measurements performed: resting energy expenditure (REE), height, weight, waist circumference, blood pressure, and pulse. REE was measured by a SensorMedics Vmax29 Series Model V29 indirect calorimeter (SensorMedics Corporation, Yorba Linda, California) [28]. BMI was calculated using kg/m². Subjects received one of the six flavored TMRB (Momentum by Medifast, Inc, Owings Mills, MD). All six flavor versions contained 90-100 calories, 11-14 grams of protein, 0-0.5 grams of fat, 3-4 grams of fiber, 90 mg of EGCG and 100 mg caffeine. REE was repeated at 30, 60, 90, and 120 minutes after consuming a single TMRB. In addition, to assess appetite effects, a visual analogue scale anchored by “not hungry at all” and “extremely hungry” was performed at baseline, and 30 and 120-minutes after consuming the TMRB.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Baseline Characteristics Among Lean, OW, and WM Groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>BMI (kg/m²)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.5 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender (%)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Race (%)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Blood Pressure (mm Hg)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pulse (beats per min)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Waist Circumference (in)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Physically active (exercise ≥3 hours per wk)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are reported as either a (mean ± sd) or as a percentage.
Statistical Analysis

All data were collected using a standardized format, entered into Microsoft Excel, and then exported to the STATA statistical software package (College Station, TX) [29] for analysis. Means ± standard deviation scores or percentages were calculated for all baseline demographic and clinical variables. Data distributions were examined and outliers were evaluated. Main outcome variables (REE and fat oxidation) were evaluated using paired sample t-tests. Repeated measures analysis of variance (RANOVA) was used to evaluate change in appetite over time. Linear regression analysis was performed to examine associations between outcome variables and subject characteristics. Data were considered statistically significant if p<0.05.

RESULTS

Overall, mean REE increased by 24.2 ± 10.1% for the 2-hour period following the consumption of a TMRB compared to baseline (p=0.000). Compared to baseline, mean REE for the 2-hour period following a TMRB was increased 22.8 ± 12.4% among lean (p=0.00015), 21.6 ± 6.2% among OW (p=0.000), and 27.2 ± 10.9% among WM individuals (p=0.00016) (Fig. 1). When extrapolated over the 24-hour period, based on our recommendation of consuming 3 TMRBs per day, the mean increase in 24-hour REE among all subjects was 5.9 ± 2.5%. Mean 24-hour REE increased by 5.7 ± 3.1% among lean, 5.3 ± 1.4% among OW, and 6.8 ± 2.7% among WM individuals. There was an overall trend toward increased fat oxidation with RQ decreasing from 0.99 ± 0.19 to 0.92 ± 0.13, p=0.122. While significant reductions were not observed among the OW or WM groups, a significant reduction in RQ from 1.0 ± 0.165 to 0.915 ± 0.04 was found among lean individuals (p=0.01). Using RANOVA, a significant change in appetite was observed over time in response to the TMRB [F= 9.7, p=0.0002]. Pairwise comparisons revealed a significant overall reduction in appetite at the 30-minute time point after consuming the TMRB (5.7 ± 2.7 vs. 3.8 ± 2.3, p=0.0002) that did not remain significant at the 120 minute time point (5.6 ± 2.8 vs. 5.8 ± 2.9, p=0.71). A trend for group differences between lean, overweight, and weight maintainers [F=2.43, p=0.095] was observed. Pairwise comparisons revealed significant reductions in appetite among the lean (5.8 ± 2.8 vs. 4.35 ± 2.6, p=0.015), WM (6.2 ± 2.15 vs. 4.2 ± 1.7, p=0.025), and marginally among the OW groups (5.1 ± 3.3 vs. 2.8 ± 2.5, p=0.056) at 30 minutes. None of the groups sustained significant reductions in appetite at 120 minutes. According to linear regression analysis, no significant associations were found between the main outcome variables (REE, fat oxidation, or appetite) and weight category (lean, overweight, weight maintainer) or gender. No adverse events were reported at any time during this study.

Fig. (1).
DISCUSSION

The most salient result of this study was the robust increase in REE of nearly 25% among all subjects for the 2-hour period following consumption of the TMRB. Extrapolated over the 24-hour period, this is equivalent to an approximately 6% increase in REE. Our results are consistent with that of others examining the effects of green tea components on energy metabolism. Several human clinical trials support a synergistic effect of caffeine and green tea on increasing total energy expenditure via thermogenesis. Dulloo et al. [30] demonstrated that green tea extract (90 mg) + caffeine (100 mg) three times per day with meals resulted in a significant increase in 24-hour energy expenditure (78 calories) and a significant decrease in respiratory quotient (indicating greater fat oxidation), compared with placebo. Berube-Parent et al. [4] evaluated the effect of a capsule of 200 mg caffeine + either 90, 200, 300, or 400 mg EGCG three times daily before meals on 14 healthy, non-smoking, sedentary men in a randomized placebo-controlled crossover trial. 24-hour energy expenditure was significantly increased at all doses of EGCG. They also evaluated EGCG-cafeine mixtures plus guaran, and found that all significantly increased 24-hour energy expenditure by 750 kJ (~160 kcal) (8%). Diepvens et al. [31] performed a randomized, double-blind, placebo controlled trial to examine the effect of green tea extract, along with a low energy diet, on REE in 46 overweight women. REE, as a function of fat-free mass, was significantly reduced over the 32-day study period in the placebo group, but not the group receiving green tea (1125 mg tea catechins + 225 mg caffeine/day). Komatsu et al. [32] conducted a randomized, placebo-controlled, crossover trial in 11 healthy females and demonstrated that a single green tea drink containing 156 mg EGCG + 161 mg caffeine and 17 mg polymerized polyphenols increased energy expenditure by 13 kcal / 2hours (5%), whereas oolong tea, containing 81 mg EGCG + 77 mg caffeine, and 68 mg polymerized polyphenols, increased energy expenditure 26 kcal / 2hours (10%). Similarly, Rumpler et al. [19] showed that oolong tea (244 mg EGCG + 270 mg caffeine) increased energy expenditure in healthy men 2.9%, for an additional 281 kcal/d over a 3-day period, versus 3.4% (331 kcal/d) for a (270 mg/d) caffeinated water drink.

We found the greatest change in REE after consuming the TMRB among the weight maintainers. Other studies evaluating similar doses of EGCG and caffeine among overweight weight maintainers also found significant increases in energy expenditure. Westerterp-Plantenga, et al. [14] conducted a randomized, placebo-controlled, double blind study in 76 overweight/obese subjects to evaluate a very low energy diet for 4 weeks, followed by 3 months of weight maintenance where a green tea-caffeine mixture (6 capsules/day totaling 270 mg EGCG + 150 mg caffeine) was provided, versus placebo. They found that individuals who consumed low amounts of caffeine/day (<300 mg/day) typically significantly increased their energy expenditure and had a positive effect on weight loss and weight maintenance. Subjects had followed a low energy diet for 4 weeks followed by a 3 months of weight maintenance.

Other potential weight control benefits of EGCG include inhibition of fatty acid synthetase [15], the primary triglyceride-making enzyme, and a shift toward fatty acid oxidation [16]. Our study demonstrated an overall decrease in RQ, which is an indicator of increased fatty acid oxidation, as well as a significant appetite suppressing affect over the short-term in response to the TMRB.

There were a few limitations of the study worth noting. The first limitation was the extrapolation of single meal thermogenic results to 3-meals to estimate the effects over a 24-hour time period. The second limitation was the lack of sufficient power needed to detect differences at the group level when clinically relevant trends emerged between lean, overweight, and weight maintaining individuals. This study was primarily designed to be a proof of concept study so was powered to detect outcome measure differences for the group as a whole. The third study limitation was the use of the one sample pre-test/post-test design. Despite the inherent limitations of this design, we felt that potential threats to validity were well-controlled as each person served as their own control, the study took place in a controlled environment, and it was conducted over a short period of time. These controls make it unlikely that factors other than the effect of the TMRB itself affected the study outcomes. Also, despite our relatively small sample size, selection bias was minimized by our recruitment of men and women with a range of different body weights, ethnicities and demographics.

CONCLUSION

Weight regain after weight loss is a nearly universal phenomenon. Thermogenic foods may help to offset this weight re-gain. TMRB may be most appropriate for patients during the maintenance phase of a diet plan as a means to prevent weight re-gain. If they saved ~100 calories per day by consuming 3 thermogenic products, patients would potentially prevent a weight regain of 10 pounds per year.

ABBREVIATIONS

OW = Overweight/obese
TMRB = Thermogenic meal replacement beverages
WM = Weight maintainer
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