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Abstract: Johanson and Vahlne’s research provides a starting point for building a model that suits general international investments and decision making processes. This research derives an integer programming investment decision model that also considers investment costs and the risk attitudes of firms. The sensitivity analysis of the revised model demonstrates how risk attitudes and investment costs influence the optimal investment decision. Compared to the cost factors, the influence of attitudes toward risk are the key to the overall decision making process.
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INTRODUCTION

Researchers have described various internationalization processes for firms. Johanson and Vahlne [1] defined one of the first models of the internationalization process and showed that understanding the marketplace or having market knowledge is essential for making new commitments and redirecting business activities to exploit international opportunities. Among the various internationalization approaches, scholars either accept [2-5] or challenge [6] the international process model developed by Johanson and Vahlne [1, 6]. Since Johanson and Vahlen’s model integrates market knowledge, experience and the risk factors into a firm’s internationalization process, their approach is consistent with generally accepted models used in the financial industry [7]. This research includes investment costs and the concepts of risk to expand the practical application of Johanson and Vahlne’s early model.

Several sensitivity analysis techniques have been developed in the literature to describe and derive parameters of importance [8-12]. In this research, an international investment decision model is derived and sensitivity analysis is used to define and explain the key factors influencing the decision outcomes. Section 2 provides a literature review of the parameters commonly used to define international investment decision models. Section 3 transforms the Johanson and Vahlne formulation to include definitions favored by financial institutions loaning capital to firms expanding operations abroad. Section 4 and Section 5 discuss the research methodology and describe the sensitivity analysis results. Finally, Section 6 presents the discussion and conclusion.
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ket knowledge and market commitment were reciprocally caused. Furthermore, Forsgren (2002) indicated that exper-
iential learning positively influenced tacit knowledge [5]. The eclectic paradigm challenges conventional internationaliza-
tion models and points out that ownership-specific advan-
tages, transfer advantages, and location advantages play im-
portant roles in the internationalization process [15]. Dun-
ning (2000) concludes that a dynamic component would make the eclectic paradigm a more useful analytical frame-
work for examining internationalization [16].

In conclusion, regarding the operational activities of
firms, internationalization behavior is often decided by a
combination of learning through the experience and incorpo-
ration of members that have international knowledge [17].
Various operational activities, which come from different
levels of market knowledge, market commitment, and com-
mitment decisions, yield different investment costs and prof-
its.

**Theory Development for the International Investment Decision Model**

Optimal investment and timing are achieved by managing
the differences between the actual and expected rewards
[17]. Firms execute investment strategies based on various
considerations. Bacon (1992) derived investment decision

\[
\text{R}_i = \text{Market } i \text{ risk situation} = C_i \cdot U_i
\]

where \(C_i\) = Market i commitment \(U_i\) = Market i uncertainty

- Maximum tolerable market (market i) risk = \(f\) (firm’s
resource position, firm’s risk approach)

Referring to investment decision models, Howe and Pat-
terson (1985) present a mixed integer programming for-
mulation of the capital rationing problem [26]. Güven and Kay-
narch (1998) developed a mixed integer investment and fi-
nancial planning model which can be used for strategic plan-
ning [27]. Gori (1996) used linear and integer programming
to make rational and consistent portfolio selections for pro-
jects undertaken in the Durban Metropolitan Region [28].
Their results indicated that the linear and integer program-
ming model can be successfully used to ensure rational and
consistent investment decisions are made over time as new
projects are considered. In conclusion, because of the differ-
ent tolerances of risk and the different risk attitudes, various
industries or companies will set different risk coefficients or
use different investment evaluation models. Based on above
discussion, this research provides an integer programming
model which integrates risk attitudes, expected values, and
investment costs into the international investment decision
for firms.

**MODEL TRANSFORMATION**

In this section, the Johanson and Vahlne’s model is trans-
formed into an international investment decision model in-
cluding investment cost and attitude toward risk. There are
four key items underlying Johanson and Vahlne’s model
(1977) – market knowledge, market commitment, commit-
ment decision, and current activities [1]. The factors for
market commitment include two state factors, the amount of
resources committed and the degree of commitment. In order
to clarify the roles of integrating the experience of the firm
into the internationalization process, they distinguish be-
tween firm experience and market experience. Because of
the performance of current activities, both experiences are
necessary. For the commitment decision, Johanson and
Vahlne (1977) distinguish between an economic effect and
an uncertainty effect for each additional commitment [1].
The following equation describes the systems of relationships
underlying Johanson and Vahlne’s commitment deci-
sion strategy.

\[
R_i^* = \text{Maximum tolerable market (market i) risk} = f \text{ (firm’s resource position, firm’s risk approach)}
\]

\[
R_i = \text{Market i risk situation} = C_i \cdot U_i
\]

Based on the above equations, companies should increase
their investment scale when \(R_i < R_i^*\) and implement an un-
certainty-reducing strategy to seek for the opportunities to
invest the market but withdraw from the market when \(R_i > R_i^*\). Risk wary decision makers prefer relatively low risks
and are willing to sacrifice some expected return in order to
reduce the variation in possible outcomes. Risk seeking deci-
sion makers prefer relatively high risks and are willing to
sacrifice some expected returns in order to increase the varia-
tion of outcomes.

According to the discussion about risk averse and risks
seeking behavior and the relationship between risk and ex-
Market commitment defines the firm’s involvement level and willingness to invest in a market and is quantified by evaluating Cost, the investment cost of the jth market. The higher the market commitment degree (Ci), the higher the investment cost (Costi) to enter a market. Investment cost may include factors such as transaction cost, management cost, operating cost, production cost, labor cost, coordination cost [30, 31], and other costs. Therefore, the cost variables may be numerous and expressed by a linear or non-linear function according to the firm’s analytical approach. Hence, when the expected revenue, multiplied by the probability of achieving the revenue is greater than the investment cost, then the firm should invest in the market. The rule for deciding when to invest in a market is PRj * ERj ≥ Costj. The revised investment decision model is derived as follows.

Maximize \( \pi = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \text{Outcome}_{ij} * P_{ij} \right) \right) - \text{Cost}_j \) * Ivj

Subject to

\( \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left( \text{Cost}_j * Ivj \right) \leq \text{Cost}_F \)

\( Ivj = (0, 1) \)

\( \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_i = 1 \)

\( 0 \leq P_i \leq 1 \)

\( 0 \leq PR_j \leq 1 \)

\( i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, m \)

\( j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, n \)

where:

Costj = the investment costs for a given market.

Cost_F = the total restricted investment cost for all the markets considered by firm.

Ivj = the decision to invest (Ivj = 1) or withdraw (Ivj = 0) from a market.

i = the number of different types of risk in a given market.

j = the number of different markets.

Outcome_{ij} = the possible outcome under condition i and j market.

P_i = the sum of probabilities under the Outcome_{ij} condition when market j is fixed.

P_{ij} = the prior probabilities of Outcome_{ij} vary with market information and market knowledge.

PR_j = the achievable probabilities of ER_j vary with the investors’ risk attitudes.

The integer-programming problem is solved using Lingo and Excel software [7]. The example sets all variables except for Ivj and the value of Ivj determines whether or not to invest in the project. After confirming Ivj, the company derives the maximum values \( \pi \) and the total cost. Therefore, the values of PRj vary depending on the firms’ risk attitudes. The risk wary investor, when facing a high risk market, sets a lower value for PRj to evaluate the market j investment decision and expects stable investment returns. On the contrary, the risk taker sets a higher PRj and expects a higher investment return. Even though the prior probability and the possible outcomes are equal for the same market situation, the investment decisions vary based on the firm’s risk attitudes. Furthermore, if the firms assign more Costj to their investment project, their investment decisions change accordingly. To confirm the revised international investment decision model, interviews were conducted with two international financial holding company managers [7]. The managers applied the model for decision making and agreed that the revised model helped their companies develop and adjust their investment strategy using risk attitudes and associated cost variables.

**RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

In addition to transforming the internationalization process model into the international investment decision model, sensitivity analysis was conducted to clarify the influence of risk attitudes and investment costs. For model confirmation, Eschenbach (1989) indicated that approaches for dealing with uncertain data include deterministic approximations, expected value analysis, simulation, and sensitivity analysis [32]. All of these techniques are used to improve decision-making, determine which data estimates should be refined, or focus managerial attention on the key elements during implementation. Sensitivity analysis has become a key method in testing the correctness and corroborating the robustness of models in several disciplines [33]. When firms deal with investment projects, many factors are uncertain. The basic purpose of investment sensitivity analysis is not only to gain insight into the impact of parameter changes to criteria values, but to understand the impact of these changes.
on the total evaluation of the investment projects validity [20, 34]. Researchers can determine factors to perform the sensitivity analysis in decision-making and implementation process. This method helps planners understand differences in decision-making under changeable variables. Hence, based on these results, sensitivity analysis is used to discuss the degree of importance for parameter changes to the international investment decision model.

**RESEARCH RESULTS**

For the revised international investment model, the PR_j values differ with risk attitude. Risk wary investors assign lower PR_j values to assess the market investment decisions and increase their chances of obtaining stable profits in high-risk markets while investors with a high risk tolerance assign higher PR_j values and expect higher investment profits. Although the P_ij and Outcome_ij values are similar within markets, investment decisions vary according to differences in risk attitude among firms. Holding the market the same, the investment decision changes according to the different Cost_j values and investment scale. Hence, this research uses these values to demonstrate the sensitivity analysis of PR_j and Cost_j variables.

**Sensitivity Analysis of Risk Attitude**

The different risk attitudes are defined as PR_j, the prior probabilities of various possible outcomes are defined as P_ij, the possible outcome under various conditions and markets as Outcome_ij, and the investment cost for a given market as Cost_j. According to the discussion in the literature review, the degree of market risk is measured using the variation in expected outcomes and the market investment risk increases with the variation in Outcome_ij. In order to analyze the possible situations in investment decision-making, the input parameters are varied against the different Cost_j values and investment scale. Hence, this research uses these values to demonstrate the sensitivity analysis of PR_j and Cost_j variables.

**Parameters**

- **PR_j**

  The achievable probabilities of ER_j vary with k^{th} risk attitudes of investors in market j. j = 1…n; k = 1…t.

- **ΔPR_j**

  The PR_j increment of investors in market j. The measurement unit is percentage.

  \[
  ΔPR_j = \frac{[PR_{j(k+1)} - PR_{jk}]}{PR_{jk}}
  \]

- **Profit ratio_j**

  The profit ratio vary with k^{th} risk attitude of investors and specific investment cost in market j. j = 1…n; k=1…t.

If investors increase their risk attitudes for the investment projects, then the variation in the rate of profit ratio is described as follows.

\[
\text{Profit ratio}_{j(k+1)} - \text{Profit ratio}_j = \frac{(PR_{j(k+1)} \cdot ER_j - Cost_j)}{Cost_j} - \frac{(PR_j \cdot ER_j - Cost_j)}{Cost_j} = \frac{ER_j}{Cost_j} \cdot (PR_{j(k+1)} - PR_j)
\]

\[
= \frac{PR \cdot ER_j}{Cost_j} \cdot ΔPR_j \quad \ldots \quad \text{We ignore parameter k and generalize the equation in this step.}
\]

\[
= \text{Profit ratio}_j \cdot ΔPR_j
\]

\[
Δ\text{Profit ratio}_j = ΔPR_j
\]

\[
ΔPR_j \uparrow \Rightarrow \text{Profit ratio} \uparrow
\]

The sensitivity analysis is conducted using Excel software as shown in Table 1. Based on the risk seeking behaviors of a firm, the different values of PR_j and the profit ratios are calculated. The higher the risk seeking behaviors of firms, the higher is the risk and return of the market under consideration.

**Table 1. Sensitivity Analysis of Risk Attitude in Specific Markets**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PR_j</th>
<th>Profit ratio_{j1}</th>
<th>Profit ratio_{j2}</th>
<th>. .</th>
<th>Profit ratio_{jn}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PR_{j1}</td>
<td>Profit ratio_{11}</td>
<td>Profit ratio_{12}</td>
<td>. .</td>
<td>Profit ratio_{1n}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR_{j2}</td>
<td>Profit ratio_{21}</td>
<td>Profit ratio_{22}</td>
<td>. .</td>
<td>Profit ratio_{2n}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR_{j3}</td>
<td>Profit ratio_{31}</td>
<td>Profit ratio_{32}</td>
<td>. .</td>
<td>Profit ratio_{3n}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. .</td>
<td>. .</td>
<td>. .</td>
<td>. .</td>
<td>. .</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR_{jt}</td>
<td>Profit ratio_{t1}</td>
<td>Profit ratio_{t2}</td>
<td>. .</td>
<td>Profit ratio_{tn}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The shaded segments in Table 1 represent the investment decisions considered by firms.

*PR_{jk}*: The k^{th} risk attitude of investors in market j. j = 1…n; k = 1…t.

*Profit ratio_{j} = (PR_{j} \cdot ER_{j} - Cost_{j})/Cost_{j}.*

* Market 1 represents the highest risk and investment return market. Market 2 represents the second risk and investment return market, etc.

Using the analytical approach of Table 1, the following example assumes that the firm considers investment in two markets. The values of P_ij, Outcome_ij, and Cost_j of market 1 (high risk and high return) and market 2 (low risk and low return) are listed and calculated as follows.

**Market 1:** The two different values of P_ij and Outcome_ij for market 1 are (0.3, NT$ 800,000) and (0.7, NT$ -250,000) with Cost_j equal to NT $ 25,000. These data can be used to calculate ER_j as equal to NT $65,000 with a variance_j of 2.315E+11.
Market 2: The values of $P_{ij}$ and $\text{Outcome}_{ij}$ of market 2 are (0.7, NT$ 80,000) and (0.3, NT$ -10,000) with a Cost$_j$ of NT$ 25,000$. The ER$_j$ equals NT$ 53,000$ with a variance$_j$ of 1.70E+09.

### Table 2. Risk Attitude and Profit Ratio Sensitivity Analysis for Market 1 and Market 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PR$_j$</th>
<th>Profit Ratio$<em>{jk}$ = (PR$</em>{jk}$*ER$_j$-Cost$_j$)/Cost$_j$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-0.74$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PR$_j$</th>
<th>Profit Ratio$<em>{jk}$ = (PR$</em>{jk}$*ER$_j$-Cost$_j$)/Cost$_j$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-0.79$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The shaded segments represent the investment decisions considered by firm. $^a$ = $(0.1*65,000-25,000)/25,000 = -0.74$. $^b$ = $(0.1*53,000-25,000)/25,000 = -0.79$.

Under the cost restrictions, the risk assessment and decision making for two markets is studied. Based on the above assumptions, the values of ER$_j$ and Variance$_j$ for market 1 exceed those for market 2 with market 1 having a higher risk than market 2. From example 1, the firm individually assesses market 1 and 2 using subjective factors. When the values of PR$_{jk}$ range from 0.1 to 0.9, the firm uses the sensitivity analysis of the revised investment decision model.

From Table 2, for the same market, the lower the given PR$_{jk}$ values in market 1 but higher PR$_{jk}$ values in market 2, the higher the firm’s risk wariness. Meanwhile, a firm’s risk tolerance and expected profit increases with increasing PR$_{jk}$ value in market 1 but decreases in market 2. Furthermore, Fig. (1) shows that the market has no investment values when the profit ratio is below zero (see the dotted line in Fig. (1)). When the PR$_{jk}$ value of market 1 exceeds 0.384 (profit ratio equals zero) and the PR$_{jk}$ value of market 2 exceeds 0.471, then the two markets hold investment value. To obtain higher investment profit ratios for firms, then the investment value of market 1 should exceed that of market 2 based on the same risk attitude.

### Sensitivity Analysis of Investment Cost

This section details the sensitivity analysis of investment cost with fixed prior probabilities, possible outcomes, and risk attitudes. Using the example 1, the analysis uses the cost factors as defined below.

**Parameters**

- **Cost$_{jg}$**: The $g^{th}$ type cost situations of investment cost considered for a given market $j$. $j = 1…n$; $g = 1…v$.
- **$\Delta$Cost$_j$**: The Cost$_j$ increment of investors in market $j$. The measurement unit is percentage. $\Delta$Cost$_j$ = [Cost$_{j(g+1)}$-Cost$_{jg}$]/Cost$_j$.
- **Profit ratio$_{jkg}$**: The profit ratio with $g^{th}$ type cost situation and $k^{th}$ risk attitude of investors in market $j$. $g=1…v$; $k=1…t$; $j=1…n$.

![Fig. (1). The relationship between risk attitude and the profit ratio.](image-url)
If investors increase their investment cost when evaluating investment projects, then the variation in the profit ratio is computed as follows.

\[
\text{Profit ratio}_{jk}\, (\text{Cost}_{jg+1}) = \frac{\left( \text{PR}_k \times \text{ER}_j \times \text{Cost}_{jg+1} - \text{Cost}_{jg} \right)}{\text{Cost}_{jg}}
\]

\[
= \text{PR}_k \times \text{ER}_j \times \frac{\text{Cost}_{jg} - \text{Cost}_{jg+1}}{\text{Cost}_{jg}}
\]

\[
= \text{PR}_k \times \text{ER}_j \times \frac{-\Delta \text{Cost}_j}{\text{Cost}_j (1 + \Delta \text{Cost}_j)} \Rightarrow \text{Profit ratio}_{jk} \rightarrow \text{Profit ratio}
\]

For analyzing the possible outcomes in investment decision-making, the input parameters are varied using the related cost factors. The resulting sensitivity analysis is shown in Table 3.

Referring to example 1, market 1 (high risk and high return) has a higher investment value than market 2 (low risk and low return) under restricted values of PR_j, Cost_j, and risk-seeking behavior. However, given variations in the cost or scale of the investment, the final decision may differ even if the values of P_ij, Outcome_ij and PR_i are the same. The following example assumes that the value of the investment cost ranges from NT$25,000 to NT$50,000. The interval value is NT$50,000 and there are six situations for which the profit ratios are calculated. Tables 4 and 5 indicate that the profit ratio decreases with increasing investment cost. With the same PR_i and with the same cost increment in the two markets, the investment in market 1 is greater than that of market 2. Even if the PR_i of a firm is fixed at 0.7, the tolerable cost increment is NT$20,000 rather than NT$10,000 for market 2. The results of the profit ratios for market 1 are based on six cost values. The profit ratio line of Market 2 is set with a restricted cost of NT$25,000. For Fig. (2), if the investment cost for market 1 is below NT$30,000, then the firm invests in market 1 or market 2 (investment cost is NT$25,000).

According to example 1, the sensitivity analysis demonstrates that when PR_i is reduced to 0.1, the reduced profit ratio of market 1 is 0.26 and the reduced profit ratio of market 2 is 0.212 (see the comparative results in Tables 4 and 5). Furthermore, when Cost_j is increased by NT$5,000, the range of decrease in the profit ratio for market 1 increases the PR_j value. That is, when the market 1 PR_j value is 0.1, the profit range decreases from 0.04 (the Cost_j increases from NT$25,000 to NT$30,000) to 0.01 (the Cost_j increases from NT$45,000 to NT$50,000). Moreover, when the PR_j value for market 1 is 0.9, the range of reduction in the profit ratio is from 0.39 (with an increase in Cost_j from NT$25,000 to NT$30,000) to 0.13 (the Cost_j increases from NT$45,000 to NT$50,000). The data distribution for market 2 is the same as that of market 1 (Table 5). The influence degree of Cost_j on the profit ratio increases with the increase in the PR_j value. Comparing the values between ΔPR_j and ΔCost_j/(1+ΔCost_j), if ΔPR_j = ΔCost_j = λ, then the value λ is more than the value of λ(1+λ). Thus, in comparison to the cost factors, the influence of risk attitude is the most important to the firms’ international investment decision.

The Sensitivity Analysis of the International Investment Decision Model

Referring to example 1, the sensitivity analysis demonstrates that when PR_i is reduced to 0.1, the reduced profit ratio of market 1 is 0.26 and the reduced profit ratio of market 2 is 0.212 (see the comparative results in Tables 4 and 5). Furthermore, when Cost_j is increased by NT$5,000, the range of decrease in the profit ratio for market 1 increases the PR_j value. That is, when the market 1 PR_j value is 0.1, the profit range decreases from 0.04 (the Cost_j increases from NT$25,000 to NT$30,000) to 0.01 (the Cost_j increases from NT$45,000 to NT$50,000). Moreover, when the PR_j value for market 1 is 0.9, the range of reduction in the profit ratio is from 0.39 (with an increase in Cost_j from NT$25,000 to NT$30,000) to 0.13 (the Cost_j increases from NT$45,000 to NT$50,000). The data distribution for market 2 is the same as that of market 1 (Table 5). The influence degree of Cost_j on the profit ratio increases with the increase in the PR_j value. Comparing the values between ΔPR_j and ΔCost_j/(1+ΔCost_j), if ΔPR_j = ΔCost_j = λ, then the value λ is more than the value of λ(1+λ). Thus, in comparison to the cost factors, the influence of risk attitude is the most important to the firms’ international investment decision.

**Table 3. Sensitivity Analysis of Investment Cost and Profit Ratio in a Given Market**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Market j Profit Ratio_k</th>
<th>Investment Cost Unit: NT$ Dollars</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PR_k *</td>
<td>Investment Cost (Cost_j)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost_j1</td>
<td>Cost_j2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost_j1</td>
<td>Cost_j2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost_j1</td>
<td>Cost_j2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost_j1</td>
<td>Cost_j2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost_j1</td>
<td>Cost_j2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The shaded segments in the Table 3 represent the investment decision considered by firms.

- PR_k: The kth risk attitude of varied investors in market j, k = 1…t.
- Profit ratio_jk = (PR_k \* ER_j \* Cost_j/k)/Cost_j, k = 1…t; j = 1…n.  
- Cost_j/k means the initial investment cost in market j. Cost_j means the initial investment cost plus the cost increment in market j.

The analytical results demonstrate that the PR_j and Cost_j influence the optimal solution of the international investment decision model. That is, even though the ER_j value of market 1 is higher than that of market 2, market 1 has a higher profit ratio than market 2. With the increase in Cost_j, if the PR_j value is high enough to allow the calculated profit ratio to exceed zero, it is still worthwhile to invest. The ER_j value of market 2 is lower than that of market 1. Therefore, the increased investment cost of market 2 easily exceeds the firm’s tolerance degree and the computed profit ratio is below zero. Simultaneously, despite the PR_j value being 0.9, the firm still does not invest in market 2.

Johanson and Vahlne indicated that reducing market uncertainty or market commitment would help firms reduce investment costs and increase international investment aspirations. That is, if the risk tolerance degree R_j is sufficiently
high to let \( R_i \leq R^*_i \), then the probability of firms making a positive international investment is increased. The sensitivity analysis of the revised international investment decision model indicates that both firm risk attitude and investment cost exert significant influence on the final investment decision. If the investor increases the value of \( PR_j \) or decreases the value of \( Cost_j \) while keeping the profit ratio greater than zero, then the probability of investing increases.

### Table 4. Market 1 Sensitivity Analysis of Risk Attitude, Investment Cost and Profit Ratio

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investment Cost Unit: NT$ dollars</th>
<th>( PR_{1k} )</th>
<th>( 25,000 )</th>
<th>( 30,000 )</th>
<th>( 35,000 )</th>
<th>( 40,000 )</th>
<th>( 45,000 )</th>
<th>( 50,000 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-0.74</td>
<td>-0.78</td>
<td>-0.81</td>
<td>-0.84</td>
<td>-0.86</td>
<td>-0.87</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-0.48</td>
<td>-0.57</td>
<td>-0.63</td>
<td>-0.68</td>
<td>-0.71</td>
<td>-0.74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
<td>-0.44</td>
<td>-0.51</td>
<td>-0.57</td>
<td>-0.61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td>-0.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The shaded segments express the investment ranges for the values of \( PR_{jk} \) and \( Cost_{jk} \).

### DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Managers have expressed dissatisfaction with traditional net present value (NPV) or discounted cash flow (DCF) techniques since the cash flow must be forecast over the expected time of the future profits, taxation policy, exchange rates, and political climate [35]. The appropriate risk-adjusted discount factor must be obtained and most firms seldom change the discount rate to match the increased risk. In spite of these shortcomings, using a firm's characteristics to establish a specific risk evaluation system is important for building a profitable investment strategy. Sensitivity analysis yields a great deal of information about the effect of input parameters on the examined criteria for investment project decision making [20]. In our model, the \( PR_j \) and \( Cost_j \) significantly influence the international investment decision. Moreover, \( PR_j \) is more influential than \( Cost_j \) and the analytical results demonstrate the crucial importance of the risk attitudes of firms. In addition to risk attitude, differences in market information and market uncertainty influence the estimates of prior probabilities, expected revenue and investment costs of firms. Hence, if firms cannot accurately forecast market variations and make investment decisions based simply on their risk attitude, then forecast error and profit loss will likely occur. The research limitations of this study are described as follows. First, parameters in the model simulation are given fixed values but in practice parameters for decision-making are varied and uncertain. Second, the risk factors vary with the decision maker’s opinion. Finally, the results of the sensitivity analysis indicate that the risk attitude of firms has a higher degree of influence than investment cost. Consequently, the risk attitude of the final decision maker can drive the international investment decision. The international investment decision model helps investors make decisions using computer based simulations. The model is simple and the variables are general and easily adapted by decision makers. Although the parameters in the simulation used fixed and subjective values, the equations differentiate between the two parameters of risk attitude and cost provided in the study. Therefore, the generalizeability of the model is supported. Future research can enlarge the revised model and variables choices, using sensitivity analysis.

![Fig. (2). The comparative diagram of risk attitude and profit ratio given various investment costs and markets.](image)
to evaluate the decision making processes across different industry sectors.

Table 5. Market 2 Sensitivity Analysis of Risk Attitude, Investment Cost and Profit Ratio

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Market 2 Profit Ratio</th>
<th>Investment Cost Unit: NTS Dollars</th>
<th>Investment Cost (Cost$_{2k}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-0.79</td>
<td>-0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-0.58</td>
<td>-0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-0.36</td>
<td>-0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>-0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The shaded segments express the investment ranges for the values of PR$_{2k}$ and Cost$_{2k}$.
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