# Effect of a Direct Thrombin Inhibitor Compared with Dalteparin and Unfractionated Heparin on Human Osteoblasts

Tobias Winkler\*, Carsten Perka, Dörte Matziolis and Georg Matziolis

Center of Musculoskeletal Surgery, Berlin Brandenburg Center for Regenerative Medicine, Charité – Universitaetsmedizin Berlin, Germany

**Abstract:** *Purpose*: Osteoporosis is a relevant problem after long term administration of unfractionated heparin (UFH) and low molecular weight heparin. Melagatran is a representative of a new group of direct thrombin inhibitors with comparable data in the prevention of thromboembolic events after orthopaedic surgery. The aim of our *in vitro* study was to investigate the effect of a direct thrombin inhibitor compared with dalteparin and UFH on human osteoblasts.

*Materials and Methods*: Melagatran, dalteparin and UFH were added to primary osteoblast cultures in their therapeutic range and two decimal powers below and above. Cell number, protein synthesis, mitochondrial and alkaline phosphatase activity and collagen type I synthesis were evaluated.

*Results*: Melagatran showed the least influence on protein synthesis and cell proliferation with a reduction of cell number to  $83.5 \pm 9\%$  (p = 0.027) of the control group only in the highest investigated concentration after 15 days of incubation.

Mitochondrial and alkaline phosphatase activity and collagen type I synthesis in osteoblasts incubated with melagatran and dalteparin showed similar patterns. UFH showed the most pronounced influence on cellular metabolism.

*Conclusions*: Melagatran showed less inhibitory *in vitro* effects on human osteoblasts than dalteparin or UFH. The presented study gives first hints that direct thrombin inhibitors may help prevent heparin-induced negative effects on bone metabolism.

Keywords: Direct thrombin inhibitor, dalteparin, heparin, osteoblasts, osteoporosis.

# **INTRODUCTION**

Anticoagulant therapy is capable of drastically reducing the incidence of thromboembolic events after orthopaedic and traumatologic interventions [1-3]. A relevant side effect of unfractionated heparin (UFH) and low molecular weight heparins is the induction of osteopenia during long-term therapy [4-6], which can progress to osteoporosis with the risk of pathological fractures [7, 8]. Shaugnessy *et al.* showed a 30% decrease of cancellous bone volume in a rat model after only 28 days of UFH administration [9]. Tinzaparin, a representative of the low molecular weight heparins (LMWH), reduced the bone mineral density by 18.5% after an application of 32 days [10].

The direct thrombin inhibitor ximelagatran is a member of a new group of anticoagulants, which can be administered orally in contrast to the heparins. After intake ximelagatran is converted to the active agent melagatran through dealkylation and dehydroxylation.

Clinical studies proved the effectiveness of melagatran during long-term prophylaxis of thromboembolic events [11, 12].

Side effects of melagatran on bone metabolism have not been investigated yet. One of the most sensible markers for heparin-induced osteopenia is a loss in osteoblast number [13-15]. Therefore the aim of this study was to describe the effect of melagatran on human osteoblasts *in vitro* in comparison to conventional heparins, namely UFH and the LMWH dalteparin. Apart from the influence of the drugs on cell proliferation we also investigated parameters of osteoblast metabolism as mitochondrial activity, collagen production, alkaline phosphatase activity and protein synthesis.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

Osteoblast cultures were derived from cancellous bone from six individuals (aged 63 – 78 years, males 3, females 3) harvested during total knee replacement according to a previously published procedure [15]. Bone metabolism disorders were excluded preoperatively by laboratory tests and bone density measurement (Lunar-DPXL, General Electric, Germany).

# **Cell Culture**

The cancellous bone was separated into fragments of approximately 1 mm<sup>3</sup> size, which were rinsed with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) until no fat droplets and erythrocytes were macroscopically visible in the supernatant anymore. Eight cancellous cubes were seeded on a Petri dish (BD Bioscience, Germany) and incubated with 8 ml of

<sup>\*</sup>Address correspondence to this author at the Center for Musculoskeletal Surgery, Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Department of Orthopaedics, Charitéplatz 1, D-10117 Berlin, Germany; Tel: +49 - 30 - 450 615109; Fax: +49 - 30 - 450 515922; E-mail: tobias.winkler@charite.de



Fig. (1). Presentation of the therapeutic plasma concentrations of the substances investigated (UFH 0.3 - 0.7 IU/ml [26], dalteparin 0.2 - 0.5 IU/ml [9], melagatran 0.05 - 0.5 nmol/ml [12] and the tested concentrations.

medium (DMEM Ham's F12 with 10% of fetal calf serum + penicillin + streptomycin, Gibco, Germany) which was renewed at 3 day intervals. Upon confluence of the cells, they were transferred to cell culture flasks (BD Bioscience, Germany) using 0.25% trypsin (Sigma, Germany). After two weeks the cells could be used for the investigations and were seeded onto 48-well plates (BD Bioscience, Germany) with a density of 2500 cells/well in 0.5 ml medium.

After adherence of the cells, the culture medium was renewed and melagatran, dalteparin, UFH, or PBS was added. Dosages of the drugs were referenced to the therapeutic plasma concentrations with additional dosages at two decimal powers above and beneath the latter (Fig. 1).

Media and substances were changed in two day intervals. Measurements were performed on the  $15^{th}$  day after addition of the substances (n = 12 wells per concentration and timepoint).

#### **Cell Proliferation**

Cell number was determined every second day (n = 6 wells per concentration and timepoint).

Cells were rinsed twice with PBS and incubated with 0.25% trypsin for 5 min. Total detachment was controlled

microscopically before measuring cell concentration using a cell counter (CASY II, Schärfe Systems, Germany).

# **Cell Vitality Test**

Activity of mitochondrial dehydrogenase was determined to evaluate cell vitality. For this purpose a test kit (Cell Proliferation Kit II, Roche Molecular Biochemicals, Switzerland) based on the metabolization of XTT (sodium 3'-[1-[(phenylamino)carbonyl]-3,4-tetrazolium]-bis(4-methoxy-6nitro)benzolsulfonic acid hydrate) was used [16, 17]. Two hours after the addition of 120  $\mu$ l reagent and 240  $\mu$ l medium per well, 300  $\mu$ l from each well were transferred to a 96-well plate and read off spectrophotometrically at 450 nm against a reference of 630 nm using an ELISA reader (Dynex Revelation 4.22, Microtek, Taiwan).

#### **Measurement of Protein Concentration**

Due to the high amount of protein in FCS, cells were rinsed for three times and incubated with FCS-free medium plus substance 24 hours before measurement. After this period 150  $\mu$ l of supernatant of each well were transferred to a 96-well plate and mixed 1: 1 with reagent (BCA Protein Assay, Pierce, USA). After 30 minutes the protein value was

determined against a standard curve of bovine standard albumin (0-512  $\mu$ g/ml BSA) at 630 nm.

# Measurement of Alkaline Phosphatase (ALP) Activity

After evaluation of the protein concentration the remaining medium was aspirated and the cells were rinsed with PBS. 500  $\mu$ l of reagent (37.5 parts by volume 0.5 M NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, 12.5 parts by volume 0.01 M MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 25 parts by volume distilled water and 25 parts by volume 0.1 M PNPP, p-nitrophenyl phosphate, Sigma, USA) were added. After an incubation of 8 h at 37 °C, the activity of ALP was determined photometrically at 405 nm against a standard curve.

#### Measurement of Matrix Collagen Type I

The plates were rinsed three times with PBS and incubated overnight at 4 °C with anti-collagen type I rabbit polyclonal antibodies diluted 1: 150 (Chemicon, USA), and treated by the EnVision<sup>TM</sup> method (DAKO, Denmark). After repeated washes with PBS, the wells were reacted with diaminobenzidine solution containing 0.01% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>.

The plates were scanned using the resolutions 600 x 600 dots/inch and 8 bits/colour (red, green, blue). After separation of the magenta-coloured channel, representing the immunostaining [18], the mean greyscale value of each well was determined by defining the region of interest and calculating the histogram. All image operations were performed on an Intel<sup>®</sup> Pentium<sup>®</sup> M 715 processor using Corel Draw 10<sup>®</sup>.

#### **Preliminary Tests**

In order to determine the influence of the substances on the measuring procedure, all of the above-mentioned concentration and activity determinations were first conducted without cells.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

All measurements were repeated three times under the same conditions, whereby two parallel sets were conducted at each time. Mean and standard deviations were determined for each group. Significance analysis was performed with the nonparametric Mann-Whitney U test for independent samples.

#### RESULTS

The preliminary tests showed that the substances added to the medium did not influence the results in the investigated concentration ranges.

#### **Proliferation Assay**

UFH and dalteparin caused an increase of cellular proliferation up to the 2.3-fold, respectively 1.5-fold of the control group without substance at concentrations between 0.05 and 5 U/ml (p < 0.001) (Fig. 2). 50 U/ml UFH inhibited cellular proliferation to  $10 \pm 3\%$ , 50 U/ml dalteparin to  $39 \pm 15\%$  of the control group (p < 0.001 both)

In contrast to that melagatran only had an influence on osteoblast proliferation in the highest investigated concentration with a reduction of cell number to  $84 \pm 9\%$  of the control group (p = 0.027).

UFH and dalteparin inhibited osteoblast proliferation from the fourth day on, melagatran from the sixth day on after application (Fig. **3**).

#### Mitochondrial Activity (Fig. 4)

UFH and dalteparin caused an increase of mitochondrial activity at 0.5 U/ml to 125% respectively 124% of the



Fig. (2). Effect of melagatran, dalteparin and UFH on cell proliferation. Relative cell content per well on day 15 after incubation, standardized to the control group without substance in relation to the concentration of the substances investigated. (control = 1).



Cell proliferation under the influence of the 100 fold plasma concentration

Fig. (3). Cell proliferation (absolute cell numbers per well) under the influence of the highest investigated concentration (UFH and dalteparin; 50 IU/ml, melagatran: 50 nmol/ml).

control group (p = 0.022 and p = 0.027). In contrast to that higher concentrations of UFH and dalteparin (50 U/ml) reduced cellular activity to  $26 \pm 2\%$  (p < 0.001) respectively  $67 \pm 10\%$  of the control group (p = 0.003). Melagatran inhibited cellular activity at 5 and 50 nmol/ml to  $77 \pm 15\%$  (p = 0.04) respectively  $57 \pm 8\%$  of the control group (p < 0.001).

#### Protein Synthesis (Fig. 5)

At 50 U/ml UFH and dalteparin reduced osteoblast protein synthesis to  $55 \pm 5\%$  (p < 0.001) respectively 68  $\pm 12\%$  (p = 0.013) of the control group without substances. In contrast to that melagatran had no influence on the protein synthesis of the cells in the investigated concentrations.



Fig. (4). Optical density according to the XTT-Test, standardized to the control group without substance in relation to the concentration of the substances investigated. (control = 1).



Fig. (5). Relative protein concentrations of the medium in relation to the concentration of the substances investigated. (control = 1).

# Alkaline Phosphatase Activity (Fig. 6)

Activity of alkaline phosphatase was reduced to  $32 \pm 3\%$  of the control group by 50 U/ml UFH (p < 0.001). In comparison to that 50 U/ml dalteparin only caused a reduction to  $70 \pm 11\%$  of the control group (p = 0.013). 50 nmol/ml melagatran inhibited ALP activity to  $66 \pm 12\%$  of the control group (p = 0.012).

# Collagen Type I Synthesis (Fig. 7)

UFH increased the collagen type I synthesis in a concentration dependent manner between 0.005 and 0.5 U/ml up to the two-fold value of the control group at 0.5

U/ml. In contrast to that higher concentrations of UFH (5 U/ml and 50 U/ml) lead to a decrease of collagen type I deposition (72  $\pm$  57% (p = 0.056) respectively 9  $\pm$  10% (p < 0.001) of the control group). Melagatran and dalteparin effected a reduction of collagen type I deposition at their highest *in vitro* concentrations also, but only to 46  $\pm$  45% (p = 0.013) respectively 54  $\pm$  10% (p < 0.001).

# DISCUSSION

Osteoblast number and function is crucial for the process of fracture healing, the integration of endoprosthetic implants but also for the permanent remodelling processes of bone.



Fig. (6). Alkaline phosphatase activity of the osteoblasts, standardized to the control group without substance in relation to the concentration of the substances investigated. (control = 1).



Fig. (7). Relative collagen type I deposition per well, standardized to the control group without substance in relation to the concentration of the substances investigated. (control = 1).

The decreased bone mineral density after or during heparin therapy results in a higher risk of fractures [7], in an inhibition of fracture healing [19] (Kock, Werther 2002) and may compromise the integration of endoprosthetic implants [20-22] In the present study we investigated for the first time the effects of a member of a new family of oral anticoagulants on human osteoblasts. Changes in osteoblast number and function were compared with changes under the influence of dalteparin und UFH.

Limitations of the study are the investigation of only one member of the group of direct thrombin inhibitors, melagatran, on the one hand and on the other hand the fact that an *in vitro* study can only mimic *in vivo* conditions but not entirely describe them. Furthermore the study focussed on osteoblasts and did not investigate osteoclast function or evaluate transcription factors. Therefore the results of our study can only be seen as promising first hints towards a possible advantage of direct thrombin inhibitors concerning osteometabolism.

In the investigated parameters cell number, activity of mitochondrial dehydrogenase, protein synthesis, collagen type I synthesis and activity of alkaline phosphatase melagatran showed similar or less impact on the osteoblasts than dalteparin and UFH in clinically relevant concentrations.

Heparins accumulate in bone and high substance concentrations around osteoblasts can be expected [8, 9]. Hence, the results obtained under the influence of the 10-fold and 100-fold therapeutical concentration of the substances are crucial for their clinical use.

In the highest investigated concentration (50 nmol/ml) melagatran only reduced the cell count to 84% of the control group after 15 days of incubation, whereas reduction of cell count was far more pronounced under the influence of

dalteparin (39%, 50 U/ml) and UFH (10%, 50 U/ml). This loss in osteoblasts is to be held responsible for the development of heparin-induced osteopenia [10, 13, 14].

In the present in vitro model negative effects of UFH on cellular proliferation and function could be seen in accordance with the literature. In contrast to that melagatran affected human osteoblasts to a lesser extent, comparable or even less than dalteparin. Based on the presented results advantages concerning the prevention of heparin-induced osteopenia, particularly during long term therapy, could be possible with the use of direct thrombin inhibitors. If this group can be used for long term anticoagulation in future still has to be elucidated. In a study with a 35-day period of intake of ximelagatran, being the first member of oral direct thrombin inhibitors, one patient showed a severe although reversible liver damage and ximelagatran was taken from the market - also since elevated liver enzymes had been reported as an adverse effect beforehand. (EXTEND Study, ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier NCT00206089) Since the effectiveness of melagatran in long term prophylaxis of thromboembolic events had already been shown [11, 12], the future replacement of subcutaneously injected substances by oral anticoagulants can be expected. The oral application is superior to subcutaneous therapy, on the one hand because of better patient acceptance [10] and on the other hand because of fewer side effects of the application itself [23, 24].

The anticoagulatory potential of melagatran could be proven to be equal respectively better than that of LMWHs. The METHRO II study showed a reduction of incidence of deep venous thrombosis to 15.1% in the melagatran group compared to 28.2% in the dalteparin group (p < 0.001) [25]. The comparison with enoxaparin in a study with 2835 patients, who underwent total knee or hip arthroplasty, also revealed a more pronounced reduction of the incidence of deep venous thrombosis in the melagatran group (20.3% versus 26.6%, p < 0.001). Bleeding rates did not differ within groups [26].

Particularly in patients with a reduced bone mineral density, e.g. after steroid therapy or because of renal insufficiency, hyperparathyroidism or idiopathic osteoporosis, prophylaxis of thromboembolism with substances with a smaller osteocatabolic potential than heparin is decisive. The presented study gives firsts hints that direct thrombin inhibitors could represent an alternative in this place.

# **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The study has been funded by performance-oriented means of the Charité. No financial support of the manufacturer of the test drug (Astra Zeneca) was received for this study.

#### **ABBREVIATIONS**

UFH = Unfractioned heparin

LMWH = Low molecular weight heparin

ALP = Alkaline phosphatase

#### REFERENCES

- Francis CW, Pellegrini VD, Jr., Totterman S, *et al.* Prevention of deep-vein thrombosis after total hip arthroplasty. Comparison of warfarin and dalteparin. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1997; 79(9): 1365-72.
- [2] Colwell CW, Jr., Spiro TE. Efficacy and safety of enoxaparin to prevent deep vein thrombosis after hip arthroplasty. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1995; (319): 215-22.
- [3] Moskovitz PA, Ellenberg SS, Feffer HL, et al. Low-dose heparin for prevention of venous thromboembolism in total hip arthroplasty and surgical repair of hip fractures. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1978; 60(8): 1065-70.
- [4] Douketis JD, Ginsberg JS, Burrows RF, Duku EK, Webber CE, Brill-Edwards P. The effects of long-term heparin therapy during pregnancy on bone density. A prospective matched cohort study. Thromb Haemost 1996; 75(2): 254-7.
- [5] Ginsberg JS, Kowalchuk G, Hirsh J, et al. Heparin effect on bone density. Thromb Haemost 1990; 64(2): 286-9.
- [6] Wawrzynska L, Tomkowski WZ, Przedlacki J, Hajduk B, Torbicki A. Changes in bone density during long-term administration of low-molecular-weight heparins or acenocoumarol for secondary prophylaxis of venous thromboembolism. Pathophysiol Haemost Thromb 2003; 33(2): 64-7.
- [7] Squires JW, Pinch LW. Heparin-induced spinal fractures. JAMA 1979; 241(22): 2417-8.
- [8] Dahlman TC. Osteoporotic fractures and the recurrence of thromboembolism during pregnancy and the puerperium in 184 women undergoing thromboprophylaxis with heparin. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1993; 168(4): 1265-70.
- [9] Shaughnessy SG, Hirsh J, Bhandari M, Muir JM, Young E, Weitz JI. A histomorphometric evaluation of heparin-induced bone loss

Received: August 8, 2010

Revised: January 11, 2011

Accepted: January 13, 2011

© Winkler et al.; Licensee Bentham Open.

Winkler et al.

- 93(4): 1231-6.
  [10] Muir JM, Hirsh J, Weitz JI, Andrew M, Young E, Shaughnessy SG. A histomorphometric comparison of the effects of heparin and low-molecular-weight heparin on cancellous bone in rats. Blood 1997; 89(9): 3236-42.
- [11] Petersen P. Ximelagatran--a promising new drug in thrombo-embolic disorders. Curr Pharm Des 2005; 11(4): 527-38.
- [12] McCullough PA, Dorrell KA, Sandberg KR, Yerkey MW. Ximelagatran: a novel oral direct thrombin inhibitor for long-term anticoagulation. Rev Cardiovasc Med 2004; 5(2): 99-103.
- [13] Akkas N, Yeni YN, Turan B, Delilbasi E, Gunel U. Effect of medication on biomechanical properties of rabbit bones: heparin induced osteoporosis. Clin Rheumatol 1997; 16(6): 585-95.
- [14] Bhandari M, Hirsh J, Weitz JI, Young E, Venner TJ, Shaughnessy SG. The effects of standard and low molecular weight heparin on bone nodule formation *in vitro*. Thromb Haemost 1998; 80(3): 413-7.
- [15] Matziolis G, Perka C, Disch A, Zippel H. Effects of fondaparinux compared with dalteparin, enoxaparin and unfractionated heparin on human osteoblasts. Calcif Tissue Int 2003; 73(4): 370-9.
- [16] Roehm NW, Rodgers GH, Hatfield SM, Glasebrook AL. An improved colorimetric assay for cell proliferation and viability utilizing the tetrazolium salt XTT. J Immunol Methods 1991; 142(2): 257-65.
- [17] Scudiero DA, Shoemaker RH, Paull KD, et al. Evaluation of a soluble tetrazolium/formazan assay for cell growth and drug sensitivity in culture using human and other tumor cell lines. Cancer Res 1988; 48(17): 4827-33.
- [18] Ruifrok AC, Johnston DA. Quantification of histochemical staining by color deconvolution. Anal Quant Cytol Histol 2001; 23(4): 291-9.
- [19] Kock HJ, Werther S, Uhlenkott H, Taeger G. Influence of unfractionated and low-molecular-weight heparin on bone healing: an animal model. Unfallchirurg 2002; 105(9): 791-6.
- [20] Kobayashi S, Eftekhar NS, Terayama K. Predisposing factors in fixation failure of femoral prostheses following primary Charnley low friction arthroplasty. A 10- to 20-year followup study. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1994; 306: 73-83.
- [21] Deo S, Gibbons CL, Emerton M, Simpson AH. Total hip replacement in renal transplant patients. J Bone Joint Surg Br 1995; 77(2): 299-302.
- [22] Devlin VJ, Einhorn TA, Gordon SL, Alvarez EV, Butt KM. Total hip arthroplasty after renal transplantation. Long-term follow-up study and assessment of metabolic bone status. J Arthroplasty 1988; 3(3): 205-13.
- [23] Hadley SA, Chang M, Rogers K. Effect of syringe size on bruising following subcutaneous heparin injection. Am J Crit Care 1996; 5(4): 271-6.
- [24] Andereya S, Kalicke T, Hopf KF, Buschmeier M, Muhr G. Serious complication after subcutaneous injection of heparin for prophylaxis of thromboembolism. Case report. Unfallchirurg 2003; 106(2): 182-3.
- [25] Eriksson BI. Clinical experience of melagatran/ximelagatran in major orthopaedic surgery. Thromb Res 2003; 109(Suppl 1): S23-9.
- [26] Eriksson BI, Agnelli G, Cohen AT, et al. The direct thrombin inhibitor melagatran followed by oral ximelagatran compared with enoxaparin for the prevention of venous thromboembolism after total hip or knee replacement: the EXPRESS study. J Thromb Haemost 2003; 1(12): 2490-6.