Traumatic Rupture of the Distal Triceps Tendon (A Series of 7 Cases)

H. Neumann¹, A.-P. Schulz², S. Breer¹, M. Faschingbauer¹ and B. Kienast^{*,1,2}

¹Department of Traumatology, Orthopaedics and Sports Traumatology, BG Trauma Center Hamburg, Germany ²Department of Traumatology & Orthopaedics, University of Schleswig-Holstein, Campus Lübeck, Germany

Abstract: Even non-traumatic ruptures of the triceps tendon are rare, surgical therapy should be recommended in all cases, because of poor results after non-operative treatment. A golden standard for the surgical procedure is not established. A small series of traumatic distal tendon ruptures was treated surgical in our hospital and was followed up after 12 months concerning their function. Very good and good results could be found with a strong reintegration of the tendon by using transosseus sutures with non resorbable suture material. The refixation with suture anchors showed disappointing results with early pull-outs of the anchor. Revision with screw augmentation with a washer had to be performed. Concerning the biomechanical forces, which show up on the olecranon (up to 40 NM), the refixation of the triceps tendon has proved to be extremely resistant against pull out forces. The good results by using non absorbable transosseus sutures led to a standardized procedure in our trauma center, even the rupture is not traumatic.

Keywords: Avulsion, refixation, rupture, tendon, traumatic, triceps.

INTRODUCTION

Ruptures of the distal triceps tendon (DTTR) are rare injuries [1]. DTTR are often found in combination with other injuries of the elbow or other joints [2, 3]. Recent studies on tendon avulsions reported of a frequency of 2% of DTTR [4]. However studies analyzing DTTR are rare [5]. DTTR have been reported to be associated with anabolic steroid use, weight lifting, and laceration. Other local and systemic risk factors include local steroid injection, olecranon bursitis, and hyperparathyroidism [6]. Also comorbidities such as renal failure, diabetes and rheumatoid arthritis can increase the risk for DTTR [7, 8]. In cases of secondary hyperparathyroidism the osteoclastic activity is raised and often leads to dystrophic calcification weakening the tendon [9].

Often an indirect trauma by falling on the outstretched hand leads to distal triceps tendon injuries [10]. Thus an eccentrically overload of the contracted triceps muscle leads to the rupture [11, 12]. However, also direct force impact on the back on the elbow by falling on the flexed elbow has been reported in cases of triceps tendon ruptures too [12].

The typical injury of the triceps tendon is an avulsion from the osseous anchor of the tendon on the olecranon (Fig. 1). The diagnosis of distal triceps tendon ruptures is often difficult, so cases with misdiagnosed and untreated DTTR have been reported. In these cases a more complex surgical reconstruction has to be performed [13].

Patients with DTTR often present with a swollen elbow due to hematoma and the disability to extend the flexed elbow against force. Fractures of the olecranon can be detected by conventional radiography. In addition, in clinically unclear cases, DTTR can be detected by MRI or sonography [14, 15].



Fig. (1). Typical Radograph of fresh distal triceps tendon rupture with dislocation of the osseus anchorage of the tendon.

Studies on cases of missed diagnosis or delayed therapy often report poor results with pain and loss of power of the extension of the elbow [16, 17].

The treatment of choice is surgical and often performed by using nonabsorbable sutures *via* drill holes in the olecranon for refixation (Fig. 2) [11]. Other studies also reported good results by using suture anchors. Arthroscopic treatment of DTTR has been reported in case reports or in treatment of partial avulsion of the triceps tendon as well [18, 19].

However a standard technique for the surgical approach of the rupture of the triceps tendon has yet to be established [20].

^{*}Address correspondence to this author at the BG Trauma Center Hamburg, Bergedorfertstr. 10, D-21033 Hamburg, Germany; Tel: 040-73062241; Fax: 040-41466969; Email: bekienast@web.de

Traumatic Rupture of the Distal Triceps Tendon (A Series of 7 Cases)

Thus, we analyzed our patients with traumatic triceps tendon ruptures in regards to their best operative treatment and clinical outcome.



Fig. (2). Refixation of the triceps tendon rupture by transosseus sutures.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Seven patients (5 male, 2 female) with DTTR were treated surgically in the BG Trauma Center Hamburg between January 2011 and December 2012. All these patients were included, who showed up in the reported time period with a traumatic DTTR, reporting of posterior hit of their elbows. Exclusion criteria were non-traumatic DTTR and cases with concomitant elbow injuries. All patients included in this study provided written Informed Consent and met all inclusion criteria.

The mean age was 49 years \pm 18 years. The mean time of follow up after surgery was 12 months \pm 1.5 months.

All patients in this study had a direct contact trauma by falling on or hitting a fixed resistance with the posterior elbow. No comorbidities which could affect the integrity of the triceps tendon were detected. All patients were examined clinically and conventional radiographs were obtained.

The treatment was surgical in all cases. All operations were performed by two senior physicians. Refixation of the triceps tendon was performed by nonabsorbable sutures through bone tunnels in 6 cases. In one patient refixation of the tendon was accomplished by suture anchors (FASTak[®], Arthrex GmbH, Munich, Germany). The postoperative procedure included an immobilization by a cast in outstretched position. Passive flexion up to 30° of elbow flexion was allowed for the first two weeks and was increased every two weeks by 30° degrees. After 6 weeks postoperatively no further movement restrictions were applied and the cast was removed.

The clinical examination included evaluation of the Morrey Score (Table 3) and Radin and Riseborough score (Table 4) [21, 22]. The Morrey score was initially developed to access the function and pain level after injuries of the radial head and includes especially several functional tests to evaluate the range of motion of the elbow. The Radin and Riseborough score was developed for bony injuries of the elbow as well and represents a score, which includes pain und function and is easy in its application. The advantage of these both scores lies in their specification to access particular functional disorders and pain of the elbow. The subjective evaluation of the clinical outcome of the patients elbow function was assessed by the DASH score (disability of arm, shoulder and hand) [23]. The radiological follow-up was performed by a conventional radiograph of the elbow respecting the often visible osseous avulsion of the triceps tendon.

RESULTS

At follow-up of all cases were presented with a secure engraftment of the triceps tendon. Infections or soft tissue complications were not detected. In regards to the Morrey score 6 patients showed a very good result; one patient had a good result (Table 1). In regards to the Radin and Riseborough score, 6 patients demonstrated a good result, one patient showed only a moderate result (Table 2). The mean result in the subjective DASH score was 10.3. At follow-up radiological assessment showed no dislocation of the refixated avulsion of the triceps tendon or further calcifications. No patient had neurologic disorders on the treated extremity. All patients showed full extension of the elbow in the follow-up examinations. One patient had small loss of flexion (110°). All other showed good results concerning the flexion of the elbow (>120°). The rotation of the forearms of all patients was not restricted.

One patient treated with suture anchors needed an early revision of the suture because the suture anchor was pulled out in the postoperative radiograph (Fig. 3). This patient was then treated with conventional nonabsorbable sutures and screw augmentation (Fig. 4). Follow-up radiographs showed no dislocation of the originally dislocated osseous anchor of the triceps tendon. Besides no further complications have been observed.

DISCUSSION

Non-traumatic ruptures of the distal triceps tendon are an extremely rare injury (0,8% of 1014 tendon injuries) with no gold therapeutic standard [1]. We present our experiences in the treatment of isolated traumatic triceps tendon injuries, with a small group of 7 patients followed up. Statistical significant results cannot be expected due to the small number of patients and the rarity of this injury. But the bad results expectable after conservative treatment should at least be worth a try to evaluate the best therapeutic method for this injury.

All surgically treated tendon injuries were included into this assessment. In all cases presented, a solid refixation of the triceps tendon was achieved. The clinical results overall



Fig. (3). Dislocation of the suture anchor after refixation the triceps tendon rupture.



Fig. (4). Radiograph after refixation the triceps tendon with transosseous suture and screw augmentation after suture anchor failure.

showed very good to good results with a high satisfactory rate among our patients. Concerning the pulling out of the suture anchor in one case, the subsequently performed operation by strong nonabsorbable sutures lead to a good result. Concerning the daily use of the elbow we achieved a good quality of live in our patients with the above documented results concerning flexion and extension of the elbow. Furthermore the results are convincing, if one considers the normal range of elbow motion in flexion and extension is approximately 0° to 140° , with a range of 30° to 130° required for most activities of daily living [24-26].

Table 1. Number of cases according Morrey score.

Morrey-Score	100-95: very good	95-85: good	80-50: moderate	<50: bad
	6	1	None	None

Table 2. Number of cases according Radin and Riseborough score.

Radin and Riseborough Score	Good	Moderate	Bad
	6	1	None

The comparison of our results with the literature is difficult, due to often published case reports, which miss usually a scoring examination. Heikenfeld *et al.* examined superficial, partial injuries of the triceps tendon and could show similar result according the DASH score (15.6) [19]. To our best knowledge the Morrey score und the Radin and Risbourogh score have not been applied to series of triceps injuries, but studies concerning evaluation of other elbow injuries (radial head, olecranon) use these scores regularly [27-29].



Fig. (5). Approximated calculation of lever forces on the olecranon, when loading the distal ulna with 1kg of weight.

Due to the mandate of our trauma center to treat mainly work-related accidents, a number of patients who were initially treated in local centers could be examined afterwards in our clinic. Thus we were able to observe further patients with this specific injury, who were however not included into this study. By that we could compare different methods of refixation for the triceps tendon and saw cases with failure or secondary dislocation of the refixation, when suture anchors or small screw fixation was performed. Due to the complications in treatment of olecranon fractures or olecranon osteotomies the fixation of these fractures and their union can be complicated [30, 31]. The difficulty of

Table 3.Morrey score.

	Points
Pain	
No pain	30
little pain, no pain killer	25
little pain, seldom pain killer	15
strong pain, frequent pain killer	10
strong and constant pain	5
unusable	0
Force 5: normal; 4: well; 3: moderate; 2: bad; 1: minimal; 0: paralytic	
Flexion	max. 5
Extension	max. 4
Pronation	max. 3
Supination	max. 3
Range of Motion	
Flexion	
30°	0
30° - 50°	3
50° - 70°	6
70° - 90°	9
90° - 100°	11
100° - 110°	13
110° - 120°	15
> 120°	17
Extension	
10°	8
10° - 30°	7
30° - 50°	5
50° - 70°	3
70° - 90°	0
Pronation / Supination	
0.1 points per degree	max. 6
Instability	
Anterior/posterior	
none	3
little < 5mm	2
moderate < 10mm	1
severe > 10mm	0
Medial/Lateral	
none	3
little < Smm	2
moderate < 10mm	1
	0
Function 1: normal; 0.75: moderate; 0.5: bad; 0.25: with help; 0: impossible	
Use back pocket	
Rise from chair	
Verhear care	
wash opposite axina	
Controllar	
Carlying 5-7kg	
Pulling	
Throwing	
Do usual work	
Do usual sport sports	
Subjective assessment	
Very good	3
good	2
moderate	-
bad	0
	100
Maximum possible points	100

refixation by suture anchors becomes clear if the direction of tensile forces on the anchor is considered. Only in 90° degree flexion of the elbow, the implanted suture anchors at the footprint of the triceps tendon can perform their maximum anchoring force. Clevenger *et al.* could demonstrate a significant lower anchoring force of suture anchors, if the tensile force to the anchor is below 90° degree (outstretched elbow) [32].

Transferring the lever principle to the forearm visualizes high forces taking effect on the footprint of the triceps tendon at the tip of the olecranon. Approximately 12-14 kg of weight take effect on the olecranon if you load the distal ulna with only 1 kg of weight (Fig. 5). According to these experiences, refixation of the triceps tendon needs to withstand high pull out forces and can be difficult. Nikolaidou *et al.* report in their case study extension forces up to 40Nm in bodybuilders [33].

In our opinion suture anchors are not strong enough to withstand these loads of tension in different bending degrees of the elbow, although some authors published promising results [20].

Some studies report the use of additional autograft in toplevel athletes [34, 35], or even synthetic augmentation in cases of poor tendon qualities [6, 13]. To our knowledge no comparative studies using augmentation techniques with allografts *versus* autografts have been published, so the efficiency of the augmentation technique cannot be fully evaluated.

These experiences concerning the different refixation methods for distal triceps tendon ruptures led to standardized treatment of these injuries in our trauma center. All patients who show up the first time with a distal triceps tendon rupture are treated surgically by strong nonabsorbable transosseus sutures. In case of revision a further augmentation with screw and washer will be performed.

Table 4. Radin and Riseborough score.

Good	Loss of ROM $< 10^{\circ}$ in all direction, no pain	
Moderate	Loss of ROM $< 30^{\circ}$ in all directions and/or little pain	
Bad	Loss of ROM $> 30^{\circ}$ in all directions and/or constant pain	

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors confirm that this article content has no conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Declared none.

REFERENCES

- Anzel SH, Covey KW, Weiner AD, Lipscomb PR. Disruption of muscles and tendons; an analysis of 1,014 cases. Surgery 1959; 45(3): 406-14.
- [2] Daglar B, Delialioglu OM, Ceyhan E, Altas O, Bayrakci K, Gunel U. Combined surgical treatment for missed rupture of triceps tendon associated with avulsion of the ulnar collateral ligament and flexor-pronator muscle mass. Strategies Trauma Limb Reconstr 2009; 4(1): 35-9.

- [3] Langenhan R, Weihe R, Kohler G. Traumatic rupture of the triceps brachii tendon and ipsilateral Achilles tendon. Unfallchirurg 2007; 110(11): 977-80.
- [4] Inhofe PD, Moneim MS. Late presentation of triceps rupture. A case report and review of the literature. Am J Orthop (Belle Mead NJ) 1996; 25(11): 790-2.
- [5] Tarsney FF. Rupture and avulsion of the triceps. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1972; 83: 177-83.
- [6] Yeh PC, Dodds SD, Smart LR, Mazzocca AD, Sethi PM. Distal triceps rupture. J Am Acad Orthop Surg 2010; 18(1): 31-40.
- [7] Sollender JL, Rayan GM, Barden GA. Triceps tendon rupture in weight lifters. J Shoulder Elbow Surg 1998; 7(2): 151-3.
- [8] Morein G, Goldschmidt Z, Pauker M, Seelenfreund M, Rosenfeld JB, Fried A. Spontaneous tendon ruptures in patients treated by chronic hemodialysis. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1977; (124): 209-13.
- [9] de Waal Malefijt MC, Beeker TW. Avulsion of the triceps tendon in secondary hyperparathyroidism. A case report. Acta Orthop Scand 1987; 58(4): 434-5.
- [10] Bach BR Jr, Warren RF, Wickiewicz TL. Triceps rupture. A case report and literature review. Am J Sports Med 1987; 15(3): 285-9.
- [11] Farrar EL 3rd, Lippert FG 3rd. Avulsion of the triceps tendon. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1981; 161: 242-6.
- [12] Sierra RJ, Weiss NG, Shrader MW, Steinmann SP. Acute triceps ruptures: case report and retrospective chart review. J Shoulder Elbow Surg 2006; 15(1): 130-4.
- [13] Sanchez-Sotelo J. Morrey BF. Surgical techniques for reconstruction of chronic insufficiency of the triceps. Rotation flap using anconeus and tendo achillis allograft. J Bone Joint Surg Br 2002; 84(8): 1116-20.
- [14] Tiger E, Mayer DP, Glazer R. Complete avulsion of the triceps tendon: MRI diagnosis. Comput Med Imaging Graph 1993; 17(1): 51-4.
- [15] Tagliafico A, Gandolfo N, Michaud J, Perez MM, Palmieri F, Martinoli C. Ultrasound demonstration of distal triceps tendon tears. Eur J Radiol 2012; 81(6): 1207-10.
- [16] Singh D, Kumar KA, Dinesh M, Raj R. Chronic triceps insufficiency managed with extensor carpi radialis longus and palmaris longus tendon grafts. Indian J Orthop 2012; 46(2): 236-8.
- [17] Sharma SC, Singh R. Goel T, Singh H. Missed diagnosis of triceps tendon rupture: a case report and review of literature. J Orthop Surg (Hong Kong) 2005; 13(3): 307-9.
- [18] Athwal GS, McGill RJ, Rispoli DM. Isolated avulsion of the medial head of the triceps tendon: an anatomic study and arthroscopic repair in 2 cases. Arthroscopy 2009; 25(9): 983-8.
- [19] Heikenfeld R, Listringhaus R, Godolias G. Endoscopic repair of tears of the superficial layer of the distal triceps tendon. Arthroscopy 2014; 30(7): 785-9.
- [20] Bava ED, Barber FA, Lund ER. Clinical outcome after suture anchor repair for complete traumatic rupture of the distal triceps tendon. Arthroscopy 2012; 28(8): 1058-63.
- [21] Radin EL, Riseborough EJ. Fractures of the radial head. A review of eighty-eight cases and analysis of the indications for excision of the radial head and non-operative treatment. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1966; 48(6): 1055-64.
- [22] Morrey BF. Functional evaluation of the elbow and its disorders. Philadelphia: Saunders 1985; pp. 73-9.
- [23] Offenbacher M, Ewert T, Sangha O, Stucki G. Validation of a German version of the 'Disabilities of Arm, Shoulder and Hand' questionnaire (DASH-G). Z Rheumatol 2003; 62(2): 168-77.
- [24] Boone DC, Azen SP. Normal range of motion of joints in male subjects. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1979; 61(5): 756-9.
- [25] Morrey BF, Askew LJ, Chao EY. A biomechanical study of normal functional elbow motion. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1981; 63(6): 872-7.
- [26] Morrey BF, Chao EY. Passive motion of the elbow joint. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1976; 58(4): 501-8.
- [27] Niglis L, Bonnomet F, Schenck B, et al. Critical analysis of olecranon fracture management by pre-contoured locking plates. Orthop Traumatol Surg Res 2015; 101(2): 201-7.
- [28] Arbter D, Piatek S, Probst A, Holmenschlager F, Winckler S. Results after Judet radial head prosthesis for non-reconstructable radial head fractures. Unfallchirurg 2012; 115(11): 1000-8.
- [29] Holmenschlager F, Halm JP, Piatek S, Schubert S, Winckler S. Comminuted radial head fractures. Initial experiences with a Judet radial head prosthesis. Unfallchirurg 2002; 105(4): 344-52.

Traumatic Rupture of the Distal Triceps Tendon (A Series of 7 Cases)

traumatic distal triceps tendon rupture in a male professional

bodybuilder with postoperative biomechanical assessment. Case

Mair SD, Isbell WM, Gill TJ, Schlegel TF, Hawkins RJ. Triceps

tendon ruptures in professional football players. Am J Sports Med

Weistroffer JK, Mills WJ, Shin AY. Recurrent rupture of the

triceps tendon repaired with hamstring tendon autograft

augmentation: a case report and repair technique. J Shoulder Elbow

Rep Orthop 2014; 2014: 962930.

2004; 32(2): 431-4.

Surg 2003; 12(2): 193-6.

- [30] Sodergard J, Sandelin J, Bostman O. Postoperative complications of distal humeral fractures. 27/96 adults followed up for 6 (2-10) years. Acta Orthop Scand 1992; 63(1): 85-9.
- [31] Tak SR, Dar GN, Halwai MA, Kangoo KA, Mir BA. Outcome of olecranon osteotomy in the trans-olecranon approach of intraarticular fractures of the distal humerus. Ulus Travma Acil Cerrahi Derg 2009; 15(6): 565-70.
- [32] Clevenger TA, Beebe MJ, Strauss EJ, Kubiak EN. The effect of insertion angle on the pullout strength of threaded suture anchors: a validation of the deadman theory. Arthroscopy 2014; 30(8): 900-5.
- [33] Nikolaidou ME, Banke IJ, Laios T, Petsogiannis K, Mourikis A. Synthetic augmented suture anchor reconstruction for a complete

Received: July 6, 2015

Revised: September 1, 2015

[34]

[35]

Accepted: September 11, 2015

© Neumann et al.; Licensee Bentham Open.

This is an open access article licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits unrestricted, non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the work is properly cited.